

as found by the Jury, the defendant be confined in the County Jail or a period of thirty days by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. It is further ordered by the Court that upon the payment of the cost in this cause said thirty day jail sentence be suspended during good behavior.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. Possessing Liquor  
W. H. PARKER

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court that the defendant in the cause has never been apprehended it is ordered by the Court that said cause be placed on the retired docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. Tippling.  
CHARLIE McGruder

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court that the defendant in the cause has never been apprehended it is ordered by the Court that said cause be placed on the retired docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. Housebreaking and Larceny.  
CLINT McClendless, et als

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person when upon motion of the Attorney General it is ordered that the order entered in this cause at the last term of the Court be revived.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. Housebreaking and Larceny.  
BOYD WHITE

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion of the Attorney-General it is ordered that said cause be placed upon the retired docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. Possessing Liquor  
BAKER MARTIN

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney when upon motion by the Attorney-General the order entered in this cause at the August Term, 1937, is ordered to be revived and entered for this term.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. Driving Drunk.  
G. E. MILLER

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney when upon motion of the Attorney General it is ordered that said cause be placed on the retired docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. Possessing Liquor.  
ROBERT FARLEY

In this cause come the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney when it is ordered by the Court that the order entered at the August Term, 1937 in this cause be entered and made order for this cause.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. Larceny  
HAROLD WEATHERSPOON

This cause coming on to be heard and it appearing to the Court that the defendant has never been apprehended it is the before ordered that an alias capias issued as to him.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. Driving Drunk  
BEN INGRAM

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion of the Attorney-General it is ordered by the Court that this case be placed on the retired docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. Driving Drunk  
J. C. PARISH

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon a motion of the Attorney-General it is ordered by the Court that this case be placed on the retired docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. Driving Drunk  
F. C. WILLIAMS

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon a motion of the Attorney-General it is ordered by the Court that this case be placed on the retired docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. Driving Drunk.  
TOM SPICER

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney when upon a motion of the Attorney-General it is ordered by the Court that this case be placed on the retired docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. Trespass  
JOHN BERRYMAN

In this cause present for the State the Attorney-General and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion of the Attorney-General it is ordered by the Court that the order which was entered at the August Term, 1937 of this Court be entered in this cause at this term.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. Age of Consent  
ROBERT DRONEY

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney and upon motion of the defendant said cause is continued by the Court until the next term of this court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. Driving Drunk.  
JOHN T. BURK

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion of the attorney-general it is ordered by the Court that this case be placed on the retired docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

DRUNK

JOE HICKS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney and after being duly arraigned and charged upon said indictment defendant pleads guilty.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense of public drunkenness the defendant pay or secure a fine of \$5.00 and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure the same he will be taken in custody by the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until the same is paid, secured or worked out.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

WIFE DESERTION.

JOHN HENRY STEWART

In this cause comes the attorney-general for the state and it appearing to the Court that the defendant has not been apprehended it is ordered by the Court that as to him an alias issue.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

Driving Drunk

FRANK FLAKE

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney when upon motion of the Attorney-General it is ordered by the Court that this cause be placed on the retired docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

Driving Drunk

BUCK BIBBS

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney when upon motion of the Attorney-General it is ordered by the Court that this cause be placed on the retired docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

Gaming

JR. O'Guinn

CAP. WRIGHT

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the the state and the defendants, Jr. O'Guinn and Cap Wright in person and by attorney and it is agreed by each defendant that a plea of guilty will be entered at next term of Court. Thereupon it is ordered that said cause be continued until next term.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

Election

ELVIS CROWELL

The Attorney-General comes to prosecute in behalf of the State and the said Elvis Crowell comes in proper person and by Attorney, when it appearing that the defendant has fully complied with all of the requirements of the Court it is ordered by the Court that said case be struck from the docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

C. P.

F. D. LOFTON

The Attorney-General comes to prosecute in behalf of the State and the said

Lofton comes in proper person and by Attorney, when it appearing that the defendant has fully complied with all of the requirements of the Court it is ordered by the Court that said case be struck from the docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

DRIVING DRUNK

WESLEY BAKER

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney when upon motion by the defendant this cause is continued by the Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

Driving Drunk

V. C. SHARP

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney who being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that for the offense charged in the presentment the defendant pay a \$10.00 fine and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. In the event of his failure to pay or secure same when will be taken in custody by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee and by him confined in the County Jail of Humphreys County or in the work house until same is paid secured or worked out. It is further recorded and decreed by the Court that the defendant serve 30 days in jail but this jail sentence is suspended during good behavior upon the payment or securing of said fine. It is further ordered and decreed by the Court that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile in the State of Tennessee for a period of five months and twenty-nine days.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

DRIVING DRUNK.

NEELEY L. HARDIN

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney who being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense charged in the presentment the defendant pay a \$10.00 fine and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. In the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him confined in the County Jail of Humphreys County or in the work house until same is paid, secured or worked out. It is further ordered and decreed by the Court that the defendant serve 30 days in jail but this jail sentence is suspended during good behavior upon the payment or securing of said fine, it is further ordered and decreed by the Court that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile in the State of Tennessee for a period of five months and twenty-nine days.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

CARRYING PISTOL

L. J. COWEN

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit, J. H. Smith, Berl Warner, John Carter, Walter Woods, Coy Lofton, G. G. Garner, D. D. Ross, T. D. Story, Oscar Miller, Barnett Peeler, Oscar Sharp, J. D. Simpson, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath

do say that they find the defendant guilty of carrying a pistol unlawfully and assess and fix his punishment at \$50.00.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that to the offense as found by the jury that the defendant pay or secure a fine of \$50.00 and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. In the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in Custody by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee and by him confine in the jail or county work house until same is paid, secured or worked out.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

RECKLESS DRIVING.

J. W. STANFIELD

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly arraigned and charged on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: J. H. Smith, Berl Warnermaker, John Carter, Walter Woods, Coy Lofton, G. G. Garner, D. D. Ross, T. D. Story, Oscar Miller, Barnett Peeler, Oscar Sharp, J. D. Simpson, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath say that they find the defendant guilty of reckless driving as charged in the presentment and assess and fix his punishment at a fine of \$25.00.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of \$25.00 and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. In the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him confined in the County Jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

Driving Drunk

W. F. McNabb

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: J. H. Smith, Berl Warnermaker, John Carter, Walter Woods, Coy Lofton, G. G. Garner, D. D. Ross, T. D. Story, Oscar Miller, Barnett Peeler, Oscar Sharp, and J. D. Simpson, who, being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of \$10.00 and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. It is further ordered by the Court that the defendant serve 30 days in the County Jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee, but this jail sentence is suspended during good behavior on the paying or securing of the fine and cost. It is further ordered, that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile in the State of Tennessee for a period of time of five months and twenty nine days.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

DRIVING WHILE DRUNK

W. F. FIEVEASH

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment, pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: J. H. Smith, Berl Warnermaker, John Carter, Walter Woods, Coy Lofton, G. G. Garner, D. D. Ross, T. D. Storey, Oscar Miller, Barnett Peeler, Oscar Sharp, J. D. Simpson, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment.

It is there ore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the Jury, the defenant pay or secure a fine of \$10.00 and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. It is further ordered by the Court that thedefendaht serve 30 days in the County Jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee, but this jail sentence is suspended during good behavior on the paying or securing of the fine and cost. It is further ordered that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile in the State of Tennessee for a period of time of five months and twenty-nine days.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

Possessing Liquor

W. A. FRANKLIN

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant and upon sufficient reasons shown it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued until the next term of this Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

Felonious Transportation.

PETE THOMPSON

In this cause came the Attorney for the state and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

There upon to try the issues joined came a Jury of Good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: J. H. Smith, Berl Warnermaker, John Carter, Walter Woods, Coy Lofton, G. G. Garner, D. D. Ross, T. D. Story, Oscar Miller, Barnett Peeler, Oscar Sharp, J. D. Simpson, who, being duly elected, charged and sworn according to law and being in charge of their sworn officers who had prevokably been sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally transporting more than a gallon of liquor as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at six months in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the Jury, the defenant be confined in the County Jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee or work house for a period of six months and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. In the event he fails to secure or paysaid cost he will be confined in the County Jail by the Sheriff of Humphreys County until same is paid, secured or worked out.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

Possessing Liquor

PETE THOMPSON

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney who being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: J. H. Smith, Berl Warnermaker, John Carter, Walter Woods, Coy Lofton, G. G. Garner, D. D. Ross, T. D. Story, Oscar Miller, Barnett Peeler, Oscar Sharp, J. D. Simpson, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment and assess his fine at the sum of \$100.00.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant pay or secure said fine and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay, secure said fine he will be taken in custody by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him confined in the County Jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out. This fine will be run collectively with the fine imposed at this term of Court for transporting liquor.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

Driving Drunk.

