

One against B.P. McNabb, Driving Drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1933, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that B.P. McNabb heretofore, to wit, on the 2nd day of April 1933, in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the public highways of said State and County while under the influence of intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, April Term 1933 The State Vs. B.P. McNabb, Driving Drunk, subpoena for the State; J.T. Mathis, Harry Sears, J.M. Reeves, D.B. McCann, Trent Westbrook and John Ingram, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury A.S.J. Curtis, J.M. Hooper, W.C. Davis, W.L. Lattimer, N.M. Daniel, W.M. Kiley, J.C. Parks, R.T. Choate, C.P. Burchard, W.L. White, J.M. Reese and J.W. Bell.

One against B.L. Thompson, Driving Drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1933, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid, present that B.L. Thompson heretofore, to wit, on the 24th day of March 1933, in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the public highways of said State and County while under the influence of intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, April Term, 1933 THE STATE Vs. Ben L. Thompson, Driving Drunk, Subpoena for the State; J.T. Mathis and J.W. Knight, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, A.S.J. Curtis, J.M. Hooper, W.C. Davis, W.L. Lattimer, N.M. Daniel, W.M. Kiley, J.C. Parks, R.T. Choate, C.P. Burchard, W.L. White, J.M. Reese and J.W. Bell.

One against Hal Strickland, Driving Drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1933 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Hal Strickland heretofore, to wit, on the 18th day of February 1933, in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the public highways of said State and County while under the influence of intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, A.S.J. Curtis, J.M. Hooper, W.C. Davis, W.L. Lattimer, N.M. Daniel, W.M. Kiley, J.C. Parks, R.T. Choate, C.P. Burchard, W.L. White, J.M. Reese and J.W. Bell.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Robert Edwards, Jesse Edwards and Joseph Edwards)

Transporting more than one gallon liquor.

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendants in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; E. Cowen, Alvie Simpson, A.S. Gibbs, D.A. Busch, H.M. Turner, Robert Wheeler, Carl Ridings, W.T. Hughey, John Collier, Chesley Vaden, J.R. Perkins, J.W. Mooney, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find each of the defendants guilty of illegally transporting more than one gallon of intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment and assess the fine of each defendant at one year and one day in the Penitentiary.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury, each of the defendants be confined in the state Penitentiary at Nashville, Tennessee, for a period of time of not less than one year and one day nor more than one year and one day and that they pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Robert Edwards, Jesse Edwards and Joseph Edwards)

Transporting more than one gallon liquor.

In this cause comes again the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the state and the defendant, Robert Edwards in person and by attorney and presents a petition to the Court, which petition is heard by the Court and is as follows:

#### PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE J.D.G. MOTTRON, CIRCUIT JUDGE, PRESIDING AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE, FOR HUMPHREYS COUNTY.

Your petitioner, Bob Edwards, represents and shows to the Court, that at the present April term of the Court, 1933, he was tried under an indictment obtained in said Court at a said term against himself and two brothers, Jesse and Joseph Edwards, charged with possessing and transporting liquor of more than one gallon. Was convicted and given a penitentiary sentence of one year and one day, and upon the Court's own motion, petitioner's sentence was reduced to three months in the State Penitentiary. Your petitioner represents and shows to the Court that he and his wife constitute his family that he lives at Denver, Tennessee, in Humphreys County; that he is thirty-eight years of age, and that during his whole life, he has never been charged or convicted of the infraction of any criminal law. That he has never in his life engaged in the liquor business in any form or manner. That he makes his living by hard labor, and has lived peaceably, soberly and honestly all his life. That he is without any accumulative means, but has so lived that he believes he can pay or secure, if this petition is granted, one-third of the Court costs in this case, and will undertake to pay or secure the same, and he believes that if payment of one-third of the costs would not be legal, he can secure or pay the entire cost of the case, and will undertake to do so.

The petitioner states that his reason for seeking parole or suspension of sentence in this case is as follows:

That he is absolutely innocent of the violation of the law for which he was indicted, t

tried and convicted. That he was not an aider or an abettor in the violation of the law, under which he was convicted. He did not know that his brother, who owned the car had any liquor in the car before or at the time of their arrest; he did not own the car, was not with his brother when the liquor was put in the car, as he was not present, but was at his father's home, away from where the liquor was put in the car, had no occasion to examine the car, thought nothing about examining it to see what was in the back part of the car, but only knew that his brother had gone after some of his belonging over where he had stayed from his father's, and on his return to the father's, none of the containers in which the liquor was found were so situated in the car that he could see, without he had taken off a lot of stuff, with which the liquor was covered up, and he had no reason, or right, and was not charged with the duty to make an investigation of the contents of his brother's car, and did not at the time suspicion or know that liquor was in the car. He states that both of his brothers on the trial of the case sworn, and he swore and no reiterates his statement on the trial, that he knew nothing of the liquor being in the car, did not put it there, had nothing to do with it, had not drank any of it, and knew nothing about it, and he avers that there was no substantial proof that he knew or suspected that there was liquor in the car in which he was riding. He did state that he had taken a drink of whiskey before he left his home, early in the morning, and this fact is true, and had he desired to misrepresent about the matter, he could have said that he had not taken a drink of whiskey that morning. Hence, your petitioner avers that he should not be punished for an offense that he has not committed himself, or aided in the commission thereof. Petitioner prays that he be paroled or his sentence suspended, or if not permanently suspended, that it be suspended upon good behavior, and he be relieved thereof. Your petitioner offers the testimony of the best citizens in his community as to his character for sobriety, honesty, energy, truth and conduct of every kind in the community where he lives and elsewhere. This April 24, 1933

Bob Edwards

State of Tennessee  
Humphreys County.

