

State of Tennessee 0
VS Public Drunkenness
Britton Curtis MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
ALVIN GHOLSTON MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
PICKLE WIGGINS MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
FLOYD TIBBS MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. DANCY FORT, JUDGE, ETC.
CAPTION
State of Tennessee
Humphreys County

Be it remembered that a Circuit Court was opened and held in and for the County of Humphreys at the Court House in the town of Waverly, Tennessee on the 17th day of Apr. it being the third Monday of said month, and the One Thousand Nine Hundred and Thirty Ninth year of our Lord, and One Hundred and Sixty-fourth year of American Independence. Present and presiding the Hon. Dancy Fort, Judge of the ninth Judicial District of the State of Tennessee.

Court was opened in due form of law by Frank James, Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee and by him was returned into open Court a Writ of Vanire Facias, showing that the following named persons were appointed by the County Court at the December Term 1938, to appear and to serve as jurors at the present term of this Court, to wit: Doss Burch, L. J. Parker, Noah Hooper, Pete Warden, E. D. Hooper, Lloyd Johnson, Coleman Grice, John Fields, Horace Gatlin, W. L. White, Will A. Russel, Arthur Jarred, Billy Little, George Stringer, Phillip Legan, Sam Harbison, W. H. May, Vester Spann, J. H. Jones, Tom Elliot, H. C. Hooper, G. C. Bone, W. F. Larkins, Henry Rochelle, A. D. Bowen. And it appearing to the Court that the above named parties were regularly summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and that all of said parties so summoned appeared and answered said summon.

And out of said jurors so summoned the following were selected, as required by law, as Grand Jurymen, E. D. Hooper, Coleman Grice, Pete Warden, W. F. Larkins, Arthur Jarred, John Fields, Vester Spann, Billy Little, Horace Gatlin, J. H. Jones, Phillips Legan, Will Russel, and H. H. McKeel having been appointed Foreman of the Grand Jury at a former term of this Court the said Grand Jury is in all things as the law directs, having been duly selected, tried, sworn, and charged by the Court according to law, retired to their room in charge of Chesley Vaden, Constable of Humphreys County, sworn according to law, to attend them in considering indictments and presentments.

And out of the remaining number of the jurors so summoned, the following were excused from jury services, by the Court, to wit: Henry Rochelle, A. D. Bowen, G. C. Bone, H. C. Hooper, Tom Elliot, W. H. May, Sam Harbison, George Stringer, W. L. White, Lloyd Johnson. The following named persons were summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and qualified as regular jurors in the stead of the above named excused jurors, to wit: Monroe Gossett, W. W. Long, J. F. Daniel, J. M. Madden, W. T. Patterson, M. H. Daniel, Clarence Gwinn, Samuel L. Jarred, and John Bigham, Orson Fields, W. C. Davis, Dan Luff, and V. B. Hand.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Public Drunkenness

Britton Curtis

MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

ALVIN GHOLSTON

MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

PICKLE WIGGINS

MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

FLOYD TIBBS

MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

MOTION TO RETAX COST

HAFFORD BOYDREX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

DRIVING WITHOUT DRIVERS LICENSE

MOTION TO RETAX COST

LICEL POLK

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

MOTION TO RETAX COST

INA PARROT

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

MOTION TO RETAX COST

JOHN CARNEY

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

DRUNKENNESS AND PROFANE AND OBUSIVE LANGUAGE

MOTION TO RETAX COST

WILLIE B. ROLSTON

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE | DRUNKENNESS AND ASSAULT AND BATTERY
VS | MOTION TO RETAX COST
PETE HICKS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE | PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
VS | MOTION TO RETAX COST
CARMAK MURRY

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE | DRUNKENNESS AND PROFANE AND OBUSIVE LANGUAGE
VS | MOTION TO RETAX COST
DELL HOGAN

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE | PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
VS | MOTION TO RETAX COST
SCOTT SHANKS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

DRUNKENNESS & DISTURBING THE PEACE

MELVIN HICKS

MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for the Payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE | HUNTING WITHOUT HUNTING LICENSE
VS | MOTION TO RETAX COST
BUB CROWELL

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE | PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
VS | MOTION TO RETAX COST
WILLIE VALENTINE

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE | PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
VS | MOTION TO RETAX COST
GEORGE HIGGINS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS.
AUTHER BAKER

PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS.
OCE CRAFT

PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS.
JESSE M. BONE

PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
MOTION TO RETAX COST

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

Court Then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Dancy Tol Judge

COURT THEN MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING HON. DANCY TOL JUDGE, ETC.
STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS.

CLARENCE ELLISON

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court when upon motion of the defendant and upon sufficient cause being shown it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said cause be continued until next term of the Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

FORFEITURE

PORTER HEAD

In this case came the Attorney General, pro tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court, that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of public drunkenness and the said defendant, was arrested and entered into bond with Henry Beazley, as his surety, which bond is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County we agree to pay to the State of Tennessee Two Hundred Fifty & 00/100 Dollars, unless the said Porter Head appear at the next term of Circuit Court of Humphreys County, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Taverly, on the 2nd Monday in Aug. 1939, on Tuesday of said term, to answer the State of Tennessee for the offense of public drunkenness and do not depart the Court without leave.

Approved:

Porter Head, Principal
Henry Beazley, Surety

-----sheriff.
This-----day of -----1939

And the defendant Porter Head being solemnly called to come into court, and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of public drunkenness, came not but made default and the said Henry Beazley also called to come into court and bring with them the body of the said Porter Head according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds, came not but made default, neither came the defendant Porter Head, nor his said surety but made default.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendants Porter Head and Henry Beazley for their said default do forfeit and pay to the State of Tennessee, the said sum of Two Hundred Fifty & 00/100 Dollars, according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds, and it is further ordered by the Court that Sci Fa. be issued for the defendant and his said surety requiring them to appear at the next term of this court, and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final.

Any further that Alias be issued for the defendant.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

WIFE DESERTION

CARROLL HEDGE

This cause coming on to be heard and it appearing to the Court that the defendant has not been apprehended and upon motion of the Attorney General it is ordered that an alias capias issue as to said defendant.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

GRADY GUTHERIE

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: Orson Fields, L. J. Parker, Noah Hooper, Monroe Gossett,

-Cont-

W. W. Long, J. P. Daniel, J. N. Madden, W. F. Patterson, N. M. Daniel, Clarence Gwinn, Samuel Jarred, and John Bingham, who, being duly sworn and selected after hearing all their views, the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath say that they find the defendant not guilty of the matters in the indictment charged. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant go hence without day.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS.
HOME COLEMAN

DRIVING DRUNK

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court when upon motion of the Attorney and due to the illness of the defendant it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said cause be continued until next term of the Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS.
LEM DAWSON

DRIVING DRUNK

In this cause, comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant, Lem Dawson, in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment, pleads guilty.

It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense of ~~driving drunk~~, the defendant, Lem Dawson, pay or secure a fine of Twenty-five Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of this failure to pay or secure same, he will be taken in custody by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him confined in the County Jail of said County until same is paid, secured or worked out.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS.
ROY HUMPHREY

DRIVING DRUNK

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion of the Attorney General for the state it is ordered by the Court that a nolle prosequi be entered in this cause.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS.
LEON RUNIONS
MARVIN SAUNDERS

FORFEITURE

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court that the defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of assault and battery, and the said defendant, was arrested and entered into bond with Frank Ingram T. H. Knight and Jack Saunders as his sureties, which bond is in the words and figures as follows to wit:

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, We, Leon Runions, R. F. Ingram, T. H. Knight, and Jack Saunders, agree to pay the State of Tennessee Two Hundred Fifty Dollars, unless the said ----- appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of said County, and from term to term until the case is finally disposed of, to answer for the offense of assault and battery. Leon Runions and does not depart the Court without leave. Witness our hands this the 23rd day of Dec. 1931.

Approved.

Leon Runions
R. F. Ingram
T. H. Knight
Jack Saunders

-Cont-

And the defendant Leon Runions, being solemnly called to come into court and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of assault and battery, came not but made default and the said Frank Ingram, T. H. Knight, and Jack Saunders also called to come into court and bring with them the body of the said Leon Runions, according to the tenor and effect of their said bond, came not but made default, neither came the defendant Charlie McGruder, nor his sureties Frank Ingram, T. H. Knight, and Jack Saunders but made default.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant Leon Runions, Frank Ingram, T. H. Knight, and Jack Saunders, for their said default do forfeit and pay to the State of Tennessee the said sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars, according to the tenor of their said bond. And it is further ordered by the court that Sci Fa be issued for the defendant, and his said sureties requiring them to appear the next term of this court, and show cause if any they have, why this judgment should not be made final.

And further that Alias be issued for both defendants.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS.
CLINT McCandless

Petit Larceny

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion made by the Attorney General it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that a nolle prosequi be entered in this case as to the defendant upon his payment of the cost in this cause.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS.
B. W. INGRAM

ASSAULT AND BATTERY

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion by the defendant it is ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court, that said case be continued until next term.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS.
MALCOMB DOWDEN

DRIVING DRUNK

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion of the State due to the absent of Allen Burns it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that this case be continued until term.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS.
JAMES COLLIER

LARCENY

This cause coming on to heard and it appearing to the Court that the defendant has not been apprehended and upon motion of the Attorney General it is ordered that an alias capias issue as to said defendant.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS.
JOHN JONES

DRUNKNESS

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion of the State due to the absent of Allen Burns it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that this case be continued until term.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS AGE OF CONSENT
LLOYD MATLOCK

This cause coming on to be heard and it appearing to the Court that the defendant has not been apprehended and upon motion of the Attorney General it is ordered that an alias capias issue as to said defendant.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS DRIVING DRUNK
ROY BERRYMAN

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion of the Attorney General for the state it is ordered by the Court that a nolle prosequi be entered in this cause.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS LARCENY
ORVILLE JARRETT
JOHN MURRY

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion made by the Attorney General it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that a nolle prosequi be entered in this case as to the defendant and upon his payment of the cost in this cause.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS BREAKING JAIL
JOE BUDDY STANON

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion made by the Attorney General it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that a nolle prosequi be entered in this case as to the defendant and upon this payment of the cost in this cause.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS DRIVING DRUNK
WID BRISENTINE

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General for the State, the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion made by the defendant and his statement made in open Court that he intends to plead guilty at the next term of Court, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said case be continued until next term of this Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS DRIVING DRUNK
CLYDE BERRYMAN

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion of the Attorney General for the state it is ordered by the Court that a nolle prosequi be entered in this cause.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS DRIVING DRUNK
JAMES JOHNSON

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on charge on said presentment, pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues came joined a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: Doss Burch, L. J. Parker, Noah Hooper, Monroe Gossett, W. W.