HARRIS PHY

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment, pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: J. H. Smith, Berl Warnermaker, John Carter, Walter Woods, Coy Lofton, G. G. Garner, D. D. Ross, T. D. Story, Oscar Miller, Barnett Peeler, Oscar Sharp, J. D. Simpson, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of \$10.00 and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. It is further ordered by the Court that the defendant serve 30 days in the County jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee, but this jail sentence is suspended during good behavior on the paying or securing of the fine and cost. It is further ordered, that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile in the State of Tennessee for a period of time of five months and twenty-nine

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS. SCI. FA.

W. H. PARKER  
A. HOOD

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney upon motion of the Attorney-General it is ordered by the Court that this case be placed on the return docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS. In this cause came THOMPSON and the defendant in person JOHN BERRYMAN. The Attorney-General comes to prosecute in behalf of the State and the said John Berryman comes to defend. It is ordered by the Court that the defendant be fully complied with all of the requirements of the Court appearing that the defendant has said case be stricken from the docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

HUMPHREYS COUNTY

Court then adjourned until the next morning at 9:00 o'clock

JUDGE

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. DANCY FORT, JUDGE ETC. INDICTMENT AND PRESENTMENTS REPORTED BY THE GRAND JURY IN OPEN COURT

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of the Circuit Court, A. D. 1937, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Allen Wilson of said County, heretofore, to wit, on the 8 day of March, 1937, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did steal, take and carry away one hammer of the value of one dollars, the property of J. H. Brown of said County, then and there being found, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee

W. C. Howell, Attorney-General.

December Term, 1937, State Vs. Allen Wilson, Larceny, J. H. Brown, Prosecutor, Subpoena for the State J. H. Brown, Maloy Hooper, Ollie Hooper, Frank Hooper, Edward Hooper.

Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term, 1937.

W. C. Howell, Attorney-General

R. H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

A true bill. R. H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

W. C. Howell,

Attorney-General

One against Pete Thompson, D. A. subpoena for the state, D. A. Burch, T. R. Traylor. R. H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against W. A. Franklin, B. D., Subpoena for the state, J. S. Westbrooks, T. R. Westbrooks, Benney Peeler, D. A. Burch, and L. H. Winstead.

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A. D., 1937. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Theodore Spicer of said County, heretofore, to wit, on the 21st day of September, 1937, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did steal, take, and carry away watch of the value of Twenty Dollars, the property of W. S. Davidson of said County, then and there being found, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.

W. C. Howell, Attorney-General.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Theodore Spicer of said County, on the day and year aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did receive, buy, conceal, and aid in concealing one watch of the value of twenty Dollars, the property of W. S. Davidson of said County, before then feloniously stolen, taken and carried away by some one, to the Grand Jury unknown, he the said Theodore Spicer then and there knowing the said watch to have been feloniously stolen, taken, and carried away, and he the said Theodore Spicer intending then and there fraudulently to deprive the owner thereof, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.

W. C. Howell, Attorney-General

December Term, 1937, The State Vs. Theodore Spicer, Larceny, W. S. Davidson, Prosecutor.

Subpoena for the State; W. S. Davidson, Mrs. W. S. Davidson, Lucian McNabb, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, December Term, 1937. R. H. McKeel

Foreman Grand Jury. W. C. Howell, Attorney-General. A True Bill. R. H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Carroll Hedge, wife desertion, subpoena for the state, Santie Craft, Ruby Hedge, Ose Craft.

One against W. F. Fieveash, Driving Drunk, subpoena for the state, H. F. Holt, T. R. Westbrooks, Esq. J. Mc Reeves.



STATE OF TENNESSEE, HUMPHREYS COUNTY, December Term of Circuit Court, A. D., 1937. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Carrol McCloud of said County, heretofore, to wit, on the 28 day of August 1937 with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and maliciously, did make an assault upon the body of one Ed McCloud with a certain knife with the unlawful and felonious intent, then and there, him, the said Ed McCloud unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill and upon him to commit the crime and felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.

W. C. Howell, Attorney-General

December Term, 1937, The State Vs. Carroll McCloud, Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree, Ed McCloud, Prosecutor, Subpoena for the State Ed McCloud, Nelson Ingram, Ham Tuberville, Dr. H. C. Cappe, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, December Term, 1937. R. H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W. C. Howell, Attorney-General. A True Bill. R. H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against I. A. Larence, Driving Drunk, subpoena for the state: J. S. Westbrook, T. R. Wade Dickerson, Esq. J. McReeves.

One against Harris Phy, Driving Drunk, subpoena for the state H. F. Holt, and T. R. Westbrook.

One against Nealy L. Harlin, Driving Drunk, subpoena for the state, T. R. Westbrooks, J; R. Traylor, H. F. Holt.

One against W. C. McNabb, Carrying a pistol, subpoena for the state: T. R. Westbrooks, Sandy Rivers, Iva Smith, Will Briney Boulston.

One against L. J. Cowan, Carrying a pistol, subpoena for the state, Will Townsend, Edward Daniel, Esq. J. McReeves.

STATE OF TENNESSEE, Humphreys County, December Term of the Circuit Court, A. D., 1937. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Roy (Mooney) Ingram of said County, heretofore, to wit, on the 28 day of August, 1937, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did steal, take and carry away Fifteen Dollars, good and lawful money of the United States of the value of Fifteen Dollars, the property of W. J. Harbison of said County, then and there being found, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W. C. Howell, Attorney-General.

December Term, 1937, the State Vs. Roy (Mooney) Ingram, Prosecutor. Subpoena for the State Hyman Dailey, Carl Simpson, Richard Parrott, John Henry Parrott. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, December Term, 1937.

Foreman Grand Jury. W. C. Howell, Attorney-General.

One against V. C. Sharp, Driving Drunk, subpoena for the State. T. R. Westbrooks, H. F. Holt, Esq. J. McReeves.

One against Pate Thompson, B. D., subpoena for the state T. R. Westbrook, and J. R. Traylor.

One against Wesley Baker, Driving Drunk, subpoena for the state: H. F. Holt, T. R. Westbrook, J. R. Traylor, Elmer Moreset.

One against J. W. Stanfield, Driving Drunk, subpoena for the state, Grady Dixon, H. F. Holt, Esq. J. McReeves.

One against W. P. McNabb, Driving Drunk, Subpoena for the state: Grady Dixon, H. F. Holt, Sam Scott, and T. R. Westbrooks.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. DANCY FORT, JUDGE ETC. STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

MURDER

JIM BURGESS

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a Jury of Good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: L. W. Richardson, P. A. Thornton, W. T. Patterson, Carl Warren, R. B. Kelly, Allen Bone, John Perkins, Oce Chance, J. Don Simpson, Ernest Duncan J. A. Fortner, Clint Flowers, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, D. D. Lee, and D. A. Barch, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, and the proof not being completed said Jury was respited by the Court until to-morrow morning at nine o'clock, and said Jury retired in charge of their sworn officers aforesaid.

Court then adjourned until to-morrow morning at 9:00 o'clock,

*Dancy Fort*, JUDGE

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. DANCY FORT, JUDGE ETC.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

MURDER

JIM BURGESS

In this cause comes again the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, when the Jury, heretofore selected and sworn in this cause, to-wit: L. W. Richardson, P. A. Thornton, W. T. Patterson, Carl Warren, R. B. Kelly, Allen Bone, John Perkins, Ose Chance, J. Don Simpson, Ernest Duncan, J. A. Fortner, Clint Flowers having returned into open Court in charge of their sworn officers, D. P. Lee, and D. A. Burch, and having resumed the consideration of this cause after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, but not having time to consider and reach a verdict said Jury was again respite by the Court until to-morrow morning at nine o'clock and the Jury again retired in charge of their sworn officers aforesaid.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

ASSAULT TO MURDER

MARTIN MORAN

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: James T. Porch, Berl Warnemaker, Jess James, J. A. Johnson, G. D. Ross, W. C. Cooley, Walter Woods, Coy Lofton, T. D. Story, Oscar Miller, O. B. Sharp, Barnett Feeler, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, D. B. McCann, and J. C. Thomas, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, and the proof not being completed said jury was respite by the Court until to-morrow morning at Nine o'clock, and said Jury retired in charge of their sworn officers aforesaid.

