

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Possessing Liquor

Robert Fowlkes &
Leon Runion

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: Albert Gray, D.T. Taylor, John Hodge, Lynn Bird, Oscar Miller, J.C. Wright, John Collier, Ed Warnamaker, T.A. Guin, W.T. Patterson, George Lafavor, C.S. Oliver, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant Leon Runion not guilty and the defendant, Robert Fowlkes, guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment and assess the fine at the sum of One-Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense found by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of One-Hundred Dollars and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure the same, he will be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until the same is paid, secured or worked out.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Assault to Murder

Son Goleston

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: George Robertson, G.W. Stanfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, Ed Warnamaker, D.T. Taylor, J.N. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, D.A. Burch and Cleo Lee, who had been previously legal sworn to attend them, and after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of an assault to commit voluntary man-slaughter as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at One-year in the penitentiary.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense found by the jury, the defendant be confined in the State penitentiary at Nashville Tennessee, at hard labor for a period of time of not less than One-year, nor more than One-year and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

However, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that this sentence to the penitentiary be suspended during the good behaviour of the defendant, but to be enforced in the future when in the judgment of the Court it is proper.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Assault to Commit Murder in 1st Degree

Son Goleston

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: George Robertson, G.W. Stanfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, Ed Warnamaker, D.T. Taylor, J.N. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law and being in charge of their sworn officers, D.A. Burch and Cleo Lee, who had previously been sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of an assault with the intent to commit voluntary man-slaughter and assess and fix his punishment at Eleven-Months and Twenty-Nine days in jail. *See page 52*

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant be confined in the County jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee, or the work house at hard labor for a period of time of not less than Eleven-Months and Twenty-Nine days nor more than Eleven-Months and Twenty-Nine days and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Public Drunkenness

Thomas Scott

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: J.S. Lehman, D.T. Taylor, John Hodge, George Robertson, G.W. Stanfield, J.C. Wright, John Bradley, W.T. Patterson, T.A. Guin, M.M. Anderson, W.H. McCaully, C.S. Oliver, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant not guilty of the matters charged in the indictment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Larceny

Harold Weatherapoon

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court present the Attorney General for the State when upon a call of the case it appearing to the Court that the defendant has not been arrested, it is ordered by the Court that as to him an alias issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Bone Dry

Will Valentine

This cause coming on to be by the Court, present the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion by the defendant in open Court and upon his agreement to plead guilty at the next term of this Court, it is ordered by the Court, adjudged and decreed that said case be continued until next term.

One against Grady Chance, felonious transporting, which indictment is in words and figures as follows; to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December term of Circuit Court A.D. 1936. The Grand Jury for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Grady Chance, hereto fore, to wit, on the 5th day of December 1936, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquor contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee, and the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid further present that the said Grady Chance on the day of the year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously, did transport from one point to another within this State, intoxicating liquor in quantity of one gallon or more, contrary to the statute and dignity against the peace of the State of Tennessee. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid further present that the said Grady Chance, on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully, and feloniously did transport from a point without the State of Tennessee to a point within said State intoxicating liquors in quantity of one gallon or more, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C.Howell, Attorney General. December term, 1936, The State against, Grady Chance, charged with felonious transporting. Subpoena for the State, T.R.Westbrook, J.S.Westbrook, J.R.Traylor, W.C.Howell, Attorney-General.

A TRUE BILL. R.H.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury. R.L.Davis, A.F.Lookhart, E.L.Pace, W.E.Joalin, G.W.D.Etheridge, W.J.Rice, A.L.McClure, Willie Franklin, T.M.Ayres, W.B. Clevenger, D.E.Weatherspoon, Claude Forrester.

One against Mike Burns, D.D. Subpoena for the State, Trabue Lewis, T.R.Westbrook.

One against J.C.Parish, D.D. Subpoena for the State, Trabue Lewis, T.R.Westbrook.

One against Fred Spencer, Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree, which indictment in words and figures as follows; to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1936. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys, and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Fred Spencer, of said County here tofore, to wit, on the 29th day of November 1936 with force and arms, in the County aforesaid unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and maliciously, did make an assault upon the body of one Martin Branch with a certain brick with the unlawful and felonious intent, then and there, him, the said Martin Branch unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill and upon him to commit the crime of felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C.Howell, Attorney-General.

December term, 1936, The State of Tennessee Vs. Fred Spencer, Charged, Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree. Martin Branch, Prosecutor. Subpoena for the State, Martin Branch, Leonard Gibson, Wily Hamm, Clint Parnell. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, December term, 1936, R.H.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C.Howell, Attorney-General. A TRUE BILL, R.H.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Assault & Battery

Luther Winstead

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: George Robertson, John Hodge, D.T.Taylor, E.L.Pace, W.T.Paterson, W.J.Rice, J.C.Wright, W.C.Simpson, C.S.Oliver, W.H.McCauley, M.Wanderson, T.A.Guin, who, being duly selected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, D.A.Burch, & D.B.McGann, who had previously been sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they cannot agree upon a verdict.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, amistrail be entered in this case.

Whereupon the attorney general representing the State moved ~~that~~ the Court that anolle proqui be entered as to the defendant and it is so ordered by the Court and the defendant is dismissed without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Driving Drunk

J.W.Gilbert

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present for the State the Attorney General, and the defendant in person and by attorney, when it appearing to the Court that upon the consent of the State and the defendant the case may be continued until the next term of Court, it is so ordered by the Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Possessing Liquor

Grady Chance

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present for the State the Attorney General, and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion of defendant and his statement in open Court that he will plead guilty at next term, at which time the defendant will enter a plea of guilty and be assessed with a One-Hundred Dollar fine and cost and the Court hear further proof to determine whether to inflict additional punishment.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Transporting Liquor

Grady Chance

This cause coming on to be heard, present the Attorney General for the State, the defendant in person and by attorney when it is agreed that said case be continued until next term of this Court at which time the cause will be nolle upon the payment of cost and it is ordered by the Court that the case be continued until next term.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Age of Consent
 Paterson Murphree }

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion made by the Attorney General it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that a nolle prosequi be entered in this case as to the defendant upon his payment of the cost in this cause.

Court adjourned to meet tomorrow at 9:00 O'clock

Danoy Fort Judge.

Court met PRESUMPT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT & PRESIDING HON. DANOT FORT, JUDGE, ETC.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Attempt to House Branking
 Richard Parrott }

MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court, from the return from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the clerk of this Court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit and that the defendant is wholly, insolvent unable, the cost or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the estate be allowed and ordered paid out of the State Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify the same to the State Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Assault To Murder
 William Baker }

In this cause came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court, from the return from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit and that the defendant is wholly, insolvent unable to pay the cost or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the estate be allowed and ordered paid out of the State Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify the same to the State Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Bone Dry
 Grady Gutherie }

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Driving Drunk
 Robert L. Fowles }

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court, that the defendant wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and the Clerk of this Court make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Driving Drunk

Vs.

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

C.N. Brown

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the part of the cost accrued upon the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and the Clerk of this Court make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Contempt of Court

Vs.

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

Mrs. Effie Mims &
Miss Delia Mitchell

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Assault and Battery

Vs.

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

R. C. Marable

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost in this suit or any part thereof.

So it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Drunkness

Vs.

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

Brook Davidson

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court, from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost in this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Drunkness

Vs. John Parrott

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Drunkness

Vs.

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

Fred Spencer

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost in this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Driving Car Without Licence.

Vs.

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

Joe McCrary

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Drunkness

Vs.

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

Luther Townsend

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the Court, from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost in this suit or any part thereof.

So it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Drunkness

Vs.