Long, J. F. Daniel, J. M. Madden, W. T. Patterson, N. M. Daniel, Clarence Gwinn, Samuel L. Jarred, John Bigham, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn as ording to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile on the Highways of the State and County named in the presentment while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury that the defendant pay or secure a fine of Ten Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until same is paid, secured, or worked out. It is further ordered by the Court that the defendant serve thirty days in the jail in Humphreys County but this sentence is suspended during good behavior. It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be prohibited from driving a motor driven vehicle in this state for a period of six months. And further that this be suspended.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS Malicious Mischief
RAYMOND TOLLEY

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS ASSAULT TO COMMIT MURDER
THOMAS FOSTER

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
CASEY BONE

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS FIRING WEAPONS
BUB CROWELL

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS ASSAULT WITH THE INTENT TO MURDER
ALLEN BAKER

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

COURT THEN ADJOURNED UNTIL TO-MORROW MORNING AT 9:00 O'CLOCK.

Judge *Daniel*

COURT THEN MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING HON. DANCY PORT, JUDGE, ETC.
STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS AGE OF CONSENT
ROBERT DRONEY

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued until the next term of the Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS LARCENY
ARTHUR BAKER
SHELT DAVIS
ROBERT JONES

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney and upon motion of the attorney due to the illness of Judge Morris it is ordered, judged, and decreed by the Court that this cause be continued until the next term of the Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS FOWLETHIME
ROBERT JONES

In this case came the Attorney General, ~~Pro Tem~~ for the State and it appearing to the Court, that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of larceny and the said defendant, was arrested and entered into bond with W. M. Cathey and Geo. Thompson, as his sureties, which bond is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County We..... agree, to pay to the State of Tennessee Two Hundred Fifty & 00/100 Dollars, unless the said Robert Jones appear at the next term of Circuit Court of Humphreys County, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Saverly, on the 2nd Monday in Aug. 1939, on Wednesday of said term, to answer the State of Tennessee for the offense of larceny and do not depart the Court without leave.

Approved: Robert Jones, Principal
W. M. Cathey, surety
Geo. Thompson, surety
..... Sheriff.

This..... day of..... 1939

And the defendant Robert Jones being solemnly called to come into court, and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of larceny, came not but made default and the said W. M. Cathey, and Geo. Thompson also called to come into court and bring with them the body of the said Robert Jones according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds, came not but made default, neither came the defendant Robert Jones, nor his said sureties by made default.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendants Robert Jones, and W. M. Cathey and Geo. Thompson for their said default do forfeit and pay to the State of Tennessee, the said sum of Two Hundred Fifty & 00/100 Dollars, according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds.

And it is further ordered by the Court that Soi Pa. be issued for the defendant and his said sureties requiring them to appear at the next term of this court, and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS LARCENY
BILL LANE

In this case the Attorney General, ~~Pro Tem~~ for the State, and the defendant in person and by attorney, upon consent of both attorneys for the state and the defendant the case is continued until next term of this court, it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
ELMER O'QUIN

In this case came the Attorney General, ~~Pro Tem~~, for the State and the defendant in person who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Doss Burch, L. J. Parker, Noah Hooper, Monroe Gossett, W. W. Long, J. F. Daniel, J. M. Madden, W. T. Patterson, M. N. Daniel, Clarence Gwinn, Samuel L. Jarred, John Bigham, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at a fine of (\$5.00) Five Dollars. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of Five Dollars and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. In the event of the failure to pay or secure the fine and costs imposed in this case the defendant will be taken into custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and by him confined in the County Jail or Workhouse until the same is paid, secured or worked out.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS LARCENY
BUS RUNIONS

In this cause comes the Attorney General, ~~Pro Tem~~, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty to larceny.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tennessee, to wit: Doss Burch, L. J. Parker, Noah Hooper, Woodroe Gossett, W. W. Long, J. F. Daniel, J. M. Madden, W. T. Patterson, M. N. Daniel, Clarence Gwinn, Samuel L. Jarred, John Bingham, who, being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty of larceny as charged in the indictment and assess his punishment at sixty days in County Jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the County Jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee, for a period of time of Sixty days and pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and that defendant be rendered infamous.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS MAKING LIQUOR
WILL BURGESS
HARRIS BRADLEY

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued until the next term of the Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

PROMOTING GAMING

JOE TRAYLOR

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued until the next term of the Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

BONE DRY

WADELL LUCAS, JR.

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued until the next term of the Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

CARRYING WEAPON

JOE THOMPSON

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued until the next term of the Court.

COURT THEN ADJOURNED UNTIL TO-MORROW MORNING AT 9:00

Daney Probst
Judge

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

LARCENY

HAROLD WEATHERSPOON

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant, Harold Weatherspoon, in person and Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty to petit larceny as charged in the indictment.

Thereupon to try the issues joined in this cause comes a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: Doss Burch, L. J. Parker, Noah Hooper, Monroe Gossatt, W. W. Long, J. F. Daniel, J. M. Madden, W. T. Patterson, H. M. Daniel, Clarence Gwinn, Samuel L. Jarred, John Bigham, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon its oath said jury says the defendant Harold Weatherspoon, is guilty of petit larceny as charged in said indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Ten Dollars and the cost.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and fined by him at Ten Dollars and the cost of this cause and in the event he fails to pay or secure the fine and cost in this cause the defendant will be taken in charge by the sheriff of Humphreys county and confined in the county jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

LARCENY

ROY (MOONEY) INGRAM

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney and upon motion of the State due to the absence of Richard Parrott it is ordered, judged, and decreed by the Court that this cause be continued until the next term of the Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

LARCENY

HAROLD STEWART

In this cause comes the Attorney General, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, eing duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty to larceny.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tennessee, to wit: A. J. Curtis, J. F. Daniel, J. F. Daniel, J. M. Madden, Franklin Gibbons, Joe Carroll, Bob May, Spencer Quarells, K. R. Smith, Sanford Forrest, H. M. Daniel, Cliff Hopper, John Bigham, who, being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty of larceny as charged in the indictment and assess his punishment at ninety days in County Jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the County Jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee, for a period of time of time of Ninety days and pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and that defendant be rendered infamous. It is further ordered that this sentence be suspended during good behavior. Thence came into open Court the defendant Harold Stewart and paid to the Clerk all of said cost.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

PROMOTING GAMING

DAF RANEY

In this cause comes the Attorney General, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty to promoting gaming.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense that the defendant paid a fine of Five Dollars and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. And in event of his failure to do so he will taken in the custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee and be confined in the County Jail or Work House until same is paid, secured or worked out.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

ASSAULT AND BATTERY

FLOYD TIFPS

In this cause comes the Attorney General, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty to assault and battery.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense that the defendant paid a fine of Ten Dollars and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. And in event of his failure to do so he will be taken in the custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee and be confined in the County Jail or Work House until same is paid, secured or worked out.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

ASSAULT TO POINT FIREARM

ARTHUR BAKER

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued until the next term of the Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

DRIVING DRUNK

B. F. HASKINS

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

JESSIE BONE

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

CASEY BONE

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

LARCENY

JUNIOR O'GUIN

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence with^{out} day.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

B. D.

ROSA NELL WARREN

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence with^{out} day.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

B. D.

MARVIN LASHLY

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

OPERATING RESTAURANT WITHOUT LICENSE

MARVIN LASHLY

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General for the State, the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion made by the defendant and his statement made in open Court that he intends to plead guilty at the next term of Court, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said case be continued until next term of this Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

SELLING BEAR WITHOUT LICENSE

MARVIN LASHLY

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General for the State, the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion made by the defendant and his statement made in open Court that he intends to plead guilty at the next term of Court, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said case be continued until next term of this Court.

COURT THEN ADJOURNED UNTIL TO-MORROW MORNING AT 9:00 o'clock.

Judge

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND, PRESIDING HON. DANCY FORT, JUDGE, ETC.
This day the Grand Jury came into open Court in a body and presents the following indictments and presents.

One against Melvin Hicks, Carrying pistol, Subpoena for the State, J. F. Gibbons, Mrs. J. F. Gibbons.

One against Florence Killman, Assault with the intent to commit murder in the first degree, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit:
State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A. D. 1939. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Florence Killman of said County, heretofore, to wit, on the _____ day of October 1938 with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and maliciously, did make an assault upon the body of one Lillian Ingram with a certain _____ with the unlawful and felonious intent, then and there, her, the said Lillian Ingram unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, elibetately, preliberately, premeditatedly, and of her malice a forethought, to kill and upon her to commit the crime and felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.

W. C. Howell, Attorney-General.

April Term, 1939, State of Tennessee Vs. Florence Killman, Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree, Lillian Ingram, Prosecutrix, Subpoena for the state: Lillian Ingram, Mary Louise Ingram, Ophelia Bramlett, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, April, Term, 1939.

R. H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.
W. C. Howell, Attorney-General.

A True Bill, R. H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against James Johnson, Drunken Drunk, Subpoena for the State, Trabus Lewis, Frank James.

One against Arthur Baker, Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit:
State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A. D. 1939. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Arthur Baker of said County, heretofore, to wit, on the 13 day of February, 1939 with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and maliciously, did make an assault upon the body of one Harvey Haley with a certain knife with the unlawful and felonious intent, then and there, him, the said Harvey Haley unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice a forethought, to kill and upon him to commit the crime and felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.

W. C. Howell, Attorney-General.

April Term, 1939, The State Vs. Arthur Baker, Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree. Roy Haley, Prosecutor. Subpoena for the State: Carter Simpson, Jack Clemons, Earl Fields, John Ash, Dr. Capps. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, April Term, 1939.

R. H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

W. C. Howell, Attorney-General.

A True Bill, R. H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Bub Crowell, Carrying a Pistol, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit:

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of the Circuit Court, A. D. 1939. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the county of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Bub Crowell heretofore, to wit, on the _____ day of January, 1939, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully carried a pistol, the same not being an army or navy pistol carried openly in the hand, to the evil example of all others in like case offending, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.

W. C. Howell, Attorney-General

April Term, 1939, The State Vs. Bub Crowell, Carrying a Pistol, Subpoena for the State: Snips Fowlks, Mrs. Tom Colley, Roy Bumpus, Dick Fowlks, George Luton. W. C. Howell, Attorney-General. A True Bill, R. H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W. A. Russell, W. F. Larkins, Horace Atlin, E. D. Hooper, L. A. Warred, L. K. Fields, Pete Warden, Phil Logan, L. H. Jones, Vistus Spann, Coleman Price, W. T. Little.

One against Joe Thompson, carrying knucks, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit:

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of the Circuit Court, A. D. 1939. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the county of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Joe Thompson heretofore, to wit, on the 24 day of December, 1938, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully barried brass knucks, to the evil example of all others in like case offending, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.