Bob Edwards, petitioner in the foregoing petition, makes oath that the facts and things stated in said petition, as of his own knowledge are true, and these made on information he believes to be true.

Bob Edwards

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this 24th day of April, 1933.

L.C. Bohanan, Circuit Court Clerk.

and said petition be heard and considered by the Court and after due consideration the Court is of the opinion that the sentence heretofore pronounced against the said defendant Robert Edwards, should be reduced and it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said sentence as to Robert Edwards be set aside and it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that as to the defendant, Robert Edwards, he be taken in custody of the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and confined in jail in said County for thirty days consecutive days in the workhouse of said County.

Court Then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'clock.

*J.D. Morton*....., Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment present and presiding the Hon. J.D. Morton, Judge etc. This day the Grand Jury came into open court and represents the following indictments and presentments.

One against John Dodd, Driving Drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1933 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and state aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that John Dodd heretofore, to wit, on the 22nd day of January 1933, in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile upon the public highways of the state and county aforesaid while under the influence of intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, April Term, 1933, The State Vs. John Dodd, Driving Drunk, Subpoena for the State; J.T. Mathis, Harry Sears, T.R. Westbrook, and J.M. Reeves, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, J.M. Hooper, A.S.J. Curtis, H.M. Daniel, J.W. Bell, W.C. Davis, R.T. Choate, W.L. White, W.L. Latimer, W.M. Kiley, J.C. Parks and C.P. Burchard.

One against John Diviny, Driving Drunk, subpoena for the state J.T. Mathis, Harry Sears and Esq. J.M. Reeves.

State of Tennessee  
Vs.  
Elvis Chambers

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

One against W.J. Jamison, B.D. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term Circuit Court, A.D. 1933 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that W.J. Jamison and Jess Bird heretofore, to wit, on the 5th day of April 1933, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that W.J. Jamison and Jess Bird on the day and year aforesaid in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully did transport from one point to another in the State, intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of The State of Tennessee. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said W.J. Jamison and Jess Bird on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesaid unlawfully and feloniously did transport from one point to another within the State, intoxicating liquors in quantity of one gallon or more, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. April Term, 1933 The State Vs. W.J. Jamison and Jess Bird, B.D. Subpoena for the State; J.T. Mathis, Harry Sears, and Esq. J.M. Reeves. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, J.M. Hooper, A.S.J. Curtis, H.M. Daniel, J.M. Reese, J.W. Bell, W.C. Davis, R.T. Choate, W.L. White, W.M. Kiley, W.L. Latimer, J.C. Parks and C.P. Burchard.

One against Norfleet Rand, W.M. Cunningham, Bob Clapp, Fred Hume, B.D. Subpoena for the State; J.T. Mathis and Harry Sears.

One against Paul Westbrook, Driving Drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows. to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1933, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Paul Westbrook heretofore, to wit on the 2nd day of April 1933, in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the public highways of said State and County while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, April Term, 1933 The State Vs. Paul Westbrook, Driving Drunk, subpoena for the State; Harry Sears and J.T. Kathis, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, J.M. Hooper, A.S. J. Curtis, W.M. Daniel, J.W. Bell, W.C. Davis, R.T. Choate, W.L. White, W.M. Kiley, W.L. Latimer, J.C. Parks and C.P. Burchard.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Age Consent

Joe Thompson

In this case comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit; A.S. Gibbs, John Ingram, J.I. Ridings, Fred Marra, Guy McMillon, W.H. Hamm, M.W. Woods, H.M. Vaden, J.N. Duncan, Jeff Fields, Halden Waggoner and Bob May, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, J.R. Traylor and T.R. Westbrook, deputy sheriffs of Humphreys County, Tenn., who, had previously been legally sworn to attend them, and not having time to proceed no further said Jury was respite by the Court until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'Clock, and they retired in charge of their aforesaid sworn officers.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'Clock.

*J. D. G. Morton*....., Judge.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE ETC.

State of Tennessee)

Driving Drunk.

Vs.

Marvin Blackburn

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person, and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; J.I. Ridings, Alvie Simpson, A.S. Gibbs, D.A. Burch, H.M. Turner, Robert Wheeler, Carl Ridings, W.T. Hughey, John Collier, Chesley Vaden, J.R. Perkins and J.W. Mooney, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at thirty days in jail and also a fine of Ten Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of Ten Dollars and will serve a term of thirty days in jail in Haverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee, and will pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

It is further ordered by the Court, that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of six months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of Four months.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

B.D.