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

Carley Stringer

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that the Defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Clerk of Court

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Ollie Hooper)

Wreckless Driving

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost in this suit or any part thereof.

So it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk of this Court make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Thomas Ellison)

Switched License

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost in this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk of this Court make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

J. C. Brandon)

Drunkness

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost in this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk of this Court make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Lawrence Gordon)

Drunkness

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost in this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk of this Court make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Red Holland)

Drunkness

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the Court, from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost in this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudge and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk of this Court make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Hubert Stewart)

Drunkness

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost in this suit or any part thereof.

So it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Bowen Davidson)

Drunkness

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the State from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the Clerk of this Court make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Delma Hogan)

Attempt to Murder

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

George Mosley)

Drunkness

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk of this Court make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Robert Hurt)

Drunkness

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost in this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk of this Court make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Only Johnson)

Drunkness

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Rogers Green)

Drunkness

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Will Valentine)

Gaming

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the Clerk of this Court make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Nestie Ingram)

Breach Peace

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk of this Court make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Arthur Murphy)

Drunkness

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost in this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

R. C. Marable)

Gaming

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that, the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost in this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

F. E. Pitts

Driving Drunk

In this cause came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being charged and arraigned in said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: George Robertson, G.W. Standfield, U.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, ED Warmaker, D.T. Taylor, J.M. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense found by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of Ten-Dollars and be prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of Six-Months and that he pay the cost of this case for which let execution issue. In the event of his failure to pay or secure the fine and cost herein imposed, he will be taken in custody by the Sheriff of this County and by him confined to the County jail, or work house until the same is paid or worked out.

In addition to the above fine imposed, it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the jail sentence be suspended upon condition that the defendant pay or secure the fine heretofore imposed and subject to further orders of this Court. The defendant will report back at the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Roger William

Assault to Murder

In this cause the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence with out day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Harrison Finley

Fraudulent Breach of Trust.

In this cause the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence with out day.

Phillie Boyd

Vs.

Hallord Boyd

In the Circuit Court at Waverly,
Tennessee.

NON JURY

This cause coming on to be heard by the court, it was ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the case be dismissed, and the defendant go hence - without day.

The cost of this cause adjudged to plaintiff for which let execution issue.

T.E. Stringer

Vs.

Barnett Peeler

In the Circuit Court at Waverly,
Tennessee.

In this cause, on motion of Attorney for defendant, Butler Brothers was allowed become party defendant along with Barnett Peeler, it appearing that the said Barnett Peeler is a Deputy Sheriff who had levied on property hereinafter described as the property of Ella Stringer to satisfy a judgment against her in favor of Butler Brothers in the sum of \$50.00 and cost of the cause, which amounted to the sum of \$60.85, and which property was replevied by the plaintiff, T.E. Stringer, the husband of Ella Stringer.

This cause came to be heard before the Honorable Dancy Fort, Judge, on the 14th day of December, 1936, when the plaintiff failed to appear to prosecute said suit, and the Court deferred action until Thursday, December 17th and instructed the Clerk to notify the plaintiff to come into Court and prosecute the suit; and on the said date of December 17th, the plaintiff failed to come into Court and prosecute the suit, on motion of the defendant, the plaintiff was solemnly called into Court and prosecute his suit, but came not and made default.

And it appeared to the Court from the testimony of witnesses that Butler Brothers obtained a judgment against Mrs. Ella Stringer before John W. Knight, a magistrate of Humphreys County, Tennessee, in the amount of \$50.00 and costs, which now amounts to the sum of \$60.85, and that after said judgment was obtained, an execution issued to the defendant, Barnett Peeler, a deputy Sheriff, who levied the execution upon one White House Grist Mill and one White House 10 horse power engine and one six inch driving belt as the property of Ella Stringer, and advertised same for sale, the plaintiff in this cause, T.E. Stringer, husband of Ella Stringer, replevied said property, and obtained judgment for the possession of same before the magistrate, the said John W. Knight, because the defendant forgot to appear and was forced to appeal the plaintiff's case to the Circuit Court; that the said property was worth \$75.00.

It therefore, ordered and decreed in this cause that the said property in controversy belongs to the said Barnett Peeler, defendant, Deputy Sheriff by reason of lien obtained by levying execution on same for the benefit of judgment creditors, and that the value of said property is \$75.00. It is, therefore considered that the defendants, Barnett Peeler and Butler Brothers have the recover of T. E. Stringer, and his sureties on the replevin bond, J.M. Gray and N.L. Baker the sum of \$60.85 and all the costs of this cause which judgment may be discharged by returning to the defendant officer said property, and paying the costs of this cause, for all which execution may issue.

O'Brien Fields & Co.
Vs.
W. E. Shultz & et al)

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

Comes the plaintiff in the above style cause and moved in the Court to dismiss his case at the cost of the plaintiff without prejudice, which granted by the Court.

Audley Ross,
Vs.
N. C. Hooper & Co.,)

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

On motion of plaintiff, the plaintiff is permitted to take a non-suit without prejudice, and it is ordered by the Court. The cost adjudged to plaintiff for which let execution issue.

Federal Land Bank, Louisville, Ky.)
Vs.
Lottie Jarred

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

This cause to be continued in next term of court set for the first day.

R. W. Meadows)
Vs.
G. B. Simpson)

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

This cause to be continued in next term of this court.

William H. Johnson)
Vs.
Municipal Paving Co.)

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

In this matter the petitioners, William H. Johnson, as employee, Municipal Paving & Construction Co. as employer and the Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., as Workmen's Compensation insurance carrier for the employer, presented the settlement agreement on form provided by the Workmen's Compensation Division of the State of Tennessee, and their formal final settlement petition, from which it appears by examination of said employee Williams H. Johnson by court to its satisfaction that on March 30, 1936, said employee suffered an accidental injury arising out of and in the course of his employment, to wit: while hoisting long piling, one pole fell and caught left leg between it and the ground, resulting in injury to left leg and ankle; from which he suffered temporary total disability for short time, being paid the sum of \$10.59, for said lost time, said payments being based on an average weekly wage of \$13.50, thereby entitling said employee to the sum of \$6.75 per week, that it is estimated and agreed upon between the parties hereto, that said employee Johnson's permanent partial disability amounts to twenty eight weeks, and said employer and/or insurer is willing to pay and said employee Johnson has agreed to accept said twenty eight weeks compensation in a lump sum settlement of \$189.00 is being paid at this time in full settlement of all claims and demands for all injuries arising from said accident and injury; that his rights to Workmen's Compensation benefits terminates with the payment of said twenty eight weeks compensation, so that he is entitled to no further benefits, totals or partial, temporary or permanent;

Continued to Page 565

James David Forrester, next friend
of Howard Gene Forrester,
Vs.
Dr. Thomas Hartwell Coke,

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

This cause came on to be heard before the Honorable Danney Fort, Judge, without intervention of a jury, upon the evidence submitted to the Court, the statement of the parties and their counsels, and upon due consideration thereof, the Court finds the issues joined in favor of the plaintiff, and assesses his damages in the sum of \$1250.00.

It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff, Howard Gene Forrester, by next friend, James David Forrester, have and recover of the defendant, Dr. Thomas Hartwell Coke, the sum of \$1250.00 and the costs of this cause for all of which let execution issue.

Danney Fort
Judge

James David Forrester, next friend
of Howard Gene Forrester,
Vs.
Dr. Thomas Hartwell Coke

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

PLEA

The defendant, Thomas Hartwell Coke, for plea, says he is not guilty of the matters and things as alleged by plaintiff in his declaration.

Mack C. Simpson
Attorney for Defendant.