W. C. Howell, Attorney-General

April Term, 1939, The state Vs Joe Thompson, carrying knucks, Subpoena for the State: David Eney, D. O. Lee, Frank James. W. C. Howell, Attorney-General.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

CARRYING PISTOL

WALTER E. CROWELL

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General for the State, the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion made by the defendant and his statement made in open Court that he intends to plead guilty at the next term of Court, and take a fine of Fifty Dollars and cost, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said case be continued until next term of this Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

FORGERY

ROSA NELL WARREN

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued until the next term of the Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

FORGERY

ROSA NELL WARREN

In this cause comes the Attorney General, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty to forgery.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: W. C. Davis, L. J. Parker, W. T. Patterson, Monroe Gossett,

Orsan Fields, W. W. Long, John Corbett, Clarence Gwinn, Dan Luff, Samuel L. Jarred, Lee Breeden, having ^{been duly called, tried, and sworn according to law} returned into open Court in charge of their sworn officers, Joe Traylor and D. O. Lee, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty of passing forged instrument and assess her punishment at one year and a day in the penitentiary at Nashville. ^{State} Tennessee.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the penitentiary at Nashville, Tennessee, for a period of time of One year and day and pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and that defendant be rendered infamous. ^{State} It is further ordered that her motion for a new trial be over ruled and that she be given sixty days to file motion for appeal.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

FORGERY

ROSA NELL WARREN

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and defendant in person and by Attorney who being duly charged arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty to forgery. Thereupon to try issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee: Ezra Joshlin, R. J. Jones, Melvin Balthrop, Guy Warren, J. E. Wright, Edward Luther, W. W. White, W. F. Kilgore, Hubert Hedre, Claude Jones, J. A. Ratchford, J. M. Holland, having ^{been duly called, tried, and sworn according to law} returned into open Court in charge of their sworn officers, Walter Anderson and Jim Peeler, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty of passing forged instrument and assess her punishment one year and a day ^{consecutively to the same offense} in the penitentiary at Nashville. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the penitentiary at Nashville, Tennessee, for a period of time of One year and day and pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and that defendant be rendered infamous. ^{as this sentence is given consecutively with one half year in the penitentiary} It is further ordered that her motion for a new trial be over ruled and that she be given sixty day to file motion for appeal.

This day the Grand Jury came into open Court in a body and presents the following indictments and presents.

Against Marvin Lashlee, Violating Privilege License, subpoena for the state, Frank Wells, D. O. Lee, Frank James, J. C. Thomas.

One against Marvin Lashlee, Violating Beer Tax, subpoena for the state, J. C. Thomas, D. O. Lee, Frank James.

One against Waddell Lucas, Jr., B. D. Subpoena for the state, Frank James, David Edney.

THE UNION BANK

VS

IN CIRCUIT COURT AT

MAVERLY, TENNESSEE:

C. C. JONES, PRINCIPAL
W. D. PATTERSON, SECURITY

CONDEMNATION

NOTE

\$115.00

McEwen, Tennessee
July 20, 1936.

90 days after date we are either of us promise to pay to the order of THE UNION BANK

ONE HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS, for value received, payable at the Union Bank, McEwen, Tennessee.

Both maker and indorsers of this note severally and jointly waive demand, notice of non-payment and protest, in the event suit is brought upon this note, we both maker and indorsers, agree to pay a 10 per cent attorney's fee, to be included in the judgment rendered for collection of the same; and we, and each of us, both maker and indorsers, hereby authorize N. L. Williams, W. L. Cule or Dan McCord, Jr. at any time after the above note becomes due, to go before any Court of record, or any Justice of the Peace having Jurisdiction thereof in the State of Tennessee, and confess judgment thereof against us in favor of the Union Bank, McEwen, Tennessee, or its assigns, for said amount with interest and cost, and 10 per cent attorney's fee, in accordance with the provisions of Section 4705, 4706 and 4707 Code of Tennessee, Shannon's Edition, 1936. No. 3095 Due Oct. 20th 1937.

C. C. Jones
W. D. Patterson, Sec.

Magistrate's Warrant.

STATE OF TENNESSEE, HUMPHREYS COUNTY.

To any lawful officer within said County:

You are hereby commanded to summon C. C. Jones and W. D. Patterson, to personally appear before me, or some other acting Justice of the Peace for said County, to answer the complaint of The Union Bank, in a plea of debt due by note under \$150.00

Given under my hand and seal, this 18th day of Dec. 1937.

Jno. W. Knight (seal)
Justice of the Peace.

The back of the Warrant.
Magistrate's Warrant.
The Union Bank, Plaintiff.
Vs
C. C. Jones
W. D. Patterson, Defendants

Issued 16, day of Dec. 1937, Jno. W. Knight J. P. Came to hand the same day issued, and executed by reading the within warrant to C. C. Jones and W. D. Patterson, and citing them to appear before Jno. W. Knight Esq., for trial the 22 day of Dec. 1937, at 1 o'clock P. M.

L. H. Minstead, D. S.

JUDGMENT

THE UNION BANK vs C. C. Jones Pr. W. D. Patterson Sec. In this cause I render judgment for the plaintiff and against the defendants for \$116.16 Dollars and all cost of suit, for which execution may issue. This 22 day of December 1937.

Jno. W. Knight, Justice of the Peace.

Magistrate's Execution.

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County.

To any lawful officer to execute and return:

You are hereby commanded, that of the goods and chattels, lands and tenements of C. C. Jones, Principal, W. D. Patterson Security, you cause to be made the sum of One Hundred Sixteen & 15/100. \$116.15/100. Dollars and \$5.22/100 int- & \$.50/100 cost-- cents to satisfy a judgment which obtained before Jno. W. Knight, Justice of the Peace, on the 22 sec day of December 1937, against the said C. C. Jones Principal. & W. D. Patterson Security., and such moneys, when collected, pay to the said Union Bank.

Given under my hand and seal, this 4th day of October 1938.

Joe C. King (seal)
Justice of the Peace.

The back of the Execution.
Magistrate's Execution
Jno. W. Knight's Pocket.

Union Bank Vs C. C. Jones,
Principal and W. D. Patterson

Security, Defendants. Judgment 22 day of December 1937. Issued 4th day of October 1938. Joe C. King, J. P. Judgment \$116.22, officer's fee \$2.00 Justice's fee \$2.50 interest \$5.22

LEVY:

I have made search and inquires for personal property to satisfy the within execution issued on a judgment on Jno. W. Knight docket styled Union Bank vs. C. C. Jones principal W. D. Patterson Security and finding no personal property belonging to the said C. C. Jones. I have levied on the undivided interest of C. C. Jones in the estate of A. J. Jones, heirs.

Bounded as follows: North by alley, South by street, east by street; west by alley being one house and lot in the Town of Menden, Tennessee, to satisfy said judgment, this 7th day of October 1938.

Frank James Sheriff.

DECKET No 37, dated 12/22/37

UNION BANK vs C. C. Jones, Principal
& W. D. Patterson Security.

JUDGMENT for plaintiff for \$116.13 Dollars, and all cost of the case, and against the defendants for which execution may issue.

Jno. W. Knight, J. P.

Returning Officer L. E. Winstead, F.F.B. Frank James

And on motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the lands so levied upon, as the undivided interest of C. C. Jones, he and the same are hereby condemned, and be sold by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, or other lawful officer in said County, subject to whatever interest the widow Anna Jones, may have as such widow or in the estate A. J. Jones, deceased, for cash, advertising the same according to law, to satisfy said judgment and all costs of the cause.

MINNIE BEARS

VS

JOHN BEARS

IN CIRCUIT COURT AT
MAVERLY, TENNESSEE.

PROCONFESSOR & DECK E.

On this case on motion of the petitioner, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant John M. Bears, has been regularly brought before the Court, by proper non-resident publication as required by law, and up to this, Friday the last day of the Term of Court, has failed to appear and make defense to the petition of the complainant, within the time required by law; it is ordered that as to the defendant, the complainants petition be taken as confessed, and the case set for hearing ex parte.

And the case coming on to be further heard, upon the complainants petition, the proconfesso taken, the oral testimony of witnesses had in open Court, and the whole record in the cause, and it appearing to the Court, that the defendant has abandoned the complainant, turned her out of doors, and refused and neglected to provide for her, that the defendant is guilty of wilful and malicious desertion of the complainant and her children, without reasonable cause for more than two whole years before the filing of the her petition.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony now subsisting between the complainant and defendant be and is forever dissolved, the complainant restored to all the rights and privileges of an unmarried person.

It is further ordered by the Court, that the complainant, have the exclusive control and custody of the two children of the complainant and defendant, a girl named Marian, about 18 months of age, and Jno a boy about 5 years of age, and that the defendant be and is required to pay into this Court, each month hereafter, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars (\$25.00) per month, for the support of said two children, and the case is retained in Court, for any further orders that may be necessary to carry this decree into effect.

It is further ordered that the defendant pay the costs of this case for which execution may issue.

GEORGIE O. YATES

VERSUS

EARL YATES

In the Circuit Court, Maverville, Tenn. April Term, 1939

In this cause, it duly appearing to the Court, on motion of complainant, that the defendant, Earl Yates, has been regularly served with subpoena to answer the complainants bill, and that the defendant, Earl Yates, has been regularly brought before the Court and made a party to complainants bill, and that the said Earl Yates has failed to appear and make defense to said bill, within the time required by the Court: It is therefore, ordered that as to him, complainants bill be taken for confessed, and set for hearing ex parte as to him.

And this cause coming on to be further and finally heard this, April, 20th., 1939, before the Honorable, Nancy Fort, Judge, holding Circuit Court at Maverville, Tennessee, upon the bill of the complainant, Georgie Yates, and the pro confesso heretofore taken against the defendant, Earl Yates, and the oral testimony of the witnesses taken in open Court.

And it satisfactorily appearing to the Court from the proof that the facts charged in the bill are true; that the defendant had wilfully deserted the complainant, without a reasonable cause, as charged; that he would unmercifully beat and mistreat his children that it was dangerous and unsafe for them to be left in his charge, and under his dominion and control; that he was cruel to his wife, the complainant, failed to provide for her and her small children, so much so, that it is unsafe for her to live with and cohabit with him; that he was abusive with his wife, cruelly and inhumanly beating her when he would be drunk or drinking, which was very frequently, when he could get the means to buy strong drink, or borrow the money to obtain same, and that said cruel and inhuman treatment was without any just or reasonable cause on the part of his said wife, that she has always been a true and virtuous wife and tried to help support the family.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that complainant have all the rights and privileges of an unmarried or single woman.