Court then adjourned until to-morrow at 9:00 o'clock,

*Dancy Fort*

JUDGE,

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. DANCY FORT, JUDGE ETC.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

ASSAULT AND BATTERY

A. G. ALLESSIO

Comes the Attorney-General for the State and A. G. Allesio having been solemnly called to come into open Court as he was bound to do to answer the State of Tennessee on an indictment here pending against him for assault and battery, to the terms of his recognizance and came not but made default and the said Henry Beasley and W. B. Goodwin having been solemnly called to come into open Court and bring with them the body of the said A. G. Allesio to answer said charge came not but made default. It is therefore considered by the Court that the State of Tennessee recover of the said A. G. Allesio and his surties Henry Beasley and W. B. Goodwin, the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, according to the terms and effect of their recognizance unless they show good cause to the contrary and that a scire facias issue against them to appear at the next term of the Court and show cause, if any, they may have why this judgment should not be made final.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

ASSAULT AND BATTERY

A. G. ALLESSIO

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court and the defendant, A. G. Allesio not appearing in Court when called it is ordered by the Court that as to him there shall issue from this Court an alias capias.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

DRUNKNESS

PORTER HEAD

Comes the Attorney-General for the State and Porter Head having been solemnly called to come into open Court as he was bound to do to answer the State of Tennessee on an indictment here pending against him for drunkenness, to the terms of his recognizance and came not but made default and the said Henry Beasley and W. B. Goodwin having been solemnly called to come into open Court and bring with them the body of the said Porter Head to answer said charge came not but made default. It is therefore considered by the Court that the State of Tennessee recover of the said Porter Head and his surties Henry Beasley and W. B. Goodwin, the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, according to the terms and effect of their recognizance unless they show good cause to the contrary and that a scire facias issue against them to appear at the next term of the Court and show cause, if any, they may have why this judgment should not be made final.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

DRUNKNESS

PORTER HEAD

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court and the defendant Porter Head not appearing in Court when called it is ordered by the Court that as to him there shall issue from this Court an alias capias.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

ASSAULT TO MURDER

JAKE SMITH

HERBERT CHANCE

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendants in person and by Attorney when upon motion of the defendant it is ordered by the Court that said case be continued until the next term of this Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

LARCENY

THEODORE SPICER

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney when upon motion of the defendant and upon sufficient reasons shown it is ordered by the Court that this cause be continued until the next term of this Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

DRIVING DRUNK

I. H. LAWRENCE

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: J. H. Smith, Berl Warnemaker, John Carter, Walter Woods, Coy Lofton, G. G. Garner, D. D. Ross, T. D. Story, Oscar Miller, Barnett Feeler, Oscar Sharp, J. D. Simpson, who, being duly elected, tried, and sworn, according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of \$10.00 and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. It is further ordered by the Court that the defendant serve 30 days in the County Jail or Humphreys County, Tennessee, but this jail sentence is suspended during good behavior on the paying or securing of the fine and cost. It is further ordered, that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile in the State of Tennessee for a period of time of five months and twenty-nine days.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

ASSAULT TO MURDER

MARTIN MORAN

In this cause comes again the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, when the Jury, heretofore selected, and sworn in this cause, to-wit: James T. Porch, Berl Warnemaker, Jess James, J. A. Johnson, G. D. Ross, W. C. Cooley, Walter Woods, Coy Lofton, T. D. Story, Oscar Miller, O. B. Sharp, Barnett Feeler, having returned into open Court in charge of their sworn officers D. B. McCann, J. C. Thomas and having resumed the consideration of this cause, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of an assault to commit voluntary manslaughter and assess and fix his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and sixty days in jail.

Whereupon the defendant gave notice of a motion for a New Trial and this cause is passed pending the filing and hearing of said motion.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

MURDER

JIM BURGESS

In this cause comes again the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, when the Jury, heretofore selected and sworn in this cause, to-wit: L. W. Richardson, P. A. Thornton, W. T. Patterson, Carl Warren, R. B. Kelly, Allen Bone, John Perkins, Ose Chance, J. Don Simpson, Ernest Duncan, J. A. Fortner, Clint Flowers, having returned into open Court in charge of their sworn officers, D. B. McCann, J. C. Thomas and having resumed the consideration of this cause, having heretofore heard all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they

find the defenant guilty of Murder in the second degree as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Fifteen years in the Penitentiary.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the Jury, the defenant be confined in the State penitentiary at Nashville, Tennessee, at hard labor for an indeterminate period of time of not less than ten years nor more than fifteen years and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

Court then adjourned until to-morrow morning at 9:00 o'clock.

*Dancy Fort*, JUDGE

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. DANCY FORT, JUDGE ETC.  
STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS. ASSAULT TO MURDER  
MARTIN MORAN

In this cause comes again the Attorney-General for the State and the defenant in person and by Attorney, when the motion for a New Trial, heretofore filed in this cause, came on to be heard by the Court, and which motion is as follows.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. In Circuit Court at  
MARTIN MORAN Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee.

MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL

Comes the defenant Martin Moran by his Attorney, and moves the Court for a new trial, and arrest of judgment in this case, upon the following ground:-

1st.

Because, the greater weight of the evidence, preponderates against the verdict of guilty of any offense, and in favor of the innocent of the defandant.

11.

Because, the verdict of the jury finding the defenant guilty of intent to commit voluntary manslaughter is not supported by the preponderance of evidence sufficient to convict beyond as reasonable doubt, as defined by the Court in his charge to the jury.

111.

Because, the defenant a man of Sixty-seven years of age, had been held and beat up by two strong men, and after loosed himself from their grip and while leaving them was followed by Wyley Carter for some distance to-wit; to the end of the front porch with a knife in his hand when the defendant seized a pistol from the wall and defended himself as he had a right to do under the law.

1V.

Because, the defendant was in his own home, his castle, and was being imposed upon by the Prosecutor Carter by his stay therein without the solicitation of the defendant and at the expense of the defendant.

V.

Because, the weight of the evidence is that there was a determined effort upon the part of the prosecutor and the O'Guynne to drive the defendant away from his home; and that the witness O'Guinn did not deny that he shot at Moran while the fight between he and Carter was going on.

J. R. Morris, Atty.

After the hearing of said motion the Court is of the opinion that the verdict of the jury should be set aside and it is so ordered by the Court and the case set for a New Trial in this Court.

Filed this Dec. 20, 1937, L. C. Bohannon, Clerk.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. MURDER  
JIM BURGESS

In this cause comes again the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, when the motion for a new Trial, heretofore filed in this cause, came on to be heard by the Court, and which Motion is as follows:

STATE OF TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

JIM BURGESS.

In the Circuit Court of  
Humphreys County, Tenn.

## MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL

Comes the defendant, Jim Burgess, and moves the Court to set aside the verdict of the jury and grant him a new trial in this cause, on the following grounds and each of them:

1.

Because the verdict of the jury is contrary to the greater weight of evidence, the greater weight of the evidence preponderating in favor of the defendant.

2.

Because the verdict is contrary to the law and the evidence.

3.

Because there is no material evidence to support the verdict of the jury, and the same is not in any way inconsistent with the innocence of the defendant.

4.

Because the verdict shows passion, prejudice and caprice on the part of the jury.

5.

Because the evidence if weighed in its highest light does not preponderate against the innocence of the defendant, but preponderate materially in his favor.

6.

The Court erred in failing and refusing to charge defendant's special request No. 1. as set out and shown below. (The defendant having excepted to the Court's ruling in failing and refusing to charge to the jury his special request, as follows:

## "IMPEACHING A WITNESS."

There are several modes of impeaching a witness. One way is to prove by credible witnesses that they knew the general character of the assailed witness, and from that general character they would not believe him or her on oath in a court of justice. The fact that the character of the witness is assailed by a single witness casts a reproach upon him, and when the general character of the witness is assailed upon the one hand and sustained upon the other by witnesses, it then becomes a question to be decided upon by the jury, like all other questions of fact, and it is not to be judged by the number of witnesses for or against, but by their respectability, intelligence, consistency and means of information.

Another mode is to prove that a witness has, at different times, made conflicting statements as to the material facts of the case as to which he testifies.

Still another mode is by a rigid and close cross-examination to involve the witness in contradictions and discrepancies as to the material facts stated by him. Immaterial discrepancies or differences in the statements of witnesses do not affect their credibility, unless there is something to show that they originated in willful falsehood, and you, gentlemen of the Jury, are to determine how far the testimony of any impeached witness has been impaired by any invalidating process."

This special request was made by defendant for the purpose of having the court to charge the law to the jury as to the various ways of impeaching a witness testimony, the court's charge having in no way charged the law as to this ground. The defendant offering material evidence tending to impeach the testimony and credibility of two of the most material witnesses for the state; that is Julia Hargrove and William Hargrove; that evidence was offered by the defendant showing that the said Julia Hargrove, the most material

witness for the State, had prior to her testimony in this cause testified in a former trial, and the material points in her testimony at the present trial conflicted with her material testimony in the former trial.

Wherefore, the defendant moves the Court to set aside the verdict in this cause and grant him a new trial for the grounds aforesaid.

Jim Burgess.  
By W. J. Stephens.

The said motion for a New Trial being heard by the Court it is all things overruled. To which action of the Court the defendant excepts.

Thereupon the defendant moved in arrest of judgment, which motion is likewise overruled by the Court and to which action of the Court the defendant excepts.

Thereupon the defendant prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court at Nashville, Tennessee, which appeal is granted and the defendant is allowed thirty days in which to prepare and file his Bill of Exceptions. He will be held in the custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County to await the orders of the Court.

A. D. Thompson, having heretofore executed bond as such, I do hereby appoint the said A. D. Thompson, deputy Circuit Court Clerk of Humphreys County, to act in my stead, and with power to do all acts in said office that I could legally do. This appointment is subject to withdrawal at any time.

This the Sept. 1, 1937.

L. C. Böhannon, Circuit Court Clerk of Humphreys  
County, Tennessee.

H. L. Hickman

Vs.

Brown Morrisette

In the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, Tenn.,

December Term, 1937.