Tom Crawford

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; J.I. Ridings, Alvie Simpson, John Collier, Robert Wheeler, Chesley Vaden, W.T. Hughey, John Gray, A.S. Gibbs, John Brown, J.R. Perkins, J.W. Mooney & CLYNN Greenwell, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence with out day.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Age Consent

Joe Thompson

In this cause comes again the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, when the jury heretofore selected and sworn in this cause to wit; A.S. Gibbs, John Ingram, J.I. Ridings, Fred Marra, Guy McMillon, W.H. Hamm, M.W. Woods, H.M. Vaden, J.N. Duncan, Jeff Fields, Halden Palmer and Bob May, having returned into open court in charge of their sworn officers J.R. Traylor and T.R. Westbrook, and having resumed the consideration of this cause, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their do say that they cannot agree upon a verdict in this cause. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that a mistrial be entered in this cause, and the jury be discharged, and the case continued until the next term of this court.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'Clock

*J. D. G. Morton*....., Judge.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J. D. G. MORTON, JUDGE, ETC.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Driving Drunk.

Frank Anderson

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and the defendant in person, and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; J. I. Ridings, Alvie Simpson, A. S. Gibbs, D. A. Burch, H. M. Turner, Robert Wheeler, Carl Ridings, W. T. Hughey, John Collier, Chesley Vaden, J. R. Perkins & J. W. Mooney, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Thirty days in jail and also a fine of Ten Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of Ten Dollars and will serve a term of Thirty days in jail in Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee, and will pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue. It is further ordered by the Court, that the jail sentence suspended until the next term of this Court on paying or securing said fine and costs.

It is further ordered by the Court, that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of Six months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of Four months.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B.D.

Herman Edwards

In this case came the Attorney General Pro tem, for the State and the defendant in person, who, duly charged and arraigned, on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to assess the defendant's punishment came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tenn. to wit; J. I. Ridings, Alvie Simpson, A. S. Gibbs, D. A. Burch, H. M. Turner, Robert Wheeler, Carl Ridings, W. T. Hughey, John Collier, Chesley Vaden, J. R. Perkins & J. W. Mooney, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his fine at the sum of One Hundred Dollars.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred dollars, and cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

And in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine cost he shall be confined in the County jail or Workhouse of Humphreys County Tennessee, until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and cost.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Rape &amp; Age consent.

Leon Runions &  
Hub Cooley

In this case came the Attorney General Pro tem, for the State and the defendants in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a Jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; O. D. Dalton, Robert Parker, Dorrie Sutton, Melvin Curtis, J. A. Lehman, Ed Cowen, C. H. Smith, G. G. Garner, John Gray, Charley Townsend, John Brown & Will Hassell, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, Geo. Wyatt & Frank Ingram, deputy sheriffs of Humphreys County, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, and the proof not being completed, said jury was resworn by the Court until tomorrow morning at half past eight o'clock and said jury retired in charge of their sworn officers aforesaid.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B.D.

Ed Carnell

In this case came the Attorney General Pro tem, for the State and the defendant in person, who, being duly charged and arraigned, on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to assess the defendant's punishment came a Jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tenn. to wit; J. I. Ridings, Alvie Simpson, A. S. Gibbs, D. A. Burch, H. M. Turner, Robert Wheeler, Carl Ridings, W. T. Hughey, John Collier, Chesley Vaden, J. R. Perkins, & J. W. Mooney, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his fine at the sum of One Hundred Dollars.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One hundred dollars, and cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

And in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and cost he shall be confined in the county jail or work house of Humphreys County, Tennessee, until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and cost.

COURT THEN ADJOURNED UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING AT 9:30 O'CLOCK.

..... Judge.



COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE, ETC.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Leon Runions &  
Hub Cooley

Rape and age of consent.

In this cause comes again the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the state and the defendants in person and by attorney, when the jury, heretofore selected and sworn in this cause, to wit; O.D. Dalton, Robert Parker, Morris Sutton, Melvin Curtis, J.A. Lehman, Ed Cowen, C.N. Smith, G.G. Garner, John Gray, Charley Townsend, John Brown & Will Hassell, having returned into open Court in charge of their sworn officers, George Wyatt & Frank Ingram, and having resumed the consideration of this cause, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant Hub Cooley not guilty and they find the defendant Leon Runions, guilty of having had an unlawful sexual intercourse with Elsie May Pegrum, a female, more than twelve years of age and under the age of twenty one years and who was not a lewd, bawd or kept female at the time of said intercourse and assess and fix his punishment at three years in the penitentiary.

Whereupon the defendant gave notice of a motion for a new trial and this cause is passed pending the filing and hearing of said motion.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Lourie Wheatley

B.D.