That complainant have the exclusive custody of her two children, Leroy Yates, Nancy Elizabeth Yates, who are children of tender years, and needs the protection of their mother, who, with the help of her parents, are willing and amply able to take care of, and raise the said children in a decent and humble home.

It is also, ordered by the Court, that the defendant, Earl Yates, help support said children to the amount of TEN DOLLARS pr. month until further ordered by the Court, as to the amount should be paid in the future, according to the defendants ability to make future payments, as his ability may be determined, by the proper orders of the Court.

It is further ordered by the Court, that the defendant pay to W. F. Turner, Atty. of record the sum of \$25.00 and all the cost of this suit, for which, execution may issue.

That the complainant have the exclusive custody of both their children, namely, Leroy Yates, and Elizabeth Yates and that the defendant be perpetually enjoined from molesting, taking charge of, or in any manner whatever intruding or entering on the premises where the complainant may live from time to time without the orders of the Court, the protection of the children and mother demanding, without the permission of the Court or the complainant.

That this cause be retained in Court from term to term pending the minority of said children; in order that this decree may be enforced, justice demanding; either party may come into Court, and upon the proper orders, change the status of the case as to the amount of alimony, or the welfare of the above named children in question.

L. C. PULLEY
VERSUS
BETTIE PULLEY

In the Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn. April, Term.

In this cause, on motion of complainant, and it duly appearing to the Court, that the defendant, Bettie Pulley, has been regularly served with subpoena to appear and answer the complainant's bill, and that said defendant, Bettie Pulley, has failed to appear and make defense to said bill, within the time required by law; it is therefore ordered by the Court, that complainant's bill be taken for confessed, and set for hearing ex parte as to her.

And this cause came on to further and finally heard this, April, 20th, 1939, before the Honorable Lancy Fort, Judge of our Circuit at Waverly, Tennessee upon the bill of the complainant, L. C. Pulley, the order pro confesso, heretofore taken, and the oral testimony of the witnesses, examined before the Judge in open Court.

And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from the proof that the facts charged in the bill are true; that the defendant had wilfully deserted the complainant for more than two whole years next preceeding the filing of this bill, and without a just or reasonable cause, as charged in the bill; that defendant was very cruel to complainant, almost killing him with a stick, therefore, he was forced to desist from living with the defendant.

It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that complainant with all the rights and privileges of an unmarried or single man.

MILDRED PLUNK
VS
ARTHUR PLUNK

IN CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY

This cause came on to be heard on the 20th day of April 1939, and upon motion of the plaintiff the same is hereby dismissed.

FINAL DECREE

Marjorie Buchanan Birchett
Vs
Joe Birchett

In Circuit Court of Waverly,
Waverly, Tennessee.

This cause came on for hearing on this the 20th day of April, 1939 upon bill of the Complainant and order pro confesso heretofore granted and the oral testimony of witnesses in open Court, from which it appears that the plaintiff and the Defendant were inter-married on May 15, 1924 in Williamson County, Tennessee, that for the causes stated in

the bill, after a prolonged absence of the Defendant a separation took place at their home in Humphreys County in December, 1938 and that for many years prior thereto the Defendant had absented himself and had refused and neglected to provide for the Complainant and their daughter Mary Elizabeth Birchett, now more than twelve years old.

Therefore be it resolved that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the Complainant and the Defendant be and are hereby absolutely and perpetually dissolved and for nothing held and that the Complainant be restored to all rights and privileges of an unmarried person and that her maiden name Marjorie Buchanan be restored to her. The exclusive custody of the child Mary Elizabeth Birchett is awarded to the Complainant. The Court so adjudges and decrees.

The Defendant will pay the costs of this cause, for which let execution issue.

LUCILLE HICKS
VERSUS
MELVIN HICKS

In the Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn. April Term, 1939.

In this cause, it duly appearing to the Court, on motion of the complainant, that the defendant, Melvin Hicks, has been regularly served with subpoena to answer the complainant's bill, and that said defendant has failed to appear and make defense to said bill within the time required by law and the rules of the Court; it is therefore, ordered, as to the defendant, complainant's bill be taken as confessed, and set for hearing ex parte.

And this cause come on to be further and finally heard before the Honorable Lancy Fort, Judge, of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, Tennessee on this the 20th day of April, 1939, upon the whole record, including the pro-confesso heretofore taken and entered and the oral testimony of the witnesses examined in open Court.

And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from all the proof that the facts charged in the bill are true; that some time during the month of March, 1939, the defendant so cruelly and maliciously beat the complainant that she suffered severe pains and almost broke her arm, and otherwise mistreated her at numerous times that it is both dangerous and unsafe for her and her two small children to live with and be under his dominion and control, and it further appearing that these abuses and mal-treatments has been going on for several years, and all without a just or reasonable cause; that he has also been cruel to his two children, failed to provide for the family, mainly from the cause of strong drink, from which, the defendant has been incarcerated in the County Jail for the greater part of the time since the first of this year, 1939, therefore complainant was forced to work out from home to give the family a meager support, and that it is dangerous for the defendant to be allowed to come on the premises or have charge of or control his children in any manner.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and defendant be and forever dissolved, and that she have all the rights and privileges of an unmarried or single woman. That the defendant be required to pay the cost of this cause, including \$20.00 Atty. fee for W. F. Turner, Solicitor for complainant and pay the Court cost, for which execution may issue.

That the complainant have the exclusive custody of her two children, namely, Annie May Hicks around 10 years old and Melvin Hicks Jr. around 7 years old and that the temporary injunction be made perpetual, that is, he the defendant, Melvin Hicks, is permanently enjoined from coming on the premises of complainant, molesting her on the

streets, or otherwise disturbing complainant in the management or control of her said children in any manner without the proper orders of the Court, under severe punishment.

This cause is retained in Court from term to term in order that this decree may be adhered to, on the part of the defendant, justice demanding.

ORA L. BELL

VS.

GEORGE L. BELL

In Circuit Court, At Waverly, Tennessee.

In this case on motion of petitioner, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant George L. Bell has been regularly brought into Court by proper Non-Resident publication and made a party to the petition and has up to Thursday of the term of this Court failed to appear within the time required by law; It is ordered that as to him the petition be taken as confessed and the case set for hearing ex-parte.

And this case came on further to be heard upon the petition the proconfesso heretofore taken, the oral testimony of witness had in open Court, and the whole record in the case, when it appeared to the Court, that the allegations of the petition are, true, and well sustained by the proof.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court, that on about the month of March 1932, while residing in Waverly, Tennessee, defendant Geo. L. Bell, deserted the petitioner and their family of children without reasonable cause left them without food money or clothing or sufficient care.

And that said desertion has been for more than two whole years before the filing of this petition and that the bond of matrimony now subsisting between the petitioner and the defendant be dissolved and for nothing held.

It is further ordered by the Court that the petitioner have the exclusive custody and control of their children.

It is further ordered by the Court the defendant George L. Bell pay all the cost of this case for which execution may issue.

FINAL DECREE

Bertrude McCloud

Vs

Ed McCloud

In Circuit Court of Waverly,
Waverly, Tennessee.

This cause came on for hearing on this the 20th day of April 1939, and the 4th day of this term of Court upon the bill and order pro confesso heretofore granted, whereupon this cause was heard upon the bill, the order pro confesso and oral testimony of witnesses heard in open court, all of which it appears that the Complainant and the Defendant were intermarried on the 27th day of September, 1933 in Humphreys County, Tennessee, where they lived as man and wife until the 15th day of March, 1939 when a separation took place in Humphreys County. It appeared therefore to the Court that shortly after the marriage the Defendant took to hard drink and brought to their home drunken and disreputable character and that when she remonstrated with him he on March 15, 1939 by his conduct forced her to withdraw without permitting her to get her clothes and other belongings, from all of which the Court considers that the Defendant has been guilty of such cruel and inhuman treatment to Your Complainant as renders it unsafe and improper for her to cohabit with him and be under his dominion and control; and that he has abandoned her and turned her out of doors and refused and neglected to provide for her.

Therefore be it ordered, adjudged and decreed that the bonds of matrimony

subsisting between the Complainant and Defendant be absolutely and perpetually dissolved and for nothing held; that the Complainant be freed from the obligations thereof and be restored to all rights and privileges of an unmarried person and that her maiden name Gertrude Briley be restored to her. The Court therefore orders that the States writ of replevin be issued if necessary to bring into Court the property of the Complainant which the Defendant withholds from her and that it be turned over to her.

The Defendant will pay the costs of this cause, for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

vs

Jake Smith

Murder

In this was heard upon the defendants motion to retax costs and it appearing to the Court from the testimony of witnesses and the report of the Clerk that the witnesses did not prove their attendances as required by law.

It is ordered, adjudged, and decreed that the costs be retaxed as follows:

The fees of the witness F.M. Head are ordered reduced from \$68.40 to \$28.60. The fees of the following witnesses are disallowed and stricken from the bill of Cost.

Bessie Holder.....	\$72.00
Mason Merideth.....	\$15.67
Buck Bibbs.....	\$25.72
Will Chance.....	3.92
O.J. Long.....	4.92
Milton Phillips.....	26.60
Herman Corbitt.....	2.23
John Wells.....	4.92

APPROVED FOR ENTRY

This May 11, 1939

Dancy Fort
Circuit Judge

WYLY MAYBERRY

VS

NICK MULLINIX

DAMAGE

On application of Council for Pltff and because of the absence of Pltff, Wyly Mayberry, this cause is ordered continued until the next term of this Court, at which time the cause will be tried or dismissed.

Mrs J.D. Pickett

vs

William H. Pickett

In the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, Tennessee

Came the parties by their Attorneys, and came the following jury of good and lawfull men, citizens of Humphreys County, to-wit: Doss Burph, L.J. Parker, Noah Hooper, Monroe Gosset, W.W. Long, J.F. Daniel, J.M. Madden, W.T. Patterson, H.M. Daniel, Clarence Gann, Samuel L. Jarred, and John Bigham, who, being elected, tried, empaneled and sworn to well and truly try the issues joined and a true verdict render according to the law and evidence, and having heard all the evidence and received the charge or the Court upon their oath do say, that they find the issue in favor of the Plaintiff, and that the writing in the issue mentioned is the last will and testament of J.D. Pickett, deceased.

It is therefore, considered by the Court that the writing aforesaid, bearing

date January 10th, 1933, signed by the said J.D. Pickett, deceased, and attested by T.C. Baugus and MRS Mary A. Baugus if the true, whole and last will and testament of said J.D. Pickett deceased, and that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants and their securities on their prosecution bonds all the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

Add it is ordered by the Court that the Clerk make out and certify a copy of this entry and transmit it with said original will to the County Court of Humphreys County, to be there recorded as required by the statute.