James David Forrester, next friend
of Howard Gene Forrester
Vs.
Dr. Thomas Hartwell Coke

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

DECLARATION

Comes the plaintiff, Howard Gene Forrester, by next friend and father, James David Forrester, and sues the defendant for the sum of \$10,000.00 damages and for cause of action states to the court:

That the plaintiff was a minor five years of age living with his father on or near old No. 1 Highway, about one mile east of Hustburg, in Humphreys County, Tennessee. That he became five years of age in November, 1936.

That the defendant is a citizen and resident of the same community in Humphreys County, Tennessee, a practicing physician and about sixty eight years of age; and is and was the owner of a certain Pontiac, two door sedan, automobile, 1935, Motor No. 620664, which he was operating on or about November 19, 1936 on said Highway No. 1, proceeding in an easterly direction from Hustburg to Waverly, in said County, which road is now a well traveled county road, having been abandoned by the state of Tennessee.

On said date and said time and place the Plaintiff would show to the Court that he was seriously injured as a direct and proximate result of the defendant's negligent and unlawful operation of said Automobile as will be set out more specifically hereinafter.

That Plaintiff had been sent by his mother to Binkley's Grocery Store for some groceries, and at the time of the accident plaintiff was walking in an Eastward direction pulling his little wagon on the North margin of said road, the same being his left hand side of the road in the direction in which he was walking, and was at the time in the exercise of ordinary care. And when at the point on said road about a quarter of a mile West of plaintiff's home, the defendant riding in his said automobile, with out proper looking ahead, and without having his car under control, and driving at an excessive rate of speed, and without due caution and circumspection to the rights and property of others, driving his automobile on said road in the same direction plaintiff was traveling, although he had plenty of room on his proper side of the road to travel, defendant carelessly, negligently and needlessly drove his automobile to the left hand or north side of said highway and struck the plaintiff, and as a direct and proximate result of each and every act of negligence, the plaintiff was struck by said automobile, as aforesaid, and carried and hurled by the said automobile and the force and impact thereof some seventy-five feet, and severely injured.

As a direct and proximate result of the negligent and unlawful conduct as set out above, the plaintiff was painfully injured and permanently injured as follows: He suffered extreme shock, and was in serious condition immediately after the accident because of concussion of the brain, leg fracture and loss of blood. Large ragged lacerated wounds of the scalp and face involved most of the right side of the head, and these wounds contained dirt and gravel caused by being dragged or knocked down in the gravel road at the place of the accident, and became grossly infected, which infection extended west of the scalp, causing high fever and the necessity of making incisions and draining the infected portions. The scalp suffered two large gashes about inches long and two inches wide which also became infected because of dirt and gravel ground into them. Plaintiff also suffered fracture of the right thigh bone necessitating its inclosure in a cast and medical supervision for at least four months. That because of loss of blood, and infections, as aforesaid, a blood transfusion was necessary. The wounds on the right side of the head and face have made ugly scars which will remain permanent.

And in addition to the above specific injuries, because of the age of the plaintiff and the nature of the injuries there is considerable likelihood that convulsions may result in later life.

That it was necessary that the plaintiff be confined to a bed in a hospital for approximately five weeks, and then removed to his home where he is now convalescing, not actually being confined at this time. Plaintiff suffered great pain and mental anguish and is advised therefore charges that he will continue to suffer for sometime.

The defendant though liable refuses to pay; hence this suit.

J. D. Forrester
Father, Natural Guardian,
and next friend of Plaintiff

Continued from Page 566

that likewise he is also entitled to have repaid, and said insurance carrier has paid, his necessary medical bills; and that the said parties have, therefore, made and entered in to and signed a formal written agreement, final as to all claims and demands for Workmen's Compensation benefits to said employee, of every character, growing out of said accident and injury, ~~henceforth~~ arising or that may hereafter arise therefrom, which written agreement is hereby approved and ratified by court and is hereby made final between the parties.

It is, therefore, considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed that all claims and demands of the petitioner William H. Johnson for Workmen's Compensation benefits growing out of, or on account of, said injuries of every kind, character and nature, have been and are fully and finally settled and paid by said insurance carrier on behalf of the employer, that said agreement is hereby approved and ratified by court, and henceforth it shall be final and binding between the parties.

William H. Johnson
Employee

Approved 11/18/36

Dancy Fort

Judge with Judicial Circuit

Mack C. Simpson
Attorney for Employee

Municipal Paving & Construction Co.
By Thomas Smith, Attorney
Employer

Liberty Mutual Insurance Co.
By Thomas Smith, Attorney
Insurance Carrier

Wyly Mayberry

Vs.

Nick Mullinicks

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

This cause continued to the next term of Court by Consent.

J. C. Ghoot, Admr.

Vs.

Humphreys Co. et al

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

DAMAGE

This cause is continued to the next term of this Court by the plaintiff, set for Friday.

E. L. Chance

Vs.

Municipal Paving Co.
By J. W. Stapp

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

DAMAGE

This cause is continued to the next term of this Court by the Defendant, and set for the third Wednesday in April.

SHERIFF'S STATE BOARD BILL

State of Tennessee Vs. William Baker, A. & B. with attempt to murder, August 24, 1936
to September 1, 1936, seven days (7) at 75¢ per day \$5.25, two Turnkeys \$2.00 \$7.25

State of Tennessee Vs. Ben Barnhill, Transporting Liquor, August 24, 1936 to September
1, 1936, seven days (7) at 75¢ per day \$5.25, two Turnkeys \$2.00 \$7.25

West 11/11/36

Court then adjourned until Court in course.

..... *Dancy Fort* Judge.

CAPTION APRIL TERM CIRCUIT COURT A.D. 1937

State of Tennessee)
Humphreys County)

Be it remembered that a Circuit Court was opened and held in and for the County of Humphreys at the Court House in the town of Waverly, Tennessee, on the 19th day of April it being the third Monday of said month, and the One Thousand Nine Hundred and Thirty Seventh year of our Lord, and the One Hundred and sixty second year of American Independence. Present and presiding the Hon. Dancy Fort, Judge of the Ninth Judicial District of the State of Tennessee.

Court was opened in due form of law by J. S. Westbrook, Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tenn. and by him was returned into open Court a writ of Venire Facias, showing that the following named persons were appointed by the County Court, at its April Term 1937, to appear and serve as jurors at this present term of Court to wit, C. W. Trotter, Lenard Petty, Carroll Curtis, J. Tom Warden, J. D. Holmes, Marvin Anderson, Will Summers, Horace Gatlin, R. L. McCaully, Oss Prichard, J. P. Holleran, Tom Coleman, E. J. Work, W. R. Bass, W. D. Patterson, Davis Stringer, Monroe Wright, Jim Gunn, Nathaniel, John May, Mack Long, M. W. Warren, Frank Larkins, T. L. Parnell, Rex Plant.

And it appearing to the Court that, the above named parties were regularly summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and that all said parties so summoned appeared and answered said summon.

And out of the said jurors so summoned the following were selected, as required by law, as Grandjurymen, J. C. May, J. C. Gunn, N. M. Daniel, T. L. Parnell, Horace Gatlin, E. J. Work, W. F. Larkins, G. W. Coleman, W. D. Patterson, Marvin Anderson, Will Summers and R. L. McCaully, having been appointed as Foreman of the Grand Jury at a former term of this Court, *and R. L. McCaully*

the Grand Jury
~~and R. L. McCaully was appointed as Foreman of the said Grand Jury, in the said term of Court, as in all things as~~
the law directs having been duly elected, tried and sworn and charged by the court, according to law, retired to their room in charge of their sworn officer R. F. Ingram, *R. F. Ingram* Sheriff of Humphreys County, sworn according to law to attend them in consideration of indictment and presentments.