In this case comes the Attorney General Protem., for the State and the defendant in person, who, being duly charged and arraigned, on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to assess the defendant's punishment came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tenn. to wit; J.I. Ridings, Alvie Simpson, A.S. Gibbs, D.A. Burch, H.M. Turner, Robert Wheeler, Carl Ridings, W.T. Hughey, John Collier, Chesley Vaden, J.R. Perkins, & J.W. Mooney, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his fine at the sum of One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars, and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

And in event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he shall be confined in the County Jail or work-house until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs

Court then adjourned until Monday morning at 9:00 O'Clock.

..... J.D.G. Morton ..... Judge.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE ETC.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Lesa Crafton,  
Dude Moran and  
Monroe Crafton

House breaking and Larceny

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendants, Lesa Crafton and Dude Moran in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; J.I. Ridings, Alvie Simpson, A.S. Gibbs, D.A. Burch, H.M. Turner, Robert Wheeler, Carl Ridings, W.T. Hughey, John Collier, D.C. Vaden, J.R. Perkins, J.W. Mooney, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers D.A. Burch and J.R. Traylor, deputy sheriffs of Humphreys County, Tennessee, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that, they find the defendants Lesa Crafton and Dude Moran guilty of petit larceny as charged in the indictment and assess and fix the punishment at one year in the Penitentiary.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the jury, the defendants and each of them Lesa Crafton and Dude Moran, be confined in the State Penitentiary at Nashville, Tennessee, at hard labor for a period of time of not less than one year nor more than one year, and that each of the defendants be rendered infamous and that they pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Norfleet Rand, et. al.

Possessing intoxicating liquor.

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro tem., for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a Jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; V.Y. Rogers, Alvie Simpson, Carl Ridings, Robert Wheeler, D.C. Vaden, W.H. Ham, Glynn Greenwell, Henry Hicks, Jeff Fiebow, J.R. Perkins, J.W. Mooney & W.W. Warren, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant, Norfleet Rand, guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment and assess his fine at the sum of One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant pay or secure the costs of this cause and for good and sufficient reasons appearing to the Court that upon the payment or securing of the costs of this cause the payment of the fine of one hundred dollars assessed by the Jury be suspended indefinitely. Let execution issue for the costs of this cause.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'Clock,

..... J.D.G. Morton ..... Judge.

## Minutes, Humphreys County Circuit Court, 25th day of April Term, 1933

COURT MET PRESUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE, ETC., The Grand Jury came into open court in a body and presents the following indictment and presentments

One against Dude Moran, Less Crafton, and Monroe Crafton, H.B. and Laroeny, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows: to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1933.

The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Dude Moran, Less Crafton & Monroe Crafton heretofore, to wit, on the 15th day of February 1933 in said County and State, unlawfully, feloniously and forcibly did break and enter the business house of one Mrs. W.F. Autry, of said County, with intent to commit a felony, to wit, larceny. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon the 1st oath aforesaid, further present that the said Dude Moran, Less Crafton and Monroe Crafton on the day and the year aforesaid, in the state and county aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did take steal and carry away overalls, jumpers, cigarettes and men shoes all of the value of Twenty Dollars, and of the goods and chattels of the said Mrs. W.F. Autry, with intent to deprive her, the said Mrs. W.F. Autry, the true owner thereof and convert the same to their owner use, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Dude Moran, Less Crafton and Monroe Crafton of said county, on the day and year aforesaid, in the county aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did receive, buy, conceal, and aid in concealing overalls, jumpers, cigarettes and men shoes of the value of twenty dollars, the property of Mrs. W.F. Autry of said County, before then feloniously stolen, taken and carried away by some one, to the Grand Jury unknown, they the said Dude Moran, Less Crafton and Monroe Crafton, then and there knowing the said property aforesaid to have been feloniously stolen, taken, and carried away, and they the said Dude Moran, Less Crafton and Monroe Crafton intending then and there fraudulently to deprive the owner thereof, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.

W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, April Term, 1933 The State Vs. Dude Moran, Less Crafton and Monroe Crafton, H.B. & Laroeny, O.G. Frazee, Prosecutor, Subpoena for the State Mrs. W.F. Autry, A.G. Frazee, Lafayette Williams, J.S. Westbrook and T.R. Westbrook, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury April Term, 1933 R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL. R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Herschell Cooley, B.D. subpoena for the State; J.T. Mathis, Harry Sears and Esq. J.M. Reeves.

One against Ben L. Thompson, B.D. subpoena for the State; J.T. Mathis and Esq. J.W. Knight.

One against Lee Ingram, subpoena for the State; J.T. Mathis, Harry Sears, Esq. J.M. Reeves and D.B. McCann.

## Minutes, Humphreys County Circuit Court, 25th day of April Term, 1933

Southern Bell Telephone & Telegraph Company

Vs.

Filed This April 25, 1933  
L. O. Chanan, Clerk.