J.A. Tomlinson
vs
Dick McKeel

In the Circuit Court at Waverly Tennessee

This cause came on to be heard and was heard before the Hon. Daney Fort, Judge, without the intervention of a jury, on the 17th day of April 1939, and after hearing all the testimony offered by Plaintiff and Defendants, and argument of the Council, the Court is of the opinion that the plaintiff failed to make out a case against the Defendant.

It is therefore, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the cause be dismissed, and the Defendant recover of the Plaintiff the costs of the cause for which execution may issue.

W.J. THOMPSON, ADM.
vs
N.C. & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT, AT WAVERLY TENNESSEE

By consent of both parties, this cause was continued until next term of court, and set for Monday, the first day of the August term.

W.W. MORRIS, ADM. OF THE ESTATE OF
Wm. C. TUBBS, DECEASED.
vs
N.C. & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT, AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

In this cause, Plaintiff moves to be permitted to take a non suit, which motion is allowed by the Court, with out prejudice to the Defendant. Plaintiff will pay the costs of cause for which execution may issue.

HOLLAND GARRY
vs
ROBERT HORNER
JOHN HORNER

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HUMPHREYS COUNTY, AT WAVERLY, TENN.

This cause was heard by the Court on this 17th day of April 1939 and upon defendants cross-action and plaintiff's motion to strike same from record; and all things being considered Plaintiff's motion to strike the cross-action filed in this cause on the 12th day of December 1938 is by the Court sustained and said cross-action is struck from the record and for nothing held. Whereupon the Defendant, Robert Horner, moved the court to continue the cause until the next term of this Court and upon a showing by the proof that said Robert Horner is unable physically to go to trial at this time, the said cause is by the Court continued upon application of said defendant until the next term of said Court and is set for hearing on the first day of the said term.

W.J. BLACK
vs
R.H. ANDERSON

DAMAGE

In this cause came the defendant and attorney when the cause regularly reached on the docket and called in open Court and the Plaintiff failed to appear and prosecute

his suit. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said cause be dismissed and the Plaintiff, W.J. Black, is ordered to pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

MRS HOLLAND GARRY
vs
JOHN HORNER
ROBERT HORNER

DAMAGE

In this cause, the Defendant, Robert Horner, moved the court to continue this cause until the next term of this Court and upon showing by the proof that said Robert Horner is unable physically to go to trial at this time, the said cause is by the Court continued until the next term of this Court and set for the first day of said term.

T.E. BOX, ADM. FOR
ELIZABETH BOX, DEC.
vs
N.C. & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

Upon motion of solicitor for defendant, the defendant is allowed thirty days in which to plea.

T.E. BOX, ADM. FOR
ELIZABETH BOX, DEC.
vs
N.C. & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

The defendant, the N.C. & St. Louis Railway, for plea in the above styled case, says:

That it is not guilty of the matters and things in the plaintiff's declaration alleged.

Mack C. Simpson
Atty for Defendant

T.E. BOX, ADM. FOR
IDA M. BOX DEC.
vs
N.C. & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

Upon motion of solicitor for defendant, the defendant is allowed thirty days in which to plea.

T.E. BOX, ADM. FOR
IDA M. BOX, DEC.
vs
N.C. & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

The defendant, the Nashville, Chattanooga, and St. Louis Railway, for plea in the above styled cause, says:

that it is not guilty of the matters and things in the plaintiff's declaration alleged.

Mack C. Simpson
Atty. for Defendant

R.T. MORRIS
vs
LIZZIE MORRIS, ETAL

WILL FOR W.M. MORRIS

This cause came on for hearing on the 17th day of April, and upon motion of Contestants, this cause was ordered continued until next term of this court.

D. W. LITTLETON

D.T. LITTLETON

VS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

IKE HOOPER
HARRIS HOOPER

In this case comes the defendant and dismisses his appeal in the above styled cause, it is therefore ordered by the court that the case be dismissed and that the defendants pay the costs for which let execution issue.

MRS ANNA COX RANEY

VS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

WILL A. RANEY

This cause came on to be heard on this day before the Hon. Dancy Fort, Judge upon the whole record in the cause, and especially the decree for the sale, and the Clerks report made in obedience thereto, which report is as follows:

MRS ANNA COX RANEY

VS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

WILL A. RANEY

CLERKS REPORT

In obedience to an order of sale heretofore entered in this cause, directing me to sell two certain tracts of land heretofore described, after advertising the sale according to law, I offered at public sale at the court house door in Waverly, Tennessee at 1 P.M. on the 28th day of January, 1939, the two tracts of land, situated in the old 8th and new 4th district of Humphreys County, Tenn., in Egypt Hollow, known as the Joe Raney and Emma Jean Raney farm, which is bounded and described as follows:

FIRST TRACT:

Beginning on a birch on the south side of a branch near a spring on Tumbling Creek running thence east 250 poles to a chestnut with dogwood and black oak pointers; thence north 67 poles to a post oak; thence west 37 poles to a stake; thence north 40 poles to a stake with white oak and post oak pointers; thence 39.6 poles to a stake with 2 post oak pointers; thence south 44.3 poles to a stake; thence west 126 poles to a stake with 3 poplar pointers and one dogwood pointer; thence south crossing the branch 50.5 poles in all 63.5 poles to the beginning, containing 125 acres more or less.

SECOND TRACT:

Being and being in the new Civil District, beginning on a birch on the south side of a branch and near a spring on Tumbling Creek running thence north 63.5 poles to a stake with three poplar pointers and dogwood pointers; it being Joe Raney's S.W.C. of his 25 acre tract; thence south 74 degrees west 30 poles to a small hickory in Evans and Stennet's; thence south 33 degrees west 11 3/4 poles to a stake in the center of the hollow; thence south 2 degrees east to a stake in the center of the hollow with pointers thence south 15 degrees east 13 poles to a white oak by the side of the hollow road, thence south 5 degrees east 15 3/4 poles to a birch on the south of the branch; thence south 13 degrees east 25 poles to a black oak in Evans and Stennet's N.E.L.; thence north 74 degrees east 42 poles to a rock with 3 pointers; thence north 16 poles to the beginning, containing 24 1/2 acres be the same more or less.

Which sale was made subject to the following limitations and restrictions, contained in deed recorded in Book 48, page 406 of the Registrar's Office of Humphreys County, Tennessee as follows: "We, the said Joe Raney and wife, Emma Jean Raney are to hold the home house and barn lots, so long as either of us live; also to have 5 acres

of land located around the hen house. It is further agreed that the fruit grown on the farm is to be divided and used by both families".

As before said I offered at public sale said lands, on said date, and sold to Mrs. Anna Cox Raney, she being the best and highest bidder for the sum of \$866.36, which was the amount of the Plaintiff's judgment, costs and attorney's fee, against said lands. And since Complainant became the purchaser and owned all of the proceeds from the sale except costs and attorney's fee, no note was required of her.

This the 28th day of January 1939

Respectfully Submitted,

L.C. Bohanan
Circuit Court Clerk

Jno. Hushon D.C.

And said report being unexcepted to, is by the Court, on motion of Plaintiff, in all things confirmed.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that all the right title and interests of the defendant, Will A. Raney, and all parties in said tracts of land both in law and equity, be divested out of them, and be vested in the purchaser, Mrs. Anna Cox Raney, the Plaintiff, subject only to the life estate, restrictions etc., above mentioned as and in the feasible inheritance in fee simple forever. And when the costs of this cause and Attorney's fees for Andrew D. Tucker, Mack and Mack Simpson, Attorney's for Plaintiff, have been paid, the Clerk will make, acknowledge for registration and deliver to the said Mrs. Anna Cox Raney a deed conveying said tracts of land, to her, as aforesaid or will give her a Certified copy of this decree as a muniment of title, at her election, she paying the legal fees thereof. On application of purchaser a writ of possession will be issued to put her into possession of said tracts of land.

All other matters are reserved.

MYRTLE SMITH

VS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

WILL SMITH

In this cause, on motion of complainant, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant, Will Smith, has been regularly served with process to appear and answer this bill within the time required by law; it is therefore ordered by the Court, that complainants bill be taken for confessed, and set for hearing ex parte as to the defendant.

And this cause came on to be further and finally heard, this April 21, 1939, before the Hon. Dancy Fort, holding Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee upon the bill of complainant, Myrtle Smith, the order pro confesso, heretofore, entered on the minutes, and the oral testimony of the witnesses offered before the Court in session.

And it satisfactorily appearing to the Court from the proof that the facts as stated in the bill are true; that the defendant had wilfully deserted the complainant for more than two whole years next preceeding the filing of this bill, and without a just and reasonable cause, and the defendant failed to provide food and clothing for complainant and her family, therefore, she was forced to desist from living with him.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between between the complainant and defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved and that complainant have all the rights and privileges of an unmarried or single woman.

SUSIE STEPHENSON

VS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

WILLIE STEPHENSON

In this cause, on motion of complainant, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant, Willie Stephenson, has been regularly served with process to appear and make defense to the complainant's bill filed against him, and that the said defendant has failed to appear and answer within time required by law; it is therefore, ordered by the Court that the bill be taken for confessed and the cause set for hearing ex parte as as to the defendant.

And this cause coming on for hearing, this 21st day of April 1939, before the Hon. Dancy Fort, Judge of the Circuit Court, at Waverly Tennessee, upon the bill of the complainant, Susie Stephenson, the order of confession, heretofore taken and entered, and the oral testimony of the witnesses before the Court while in session. And it satisfactorily appearing to the Court from the proof that the facts charged in the bill are true; that the defendant had wilfully deserted the complainant for more than two whole years preceding the filing of this bill, and without just or reasonable cause, and that she was so cruelly treated, and that defendant failed to provide food and clothing for complainant in a manner that he should have done, she was forced desist from living with him, as charged in the bill.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the bonds of matrimony now subsisting between the complainant and defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved and for nothing held, and that the complainant have all the rights and privileges of an unmarried or single woman.

WALTER E. CROWELL

VS

WRIT OF REPLEVIN

RAY BURGUS

In this cause, where the parties in person and by Attorneys, the case was called in open Court and the Plaintiff having failed to make bond the case was dismissed, it is therefore, ordered, adjudged by the Court that the case be dismissed and that the Plaintiff pay the cost for which execution issue.

Mrs J.M. Capps

VS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

J.H. DAVIS

CONDEMNATION

NOTE

\$39.70

Waverly, Tennessee, March 28, 1936

On or before Nov. 15 1936 I promise to pay to the order of Mrs J.M. Capps THIRTY NINE AND 70/100.....Dollars for value received payable to the CITIZENS BANK OF WAVERLY, Waverly, Tenn.

Both makers and endorsers of this note severally and jointly waive demand notice of non-payment and protest. In the event suit is brought upon this note, we, both makers and endorsers, agree to pay 10 percent attorney's fee, to be included in the judgment rendered for collection of same.