It was ordered by the Court that the Sheriff summon ~~(3)~~ extra men to serve as regular jurymen through out the April term of Court to wit: D. O. Lee and J. W. Townsend and Malcolm Ingram.

APPOINTMENT OF H. D. BATTON, D
AS DEPUTY CIRCUIT COURT CLERK OF HUMPHREYS
COUNTY.

I hereby appoint H. D. Batton to be Circuit Court Clerk of Humphreys County, Tennessee to take effect immediately to act in my absence, ratifying anything he may do in the premises.

This February 1st 1937.

L. G. Bohanan
Circuit Court Clerk of Humphreys
County, Tennessee.

J. C. Cheate, Admr.
Vs.
Humphreys County et al.)

In the Circuit Court of Humphreys County,
Tennessee, December Term, 1934

This day came the parties by their attorneys and argued the demurrer of the defendant, the County Board of Education of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to the declaration, and it appearing to the Court that the grounds of demurrer mentioned and set forth therein are not wellfounded, and that said declaration is sufficient in law, it is therefore considered that said demurrer be over ruled, and that the defendant plead to the declaration. To which action of the Court overruling its demurrer, this defendant excepts.

This above, having been made at a former term of court is entered now for then.

J. C. Cheate, Admr.
Vs.
Humphreys County et al.)

Circuit Court, December Term, 1934,
Humphreys County, Tenn.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and argued the demurrer of the defendant, Humphreys County, to the declaration, and it appearing to the court that the grounds of demurrer mentioned and set forth in said demurrer are well founded, that said declaration is not sufficient in law, it is therefore considered that said demurrer be sustained, and that the declaration be quashed as to said defendant, Humphreys County; that the defendant, Humphreys County, recover of the plaintiff the costs of the cause incident to the making of said county a party hereto, and that this defendant go hence without day.

The above order having been made at a former term of this court is entered now for then, by order of the Court.

Nick Mullinicks
Vs.
Wyly Mayberry

Circuit Court April Term, 1937
Humphreys County, Waverly, Tennessee

This cause coming to be heard by the Court, the case was continued by consent and set for first day of next term.

J. H. Mallard et al)
Vs.
Trent Westbrook et al)

Writ of Replevin

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, the case was continued by the plaintiff.

R. W. Meadow,
Plaintiff.
Vs.
C. N. Simpson,
Defendant

In the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, Tennessee

ORDER

Come the plaintiff and voluntarily dismisses his suit with prejudice and by consent at the defendant's cost.

It is THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: That the plaintiff's suit is here dismissed with prejudice at the defendant's cost for which let execution issue.

O. K. R. W. Meadow
Plaintiff

O. K. Mack O. Simpson
Attorney for Defendant

O. K. Lamar F. Graves
Attorney for Plaintiff

R. W. Meadow
Plaintiff
Vs.
C. N. Simpson
Defendant

In Circuit Court of Humphreys County, Tennessee

DECLARATION FIRST COUNT

The plaintiff, R. W. Meadow, sues the defendant, C. N. Simpson, for the sum of \$5000.00 damages, and for cause of action says:

That he is a resident citizen of Shelby County, Tennessee,

That the defendant is resident citizen of Humphreys County, Tennessee.

Plaintiff further avers, that on the morning of September 1st, 1935, he was driving a truck west on Highway No. 70, in the State of Tennessee, and when he was at a point about six miles west of Waverly, Tennessee, he observed a truck in a ditch on the south side of said highway, and there was another truck parked partially off of the said highway on the north side, and he stopped behind said truck, with a greater portion of his truck off the concrete, that an automobile approached from the west and stopped and the driver thereof got out to ascertain the trouble, and seeing the automobile approaching from the east, got in the middle of said highway and waved a light to warn him of danger, but the said defendant, driving at an exceedingly fast and excessive rate of speed, to wit in excess of fifty miles an hour, disregarded said warning failed to slacken the speed of his automobile, and carelessly, negligently and wantonly crashed into the rear of the truck plaintiff was sitting in, striking same with great force and violence, knocking same down an embankment and into a ditch, and plaintiff was thereby seriously injured.

Plaintiff avers that the said defendant was driving an automobile bearing license issued by the State of Tennessee for the year, 1935, No. 284-575, and he asserts and claims a lien against said automobile under the State, for the reason that same was being driven in excess of twenty miles per hour.

Plaintiff avers that said defendant was guilty of gross and wanton carelessness, negligence and recklessness in driving the said automobile at a fast and excessive rate of speed, to wit, in excess of fifty miles per hour, in not keeping the said automobile under proper control, in not keeping a lookout ahead, and in not using every possible means to avert the accident when the same became imminent.

Plaintiff avers that as a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid gross and wanton carelessness, negligence and recklessness on the part of said defendant, he sustained the following injuries:

He was rendered unconscious and sustained a severe concussion of the brain; that there was a deep gash out on the left side of his forehead, beginning on the left temple extending back, from which he has permanent scars; he sustained a cut across his nose and on his chin; that his right cheek was skinned, bruised and lacerated; that his chest was skinned, bruised and lacerated; that both legs and both knees were bruised and otherwise injured, that his entire body was rendered so sore that it was impossible for him to move without great pain for many days, and he sustained other wounds, bruises and injuries about his body; that he was caused to suffer great physical pain and mental anguish, and will continue to suffer for an indefinite period of time, and has sustained permanent injuries.

That he was put to much expense for hospital bills, doctors bills and medicine in an effort to be cured of his injuries; that he lost many dollars from his earnings; that his capacity for work, labor and business and for the enjoyment of life has been greatly

and permanently impaired.

Wherefore, plaintiff sues for the sum above named, actual and punitive damages, and demands a jury to try this cause.

Luther H. Graves
Attorney for Plaintiff

SECOND COUNT

The plaintiff, reiterating, re-affirming, and relying on each and every allegation contained in the first count of this declaration, except those allegations charging common law negligence, sues the said defendant for an additional sum of \$5000.00 damages, and avers;

That said defendant was grossly negligent, and violated the Statute of the State of Tennessee:

FIRST. In driving said automobile on a highway carelessly and heedlessly in willful or wanton disregard of the rights or safety of others.

SECOND. In driving the said automobile without due caution and circumspection at a speed and in a manner so as to endanger or be likely to endanger any person or property.

Plaintiff asserts and claims a lien against said automobile by reason of the fact that same was being driven in excess of twenty miles per hour, said lien being claimed under the Statute of the State of Tennessee.

He further avers that as a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid gross and wanton carelessness, negligence and recklessness on the part of the said defendant, he sustained the injuries as alleged and set forth in the first count of this declaration, to which reference is here made.

That he was put to much expense for hospital bills, doctors bills and medicines in an effort to be cured of his injuries; that he lost many dollars from his earnings; that his capacity for work, labor and business and for the enjoyment of life has been greatly and permanently impaired.

Wherefore, plaintiff sues for an additional sum of \$5000.00, actual and punitive damages, and demands a jury to try this cause.

Luther H. Graves
Attorney for Plaintiff

James David Forrester, next friend
of Howard Gene Forrester

Vs.

Dr. Thomas Hartwell Coke

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

This cause came on to be heard before the Honorable Dancey Fort, Judge, without the intervention of a jury, upon the evidence submitted to the Court, the statement of the parties and their counsels, and upon due consideration thereof, the Court finds the issues joined in favor of the plaintiff, and assesses his damage in the sum of \$1250.00.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff, Howard Gene Forrester, by next friend James David Forrester, have and recover of the defendant, Dr. Thomas Hartwell Coke, the sum of \$1250.00 and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

Dancey Fort
Judge

John Berryman

Vs.