In the matters of compensation for

Injury  
(Injury or Death)

In the Circuit Court of Humphreys  
County, Tennessee

of J. M. Freeman  
Employee of Southern Bell Telephone and  
Telegraph Company, Employer

We, Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company, and

J. M. Freeman  
(Name of Employee or names of dependents of deceased employee)

being the only parties interested in the above matters, show that we are subject to the provisions of Chapter 123 of the General Assembly of Tennessee for the year 1919, known as the "Workman's Compensation Act", and having reached a settlement thereunder, hereby petition the Court for the approval of said settlement, expressly referred to, and made a party herof.

Furthermore, the petitioners agree to abide by all the provisions of the aforesaid Act, in so far as they are applicable to them.

Also, furthermore, petitioners show that the attached settlement is substantially in accordance with the provisions of the aforesaid Act. and petition the Court to approve said settlement.

Dated this 6 day of April 1933

Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company

By Estes Young  
Tennessee Plant Superintendent

(Title)

J. M. Freeman

Form 4-Work Comp.

Employer Required to File Copy of this settlement with Labor Department Workman's Compensation Division within ten days after settlement is made: Nashville, Tenn.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT  
BETWEEN EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE UNDER "WORKMAN'S COMPENSATION ACT"  
STATE OF TENNESSEE

IN RE:

Southern Bell Tel. & Tel. Co. Employer  
and  
J. M. Freeman Employee  
or  
Dependent.

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into this 6 day of April 1933,

By and between J. M. Freeman and Southern Bell Tel. & Tel. Co.  
(Injured employee or Dependent (Employer Insurance Company, or Trustee)  
of deceased Employee)

that for and in consideration of the sum of One Hundred Nine ..... Dollars and 33/100 cents (\$109.33) to the said J. M. Freeman  
(Injured employee or dependent of deceased employee)

paid by the said Southern Bell Tel. & Tel. Co.  
(Employer, Insurance Company or Trustee)

making in all, with weekly payments already received by the said J. M. Freeman

the total sum of ONE HUNDRED NINE ..... dollars and 33/100 cents (\$109.33)

in full settlement of compensation under the "Workmen's Compensation Act" of Tennessee, on account of Injury, to wit: Painful injuries to neck and cuts on head.  
(Injury or Death) (Give description of injury)

resulting to J. M. Freeman on or about the 30th day of  
(Name of injured or deceased employee.)

January, 1933, while in the employ of Southern Bell Tel. & Tel. Co., Haverly, Tenn.  
(Employer) (Postoffice, State)

## Minutes, Humphreys County Circuit Court, 25th day of April Term, 1933

Said compensation dating from 1-31-33 to 3-19-33  
 (Date of beginning of compensation) (Date of ending of compensation)  
 and being in amount, \$109.33. And it is agreed and understood that all claims for compensation for the before mentioned Injury under the "Workman's Compensation Act" of Tennessee are this day paid in full, and final settlement is herewith acknowledged. This agreement being subject to review and approval of the Judge of the Circuit Court of the County where the claim for compensation under this Act is entitled to be made. Total expense paid by Telephone Company.

It is herewith stated as an essential part of this agreement that its terms and provisions are in full accord with Section 7, 27, and 35 of the "Workmen Compensation Act" of Tennessee (and in case of a trustee, Section 39 of said Act).

Witness our hands this 6 day of April, 1933

Estes Young, Tenn. Plant Superintendent J. M. Freeman  
 (Signature of employer, Insurance Company or Trustee) (Signature of Employee or Dependent)

215 Church St.

(Street and number)

Street and number

Nashville, Tennessee

(City or Town)

Waverly, Tennessee.

(City or Town)

Filed this April 25, 1933  
 L. C. Bohanan, Clerk.

State of Tennessee)  
 County of Davidson)

Personally came and appeared before me, the undersigned Notary Public in and for the aforesaid State and County, Estes Young who, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Tennessee Plant Superintendent of the Southern Bell Telephone & Telegraph Company, that he has read the foregoing petition and settlement attached hereto, and that the facts and allegations contained therein are true and correct.

Estes Young.

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this 6 day of April, 1933

(Seal)

R. L. Harding  
 Notary Public  
 My commission expires April 6, 1936

State of Tennessee)  
 County of Humphreys)

Personally came and appeared before me, the undersigned County Court Clerk in and for the aforesaid State and County, J. M. Freeman who, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has read the foregoing petition and settlement attached thereto, and that the facts and allegations contained therein are true and correct.

J. M. Freeman

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 24 day of April, 1933

(Seal)

G. L. Raney, County Court Clerk.

In the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, Tennessee,

In the matters of compensation for injury

To J. M. Freeman Employee,

Against Southern Bell Telephone & Telegraph Company, Incorporated.

#### ORDER APPROVING FINAL SETTLEMENT:

Upon reading the final settlement and release and joint petition of the parties, and it appearing to the Court that the above named employee has received all medical benefits under the Workman's Compensation Act to which he is entitled, and compensation under said Act and that he has released the above named employer from all liabilities under the Act. It is therefore ordered, that said settlement be and the same is hereby approved, and that said employer pay all costs for which execution may be issued.