Y.H. Davis Prin.

Magistrate's Warrant

STATE OF TENNESSEE, HUMPHREYS COUNTY

To any lawful officer within said County

You are hereby commanded to summons Y.H. Davis to personally appear before me, or some other acting Justice of the Peace of said County, to answer the complainant of Mrs. J.M. Capps in a plea of debt due by note (amount \$39.70) & 10 per cent atty. fee. Given under my hand and seal, this 24th day of Sept, 1938

J.M. Reeves (seal)
Justice of the Peace

The back of Warrant

Magistrate's Warrant.

Mrs J.M. Capps, Pltff.

vs

Y.H. Davis, Defents.

Issued 24 day of Sept, 1938, J.M. Reeves J.P.

Came to hand same day issued, and executed by reading the within warrant to and citing him to appear before J.M. Reeves Esq. for trial the 19 day of Nov 1938 at 12 o'clock P.M.

W.J. Hooper C.H.C.

JUDGMENT

Mrs J.M. Capps vs Y.H. Davis. In this cause I render Judgment for the Plaintiff and against the Defendants for (\$45/96) forty five dollars and ninety six cents, and all the costs of the suit for which execution may issue.

This 16th day of Nov. 1938

J.M. Reeves, Justice of the Peace

MAGISTRATES EXECUTION

STATE OF TENNESSEE, HUMPHREYS COUNTY

To any lawful officer to execute and return:

You are hereby commanded, that of the goods and chattels, lands and tenements of Y.H. Davis, you cause to be made the sum of Forty five & ninety six/100 Dollars and the cost of the suit, to satisfy a judgment which Mrs J.M. Capps obtained before J.M. Reeves, Esq. on the 16th day of Nov. 1938, against the said Y.H. Davis and such moneys, when collected, pay to the said Mrs J.M. Capps.

Given under my hand and seal, this 10th day of March 1939,

J.M. Reeves (seal)
Justice of the Peace

Back of Execution

Magistrate's Execution

Reeves Docket.

Mrs J.M. Capps, Pltff Vs Y.H. Davis, Debt, Judgment on the 16th day of Nov. 1938. Issued 10th day of March 1939, J.M. Reeves, Esq. Judgment \$45.96, Officers fee 1.00, Justice's Fee \$2.00, Commission 1.38, Levy \$2.00.

LEVY

I hereby levied on the following real estate subject to all former liens, which said lands may be subjected to, said lands are located in the 5th Civil District of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Jacks Branch, about one mile east of Tennessee River and bounded as follows: on the North by Adair; East by Pace Mrs; South by Pace Heirs; West by Loan Co; containing 50 acres more or less.

Said execution is hereby filed, enclosing all the record from the J.P. Court, to the next term of the Circuit Court to be condemned and sold to satisfy said judgment and cost of suit, including 10% Atty. Fee, which is prayed for in this case.

W.J. Hooper, C.H.C.

Upon motion of the Pltff. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the land so levied on be sold by the Sheriff, of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to satisfy the aforesaid judgment of the said J.M. Reeves, Esq. and also the cost of this procedure, including 10% Attorney Fee as provided in the note.

CHARLES TOTTY
VS
BUNK BUCHANAN
FLORA BUCHANAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

CONDEMNATION

J.M.Reeves, a Justice of the Peace in and for the County of Humphreys, Tenn. Filed the following papers; Magistrate's Warrant. State of Tennessee, Humphreys County. To any Lawful Officer of said County.

You are hereby commanded to summons Bunk Buchanan & Flora Buchanan to personally appear before me, or some other Esq, for said County, to answer the complaint of Chas. Totty, in a plea of debt due by Account, amount, (\$10.38).

Given under my hand and seal this 1 day of Dec. 1938.

J.M.Reeves (seal)
Justice of The Peace

CHAS TOTTY, PLTFF
VS
BUNK BUCHANAN, ETAL, DEFT.

Issued 1st day of Dec. 1938, J.M.Reeves, Esq, Came to hand same day issued, and executed by xx reading the within warrant to and citing them to trial before J.M.Reeves Esq, the 14th day of Jan. 1939, at 12 O'clock P.M.

W.J.Hooper C.H.C.

JUDGMENT

CHAS TOTTY VS BUNK BUCHANAN & FLORA BUCHANAN. In this cause I render judgment for the Pltff and against the Deft. for \$10.38, and all the cost of this suit, for which execution may issue.

This 14th day of Jan. 1939, J.M.Reeves, Esq.

Where as, on the 14 th, dya of Jan. 1939, judgment was rendered against the deft. and in favor of the Pltff. for the sum of \$10.38, officers cost \$2.00 Justice's fee \$2.25 levy on land, officer \$2.00, trial before J. Reeves Dkt. Waverly, Tennessee, search having been made and no personal property to be found in Humphreys, Tenn. said execution was leyed on the following real estate; on the 1/8th undivided interest in the lands of Deft. Bunk Buchanan subject to the life estate of 60-defendant, Mrs Flora Buchanan, Located in the 5th civil Dist. near the mouth of Roberts Creek and Tenn River; generally bounded as follows; on the North by Bone Heirs; East by Bone Heirs; South by Bone Heirs; West by Tenn. River; containing 50 acres more or less.

Said execution is hereby filed, including all the papers of the record to the next term of the Court to be condemned and sold to satisfy said judgment and cost of said suit, which is prayed for in this case.

This the 23rd day of March 1939,

W.J.Hooper, C.H.C.

And on motion of the Pltff, it is ordered by the Court that the land so levied on be sold by the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to satisfy the aforesaid judgment of the said J.M.Reeves, Esq, and also the cost of the Proceeding.

SHERIFF'S BOARD BILL

State of Tennessee Vs Boyd Johnson, Auto theft, Dec. 13th 1938 to April 17, 1939, 126 days at 75¢ per day and two turnkeys, \$2.00 \$96.50
State of Tennessee Vs Jake Smith, Murder, Dec. 13, 1938 to April, 17th, 1939, 126 days at 75¢ per day 94.50
State of Tennessee Vs Bill Runions, Larceny, Dec. 13, 1938 to Feb. 21, 1939, 101 days at 75¢ per day \$55.25, two turn keys 2.00 55.25
State of Tennessee Vs Homer Moddy, Larceny, Dec. 13th, 1938 to March 24, 1939, 102 days at 75¢ per day \$76.50 and two turn keys \$2.00 78.50
State of Tennessee Vs Arthur Baker, Felonious Assault, Feb 18, 1939 to Feb. 28 1939, 11 days at 75¢ per day 8.25, and two turn keys \$2.00 10.25
State of Tennessee Vs Bus Runions, Larceny, April 8th 1939 to April 17, 1939, 10 days at 75¢ per day \$7.50, 7.50

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS Motion to Retax Costs
MARTIN MORAN

in person
Comes the Defendant/and by Attorney and moves the Court for retaxation of the costs in this Cause for the following reasons.

- (1) Because the bill of cost was made out showing nine days attendance as witnesses and one hundred ninety miles for Mrs J.C. Guin and J.C. Guin her husband amounting to \$18.00 each when no other witness in the case is shown to have more than five days attendance as witnesses, hence these items are incorrect.
- (2) Because Grady Carter attended Court from the Bill of Cost five days and is allowed one hundred forty miles when is a matter of fact he only attended Court three days and one day before the Grand Jury and his mileage should be an allowance and should be sixty miles travel.
- (3) Because B.H. Storry a State witness is allowed four days at tendants and ninety miles who attended Court only one day and should be allowed thirty miles.
- (4) Because C.W. Ellison is State witness and is allowed five days and one hundred and forty miles when his mileage should be ninety miles.
- (5) Because C.W. Ellison is allowed five days and 144 miles when he should be allowed 90 miles.
- (6) Because Gilbert Carter was allowed three days and 56 miles. He was a States witness and was not in the Court house during the trial hence did not testify.
- (7) Because Neil Haley a States witness had two days and 68 miles and did not testify.
- (8) Because Wylly Carter was the prosecutor has three days and 72 miles and as a matter of law the prosecutor is not allowed anything.
- (9) Because Milt Petty has two days and 72 miles when he only attended one day and lives about 6 miles out, hence he would be allowed about 4 miles.
- (10) Because Frank Larkins not a witness for the state but the defendant and allowed 2 days and 56 miles and made no charge for his attendance.
- (11) Because W.R. Lockhart allowed 1 day for state and 28 miles but was a witness for the defendant and claimed no attendance.
- (12) Because Colman Hooper allowed 1 day and 40 miles for the State when he was not a witness for the state but for the defendant and claimed no attendance.
- (13) Because Jack Saunders allowed 1 day for the state was a witness for the defendant and did not claim any attendance.
- (14) Because Robert Cubtis a witness for the defendant allowed 3 days and 90 miles did not testify and made no claim for his attendance.

- (15) Because R. L. Curtis is allowed 2 days and 60 miles was a witness for the defendant and did not claim his attendance.
- (16) Because J. H. Mallard witness for defendant allowed 4 days and 52 miles did not testify and made no claim for his attendance.
- (17) Because Mrs. Julia Buchanan allowed 1 day and 30 miles for the defendant and did not claim attendance.
- (18) Because C. F. Hooper allowed 1 day and 40 miles is one and the same person as Coleman Hooper witness for defendant and did not claim attendance.
- (19) Because Mrs. R. L. Curtis allowed 1 day and 28 miles witness for the defendant did not claim attendance.
- (20) Because Mrs. Rex Curtis allowed 2 days and 60 miles when in a matter of fact there was no such person attended the trial nor claimed attendance as any body's witness.
- (21) Because Rex Curtis is allowed 2 days and 60 miles as a witness for the defendant when no such person exists as the name live.
- (22) Because Willie Carter allowed 2 days and 60 miles a witness for the defendant only attended 1 day and did not claim his attendance.
- (23) Because J. McReeves witness for defendant attended 1 day but made no claim for his attendance.
- (24) Because Dave Littleton witness for the defendant allowed 1 day and did not claim attendance.
- (25) Because John Pearl defendant witness allowed 2 days but claimed not attendance.
- (26) Because Frank Lofton allowed 1 day and 64 miles as witness for defendant when there was no such person as Lofton a witness for the defendant or state either.
- (27) Because Roy Lofton allowed 1 day and 10 miles for the defendant when there is no such person that was a witness, and Frank Lofton and Roy Lofton can only be a repeater of W. R. Lockhart and Frank Lockhart.
- (28) Because Mrs. Vennie Buchanan witness for the defendant allowed 1 day and 30 miles when in a matter of fact she is one and the same person as Julia Buchanan hence this is a repeater.
- (29) Because Estelle Buchanan defendant witness allowed 1 day and 10 miles did not attend Court and claimed no fee.
- (30) Because Charlie Parker defendant witness allowed 1 day and 10 miles did not attend the trial.
- (31) Because Bob McKeel allowed 1 day attendance did not attend the trial and did not claim no fee.
- (32) Because The Clerk has allowed for serving all subpoenas to the Officer serving them Fifty Cents each when by law he should have allowed them Twenty-Five Cents each.
- (33) Because the Clerk has allowed the Attorney General a \$10.00 fee when he should be allowed \$5.00 under law.
- (34) Because the Clerks figures where he undertakes to figure up a total at \$325.35 are incorrect as the total bill made out by him only adds up the sum of \$290.94.
- (35) Because the Clerk issued a lot of subpoenas for witnesses to attend as witnesses for the State at the December term 1938 after the defendants case had been disposed of except paying or securing the fine and cost and his cost bill is so made out that I can not show just what this amounts to.
- (36) Because the defendant attaches to this motion a list of his witnesses signing a paper writing declining any fees as witnesses for the defendant and authorizes the Clerk to credit him with any fees allowed in his bill of cost.