Charlie Totty

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

In this cause, attorney for plaintiff demanded a jury to try the cause, which motion was granted by the Court, and the cause ordered placed on the jury docket. Thereupon by agreement of the parties the case was continued until the next term of Court.

Federal Land Bank
Louisville, Kentucky

Vs.

Lottie Jarrod

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

JUDGMENT FOR PLAINTIFF

This case was tried before the Circuit Judge without the intervention of a jury and after consideration of all the proof in the case it is ordered by the Court that the plaintiff be restored to the possession of the land described in the warrant, and that if necessary a writ of possession issue therefore, and also for cost of suit, for which execution may issue.

H. P. Murray and Company

Vs.

M. J. Brennan

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

In this cause, on motion for plaintiff, the Court orders that plaintiff be permitted to supply the record. Copy of an original magistrate's warrant and entries thereon, it appearing to the Court that said original papers were burned in the fire that destroyed the hotel at McKen where Jno. W. Knight, Justice of the Peace lived and who had the papers in his charge. And the record being supplied the cause came on further to be heard.

And the case came on further to be heard on the 19th day of April, 1937 before the Honorable Dancey Fort, Judge, when the following proceedings were had: Jno. W. Knight, a Justice of the Peace for Humphreys County, Tennessee sent the Court the following papers:

(COPY OF WARRANT)
State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, To any lawful officer within said County, you are hereby commanded to summon M. J. Brennan, to personal appear before me, or some other acting Justice of the Peace for said County, to answer the complaint of H. P. Murray & Co. in a plea of debt due by Brennan, under Two-Hundred-fifty - Dollars, (\$250.00) Given under my hand and seal, this 27th day of March 1937, Jno. W. Knight, Justice of the Peace.

RETURN OF WARRANT
Came to hand the same day issued, and executed by reading the within warrant to M. J. Brennan, and citing him to appear before Jno. W. Knight, Esq. for trial the 7th day of April 1937 at 1:00 O'Clock P.M. Roy Pinkston, D.S.

JUDGMENT

H. P. Murray and Company Vs. M. J. Brennan, in this cause I render judgment for the plaintiff and against the defendant for One Hundred twenty four dollars and fifty-five cents (\$124.55) including eleven dollars and thirty-two cents (\$11.32) attorney fee by default and all the costs of suit, for which execution may issue. This 7th day of April, 1937. Jno. W. Knight, Justice of the Peace.

EXECUTION

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, to any Lawful Officer to execute and return: You are hereby commanded, that of the goods and chattels, lands and tenements of M. J. Brennan, you cause to be made the sum of (\$124.55) One Hundred Dollars and Fifty-five Cents and cost of this suit, to satisfy a judgment which H. P. Murray and Company obtained before Jno. W. Knight, Justice of the Peace, on the 7th day of April, 1937, against the said M. J. Brennan, and such moneys, when collected, pay to the said H. P. Murray and Company Given under my hand and seal, this 30th day of March, 1937. Jno. W. Knight, Justice of the Peace.

OFFICERS RETURN

I have this day levied this execution upon the undivided interest of M. J. Brennan in and to the following tracts of land situated in the 3rd Civil District of Humphreys County the first tract being the town of McKen on which is located a store house and dwelling, and a second tract being a farm near McKen: First Tract: Beginning on the west corner of Line and R. R. and running west one hundred and eight feet to the old rail road crossing and thence north one hundred and fifty feet to an alley; thence east one hundred and eight feet to Line Street and thence South along said Line Street to the beginning. Second Tract: Beginning on the northwest corner of an 8 acre tract, now owned by McSweeney, a stake, runs thence west, 145 4/5 poles to a stake, the same being the northeast corner of a hundred acre tract, now (1914) owned by E. McSweeney, thence south with McSweeney east boundary line 34 poles to a hickory the same being McSweeney's southeast corner, thence west with said McSweeney's line 17 1/2 poles to a stake with red oak and post oak pointers, thence south with Foy's east boundary line, 66 poles to a small white oak, with large red oak pointers, thence east 150 poles to a stake on the north side of the right of way of the N. C. & St. L. R. R., thence northeast with said railway right of way about 200 poles to a stake, the same being the southwest corner of the said 8 acre tract of McSweeney, thence north 24 degrees east, 91 poles to the beginning, containing 177 acres, more or less.

The interest of M. J. Brennan is levied on to satisfy a judgment in favor of H. P. Murray Company against M. J. Brennan taken on the 7th day of April 1937 in the amount of \$124.55 with the interest from April 7th, 1937.

This 18th day of April, 1937

T. R. Westbrook, Deputy Sheriff

On motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered by the Court that the interest of M. J. Brennan in and to the lands levied on be sold by the Sheriff of Humphreys County to satisfy the aforesaid judgment of H. P. Murray and Comarny taken before Jno. W. Knight, Justice of the Peace, and the costs of this proceedings.

T. E. Stringer)

Vs. In Circuit Court, Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee

Barnett Peeler)

This cause was continued to the next term of this Court by consent.

Mrs. Dee Bruce

Vs.

Damage

J. W. Metcalf et al)

This cause was continued by consent to the next term of this Court.

Walker Green

Vs.

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee

Ben Clayborn et al)

COURT MET PRESUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT & PRESIDING HON. DANCY FORT, JUDGE, ETC.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Transporting Liquor

P. K. Wilson

This cause coming on to be heard, present for the State the Attorney General and it appearing to the Court that said cause has been ~~undocket~~ on the docket for such length of time that it is advisable that the cause be placed on the retired docket and it is so ordered by the Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Violating election laws

Elvis Crowell

In this cause coming on to be heard by the court, present the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by Attorney, when upon motion of the Attorney General it is ordered by the Court that when the costs in the cause is paid a nolle prosequi be entered in the cause.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Larceny

Harold Weatherspoon

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General for the State and the defendant being called and failing to answer it is ordered by the Court that as to him an alibi issue

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Possessing Liquor

Baker Martin

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present for the State the Attorney General when upon motion of the defendant it is ordered by the Court that the fine heretofore imposed on the defendant in this cause be suspended by the Court until next term of the Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Possessing Liquor

J. L. Druin
Lucille Druin

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present for the State the Attorney General, and the defendant in person and by Attorney, when upon motion of the defendants the jail sentence heretofore imposed in this cause against defendant, J. L. Druin, is suspended until next term of this court and the cause as to the defendant, Lucille Druin, is continued until next term.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Murder

Jack Boland

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present for the State the Attorney General, when it appearing to the Court that it is proper that said cause be passed to the retired docket, it is so ordered by the Court.

State of Tennessee)

Va.

Possessing Liquor

W. H. Parker

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present for the state the Attorney General and the defendant, W. H. Parker, being called and not answering it is ordered by the Court that an alias issue as to him.

State of Tennessee)

Va.

Possessing Liquor

Albert Hughey
M. K. Holland

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General when upon motion of the defendant, Albert Hughey, this cause as to him be continued until next term of Court.

State of Tennessee)

Va.

House Breaking and Larceny

Oddie Charrell
Boyd L. Edwards
W. C. Turner

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General for the state and it appearing that said cause has been on docket for such time as to make it advisable that same be retired and is so ordered by the Court.

State of Tennessee)

Va.

House Breaking and Larceny

Clint McCandless

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General for the state, and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion of the defendant it is ordered by the Court that the former sentence heretofore imposed upon the defendant to serve six months in jail be continued until next term of Court.

State of Tennessee)

Va.

House Breaking and Larceny

Boyd White

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General for the state, and the defendant in person and by Attorney, when upon motion of the defendant it is ordered by the Court that the former sentence heretofore imposed on the defendant to serve one year in the penitentiary be suspended until next term of the Court.