Judge of Circuit Court.

## Minutes, Humphreys County Circuit Court, 25th day of April Term, 1933

Dated at Waverly, Tennessee, May 12, 1933

I hereby that this is a correct copy of the original filed in this cause entered in M.B. 16 Page 61-62-63

L. C. Bohanan, Clerk

By \_\_\_\_\_ Deputy Clerk.

Filed this April 25, 1933  
 L. C. Bohanan, Clerk.

Charlie Buchanan)

Vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee.

C. N. Simpson

In this cause the defendant is allowed until the next term of Court to answer, plead, demur or otherwise plead at any time before the next term of the Court in order not to delay the cause at the next term. The Court so orders, adjudge and decrees.

Charlie Buchanan, next Friend  
 of Tealie Buchanan

Vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

C. N. Simpson

In this cause, came the defendant and filed plea in abatement to ancillary attachment issued in the cause and levied upon certain property of the defendant, and other property, not belonging to the defendant, all of which is set out in the return of the officer on the attachment, to which reference is here made, and which return is made a part hereof, denying that he, the defendant had fraudulently disposed of his property, or was about fraudulently dispose of his property, and the plaintiff joined issue with the defendant upon this plea; and after considering the affidavit made by the plaintiff for the issuance of the attachment, and the attachment, and the plea and abatement, and hearing the oral testimony offered by plaintiff and defendant, the Court is pleased to sustain the plea in abatement, and orders that all the property levied upon in said attachment be released, and the plaintiff pay the cost incident to said attachment, for which execution may issue.

Lorine Mc Gruder)

Vs.

In Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee

Leslie Mc Gruder,

Proconfesso,

In this cause on motion of the Complainant, and it duly appearing to the Court, that the defendant has been regularly served with subpoena to answer, five days before the trial of the case, and having failed to appear and make defense to the bill, with in the time required by law, it is ordered by the Court, that as to the defendant, Compulsory bill be taken for confessed, and the cause for hearing experts.

Lorine McGruder }  
 Vs. }  
 Leslie McGruder, }

In Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee, April Term 1933.

Final Decree.

This cause came on to be heard, and was heard before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Judge of the Circuit Court at Waverly, upon the bill of complaint, of Lorine McGruder, the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open Court, the order pro confesso, and the whole record in the cause.

And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from the bill and the proof that the defendant has failed to provide for the complainant according to his means, not even furnishing her the necessary food and clothing, and that he has practically abandoned her and turned her out of doors.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that complainant is vested with all the rights of an unmarried woman.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the son of complainant and defendant, a boy named Robert McGruder, who is about eight years of age, may live either with his father, the defendant, or with his mother, the complainant, each of the parents may contribute to his support and when he is with either of the parents the one that he is with shall contrail and direct, or have absolute management of him, without the interference of the other.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Mussel boat mentioned in the bill is decreed to the complainant, and she may dispose of same as she sees proper, and that all the household goods now in the possession of the complainant is decreed to her.

It is further ordered and decreed by the Court that the defendant pay all the costs of this cause, including the six dollars that was deposited by complainant with the Clerk on the filing of the bill in the cause, and for all of which let execution may issue.

State of Tennessee }  
 Vs. } Rape and age of consent.

Leon Runions & Hub Cooley. }

In this cause comes again the Attorney General, Pro tem., for the state and the defendant Leon Runions in person and by attorney, when the motion for a new trial, heretofore filed in this cause by Leon Runions came on to be heard by the Court, and which motion is as follows:

State of Tennessee }  
 Vs. }  
 Leon Runions & Hub Cooley. }

In the Circuit Court,  
 at Waverly, Tennessee.

MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL

Comes the defendant Leon Runions, in person and by attorney and moves the Court for a new trial in this case upon the following grounds:

(1) Because the verdict of guilty, as found by the jury is against the weight of the evidence in favor of the defendant Runions, and because the weight of the evidence preponderates against the verdict of the jury, and in favor of innocence of the defendant Runions.

(2) Because the Court charged the jury, on page 3 of his charge in the following language:

"In this regard, gentlemen, on this question, I charge you that, if a female is presumed to be chaste; that is, is presumed not to be bawd, lewd, or kept woman, until the contrary is shown, but on this question I charge you that you must look to all the proof in the case, and if from all the proof in the case, you find that Elsie Mai Pegram before the occasion charged in the indictment, had had illegal intercourse with any man, other than Leon Runions then there can be no conviction on this count- or, if you have reasonable doubt as to whether she had had such illegal intercourse with any man, other than Leon Runions, the defendant cannot be convicted on this count of the indictment."

Hence, the jury no doubt disregarded this part of the charge of the Court, because the preponderance of the evidence introduced was, that two witnesses testified that they had had intercourse, prior to the time charged in the indictment, with the female in question, and two other witnesses testified that they saw one of these witnesses, prior to the time charged in the indictment for the offense, have intercourse with the female in question, and none of these witnesses were impeached.