(37) Because this motion should be sustained and the Clerk ordered in accordance with said motion to retax the cost in said case.

J. H. Morris, Attorney for Defendant.

State of Tennessee
vs
Martin Moran

In the Circuit Court at Dover,
Tennessee, April Term 1939

Oral.

In this case on the motion of the defendant for retaxation of the costs, it is ordered by the Court, that the motion be and is referred to the Clerk of the Court, to report at the next term of the Court, with a typewritten itemized bill of costs, leaving a space, between the Clerks cost, and the Officers costs, and between the officers costs and the witnesses for the State, and between the witnesses for the State and those for the defendant. The Clerk in making this report will also attach thereto, all the subpoenas for the State together, and all the subpoenas for the defendant together, and will show in said report the witnesses that testified for the State, and those that did not testify for the State.

The Clerk will report further, the witnesses summoned and their attendance at Court, their fees allowed at the December Term of Court 1938, after the case had been adjudicated at a former term.

The Clerk will also report all witnesses for the defendant that have signed a paper writing declining no fees against the defendant, which paper writing is attached hereto, although charged in the original bill of costs as made out by the Clerk.

The Clerk will ascertain and report if possible the correct mileage of all State witnesses, and the amounts allowed them in former bill of costs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
vs
MARTIN MORAN

CIRCUIT COURT, DOVER, TENNESSEE

In the above case we the undersigned taxed up in the present bill of cost as witnesses fees for us against the defendant Moran do not claim any witness fees against him in said case, and this paper writing is to authorize the Clerk of the Court to credit the amount so charged to the defendant as shown by the bill of cost. This April 19th 1939. D. T. Littleton; S. H. Davis, Estelle Buchanan, R. H. McKeel, A. J. Saunders, Rex Carter, Vennie Carter, Willie Carter, R. G. Carter, C. F. Hooper, J. H. Mallard, W. R. Lockhart, A. F. Lockhart, J. H. Pearl, J. H. Reeves.

THE CHAPMAN CO. INC.
vs
DAVE LITTLETON

WRIT OF REPLEVIN

This cause came on to be heard before the Hon. Dancy Fort, Judge, without intervention of a jury after due consideration of this case. It is ordered by the Court that the judgment of the J.P. be affirmed, and is as follows; In this case I render judgment for plaintiff and against Defendant in this case which came on by replevin, and the property in question to belong to the Chapman Co. Inc. and award that they take custody of same. It is also adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Defendant Dave Littleton pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

REPORT OF THE GRAND JURY

We, the members of the Grand Jury for the April Term 1939, of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County be leave leave to submit the following report to your Honor.

We have diligently inquired and true presentment made of all matters given us in charge by Your Honor or otherwise brought to our knowledge.

We have examined the County Jail and County Poor House and find the inmates well fed and cared for. We attach to this report information furnished by R.E. Watson, Sanitation officer County Health Dep.

We have examined all bonds required by law to be examined by us and find them properly executed and good and solvent for the various amounts thereof. And now having completed our labors for the term we respectfully asked to be dismissed for the term.

R.H. McKeel, Foreman of Grand Jury.

E.D. Hooper

Harris Gatlin

J.R. Fields

Philip Legan

Pete Warden

W.F. Little

J.A. Jarred

J.H. Jones

Vester Spann.

W.A. Russell

Colman Grice

W.F. Larkins

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HUMPHREYS COUNTY, TENNESSEE

MRS ROSA NELL WARREN

The defendant respectfully moves the Court to grant her a new trial in this cause, and for grounds thereof says:

1.

That the evidence does not support the verdict of the jury.

2.

That the evidence even weighed in its highest light before the Court and jury fails to preponderate against the defendant's innocence, but would without passion, prejudice and caprice preponderate in her favor and substantiate her innocence before the jury.

3.

That the evidence is contrary to the law, as charged by the Court, in applying said law according to the instructions of the Court in the face of the evidence as presented by the State.

4.

That the verdict of the jury shows passion, prejudice and caprice on the part of the jury, because there is no proof introduced in the record that would in any manner whatever substantiate the verdict of guilty, and that a verdict of guilty could not be substantiated, and that the jury could not be in any manner known to the law justified in returning a verdict of guilty, there being no evidence whatsoever introduced by the State upon which the jury could base a verdict of guilty.

5.

The defendant further respectfully moves the Court to grant her a new trial in

this cause for the further grounds that at the time the cause was by the Court the defendant was unable to secure, or employ a stenographer; that there was no stenographer in the vicinity of the court house available, that the defendant was forced to trial in the face of the aforesaid circumstances, and for the last ground repeats as aforesaid that there was no evidence introduced before the jury in the trial of this cause, upon which the jury of Humphreys County could base a verdict of guilty.

Wherefore, the defendant respectfully moves the Court to grant her a new trial in this cause for the grounds aforesaid.

Respectfully submitted, this the 20th day of April, 1939.

W.J. Stephens
Sol. for Defendant

OVERRULING MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL

The above motion for a new trial was over-ruled, the defendant excepted to the Court's action and prayed an appeal to the next term of the Supreme Court at Nashville, Tennessee, which appeal was granted and the defendant allowed 60 days in which to prepare and file her bill of exceptions.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HUMPHREYS COUNTY, TENNESSEE

MRS ROSIE NELL WARREN

The defendant respectfully moves the Court to grant her a new trial in this cause, and for grounds thereof says;

1.

That the evidence does not support the verdict of the jury.

2.

That the evidence even weighed in its highest light before the Court and jury fails to preponderate against the defendant's innocence, but would without passion, prejudice and caprice preponderate in her favor and substantiate her innocence before the jury.

3.

That the evidence is contrary to the law, as charged by the Court in the face of the evidence as presented by the State.

4.

That the verdict of the jury clearly shows passion, prejudice and caprice on the part of the jury, because there is no proof introduced in the record that would in any manner whatever substantiate the verdict of guilty, and that a verdict of guilty could not substantiate, and that the jury could not be in any manner known to the law justified in returning a verdict of guilty, there being no evidence whatever introduced by the State upon which the jury could base a verdict of guilty.

5.

The defendant further respectfully moves the Court to grant her a new trial in this cause for the further grounds that at the time the cause was called for trial by the defendant was unable to secure, or employ a stenographer; that there was no stenographer in the vicinity of the courthouse available, that the defendant was forced to trial in the face of the said circumstances, and for the last ground repeats as aforesaid that there was no evidence introduced before the jury in the trial of this cause, upon which the jury of Humphreys County could base a verdict of guilty.

Wherefore the defendant respectfully moves the Court to grant her a new trial in this cause for the grounds aforesaid.

(Error) For Oscar Dickson's Divorce See Page 321

Respectfully submitted, this the 20th day of April, 1939

W.J. Stephens
Sol. For Defendant

The above motion for a new trial was over-ruled, the defendant accepted to the Courts action and prayed an appeal to the term of the next term of the Supreme Court at Nashville, Tennessee, which appeal was granted and the defendants allowed 60 days in which to prepare and file / his bill of exceptions,

COURT THEN ADJORNED UNTIL COURT IN COURSE

W.J. Stephens
for

1939

CAPTOWN AUGUST TERM CIRCUIT COURT A.D. 1939

State of Tennessee
Humphreys County

Be it remembered that a Circuit Court was opened and held in and for the County of Humphreys at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly, Tennessee on the 14th day of August, it being the second monday of said month, and the One thousand nine hundred and thirty-ninth year of our Lord, and the One Hundred and sixth-flurth year of the American Indipendence. Present and presiding the Hon.Dancy Fort, Judge of the Ninth Judicial District of the State of Tennessee.

Court was ppened in due form by law by Frank James, Shff, of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him returned into open court a writ of Venire Facias, showing that the following named persons were appointed by the County Court, at its July Term 1939, to appear and serve as jurors at this, the present term of court, to wit: R.L. Parker, J.P. Houseman, Sil Curtis, W.W. Elswick, Jack Yates, Clifford Marsette, W.O. Hemby, W.C. Cantrel, Fred Prichard, Walter Harris, Ace Greenville, Ben James, Bob Rumsey, Tom Coleman, N.L. Williams, Eugene McKlemurry, Sam Harbison, Gorden Pullen, Julius Robertson, Osber Potter, Jim Brake, Bob Rushton, Clint Bell, Frank Ingram, and Bobbie Woods, And out of the jurors so summoned the following were selected, as required by law, as Grand Jurors, to wit: Tom Colman, Ben James, W.O. Hemby, L.J. Parker, Sil Curtis, Eugene McKlemurry, Sam Harbison, Fred Prichard, Clint Bell, W.W. Elswick, Frank Ingram, and W.C. Cantrell. And R.H. McKeel having been appointed Forman of the Grand Jury at this Term of this Court, the said Grand Jury is in all things as the law directs having been duly elected, tried and sworn and is charged by the Court according to law, retired to in their room in charge of their sworn officer, J.C. Wallace, a Constable of Humphreys County, sworn accordng to law to atteend them in cinsidering indictments and presentments. And oit of the remaining number of said jurors so summoned, the following were excused from jury service by the Court, to wit: J.P. Houseman, and Bob Rushton, and Julius Robertson, N.L. Williams, and the following named persons were summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and qualified as regular jurors in the stead of the above named exused jurors, to wit: Jesse Taylor, C.R. Watts, Monroe Vaden, W.C. Cobley, John Lehman, J.R. Pierce, John Davis.

APPOINTMENT OF R.H. MCKEEL, FORMAN GRAND JURY.

It appearing to the Court that the Term of R.H. McKeel, as Permanent Forman of the Grand Jury has expired, and that it is necessary to appbint a Forman the Court was pleased to and did re-appoint Mr. R.H. McKeel permanent Fprman of the Grand Jury for the regular term of two years, whereupon the said R.H. McKeel appeared in ope n Court and accepted said appointment and was duly qualified and sworn as our permanent Forman of the Grand Jury.