State of Tennessee)

Va.

Possessing Liquor

Elvis Chambers

This cause coming on to be heard before the Court, present for the State the Attorney General and the defendant in person and by Attorney when upon motion of the defendant the fine heretofore imposed upon him in this cause is suspended until next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee)

Va.

Tippling

Charlie McGruder

In this cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present for the state the Attorney General and the defendant not appearing it is ordered that as to him an alias issue.

State of Tennessee)

Va.

Transporting Liquor

Grady Chance

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by Attorney, when upon motion of the defendant it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that a nolle prosequi be entered in this case when the costs are paid or secured, Thence came into open Court Mrs. Ola Stricklin & Vernon Brewer and signed their names as sureties for all of this ~~XXX~~ costs, for all of which execution may issue.

Va.

Concealed weapons

F. D. Lofton

This cause coming on to be heard by the court, present the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney when for sufficient reasons it is ordered by the Court that the remainder of the unpaid fine be continued until next term of Court.

State of Tennessee)

Va.

Driving Drunk

Clifford Bennett

This cause coming on to be heard by the court, present the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by Attorney when it appearing to the Court that the judgment of the Court in this cause has been complied with fully, it is ordered by the Court that said cause be retired.

State of Tennessee)

Va.

Forfeiture

G. E. Miller
G. L. Raney

In this cause coming to be heard before the Court and it appearing to the Court that final judgment has heretofore been rendered against G. E. Miller on a forfeiture taken in said cause, but satisfactory reasons appearing to the Court it is now ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that upon payment of actual cost said final judgment be set aside for nothing held.

State of Tennessee)

Va.

Driving Drunk

Frank Oakley

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court and it appearing to the court that the full terms of the Court have been complied with it is ordered by the Court that said cause be struck from the docket.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Possessing Liquor

Grady Chance

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment, pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: Monroe Wright, P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, J. O. Prichard, Lenard Petty, E. G. Curtis, J. D. Holmes, D. C. Stringer, W. R. Bass and Malcolm Ingram who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing liquor as charged in the presentment and assess his fine at One Hundred Dollars, and sixty days in jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the county jail or work house until same is secured, paid or worked out. It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant serve a sentence of sixty days in jail out this sentence of sixty days in jail is suspended during good behavior, Thence came into open Court Mrs. Ola Stricklin & Vernon Brewer and signed their names as sureties for all of this fine and costs For which let execution issue

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Driving Drunk

Joe McGrary

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on charge on said presentment, pleads guilty

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prichard, Lenard Petty, J. D. Holmes, D. C. Stringer, W. R. Bass and Malcolm Ingram who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile on the highways of the State and County named in the presentment while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury that the defendant pay or secure a fine of Ten Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out. It is further ordered by the Court that the defendant serve thirty days in jail in Humphreys County but this jail sentence is suspended during good behavior. It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be prohibited from driving a motor driven vehicle in this State for a period of six months.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Driving Drunk

Buck Bibbs

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on charge on said presentment, pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues came joined a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prichard, Lenard Petty, J. D. Holmes, D. C. Stringer, W. R. Bass and Malcolm Ingram who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile on the highways of the State and County named in the presentment while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury that the defendant pay or secure a fine of Ten Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until same is paid, secured, or worked out. It is further ordered by the Court that the defendant serve thirty days in the jail in Humphreys County but this sentence is suspended during good behavior. It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be prohibited from driving a motor driven vehicle in this State for a period of six months.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Driving Drunk

F. C. Williams

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues came joined a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prichard, Lenard Petty, J. D. Holmes, D. C. Stringer, W. R. Bass and Malcolm Ingram who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile on the highways of the State and County named in the presentment while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury that the defendant pay or secure a fine of Ten Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out. It is further ordered by the Court that the defendant serve thirty days in jail in Humphreys County but this sentence is suspended during good behavior. It is further ordered, Adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the defendant be prohibited from driving a motor driven vehicle in this State for a period of six months.

State of Tennessee)

Va. Possessing Liquor

Theodocia Sricer

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prichard, Lenard Petty, J. D. Holmes, D. C. Stringer; W. R. Bass and Malcolm Ingram who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquors as charged in the presentment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out.

State of Tennessee)

Va. Driving Drunk

J. C. Parlan

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on charge on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prichard, Lenard Petty, J. D. Holmes, D. C. Stringer, W. R. Bass and Malcolm Ingram, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile on the highways of the state and County named in the presentment while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury that the defendant pay or secure a fine of Ten Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure the same he will be taken in custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out. It is further ordered by the Court that the defendant serve thirty days in jail in Humphreys County but this jail sentence is suspended during good behavior. It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be prohibited from driving a motor driven vehicle in this state for a period of six months.

State of Tennessee)

Va. Driving Drunk

Mike Burns

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on charge of said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prichard, Lenard Petty, J. D. Holmes, D. C. Stringer, W. R. Bass and Malcolm Ingram who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oaths do that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile on the highways of the state and the county named in the presentment while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury that the defendant pay or secure a fine of Ten Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in the Custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out. It is further ordered by the Court that the defendant serve thirty days in jail in Humphreys County but this sentence is suspended during good behavior. It is further ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be prohibited from driving a motor driven vehicle in this state for a period of six months.

State of Tennessee)

Va. Possessing Liquor

Luther Townsend

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prichard, Lenard Petty, J. D. Holmes, D. C. Stringer, W. R. Bass and Malcolm Ingram who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of Counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out.

State of Tennessee)
 Vs.) Possessing Liquor
 Will Valentine)
 In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prichard, Lenard Petty, J. D. Holmes, D. C. Stringer, W. R. Bass and Malcolm Ingram who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out.

State of Tennessee)
 Vs.) Driving Drunk
 Ben Ingram)
 In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues came joined a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prichard, Lenard Petty, J. D. Holmes, D. C. Stringer, W. R. Bass and Malcolm Ingram, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile on the highways of state and County named in the presentment while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury that the defendant pay or secure a fine of Ten Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in the custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out. It is further ordered by the Court that the defendant serve thirty days in jail in Humphreys County out this jail sentence is suspended during good behavior. It is further ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the defendant be prohibited from driving a motor driven vehicle in this state for a period of six months.

State of Tennessee)
 Vs.) Possessing Liquor
 Ham Burnett)
 In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being, duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prichard, Lenard Petty, J. D. Holmes, D. C. Stringer, W. R. Bass and Malcolm Ingram who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment and assess his punishment at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure the same he will be taken in the custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out.

State of Tennessee)
 Vs.) Possessing Liquor
 Robert Farley)
 In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prichard, Lenard Petty, J. D. Holmes, D. C. Stringer, W. R. Bass and Malcolm Ingram who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment and assess his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tenn. and by him confined in the County jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out. However, it is further ordered by the Court that the enforcement of this sentence be held and suspended until the next term of Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Common Law Misdemeanor

Clyde Mayberry

This cause coming on to be heard, present for the state the Attorney General and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned in said presentment pleads guilty to disturbing peace.