This day came the parties in person and by their attorneys, when the plaintiff moved the Court to dismiss the defendant's appeal for the want of a prosecution bond; and it appeared that the appeal bond filed by the defendant was not sufficient, the Court was of opinion that the motion was well taken. It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant's appeal and the same is hereby dismissed and that the defendant pay the costs of this appeal. It was further ordered that the papers in the case be remanded back to the magistrate from whence they came there to be dealt with according to law.



Wily Mayberry

V. S.

Nick Mullinecks In the Circuit Court of Humphreys County.

In this case the defendant was granted by the court to continue the suit until the next term of the court.

William H Pickett, Etal

V. S.

Mrs J. D Pickett, Adms In the Circuit Court Of Humphreys County.

In this case the Court Granted the Contestants a Continuation until next term of court

Mrs D. Bruce

V. S.

J. W. Metcalf, Etal

Damage Suit,

In this case a voluntary Non Suit was entered and the defendant was taxed with the cost

J. A. Tomlinson.

V. S.

Dick McKeel,

Damage

the Defendant in this case was allowed 30 days to plead guilty,

J. H. Mallard, Etal

V. S.

Replevin

T. R. Westbrook

This case was dismissed by the Court,

Sadie Buchanan

V. S.

Alford Buchanan, Divorce,

This Cause Came on to be heard by the Court upon the Bill of the Complainer Mrs Sadie Buchanan and the Pro Confesso heretofore entered against the defendant Alford Buchanan and the Oral Testimony of witnesses examined in open Court and it was factually appeared to the court from the proof that the facts charged in the Bill are true that the defendant had so cruelly and inhumanly treated the complainant as to render it impossible for her to live with him and it was adjudged by the court that the said Mrs Sadie Buchanan Name be restored to her former Name Mrs Sadie Thornton and that the matrimonial vows be dissolved.

W H Ross.

V. S.

Juanita Ross

Divorce,

This cause came on to be heard by the Court upon the Bill of the Complainer Mr W H Ross and the Pro Confesso heretofore entered against the Defendant Mrs Juanita Ross and the Bill as sworn to was Examined in open Court and taken as facts and the complainant was granted a divorce

Mrs Margaret Sommers.

V. S.

E. H Sommers,

Divorce.

In this Case the Suit Was Settled out of Court by the Defendant Paying all the Cost

J. L. Hickman.

V. S.

Brown Morrisett,

Garnishe

The Court Declared this case Dismissed,

In the Circuit Court of Humphreys County Tennessee,

Mrs J D Pickett

V. S.

W H Pickett Et Al

This Cause having been Transferred and Removed from the County Court of Humphreys County to this Court on the Petition of William H Pickett Et Al and the answer of Mrs J D Pickett and the Bond etc

it is ordered by Consent that said cause be continued to the next term of this court on the 20th Monday and the 13th day of Dec 1937 this Aug 10 1937.

Dancy Fort.  
Judge

E T Hollin J

Atty for Mrs J. D Pickett.

Albert Williams

J R Morris Atton,

W H Pickett Et Al

Court then adjourned until Court in course

*Dancy Fort.*  
Judge

JUDG

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT, LANCY FORT PRESIDING AS JUDGE OF CIRCUIT COURT,

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. CLINT MCANDLESS  
HOUSE BREAKING AND LARCENY

In this cause coming on to be heard present for the State and Attorney-General, when upon motion thereof it is ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court, that the order entered in this cause at the April term 1938 be stricken from the docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. BAKER MARTIN  
D. D.

In this cause coming on to be heard present for the State and Attorney-General, when upon motion thereof it is ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court, that the order entered in this cause at the April term 1938 be stricken from the docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. A. G. ALLISON  
A. & B.

This case was taken to Supreme Court for hearing and after consideration the Court remanded it back for a new trial.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. FORTNEY HEAD  
LARCENY

This case was taken to Supreme Court for hearing and after consideration the Court remanded it back for a new trial.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. ROBERT FARLEY  
D. D.

In this cause coming on to be heard present for the State and the Attorney-General the defendant was allowed to make work house bond and was ordered to go so.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. HAROLD WILKINS-ON  
LARCENY

In this case the defendant had not been arrested but an alias had been issued for his arrest.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. JOHN BERRYMAN  
LARCENY

In this case came the Attorney-General for the state and in this case the Court ruled that the case be retired.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. CARROLL McCLUND  
A SAULT AND BATTERY

Plea of guilty six months in jail, cost of defendant given credit for the time he has been in jail.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. JUNIOR O'GUIN, AND CAR WRIGHT  
GAMING

Wright plead guilty, \$5.00 fine and cost, a forfeiture was taken for \$250.00 against O'Guin. G. T. Meredith, Marshall Goodrich was his securities.

The bondsmen were notified of the forfeiture.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. W. A. FRANKLIN  
POSSESSING MORE THAN ONE QUART OF WHISKEY

In this case a forfeiture of \$500.00 dollars was taken against Willie Franklin and G. B. Franklin, Lee Crowell, and E. L. Gray, as sureties on bond.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. THELORA SPICER  
LARCENY

The Court granted the defendant a continuance until the next term of Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. CARROLL HEDGE  
WIFE DESERTION

An Alias has been issued for the arrest of the defendant, but he has not been located.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. ROY (WIDNEY) INGRAM  
LARCENY

An alias has been issued, but the defendant has not been found.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. PHILLIP CARMAN  
DRIVING DRUNK

In this case the defendant plead guilty, and the fine of \$10.00 and cost. 30 day jail sentence suspended. Deprived of driving motor vehicle 5 mos. and 29 days.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. W. M. CROCKER  
DRIVING DRUNK

In this case a \$10 fine and cost was had against the defendant. Thirty day jail sentence was suspended. Deprived of driving motor vehicle, 5 mos. and 29 days.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. WILLIE LEE FOGAN  
B. D.

Plea of guilty, \$100.00 fine, and cost.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. ROSY WELLS WARREN  
B. D.

Plead guilty, \$100.00 fine and cost and 90 days in jail.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. BOYD JOHNSON  
LARCENY

Plea of guilty, petit larceny, 11 mos. and 29 days in work house judgment.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS. C. HERBERT SCOTT  
DRIVING DRUNK

Fine \$10.00 and cost, and 30 days in jail suspended. Deprived of driving a motor vehicle 5 mos. and 29 days.

Court then adjourned until to-morrow morning at 9:00 o'clock.

COURT MET PERQUANT TO ADJOURNMENT, LANCY FORT PRES DING AS JUDGE OF CIRCUIT COURT.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
MARTIN MORAN  
ASSAULT TO MURDER

Continued on agreement to plead guilty to assault and battery by the next term of Court. Fifty dollar fine and cost.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
ROBERT DECKNEY  
AGE OF CONSENT

In this case the defendant was granted a continuance until next term of Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
HENRY STEWART  
WHITE ASSAULTION

An alias had been issued, but the Court retired the case.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
JAKE SMITH, & OTHERS  
ASSAULT TO MURDER

When this came up for hearing the defendant, Jake Smith, did not appear. As a result of same a forfeiture was taken, against W. E. Goodson and Kent Davis as sureties. The case of Jake Smith was continued because of his absence until next term of Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
ALLEN WILCOX  
LARCENY

In this case came the Attorney-General for the state and the defendant in person who being duly charged and arraigned on the said indictment, pleads guilty. Thereupon to assess the defendant's punishment came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Jim Stricker, Tom Flowers, J. D. Bone, Sam Parks, John Perkins, H. C. Bruce, Cal Coleman, Will Etheridge, Tom Tarry, Robert Wallace, Geo. Stricker, and C. M. Daniel, being duly elected and tried, and sworn according to law after hearing all the proof the verdict of the jury not guilty.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
EDWARD CENTRELLS  
ASSAULT AND BATTERY

Plead guilty to assault and battery, Jail sentence suspended. Cost imposed.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
H. C. COLEMAN  
DRIVING DRUNK

In this case the Grand Jury did not return an indictment.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
JESSE M. SAVERS  
DRIVING DRUNK

In this case the jury did not return a true bill.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
GRADY B. TURNER  
DRIVING DRUNK

In this case not a true bill was found.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
MACK LASHLEE  
CONCEALED WEAPON

In this case the defendant was granted a continuance to plead guilty next term of Court. \$50.00 fine and cost.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
HENRY HALE, JR.  
CONCEALED WEAPON

In this case not a true bill was found.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
ARTHUR BAKER  
GRAND LARCENY

In this case came the Attorney-General and the defendant in person, and the case was continued on plea of guilty on agreement to take one year in the penitentiary for petit larceny.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
SHELL DAVIS  
GRAND LARCENY

In this case came the Attorney-General and the defendant in person, and the case was continued on plea of guilty on agreement to take one year in the penitentiary for petit larceny.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
ROBERT JONES  
GRAND LARCENY

In this case came the Attorney-General and the defendant in person, and the case was continued on plea of guilty on agreement to take one year in the penitentiary for petit larceny.

Court then adjourned until to-morrow morning at 9:00 o'clock.



COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRECEDING. DANCY FORT, Judge, etc.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

Transporting liquor

R. B. HUDSON

In this cause came the Attorney-General and the defendant in person who plead guilty to possessing liquor. A \$100.00 fine and cost. Was imposed on the defendant and 30 days in jail.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

DRIVING DRUNK

JOHN WALMER

Plead guilty, (\$10.) ten dollar and cost, 30 day sentence suspended. Deprived of driving motor vehicle 3 mos. and 29 days.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

RA B

CLEARANCE ELLISON

Case was continued until next term of Court by defendant.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

DISPOSING OF PROPERTY THAT DOES NOT BELONG TO HIM.

BILL STIMMONS

Plea of guilty, 4 mos. in jail and the cost of the case.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

WILLIAM WRIGHT

JOHN D. (The) Wright

In this case the Grand Jury did not find a true bill.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

M. L.

MRS. OLIVE WARREN

In this case a plea of guilty was adjudged against her, and a one hundred (\$100.00) dollar fine and cost and 90 days in jail. Jail sentence is suspended during good behavior.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

HOUSE BREAKING AND LARCENY

WILLIE D. WRIGHT, JOHN WALMER, AND HOMER MOODY

In this case came the Attorney-General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issue, joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: D. N. Wright, Jim Strinner, Ed Hassell, Tom Flowers, J. D. Bone, H. C. Bruce, Jesse James, John Lehman, Knox Hooper, Will Etheridge, H. M. Sykes, W. D. Patterson, after the jury had been sworn according to law they retired and upon their return a verdict of a mistrial was had.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

GRAND LARCENY

MRS. IDA STEWART

In this case came the Attorney-General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issue, joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: H. C. Bruce, J. W. Mooney, John Perkins, J. L. Triplett, H. M. Sykes, D. N. Wright.

F. S. Corbitt, Tom Flowers, Hobart Wallace, J. D. Bone, G. C. Coleman, Tom Taffy. After the jury had heard the evidence in the case and returned to the Court the Court gave the defendant three years in the penitentiary.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

DRIVING DRUNK

WESLEY WALKER

In this case came the defendant and the Attorney-General and the defendant plead guilty to charge of driving drunk and his fine was assessed at \$10.00 and all the cost and thirty days in jail. The jail sentence was suspended, but he was depriving a motor vehicle 3 mos. and 29 days.

#### REPORT OF GRAND JURY

We, the members of the Grand Jury for the April Term, 1938, of the Circuit Court by leave to submit the following report to Your Honor.

We have diligently inquired and true presentment made of all matters given us in charge by your Honor or otherwise brought to our knowledge.

We have examined the County Jail and Poor House and find the inmates well fed and cared for. However, we find some inmates of the Poor House in need of clothing and recommend that this be given attention. We find the toilet for women at County Jail in bad condition and recommend it be given attention.

We have examined all bonds required to be examined by grand find them properly executed and good and solvent, and having completed our labors for the term we respectfully ask to be discharged for the term.

H. M. McNeal (Foreman)

W. O. Leaman, W. L. White, J. M. Cunningham, J. M. Petty, Walter Jones, A. M. Little, J. J. Bradley, Ed W. Lewis, F. W. Smith, David Long, H. M. McCauley, H. R. Collier.

COURT THEN ADJOURNED TILL MONDAY MORNING at 9:00 o'clock.

*These minutes are not complete. See next page of clerk.*

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

PUBLIC DRUNKNESS

CASIE RICE

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

PUBLIC DRUNKNESS

ROBERT MORTLE

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

P. D. AND DISORDERLY

MOSLEY MOORE

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

PUBLIC DRUNKNESS

GEORGE LUTEN

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

V

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

GAMING

JOHN WALKER

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

ASSAULT AND BATTERY

JOHNNIE SMITH

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

ASSAULT AND BATTERY

DEL MOORE

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

PUBLIC DRUNKNESS

ARTHUR MOORE

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

GAMING

WILLIE D. WHITNEY

## MOTION TO RE-TAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

ALVIN COLSTON

## MOTION TO RE-TAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

VS.

PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

Vernon Shelton

## MOTION TO RE-TAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

ASSAULT &amp; BATTERY

FRANK HOGAN

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESIDING HON. DANCY FORT, JUDGE.

WILLIAM H. PICKETT ET AL

VS.

WILL AND TESTAMENT OF MRS. A. D. PICKETT

MRS. J. D. PICKETT, ADMR.

This case coming up for consideration by the Court, the defendants together discussed the trial of the case, but on account on some absence from the record the defendants argued for a continuance of the case, and the Court granted it until the next term of Court, which will take place the first day.

WILEY MAYBERRY

VS.

DAMAGE

NICK MULLINICKS

In this case the defendant asked for a continuance and the Court granted it.

Lenn A. Gibbs

VS.

DAMAGE

R. D. Hudson

In this case the Plaintiff was called out and the suit was dismissed.

JAMES T. HALL

VS.

DIVORCE

GEORGIA HALL

Proconfesso final decree.

WILTON CAPPS

VS.

DIVORCE

IRENE CAPPS

Judgment pro confesso granted.

MRS. ANN McElroy

VS.

DIVORCE

J. R. McElroy

Judgment for divorce and custody of her youngest son.

MARY SPICER DRONEY

VS.

DIVORCE

ROBERT DRONEY

Judgment pro confesso. Custody of child. Cause will be retained in court, as to alimony and support for the child, for further orders.

FORTNY CARTER

VS.

DIVORCE

ROY E. CARTER

Pro confesso final decree, maiden name was Story.

Maxine Emery

VS.

DIVORCE

WALTER EMERY

Judgment for divorce and custody of their child, Ralph,

Violette James

VS.

DIVORCE

Earl D. James

Judgment for absolute custody of the child.

Dora Allen

VS.

DEBRA ALLEN  
VS. DIVORCE  
ASPER ALLEN

Proconfess Judgment for divorce.

BESSIE MCCLLOUD  
VS. DIVORCE  
ED MCCLLOUD

Proconfesso final decree, maiden name Bessie Phillips, restored. Divorce granted.

Court then adjourned until Court in Course,

Judge,

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING HON DANCY FORT, JUDGE ETC.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

CLINT McCANDLESS

This cause coming on to be heard when it appearing to the Court that the defendant has complied with and satisfied all orders and requirements of the Court in this cause, it is, therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that this cause be dismissed and stricken from the Docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

BAKER MARTIN

This cause coming on to be heard when it appearing to the Court that the defendant has complied with and satisfied all orders and requirements of the Court in this cause, it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that this cause be dismissed and stricken from the Docket.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

A. G. ALLISHO

This cause coming on to be heard when it appearing to the Court that said cause has been appealed and is now pending in the Supreme Court at Nashville, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cause be passed until next term of this Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

PORTER HEAD

This cause coming on to be heard when it appearing to the Court that said cause has been appealed and is now pending in the Supreme Court at Nashville, Tennessee, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cause be passed until next term of this Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

ROBERT FARLEY

In this cause coming on to be heard and it appearing that the defendant has paid all costs in this cause but the fine heretofore assessed against him is unpaid, it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant Robert Farley pay or secure the fine of One Hundred Dollars heretofore assessed against him and in the event of his failure to do so that a Work House order be entered against him.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

HAROLD WEATHERSPOON

This cause coming on to be heard it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said cause be placed on the retired Docket of the Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

JOHN BERRYMAN

This cause coming on to be heard when it is ordered by the Court that said cause be placed on the retired Docket of this Court.



STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.

JOHN HENRY STEWART

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court when it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said cause be placed on the Retired Docket of this Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.

WESLEY BAKER

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Whereupon it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for said offense of driving while intoxicated the defendant pay or secure a fine of ten dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue. In the event of his failure to secure or pay same the Sheriff of Humphreys County will take him in charge and confine him in the county jail until same is paid, secured or worked out. It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be confined in the county jail for thirty days by the Sheriff of said county, however this jail sentence is suspended during good behavior. It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be deprived of the right to drive a motor vehicle for a period of five months and twenty-nine days.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.

CARROLL MCGEHOUD

In this cause came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty of assault and battery.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.

PHILLIP CARMAN

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Thereupon it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense of driving drunk the defendant pay or secure a fine of ten dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody by the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the county jail until same is paid, secured or worked out. It is further ordered that the defendant be confined in the county jail for a period of thirty days, however, this thirty day jail sentence is suspended during good behavior. It is further ordered that the defendant be deprived of the right to drive a motor vehicle for a period of five months and twenty-nine days.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.

WM. N. CROCKER

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the above offense the defendant pay or secure a fine of ten dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of the failure to pay or secure same the de-

defendant will be taken in charge by the Sheriff of Humphreys County and confined in the county jail until same is paid, secured or worked out. It is further ordered by the Court that the defendant serve thirty days in the county jail of Humphreys County in charge of the Sheriff of said county, however, this thirty day jail sentence is suspended during good behavior. It is further ordered that the defendant be deprived of the privilege of driving a motor vehicle for a period of five months and twenty-nine days.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.