W.J. THOMPSON, ADM,
VS
N&C& ST. LOUIS R.R.

DAMAGE

By agreement of the parties this case is continued until next term of this Court.

WYLY MAYBERRY
VS
NICK MULLINICKS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HUMPHREYS COUNTY, TENNESSEE

In this cause, it appearing that the suit was brought in Magistrate's Court, before J. Mac Reeves, by Nick Mulliniks, where he obtained a judgment against the said Wyly Mayberry in the sum of \$157.50 with interest from June, 6th, 1936, in which judgment was appealed from, but on the 11th day of August fiat for Writ of Certiorari was signed by Judge J. D. G. Morton, upon execution of bond according to law, and thereafter bond in the penal sum of \$315.00, signed by Wyly Mayberry, P. S. Mayberry and K. C. Hobbs was executed, bringing the cause into Court, where it has been continued from time to time.

For want of prosecution, the judgment of the Justice of the Peace, is ~~not~~ affirmed, and the Plaintiff below, Nick Mulliniks shall have and recover of Wyly Mayberry and his sureties on bond P. S. Mayberry and K. C. Hobbs the sum of \$157.50 with interest from June 6th, 1936, and the cost of this cause for which execution may issue,

T. E. BOX, ADMNR,
FOR ELIZABETH BOX
VS
N. C. & ST. L. RAILWAY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

By agreement of parties this case is continued until the next term of this Court.

T. E. BOX, ADMNR,
FOR IDA M. BOX, DEC.
VS
N. C. & ST. L. RAILWAY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

By agreement of the parties of this case is continued until the next term of this Court.

R. J. MORRIS, EXECUTOR
VS:
LIZZIE MORRIS, ET AL

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE

On application of contestants, this cause is continued until the next term of Court.

COURT THEN ADJORNED UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING AT 9 O'CLOCK.

D. J. Morris

JUDGE

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. DANCY FORT, JUDGE, ETC.
STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS:
MALOY HOOPER
PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS:
CLAUD HOOPER
PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS:
WALTER EMERY
PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS:
L. L. STANFIELD
PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: ASSAULT AND BATTERY

HERMAN WARREN MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

MELVIN HICKS MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

L. L. STAMFIELD MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

ALVIN GHOLSTON MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

ARTHUR BAKER MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

ARTHUR COLLIER MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

SCOTT SHANKS MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: ASSAULT AND BATTERY

JIM MONSUE MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
SAM BUCHANAN MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
HAROLD WEATHERSPOON MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge of payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
ROGERS GREEN MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: ASSAULT AND BATTERY
JOE (BUDDY) BRANDON MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
GEO. RIGGINS MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
CLIFTON BRADLEY MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
W.A. RANEY MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: ASSAULT AND BATTERY
DUG TAYLOR MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
OCE CRAFT MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS: PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
DUB RIDINGS MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

XXXX It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued on the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS
JIM H. MONSUE
INTERFERING WITH AN OFFICER
MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued on the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS
ROBERT DRONEY
AGE OF CONSENT

This case is continued by the defendant until the next term of this Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS
PORTER HEAD
FORFEITURE

In this cause coming on to be heard before the Court and it appearing to the Court that a conditional judgment has heretofore been rendered against Porter Head and Henry Bessley on a Forfeiture taken in said cause, but satisfactory reasons appearing to the Court it is now ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that upon payment of actual cost said judgment be set aside and for nothing held.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS
PORTER HEAD
MOTION TO QUASH

Comes the defendant Porter Head, and moves the Court to quash the Indictment on presentment returned herein against him at the August Term of Court 1936, on the following grounds:

- (1) Because the indictment fails to charge any indictable offense.
- (2) Because the indictment fails to charge that the alleged offense was committed within the hearing of any other person or persons.

B.H. Hagey
Atty for Defendant.
It is ordered by the Court that this motion is overruled.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS
PORTER HEAD
PLEA

Comes the defendant Porter Head and for plea to the indictment or presentment returned hereagainst him says: That heretofore to wit on the 10th day of August 1937 the defendant was tried on this same indictment and the jury found the defendant guilty of assault and battery which judgment is of record in Minute Book 19 Page 33 and which verdict of the jury and judgment operates as an acquittal of the offense charged by the indictment. Wherefore defendant pleads for an acquittal and former jeopardy and prays that

he hence be dismissed.

B.H. Hagey, Atty for Defendant.

It is further ordered by the Court that Plea of former Conviction be over-ruled.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS
PORTER HEAD
COMMON LAW MISDEMEANOR

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon, to try the issue joined between, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit; Monroe Vaden, Ernest Curtis, Jesse Taylor, Osber Potter, Ace Greenville, John Lehman, Bobbie Woods, Gordon Pullen, Clifford Marsette, Willis Bass, Jack Yates, Bobby Rumsey, who, being elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, Doss Balthrop and Jim Thomas and having heard all the proof, argument of council, and charge of the Court, upon their oath, say, that they are unable to agree upon a verdict.

Upon the agreement of the defendant and the State, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged and the cause retained on the docket for further hearing.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS
CARROLL HEDGE
WIFE DESERTION

This cause coming on to be heard when it is ordered by the court that said cause be placed on the retired docket of this Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS
HOKE COLMAN
DRIVING DRUNK

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; Clifford Marsette, Gordon Pullen, Bobby Rumsey, Ace Greenville, Osber Potter, Jesse Taylor, Monroe Vaden, Walter Harris, Jim Brake, Bobbie Woods, Jack Yates, and J.R. Pierce, who, being duly sworn and selected after hearing all the proof, argument of the Council and the Charge of the Court, upon their oath say that they find the defendant not guilty of the matters as charged in the indictment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant go hence without day.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS
JOHN JONES
DRUNKENNESS

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, when upon motion of the Defendant it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that this cause be continued until next term of this Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS
WID BRISENTINE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

DRIVING DRUNK

WID BRISENTINE

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense the defendant pay or secure a fine (\$10.00) Ten Dollars and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. And in the event of his failure to pay or secure same, he shall be taken in custody of the Sheriff and by him confined in the county jail until same is paid or secured.

It is furthered by the Court that he serve thirty days in the county jail, but this sentence be suspended during good behavior. It is further ordered by the Court that he be deprived of driving a motor vehicle in this State for a period of five months and twenty-nine days. It is further ordered that he be allowed to drive for the County while on duty.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

BONE DRY

WADDELL LUCAS JR.

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, when upon motion of the Attorney-General, It is ordered by the Court that a nolle prosequi be entered in this case as to the defendant upon the paying or securing of the cost.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

CARRYING A PISTOL

WALTER E. CROWELL

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: Osber Potter, Jack Yates, Walter Harris, Jim Brake, Ace Greenville, Bobby Woods, Bobbie Rumsey, Clifford Marsette, Gordon Pullen, Jesse Taylor, and Clyde Watts, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of the council and charge of the Court, upon their oath say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and fix his punishment at (\$50.00) fifty dollars and all cost of this suit.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant pay or secure a fine of (\$50.00) fifty dollars and all the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. And in the event of his failure to pay said fine and cost he shall be taken in custody of the Sheriff and by him confined in the County jail until same is paid.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

CARRYING PISTOL

JOE THOMPSON

Put on by writ See Page 229

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: Osber Potter, Jack Yates, Walter Harris, Jim Brake, Ace Greenville, Bobby Woods, Bobbie Rumsey, Clifford Marsette, Gordon Pullen, Jesse Taylor, and Clyde Watts, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of the council and charge of the Court, upon their oath say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and fix his punishment at (\$50.00) fifty dollars and all cost of this suit.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant pay or secure a fine of (\$50.00) fifty dollars and all the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. And in the event of his failure to pay said fine and cost he shall be taken in custody of the Sheriff and by him confined in the County jail until same is paid.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

ASSAULT WITH INTENT TO COMMIT MURDER

ARTHUR BAKER

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the Attorney for defendant, when upon motion of the Attorney for the Defendant due to the illness of the defendant, Arthur Baker, It is ordered that this cause be continued until the next term of this Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

VIOLATING PRIVILEGE LICENSE

MARVIN LASHLEE

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense that the defendant pay a fine of (\$10.00) Ten Dollars and all cost of this cause for which let execution issue. And in the event of his failure to do so, he will be taken in the custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee and by him confined in the County jail until said fine and cost is paid or secured.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

SELLING BEER WITHOUT LICENSE

MARVIN LASHLEE

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, when upon motion of the Attorney-General for the State, It is ordered by the Court that a nolle prosequi be entered in this case.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

CARRYING A PISTOL

MELVIN (PETE) HICKS

In this cause comes the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee to wit: Osber Potter, Jack Yates, Walter Harris, Jim Brake, Ace Greenville, Bobby Woods, Bobby Rumsey, Clifford Marsette, Gordon Pullen, Jesse Taylor, and Clyde Watts, Who being duly elected, tried and sworn, according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of the council and charge of the Court, upon their oath say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and fix his punishment at \$50.00 Fine and all the cost of this cost for which execution may issue.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant pay or secure a fin of \$50.00 and all cost of this suit. and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and cost, he shall be taken in custody of the Sheriff and by him confined in the county Jail until same is paid or secured. It is futher ordered by the Court that the defendant be given credit for the time spent in jail.

COURT THEN ADJOURNED UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING AT 9:00 O'Clock

JUDGE

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT, PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. DNACY FORT, JUDGE ETC.
STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

LARCENY

SHELT DAVIS
ARTHUR BAKER
ROBERT JONES

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and the Attorney for defendant, when upon motion of the Attorney for defendant, due to the illness of arthur Baker, It is ordered by the Court that this cause be continued until next term of Court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

RAPE

CLARENCE ELLISON

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon agreement of both parties, this cause is ordered continued until next term of this court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

LARCENY

BILL LANE

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, upon consent consent of both parties this cause is continued until next term of this court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

FORGERY

ROSA NELL WARREN

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney when upon motion of defendant and her statment made in open Court that she intends to plead guilty at the next term of Court, and take a year in the Penitentiary, concurrently with a sentence given at the April term of Court 1939, It is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that this case be continued until the next term of this court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

ASSAULT WITH INTENT TO COMMIT MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE

ARTHUR BAKER

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and the Attorney for the defendant, upon motion of the Attorney for the defendant, due to the illness of the defendant, Arthur Baker, It is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that this case be continued until the next term of this court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

ASSAULT WITH INTENT TO COMMIT MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE

FLORENCE KILBURN

In this cause came the Attorney-General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney and upon motion of the defendant, due to the illness of Joe Kilburn, It is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that this case be continued until the next term of this Court.