(3) Because one Robert Stitt was under subpoena as a witness for the defendant, appeared in court, was sworn, put under the rule, and put in the witnessroom, where he remained during the progress of the trial, and was the last witness to testify for the defendant, and when called, he had escaped the witness room, left the Court House, attachment issued for him, when he could not be found, hence, the defendant lost the benefit of his testimony, his absence not being by permission, consent or knowledge of the defendant, and if his testimony could have been had, he would have sworn that on or about May 1st, 1932, he met the female in question at the high school in Waverly, and invited her to a seat in an automobile parked just outside of the school grounds, and in less than five minutes after they were seated in the automobile, by her consent, he had intercourse with her, and that his acquaintance before was slight, and that he was of no relation to either of the defendants, or any one else connected with the trial of the lawsuit.

(4) Because this witness, just a short while before he was to testify, was told by the female in question, that if he testified to the facts stated above, about his relations with her, that she would put him in the penitentiary, and that said witness Stitt is a boy of about seventeen years of age.

(5) Because, in cases of this character, the Court is the thirteenth juror, and should not permit the incarceration of the defendant Runions, a boy of about twenty years in the Penitentiary, in the face of the preponderance of the evidence, on the point of her having intercourse with other men, besides the defendant, and the escape of the defendant's witness, by no fault procurement of the absence of the witness by the defendant Runions.

(6) Because the Jury was no doubt prejudiced, capricious and unsteady in its conclusion, being carried away in such ideas because of the age of the female in question. Filed this April 25th 1933. L.O. Bohanan, Clerk.

And said motion being heard by the Court it is in all things over-ruled. To which action of the Court the defendant, Leon Runion excepts.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant be confined in the State Penitentiary at Nashville, Tennessee, at hard labor for a period of time of not less than three years and not more than three years and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.



Thereupon the defendant, Leon Runions, moved an arrest of judgment, which motion is likewise overruled by the Court and to which action of the Court the defendant excepts.

Thereupon the defendant, Leon Runions, prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court at Nashville, Tennessee, which appeal is granted and the defendant is allowed thirty days in which to prepare and file his bill of exception. The defendant will execute an appearance bond as required by law, in the sum of Twenty Five Hundred Dollars for his appearance before the Supreme Court at Nashville, Tennessee, and in the event of his failure he will be held in the custody of the sheriff of Humphreys County to await the orders of the Court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Rape & Age Consent.

Jim Logan

In this case comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Wyley Wheeler, Alvie Simpson, Carl Ridings, D.C. Vaden, Jesse Qualls, L.A. Crowell, C.E. Ellison, J.A. Curtis, D.A. Burch, R.D. Hughey, W.T. Hughey and J.A. Lehman, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, Jim Thomas and Tom Ferguson, deputy sheriffs of Humphreys County, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of the counsel and the charge of the Court then ~~construed~~ <sup>on its own</sup> motion directed the jury to return a verdict of not guilty, so the Jury did return a verdict of not guilty.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Carrying a pistol.

Henry Danesworth

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute this case no further. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Larceny.

Roy Hughey

This case is continued until the next term of this by the State.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Manufacturing liquors.

Hubert Curtis,  
Tom Curtis &  
Walter Bradley

This case is continued by consent until the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Tom Curtis

This case is continued by consent until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

B.D.

P. K. Wilson

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court, that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of possessing intoxicating liquors, and the said defendant, ~~was arrested and entered into bond~~ with W.E. Moss and E.B. Lover, as his sureties, which bond is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, We, P.K. Wilson and ..... agree to pay to the State of Tennessee Five Hundred Dollars unless the said P.K. Wilson appear at the next term of this Circuit Court of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to be held at the Court-house in the town of Waverly, on the 2nd Monday in December 1933 on Tuesday of said term, to answer the State of Tennessee for the offense of possessing intoxicating liquors, and do not depart the Court without leave.

P.K. Wilson, principal  
W.E. Moss, surety  
E.B. Lover, "

Approved:

Walter McNeil, Shff.

This 9 day of Oct., 1932 By.....Deputy.

And the defendant P.K. Wilson being solemnly called to come into open Court, and answer to the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of Possessing intoxicating liquors, came not but made default and said W.E. Moss and E.B. Lover, also called to come into court and bring with them the body of P.K. Wilson according to the tenor and effect of their said bond, ~~and~~ came not but made default, neither came the defendant P.K. Wilson, nor his sureties but made default.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant P.K. Wilson, W.E. Moss and E.B. Lover for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee, the said sum of Five Hundred Dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds.

And it is further ordered by the Court Sci Fa, be issued to the defendant and his said sureties requiring them to appear at the next term of this Court, and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final.

And further that Alias be issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

B.D.