WILLIE LEE HOGAN

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: H. H. Parks, W. L. Etheridge, Tom Flowers, H. C. Bruce, H. C. Daniel, D. N. Wright, J. D. Bone, Tom Tarry, D. H. Wallace, G. C. Coleman, J. R. Perkins, G. E. Stringer, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment and assess and fix his fine at one hundred dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of one hundred dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure the same he will be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the county jail or work house until the same is paid, secured or worked out.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
ROSA NELL WARREN

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: H. H. Parks, W. L. Etheridge, Tom Flowers, H. C. Bruce, H. C. Daniel, D. N. Wright, J. D. Bone, Tom Tarry, D. H. Wallace, G. C. Coleman, J. R. Perkins, G. E. Stringer, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath say they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment and assess her fine at the sum of one hundred dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of one hundred dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of her failure to pay or secure the same, she will be taken in custody by the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the county jail or work house until the same is paid, secured or worked out. It is further ordered that the defendant in addition to the above fine serve ninety days in the County jail.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.

JESSEE M. SANDERS

In this case came the Grand Jury in a body and presents to the Court this indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
HERBERT SCOTT

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the above offense the defendant pay a fine of ten dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay of secure same he will be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the county jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out. It is further ordered that the defendant serve thirty days in the Humphreys County jail which sentence is suspended during good behavior and that he be prohibited from driving a motor vehicle for five months and twenty-nine days.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
GRADY TURNER

In this case came the Grand Jury in a body and presents to the Court this instrument marked not a true bill. It is therefore adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
BILL SIMMONS

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty to unlawfully disposing of property as charged in the indictment and assesses and fix his punishment at four months in the county jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be taken in charge by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him confined in the County jail or work house for a period of four months and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay said costs he will be confined in jail until same is paid, secured or worked out.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
B. D. (BUD) WRIGHT

In this case came the Grand Jury in a body and presents to the Court this instrument marked not a true bill. It is therefore adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

COURT THEN ADJOURNED UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING AT 9:00 o'clock, A. M.

*D. J. Fort*, JUDGE

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING HON. DANCY FORT, JUDGE, ETC.  
STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
MARTIN MORAN

This cause coming on to be heard when upon motion of the defendant the cause is ordered by the Court to be continued until next term with the understanding that he is to plead guilty at the next term at which time he is to be given a fine of fifty dollars and the costs of the cause.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
ROBERT DRONEY

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court when upon motion of the defendant and upon sufficient cause being shown it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said cause be continued until next term of the Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
JAKE SMITH  
HERBERT CHANCE

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court when it appearing to the Court that the defendant, Jake Smith, is not present the cause is continued as to both defendants until next term of the Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
JUNIOR O'GUIN  
CAP WRIGHT

Came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant, Cap Wright, in person and by Attorney, who, being duly arraigned and charged on said indictment pleads guilty. Whereupon it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant pay, or secure a fine of five dollars and the costs of the cause and in the event of his failure to so do he will be taken in custody by the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in jail until same is paid secured or worked out.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
ALLEN WILSON

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys county, Tennessee, to wit: Jim Stringer, Tom Flowers, J. D. Boone, Ham Parks, John Perkins, H. C. Brubel, Cpl. Coleman, Will Etheridge, Tom Tarpey, Herbert Wallace, Geo. Strange, B. N. Dantel, C. E. Dantelger, who, being duly sworn and selected and in charge of their sworn officers D. O. Lee, and Doss Burch, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after herein all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath say that they find the defendant not guilty of the matters in the indictment charged. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant go hence without day.

STATE OF TENNESSEE  
VS.  
THEODORE SPICER

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General for the

state and upon motion of the defendant and sufficient cause shown it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued until next term of the Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

LEONARD FENTRESS

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty to assault to commit murder.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: H. H. Parks, W. L. Etheridge, Tom Flowers, H. C. Bruce, H. C. Daniel, D. N. Wright, J. D. Bone, Tom Tarpy, D. H. Wallace, G. C. Coleman, J. R. Perkins, G. E. Stringer, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law and being in charge of their sworn officers, Doss McCann, and Doss Burch, who, had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of an assault to commit murder and fix his punishment at six months in the county jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be taken in charge by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him confined in the county jail for a period of six months, however, this jail sentence will be suspended during the good behavior of the defendant. It is ordered that the defendant pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

BOYD JOHNSON

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty.

THEREUPON to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: H. H. Parks, W. L. Etheridge, Tom Flowers, H. C. Bruce, H. C. Daniel, D. N. Wright, J. D. Bone, Tom Tarpy, D. H. Wallace, G. C. Coleman, J. R. Perkins, G. E. Stringer, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, Doss McCann, and Doss Burch, who had previously been sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of the larceny of an automobile as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at eleven months and twenty-nine days in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be taken in charge by the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him confined in the county jail at hard labor for a period of time of eleven months and twenty-nine days and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event he fails to pay said costs he will be confined in said jail until same is paid, secured or worked out.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

MACK LASHLEY

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion of the defendant and good cause shown therefore said cause is continued until next term of this Court, and by the Court that for the state of Tennessee lay a fine of ten dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failing to pay of same said he will be confined in the county jail.

CLARENCE ELLISON

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney and upon motion of the defendant and sufficient cause being shown it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued until the next term of the Court, months and twenty-nine days.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

Henry Hale, Jr.

In this case came the Grand Jury in a body and presents to the Court this instrument marked not a true bill. It is therefore adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without pay.

LEM A GIBBS

VS.

DAMAGE

R. B. HUDSON

In this cause came the defendant and attorney when the cause regularly reached on the docket and called and the plaintiff failed to appear and prosecute his suit and being called in open Court in due form of law and he came not, it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said cause be dismissed and the plaintiff, Lem A. Gibbs is ordered to pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

COURT THEN ADJOURNED UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING AT 9:00 o'clock.

JUDGE

COURT MET PERMANENT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING HON. DANY FORT, JUDGE, ETC.  
STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.  
Arthur Baker  
SHELL DAVIS  
Robert Jones

This cause came on to be heard & presented to the Attorney General for the state and the defendants in person and by Attorney upon motion of the defendants and upon the agreement of defendants, Arthur Baker and Shell Davis to plead guilty to petit larceny and take one year each in the penitentiary the cause was continued until next term of the Court. As to the defendant, Robert Jones, said cause was continued with agreement to be nollied.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

R. B. HUDSON

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty to possessing more than one quart of intoxicating liquor.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: H. H. Parks, W. L. Etheridge, Tom Flowers, H. C. Bruce, H. C. Daniel, D. N. Wright, J. D. Bone, Tom Tarp, D. H. Wallace, G. C. Coleman, J. R. Perkins, G. E. Stringer, who, being duly selected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel, the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment and assess and fix his punishment at a fine of one hundred dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant pay a fine of one hundred dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him confined in the county jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that in addition to the above fine the defendant serve thirty days in the jail or work house of Humphreys County in custody of the sheriff of said county.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

MRS. CLEVE WARREN  
(alias Rose Bell Warren)

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty to illegally possessing intoxicating liquor.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: H. H. Parks, W. L. Etheridge, Tom Flowers, H. C. Bruce, H. C. Daniel, D. N. Wright, J. D. Bone, Tom Tarp, D. H. Wallace, G. C. Coleman, J. R. Perkins, G. E. Stringer, who, being elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment and assess and fix her punishment at a fine of one hundred dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of one hundred dollars and that she pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of her failure to pay or secure same she will be taken in custody by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him confined in the County Jail or Work House until same is paid, secured or worked out. It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court

that in addition to the above fine the defendant will be confined in the County Jail or Work House of Humphreys County, Tennessee by the Sheriff of Humphreys County for a period of ninety days, but this ninety day jail sentence and the one hundred dollar fine above imposed is suspended during good behavior of the defendant.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

MRS. IDA STEWART

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: H. C. Bruce, J. W. Moony, John Perkins, J. L. Triplett, H. M. Sykes, D. N. Wright, F. S. Corbett, Tom Flowers, Harbert Wallace, J. D. Bone, G. C. Coleman, Tom Tarp, who, being selected, tried and sworn, and being in charge of their sworn officers, D. B. McCann, and Doss Burch, who had been previously sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of Grand Larceny as charged in the indictment and assess and fix her punishment at three years in the State Penitentiary.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be confined in the State Penitentiary at Nashville, Tennessee, at hard labor for a period of not less than three years nor more than three years and that she pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

JOHNNIE WALKER

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment, pleads guilty.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for this offense of driving an automobile while intoxicated the defendant pay a fine of ten dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody by the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County Jail or Work House until same is paid, secured or worked out.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be confined in the County Jail of Humphreys County for thirty days but this jail sentence is suspended during good behavior and the defendant is deprived of the privilege of driving a motor driven vehicle for a period of five months and twenty-nine days.

THEN COURT ADJOURNED UNTIL TO-MORROW MORNING AT 9:00 o'clock,

JUDGE



COURT THEN MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESIDING AND PRESENT HON. DANCY FORT, JUDGE, ETC.

MILTON CAPPS

VS.

IRENE CAPPS.

In this cause, it duly appearing to the Court, that the defendant, Irene Capps, has been regularly brought before the Court and made a party to the complainant's bill by publication duly made in the Democrat-Sentinel, a News Paper published weekly in Humphreys County, Tennessee, and that said Irene Capps, the defendant, has failed to appear and made defense to the bill filed against her, within the time required by law; it is ordered that complainant's bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

MILTON CAPPS DEGREE OF DIVORCE IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

VS.