Thereupon to try the issues came joined a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prochard, Lenard Petty, J. D. Holmes, D. C. Stringer, W. R. Baas and Malcolm Ingram who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of disturbing the peace as charged in the presentment and assess and fix his punishment at Ten Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of Ten Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue. In the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee and by him confined in the County jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Tipping-----Forfeiture, Final Judgment

Della McGruder
Charlie McGruder
W. J. Hooper

In this cause it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that at the August term, 1936, of the Court that Charlie McGruder was regularly called to come into Court to answer a charge of tipping and failed to appear and that the bondsmen Della McGruder and W. J. Hooper were called to come and bring the body of the said Charlie McGruder to answer the charge as aforesaid and that they failed to do so. It further appearing that a sci facias was duly and legally issued and served on said bondsmen requiring them to appear at the next term of the Court to show cause why final judgment should not be rendered against them. Said bondsmen failed to appear and show cause and said conditional judgment was made final.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Tennessee, have and recover of the bondsmen, Della McGruder and W. J. Hooper the sum of \$250.00 and the costs of this cause of which judgment is given and execution will issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Possessing Liquor-----Final Judgment

W. H. Parker
A. Hood

In this cause it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that at the August Term, 1936, of the Court that W. H. Parker was regularly called to come into Court to answer a charge of possessing intoxicating liquors and failed to appear and that the bondsmen, A. Hood, were called to come and bring the body of the said W. H. Parker to answer the charge as aforesaid and that he failed to do so. It further appearing that a sci facias was duly and legally issued and served on said bondsmen requiring him to appear at the next term of the Court to show cause why final judgment should not be rendered against him said bondsmen failed to appear and show cause and said conditional judgment is made final.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Tennessee, have and recover of the said bondsmen, A. Hood, the sum of \$25.00 and the costs of this cause for which judgment is given and execution will issue.

R. H. Anderson et al

Vs.

Circuit Court for Humphreys County, Tennessee
April Term, 1937

Hub Warren

This day came the parties in their own proper person and by attorney, and also came a jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prochard, J. D. Holmes, D. C. Stringer, W. R. Baas, Malcolm Ingram and J. W. Townsend, who were duly sworn to try the issues joined between the plaintiff and the defendant, and who upon their oaths do say that they find the issues in favor of the plaintiffs, and that the plaintiffs are entitled to the possession of the premises as described in the warrant in this case is as follows: A Certain track or lot of land situated in the 5th Civil District of said County and on Buffalo River and bounded on the north by the lands of R. H. McKee, on the south by the lands of Mary Anderson and Paul Thornton, on the east by Buffalo River and on the West by Anderson heirs.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant the possession of said property, and a writ of possession will issue to plaintiffs on demand to put them into the possession of said property, and the defendant will pay the costs of the case for which execution will issue.

THIS DAY THE GRAND JURY CAME INTO COURT IN A BODY AND PRESENTS THE FOLLOWING REPORT, INDICTMENTS AND PRESENTMENTS.

We the members of the Grand Jury for the April Term 1937 of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County beg to leave to submit the following report to your honor.

We have diligently inquired and true presentment made of all matters given us in charge or otherwise brought to our knowledge.

We have examined the County jail, poor house and find the inmates well fed and cared for. The jail needs much repair, especially about windows, screening, etc. A new Mat tress and rubber sheet recommended for poor house.

We have examined all bonds required by law to be examined by us and find them properly executed and good and solvent for the various amounts thereof, the bond of Walter King as guardian appears unsatisfactory and needs strengthening, and now having completed our labors we respectfully ask to be discharged for the term.

INDICTMENTS AND PRESENTMENTS REPORTED BY THE GRAND JURY IN OPEN COURT

One against Theodora Spicer, B. D. subpoena for the state, Joe Traylor, T. R. Westbrook,
One against J. N. McKee, D. D. subpoena for the state, S. Smith, H.P., T. R. Westbrook,
J. Mac Reeves.

One against F. O. Williams, D. D. subpoena for the state, Trabue Lewis, Mack C. Simpson,
J. S. Westbrook, J. Mac Reeves.

One against Buck Bibbs, D. D. subpoena for the state, Trabue Lewis, T. R. Westbrook, Roy
Ingram.

One against Tom Spicer, D. D. subpoena for the state, Clarence Harbison, J. Mac Reeves,
J. S. Westbrook.

One against Johnnie Smith, B. D. subpoena for the state, T. R. Harris, J. Mac Reeves,
Joe Traylor.

One against Ray Haygood, subpoena for the state, Tom Harris, J. S. Westbrook, Joe Traylor,
D. B. McCann, John Wafford.

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April term of Circuit Court, A. D. 1937.
The Grand Juror for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged
to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and the state aforesaid, upon their
oath aforesaid, present that John Barryman heretofore, to wit, on the _____ day of
_____, 1937, in said County and State, unlawfully and feloniously did enter upon
the cultivated lands of one J. M. Tarpley, in third civil district of the County aforesaid
and ~~therefrom~~ ^{severed} from the said corn and carried away same, said corn having grown thereon and
being matured of the value of thirty dollars, said severing and taking of said corn being
for the purpose of depriving the owner thereof, and appropriating the same to his own use,
contrary to the statute against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.

W. C. Howell
Attorney General

April Term, 1937, The State vs. John Barryman, larceny, J. M. Tarpley, prosecutor. Subpoena
for the state, J. M. Tarpley, George Standfield, Phil Logan, Nathan Standfield. Witnesses
sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury April Term, 1937

R. H. McKee
Foreman Grand Jury

W. C. Howell
Attorney General

A True Bill

R. H. McKee
Foreman Grand Jury.

One against John T. Burk, D. D. subpoena for the state, J. Mac Reeves, T. R. Westbrook,
One against Charlie Rogers, D. D. subpoena for the state, Trabue Lewis, Joe Traylor,
J. Mac Reeves, T. R. Westbrook.

One against Joe Hays, Drunkenness, subpoena for the state, Dan Craft, F. F. Smith, J. C.
May, John W. Anderson.

One against Junia Carroll, Not a True Bill

One against Roy Ingram, Not a True Bill

Continued on page 591

COURT MET PRESUMPT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT A PRESIDING HONORABLE DANCY FORT, JUDGE, ETC.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Felonious Transportation

W. J. Jamison and
Jesse Bird

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney
General for the state and the defendant, Jesse Bird, in person and by attorney, when upon
agreement the cause is continued as to him and it appearing to the Court that the defen-
dant, W. J. Jamison, is not present it is ordered by the Court that as to him an alias
capias issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Felonious Assault

Martin Moran

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney
General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion of
the defendant it is ordered by the Court that the cause to be continued until the next
term of this Court for that reason Effie Moran, a witness for the defendant is absent
without fault of defendant.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Possessing Liquor

Joe Hays

In this cause comes the attorney General for the state and the defen-
dant in person and by attorney, when upon motion of the Attorney General it is ordered
by the Court that a nolle prosequi be entered in this cause.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Driving Drunk

Harris Bradly

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defen-
dant in person and by attorney, when upon motion of the Attorney General for the state
it is ordered by the Court that a nolle prosequi be entered in this cause.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Assault and Battery

A. G. Allissio

Comes the Attorney General for the State and A. G. Allissio having
been solemnly called to come into open Court as he was bound to do to answer the State
of Tennessee on an indictment here pending against him for assault and battery to the
terms of his recognizance came not but made default and the said first James
and Henry Anderson having solemnly called to come into open Court and bring with them
the body of said A. G. Allissio to answer said charge came not but made default. It is
therefore considered by the Court that the State of Tennessee recover said A. G. Allissio
and his sureties the sum Five Hundred Dollars according to the terms and effect of their
recognizance unless they show good causes to the contrary and that a scire facias issue
against them appear at the next term of Court and show cause, they may have why this
judgment should not be made final

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Possessing Liquor

Harris Bradly

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor.