IRENE CAPPS AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

This cause came on to be heard on this 22nd day of April, 1938, before Judge Dancy Fort, upon the bill of the complainant, Milton Capps, and the pro confesso heretofore entered against the defendant Irene Capps, and the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open Court.

1

And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from all the proof that the facts charged in complainant's bill are true; that the defendant had wilfully deserted the complainant, without a reasonable or just cause, for more than two whole years before the filing of the bill, as charged.

2

It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony now subsisting between the complainant and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and the complainant be vested with all the right of an unmarried man, and for nothing held.

MRS. ANNA McELROY

VS.

J. R. McELROY

IN CIRCUIT COURT AT  
WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

PROCONFESSO & DECREE

In this cause on motion of the complainant and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant J. R. McElroy, has been regularly brought before the Court by nonresident publication according to law and has failed to appear and make defense to complainant's bill, up to this Friday the last day of the term, it is ordered that as to said defendant, complainant's bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

And the cause was further heard by the Court, upon the bill, the proconfesso, and the oral testimony of witnesses, had in open Court, when it appeared to the Court from all of which, that the defendant is guilty of wilful and malicious desertion, or absence from the complainant without reasonable cause for more than two whole years before the filing of the bill. And that the defendant had abandoned the complainant, turned her out of doors, and refused and neglected to provide for her.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony now subsisting between the complainant and the defendant, be and are dissolved, and for nothing held, and the complainant restored to all the rights and privileges of an unmarried person.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the custody of Eugene McElroy a minor son of said marriage, be and is decreed to the complainant, free

from control or management of the defendant, and that the defendant pay all the costs of this cause. For which execution may issue, the Court so decrees.

DORTHEY CARTER

VS.

ROY E. CARTER

In the Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

In this cause the complainant moved the Court for judgment pro-confesso against the defendant, Roy E. Carter; and it appearing to the Court that said Roy E. Carter is duly in Court, by service of subpoena requiring him to appear and defend on the 3rd Monday in April, 1938, and that he has failed to make any defence to complainant's bill as required by the rules of said Court, it is therefore, ordered by the Court, that said bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex-parte.

And this cause came on to be further and finally heard on this April 21st, 1938, before the Honorable Dancy Fort, Circuit Court Judge, upon the bill of complainant, Dorthy Carter, and the pro-confesso heretofore entered against Roy E. Carter the defendant, and the oral testimony of the witnesses examined in open Court.

And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from all the proof in the record that the facts charged in the bill are true, that the defendant had wilfully deserted the complainant without a reasonable or just cause, and that he had unmercifully beat and otherwise mistreated her, as charged, and that he had failed to provide sufficient clothing and food for her in the manner in which, her custom required, and that complainant is a chaste woman and gave defendant no reasonable or just cause for his said misconduct, and has not condoned the same.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that complainant be vested with all the rights and privileges of a single or unmarried woman; and that her maiden name, Dorthy Ellison, be restored to her.

MAXINE EMERY

VS.

WALTER EMERY

In this cause, it duly appearing to the Court, that the defendant Walter Emery, has been regularly brought before the Court and made a party to the complainant's bill by publication duly made in the Democrat-Sentinel, a weekly News Paper published in Humphreys County, Tennessee, and that the said Walter Emery, defendant, has failed to appear and make defense to said bill, within the time required by law; it is ordered that complainant's bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

MAXINE EMERY

VS.

WALTER EMERY

DEGREE OF DIVORCE IN CIRCUIT COURT

AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE.

This cause came on to be heard on this 22 day of April, 1938, Judge Dancy Fort, Circuit Judge, upon the bill of the complainant, Maxine Emery, and the pro confesso order heretofore entered against the defendant Walter Emery, and the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open Court.

1.

That it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from all of the proof in the case, that the facts charged in the complainant's bill are true; that the defendant has contracted habitual drunkenness after their marriage; that the defendant has failed to provide feed and suitable clothing for the complainant and her infant child, and turned her out of doors.

11

That the defendant is guilty of such cruel and inhuman treatment or conduct toward the complainants as renders cohabitation unsafe and improper to be under the dominion and control of the husband.

111

It also appears to the Court that the complainant is a suitable and proper person to have the custody of her minor child.

1V.

It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony now subsisting between the complainant and defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and the complainant be forever freed from the obligations thereof, and be restored to all the rights and privileges of an unmarried person; that the exclusive custody of Walter Ralph Emery is committed to the complainant Maxine Emery.

Mary Spicer Droney,   
 Vs.   
 Robt. H. Droney

In Circuit Court at  
Waverly, Tennessee

PROCONFESSO AND DECREE

In this cause on motion of the complainant, and it duly appearing to the Court, that the defendant Robt. H. Droney, has been regularly brought before the Court by service of process and copy of bill, and has failed to appear and make defense to complainant's bill, up to this Friday the last day of the terms it is ordered that as to said defendant, complainant's bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

And the cause was for further heard by the Court, upon the bill, the proconfesso, and the oral testimony of witnesses, had in open Court, when it appeared to the Court, that the defendant had deserted the complainant, turned her out of doors, and refused and neglected to provide for her and their child.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony now subsisting between the complainant and defendant be and is perpetually dissolved and for nothing held, and the complainant be and is restored to all the rights and privileges of an unmarried person.

It is further ordered and decreed by the Court, that the complainant have the exclusive custody of her infant child, named Harold Lee Droney, and that this case be retained in Court for any further proceedings relative to the support of said child. That the defendant pay the costs of the cause for which execution may issue.

BESSIE McCloud   
 Vs.   
 Ed McCloud

DIVORCE

This cause came on this the fourth day of the term for hearing and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant Ed McCloud has been regularly served with subpoena to answer the Complainant's bill and that the defendant has failed to appear and make defense to said bill within the time required by law and therein is in default; it is therefore ordered that the Complainant's bill be taken for confessed and this cause set for hearing ex parte.

Thereupon this cause coming on to be further and finally heard upon the bill and the judgement pro confesso, and the testimony of witnesses in open court, whereupon it appears that the Complainant has shown by the necessary preponderance of proof that the defendant has been guilty of such cruel and inhuman treatment and conduct toward the Complainant as renders it unsafe and improper for her to cohabit with him and be under his dominion

and control.

Therefore be it ordered, adjudged and decreed that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the Complainant and Defendant be and are absolutely and perpetually dissolved and that the Complainant be and is forever freed from obligations thereof and restored to all the rights and privileges of an unmarried person, and that her name prior to her marriage, to-wit, Bessie Phillips be and is restored to her, and that the Complainant recover of the Defendant the costs of this cause, for which let execution issue.

DORA ALLEN   
 VS.   
 ESPER ALLEN

In Circuit Court at  
Waverly, Tennessee.

PROCONFESSO & DECREE

In this cause on Motion of the complainant, and it appearing to the Court, that the defendant Esper Allen, has been regularly brought before the Court by service of process and copy of bill, and has failed to appear and make defense thereto up to this Friday, the last of the term, it is ordered that as to the said defendant, complainant's bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

And the cause came on to heard further upon the bill, the proconfesso and the oral testimony of witnesses had in open Court, when it appeared to the Court that the defendant is guilty of wilful and malicious desertion, of the complainant without reasonable cause, and that such desertion has been for more than two whole years before the filing of the bill.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony now subsisting between the complainant and defendant, be and is dissolved and for nothing held, and that the complainant be restored to all the rights and privileges of an unmarried person.

It is further ordered by the Court, that the defendant pay the costs of this cause, for which execution may issue.

VIOLET JAMES   
 VS.   
 EARL D. JAMES

CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

In this cause, it duly appearing to the Court, that the defendant Earl D. James, has been regularly brought before the Court and made a party to the complainant's bill, by publication duly made in the Democrat-Sentinel, a weekly News Paper published in Humphreys County, Tennessee, and that said Earl D. James, has failed to appear and make defense to said bill, within the time required by law; it is ordered that complainant's bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

VIOLET JAMES   
 VS.   
 EARL D. JAMES

DECREE OF DIVORCE IN CIRCUIT COURT  
AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

This cause came on to be heard on this 22 day of April, 1938, before Judge Dancy Fort, upon the bill of the complainant, Violet James, and the pro confesso heretofore entered against the defendant, Earl D. James, and the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open Court.

1.

And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from all the proof that the facts charged in complainant's bill are true; that the defendant had wilfully deserted the complainant, without a reasonable or just cause, for more than two whole years prior to the filing of the bill, as charged.

11.

And it also appeared to the Court that the complainant is a suitable person to have the custody of her infant child Bobby Earl James, who is now 1 year old.

111.

It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony now subsisting between the complainant and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that the complainant be forever freed from the obligations thereof, and be restored to all the rights and privileges of an unmarried person.

1V.

That the exclusive custody of the child \_\_\_\_\_ James, be committed to the complainant.