Thereupon to try the issues came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prichard, Lenard Petty, J. D. Holmes, D. O. Stringer, W. R. Bass and Malcolm Ingram who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment and assess and fix his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure the same he will be taken in custody of the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee and by him confined in the county jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Driving Drunk

Joe Hays

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment, pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prichard, Lenard Petty, J. D. Holmes, D. O. Stringer, W. R. Bass and Malcolm Ingram, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally driving an automobile on the public highways of the state and county aforesaid while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury that the defendant pay or secure a fine of Ten Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue. The defendant will also serve thirty days in jail but this sentence is suspended during good behavior and the defendant is prohibited from driving an automobile for six months in said state.

In the event of his failure to pay or secure the fine and costs the defendant will be taken in custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in jail until same is paid, secured or worked out.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Drunkness -- Forfeiture

Porter Head

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and Porter Head having been solemnly called to come into open court as he was bound to do to answer the State of Tennessee on an indictment here pending against him for drunkness according to the terms of his recognizance, came not but made default and the said W. W. Norman and *L. E. Morgan* having been solemnly called to come into open court and bring with them the body of said Porter Head to answer said charge came not but made default. It is therefore considered by the Court that the State of Tennessee recover of said Porter Head and his sureties the sum of Two Hundred Dollars according to the terms and affect of their recognizance unless they show cause to the contrary and that a *scilicet* facias issue against them appear at the next term of Court and show cause, if any, they may have why this judgment should not be made final.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Larceny

Woodrow Johnson
Dayton Dugger
Murray May

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant Woodrow Johnson and Dayton Dugger, in person and by attorney, who, being duly arraigned and charged on said indictment pleads guilty to a trespass.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: Monroe Wright, J. P. Holleran, R. M. Plant, J. T. Warden, C. W. Trotter, N. C. Curtis, J. O. Prichard, Lenard Petty, J. D. Holmes, D. O. Stringer, W. R. Bass and Malcolm Ingram, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers Joe Taylor and D. B. McCann who had been previously selected and sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendants, Woodrow Johnson, and Dayton Dugger, each guilty of a trespass as charged in the indictment and assess and fix the punishment of each at thirty days in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendants Woodrow Johnson and Dayton Dugger each serve thirty days in the county jail and pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue. However the jail sentence is suspended during good behavior.

Court Adjourned until tomorrow at 9:00 o'clock

Duncan Ford Judge

J. C. Choate, Admr.

Vs.

Humphreys County et al

In the Circuit Court at Erin, Tennessee

In this cause, it appearing to the Court that an effort was made to change the venue of this cause from Humphreys County to Houston County, and that the statute was not complied with in the following respects:

First: That the affidavit in the form of a motion by J. C. Choate is only supported by two disinterested parties, where the statute requires three.

Second: That the Clerk of the Circuit at Waverly, in filing the transcript of the record, failed and neglected to send to this Court the original papers in the cause.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that this cause be remanded by the Circuit Court at Erin to the Circuit Court at Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee, and there be reinstated on the docket for further procedure.

The Clerk of this Court will mail to the Clerk of the Circuit Court at Waverly, a certified copy of this order, to be filed in this cause at Waverly, Tennessee, Humphreys County, Tennessee.

I do certify that the above is a true copy of order entered in the above styled cause. This November 16th 1936.

W. V. Pulley, Clerk

The foregoing order was not entered on the minutes, and by the Court is ordered to be entered now for then, Nov. 16th 1936.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Hugh Nickell

Age of Consent

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: Pat Holleran, W. R. Bass, J. W. Townsend, W. A. Buchanan, J. D. Holmes, Doyle King, H. M. Sykes, Oliver Dolan, J. O. Prichard, Bill Anderson, J. L. Tinnell, Fred Huges, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, D. B. McGann and D. A. Burch, who were previously selected and sworn according to law, and not having time to hear all the proof, said jury was reserited by the Court until tomorrow morning at nine o'clock and said jury retired in charge of their sworn officers aforesaid.

Court Adjourned until to-morrow at 9:00 O'clock.

Dancy Judge.

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A. D. 1937.

The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to enquire for the body of the county of Humphreys and the State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Delmer Hooper heretofore, to wit, on the 10th day of April 1937, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said Delmer Hooper on th day aforesaid in State and County, unlawfully did transport from one point to another in this State, intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said Delmer Hooper on the day and year aforesaid in the State and County aforesaid unlawfully and feloniously did transport from one point to another within this State, intoxicating liquor in quantity of one gallon or more, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. April Term of 1937, The State Vs. Delmer Hooper, B. D., subroena for the state, T. R. Westbrook, L. H. Winstead.

A True Bill

W. C. Howell
Attorney General

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A. D. 1937.

The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and the State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Robert Henry Droney heretofore, to wit, on the 23th day of February, 1937, in said County and State, unlawfully, feloniously and carnally knew Mary Lee Spicer, a female over the age of twelve and under the age of twenty-one years, the said Mary Lee Spicer and Robert Henry Droney not occupying the relation of husband and wife, at the time of such carnal knowledge, and the said Mary Lee Spicer not being at the time, and before said carnal knowledge, a bonded, lewed or kept female, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.

W. C. Howell
Attorney General

April Term, 1937, The State Vs. Robert Henry Droney, Age Of Consent, Burl Spicer, prosecutor subroena for the state, Burl Spicer, Mary Lee Spicer, Mary Cowan, Mollie Spicer. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, April Term, 1937.

R. H. McKeel
Foreman Grand Jury

W. C. Howell
Attorney General

A True Bill

R. H. McKeel
Foreman Grand Jury

Court adjourned until tomorrow until nine o'clock

Dancy Judge.

COURT MET PRESUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING HONORABLE DANCY FORT, JUDGE, ETC.

J. C. Choate, Administrator

Vs.

Humphreys County et als

In Circuit Court, of Humphreys County, Tennessee.

This cause was heard by the Court on the 23rd day of

April, 1937, on motion of the plaintiff, supported by affidavits, as required by the statute, and the Court is of the opinion that the Motion is well taken, that the cause for change of venue is good, and the truth of the allegations made is evident, and the change of venue is ordered because it appears to the Court that Plaintiff cannot have a fair and impartial trial in Humphreys County, in view of the fact that the cause has been three times heard in this Court, and on each trial the Jury was unable to agree.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the venue in this action be changed to Houston County, Tennessee, and the Clerk of this Court is ordered and directed to make a transcript of the record in this cause, and file the same duly certified with the Clerk of the Circuit Court at Erin, Tennessee, together with all papers on file and this cause prior to the convening of the next term of said Court.

O.K. Dancy Fort.....Judge

J. C. Choate, Administrator

Vs.

Humphreys County, et als.

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

In this case comes J. C. Choate, Administrator, and plaintiff, and moves the Court to order and direct a change of venue in this action, and in support of his motion plaintiff says:

That he verily believes that owing to prejudice now existing, and heretofore existing, against his cause of action, and a prejudice or partiality in favor of the defendants, J. C. Miller, Doss, Thompson, John James, J. P. Houseman, W. H. Crockett, Walter Long and W. R. May, some, or all of them, the plaintiff cannot have a fair and impartial trial of his cause in Humphreys County. That he has presented the facts of the cause to three juries of Humphreys County, and which juries on a fair and impartial charge of the law by the Court, the evidence in each trial decidedly preponderating in favor of the plaintiff, said juries having failed and neglected and refused to reach a verdict, and Plaintiff therefore thinks it futile and needless expense to further submit the cause to the jury of Humphreys County.

Plaintiff therefore prays to the Court to order and direct and change the venue to another County, and in accordance with the Statute in such case made and provided.

Howard E. Brown

J. W. Stephen

W. F. Turner

Attorney for Plaintiff

Seal

J. C. Choate makes oath that the facts and circumstances stated in his foregoing motion are true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

J. C. Choate

Subscribed to and sworn before
this the 23rd day of April, 1937

John A. Lehman
Notary Public