JAMES T. HALL  
VS.  
GEORGIA HALL

In this cause, it duly appearing to the Court, that the defendant Georgia Hall, has been regularly brought before the Court and made a party to the complainant's bill by Publication duly made in the Democrat-Sentinel, a News Paper published weekly in Humphreys County, Tennessee, and that said Georgia Hall, the defendant, has failed to appear and make defense to said bill, within the time required by law; it is ordered that complainant's bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

JAMES T. HALL  
VS. DECREE OF DIVORCE

GEORGIA HALL IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

This came on to be heard on this 21st day of April, 1938, before the Honorable Dancy Fort, Circuit Judge, upon the bill of the complainant, James T. Hall, and the Pro Confesso order heretofore entered against the defendant Georgia Hall, and the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open Court.

1.

That it satisfactorily appeared to this Court, from all the proof in the case, that the facts charged in the complainant's bill are true; that the defendant had committed adultery with one H. W. Crowell, after her marriage to the complainant, and before the filing of this bill, as charged; that complainant gave her no cause or just excuse for her said conduct, and has not condoned the same.

11.

It is also satisfactory to the Court, that the child Leon Hall, be left in the custody of its Grandfather Ben Franklin, with the understanding that said child is to be permitted to visit its father the complainant and to stay with him a part of the time.

111.

It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony now subsisting between the complainant and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and the complainant be vested with all the rights privileges of an unmarried man, and for nothing held.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

PUBLIC DRUNKNESS

CASIE RICE

MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

PUBLIC DRUNKNESS

ROBERT PEPTLE

MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

P. D. AND DISORDERLY

MOSLEY HOOPER

MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury And that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

PUBLIC DRUNKNESS

GEORGE LUTEN

MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

GAMING

JOHN WALKER

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

ASSAULT AND BATTERY

JOHNNIE SMITH

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

ASSAULT AND BATTERY

DEL HOOPER

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

PUBLIC DRUNKNESS

ARTHER MOORE

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and is unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

GAMING

WILLIE D WHITNEY

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

PUBLIC DRUNKNESS

ALVIN GHOLSTON

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

PUBLIC DRUNKNESS

VERNON SHELTON

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

ASSAULT AND BATTERY

FRANK HOGAN

## MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.



## SHERIFF'S BOARD BILL

STATE OF TENNESSEE Vs. Jim Burgess, murder Dec. 22, 1937 to Jan. 17, 1938 27 days  
 at 75¢ per day \$20.25, two turnkeys \$2.00 ..... \$22.25  
 State of Tennessee Vs. Ida Stewart, Grand Larceny Apr. 18, 1938 to Apr. 22, 1938  
 5 days at 75¢ per day \$3.75 ..... 3.75  
 State of Tennessee Vs. Johnnie Walker, Larceny Apr. 19, 1938 to Apr. 22, 1938  
 4 days at 75¢ per day ..... 3.00  
 State of Tennessee Vs. Homer Moody, Larceny Apr. 19, 1938 to Apr. 22, 1938  
 4 days at 75¢ per day ..... 3.00  
 State of Tennessee Vs. Willie B. Whitney, Larceny Apr. 19, 1938 to Apr. 22, 1938  
 4 days at 75¢ per day ..... 3.00

Court then adjourned until Court in Course.

*Dancy Fort*  
*John*

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. DANCY FORT, JUDGE ETC.

## CAPTION

State of Tennessee  
 Humphreys County

Be it remembered that at a Circuit Court was opened and held in and for the County of Humphreys at the Court House in the town of Waverly, Tennessee, on the 8th day of August it being the second Monday of said month, and the One Thousand Nine Hundred and Thirty Eight year of our Lord, and the One Hundred and Sixty third year of American Independence. Present and presiding the Hon. Dancy Fort, Judge of the ninth Judicial district of the State of Tennessee.

Court was opened in due form of law by J. S. Westbrook, Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee and by him was returned into open Court a writ of *Vanire Facias*, showing that the following named persons were appointed by the Court at its July term 1938, to appear and to serve as jurors at the present term of this Court, to wit: W. Roy Lockhart, Kile Smith, Enlo Turner, Landy Petty, Elvis Cullum, Bob Harrison, Walter Anderson, H. L. Rogers, J. Frank Daniel, Monroe Holland, Ode Pinkston, Geo. LaFavor, J. B. King, R. D. Bruce, Elmo Pickard, Jim Hightower, D. C. Bolthrop, J. J. Robertson, W. H. May, Doss Little, Neal Weatherspoon, F. A. Marrs, Bill Owens and Wood Owens.

And it appearing to the Court that the above named parties were regularly summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and that all of said parties so summoned appeared and answered said summon.

And out of said jurors so summoned the following were selected, as required by law, as Grand Jurymen, W. H. May, S. W. Owens, D. J. Little, W. T. Owens, R. L. Petty, E. C. Picard, F. A. Marrs, H. E. Turner, J. B. King, J. J. Robertson, D. C. Bolthrop, J. P. Hightower, and R. H. McKeel having been appointed Foreman of the Grand Jury at a former term of this Court the said Grand Jury is in all things as the law directs, having been duly selected, tried, sworn, and charged by the Court according to law, retired to their room in charge of R. P. Ingram, Constable of Humphreys County, sworn according to law, to attend them in considering indictments and presentments.

And out of the remaining number of the jurors so summoned, the following were excused from jury services, by the Court, to wit: W. Roy Lockhart, H. L. Rogers, Jim Frank Daniel, and Neal Weatherspoon. The following named persons were summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and qualified as regular jurors in the stead of the above named excused jurors, to wit: W. R. Warden, Floyd Hand, H. L. Patrick, J. H. Pearl, H. M. Vaden, J. W. Tubb, and C. B. McMillen.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Gaming

Jurnior O'Guin

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the Defendant in person and pleads guilty as charged, where upon the Court assesses the penalty and says he shall pay a fine of \$5.00 together with all the cost of this Cause, for which let execution issue. In the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the County Jail or work house until he pay, secure, or work out all of said fine and costs.

Court then adjourned until to-morrow morning at 9:00 o'clock.

*Dancy Fort*  
*John*

COURT THEN MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING HON. DANCY FORT, JUDGE. ETC.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Forfeiture

W. A. Franklin  
G. B. Franklin  
Lee Crowell, E. L. Gray

Came the Defendants in their own proper person, and by Attorney and the Attorney General for the State, when this cause came on to be and was heard by the Court upon Scire Facias, return of the Sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendant, and motion of the defendants to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the Court after hearing and fully understanding the same is pleased, and does set said forfeiture entered at the April term of this Court 1938 aside, and adjudges the cost of the forfeiture against the defendant, ~~W. A. Franklin~~, and his securities namely W. A. Franklin, G. B. Franklin, Lee Crowell, E. L. Gray.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the forfeiture taken and entered against defendant, and his securities being the same set aside at the defendants costs, and that the state of Tennessee recover of the defendant, and his sureties all the cost accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeiture, for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B. D.

W. A. Franklin

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: W. Anderson, E. W. Cullum, R. D. Bruce, R. W. Harrison, Oda Pinkston, G. V. Laffavor, W. M. Holland, K. R. Smith, W. R. Warden, Floyd Hand, H. L. Packrick, J. H. Peal, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his fine at the sum of one hundred dollars. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

In the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he shall be confined in the County Jail or Work House until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and cost.

State of Tennessee

Vs.  
Dap Raney

Permitting Minors to play pool

In this cause came the Attorney General for State and the Defendant in person and by Attorney when upon motion of the Attorney for the Defendant the Court sees fit to nolle said suit upon payment of cost it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Defendant pay or secure said cost in this case for which let execution issue. And in the event of his failure to pay all of said cost he shall be confined in the County Jail or work house until he pay or secure all of said cost.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Carrying Concealed Weapons

Mack Lashlee

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State, and the Defendant in person pleads guilty as charged in the indictment. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee to wit: W. Anderson, E. W. Cullum, R. D. Bruce, R. W. Harrison, Oda Pinkston, G. V. Laffavor, W. M. Holland, K. R. Smith, W. R. Warden, Floyd Hand, H. L. Packrick, J. H. Peal, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law after hearing all the proof arguments of the Counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and fix his punishment at Fifty Dollars (\$50.00) and the cost. It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the Defendant pay a fine of (\$50.00) Fifty Dollars together with all the cost of this cause of which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay said fine and cost he shall be confined in the County Jail or workhouse until he pay, secure, or work out all of said fine and cost.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Trespassing and Removing Timber

Ernest Phillips  
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

In this case comes the Attorney General, for the State and the defendant in person, and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a Jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: W. Anderson, E. W. Cullum, R. D. Bruce, R. W. Harrison, Oda Pinkston, G. V. Laffavor, W. M. Holland, K. R. Smith, W. R. Warden, Floyd Hand, H. L. Packrick, J. H. Peal, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his punishment Fifty Dollar Fine (\$50.00) and ninety days in jail. It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that for the offense found by the Jury the defendant pay or secure fine of Fifty Dollars (\$50.00) and shall serve a term of ninety days in the County Jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee and pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay, or secure said fine and cost he shall be confined in the County Jail or the Work House until he pay, secure, or Work out all of said fine and cost for which let execution issue. It is further ordered by the Court that the Fifty Dollar Fine also the Jail Sentence be suspended.