Noah Lee

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads ~~not~~ guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: J.I. Ridings, Alvie Simpson, A.S. Gibbs, D.A. Burch, H.M. Turner, Robert Wheeler, Carl Ridings, W.T. Hughey, John Collier, D.C. Vaden, J.R. Perkins and J.W. Mooney, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Ninety days in jail also a fine of One Hundred Dollars. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be required to pay or secure a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars and will serve a term of thirty days in the County Jail or workhouse of Humphreys County, Tenn., also will pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and costs he shall be further confined in the County jail or workhouse until he pay, secure or without all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Josh Howe

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, For the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a Jury of Good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; J.I. Ridings, Alvie Simpson, A.S. Gibbs, D.A. Burch, H.M. Turner, Robert Wheeler, Carl Ridings, W.T. Hughey, John Collier, D.C. Vaden, J.R. Perkins and J.W. Mooney, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that, they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at thirty days in Jail also a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be required to pay or secure a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00)

Dollars and will serve a term of Thirty days in the County Jail or Workhouse of Humphreys County, Tenn., also will pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay, secure or ~~workout~~ all of said fine and costs he shall be further confined in the aforesaid County Jail until pay, secure or workout all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Frank White

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to assess the defendants' punishment came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit, J.I. Ridings, Alvie Simpson, A.S. Gibbs, D.A. Burch, H.M. Turner, Robert Wheeler, Carl Ridings, W.T. Hughey, John Collier, D.C. Vaden, J.R. Perkins and J.W. Mooney, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and charges of the Court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

It is further ordered by the Court, that the fine be suspended indefinitely upon the defendant paying, securing or working out costs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Jodie Stephenson

This case is continued upon agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

John Tankersley

This case is continued upon agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Joe Smith

This case is continued upon agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Wylay Crowell

This case is continued on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Robert A. Green

In this case came the Attorney General, pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; J.I. Ridings, Alvie Simpson, A.S. Gibbs, D.A. Burch, H.M. Turner, Robert Wheeler, Carl Ridings, W.T. Hughey, John Collier, D.C. Vaden, J.R. Perkins and J.W. Mooney, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that, they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars together with all the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and costs he will be confined in the County Jail or workhouse until he pay, secure or workout all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Mollie Buchanan

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute the case no further.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

F.M. True

This case is continued on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Driving Drunk.

Hershell Cooley

This case is continued on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Driving Drunk.

Jim Baird

This case is continued on account of absence of Ernest Thorn.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Driving Drunk.

Nealy Immon

This case is continued on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

This day the Grand Jury came into open Court in a body and presents the following indictments and presentments, to wit;  
One against J.A. Adams, Embezzlement, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit;  
State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1933 The Grand

Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that J.A. Adams heretofore, to wit, on the 17th day of May 1932, in said County and State, being executor of the last will and testament of W.M. Adams, deceased, and as such executor holding trust funds of the estate of said W.M. Adams to the amount of Three Hundred eighty-four dollars and one cent, good and lawful money of the United States belonging to Willie Mai (Adams) Hopper as an heir of the said W.M. Adams under his last will and testament and which monies, he, as such executor aforesaid was required under said last will and testament aforesaid to deliver and pay to said Willie Mai (Adams) Hopper, did unlawfully, feloniously, wilfully and maliciously convert same to his own use and benefit, monies so converted being assets of the estate of the aforementioned W.M. Adams, deceased, for which he, J.A. Adams, is executor, and on final settlement has failed to pay the said Willie Mai (Adams) Hopper said monies or account for same, and to which she, the said Willie Mai (Adams) Hopper, is entitled as an heir of the said W.M. Adams under the aforesaid last will and testament and was entrusted to, come into his hands, and was due from him, the said J.A. Adams, as such executor, said monies not being paid by him on final settlement and cannot be collected by due process of law, judgment having been obtained for same against the said J.A. Adams for said monies before J.W. Knight, a Justice of the Peace of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on October 7th., 1931, and an execution issued on said judgment and placed in the hands of an officer authorized to collect same and was, by him, returned unsatisfied, said conversion being contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, April Term, 1933 THE STATE Vs. J.A. Adams, Willie Mai (Adams) Hopper prosecutrix Subpoena for the State; Willie Mai (Adams) Hopper, Mrs. Azzie Lee McConnell Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury April Term, 1933 R.H. McKeel foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel foreman Grand Jury.

One against Lee Ingram, B.D. subpoena for the State J.T. Mathis, Harry Sears, J.M. Reeves & D.B. McCann.

One against Clyde Stephens, subpoena for the State; Will Lane, Geo. Sugg, Cowen Watkins, Herb Peeler, Dick Stanfield.

One against Erneat Durham and Bill Durham, Disturbing Assembly, subpoena for the State; Charley Ellison, Mont Frazee, Dock Blackburn and Guy McMillon.

One against Joe Cooper, Drunkenness, subpoena for the State; Will Curtis, Willie McMillon and Charley Ellison.

One against Walter Hooper, Drunkenness, subpoena for the State; Wyley Wheeler, Henry Hooper and Will Curtis.

One against Emmitt Seay and Jim Hugh Monsuit, B.D. subpoena for the State; T.R. Westbrook, D.A. Burch and Tom Ferguson.

One against Lonnie Parrott, Drunkenness, subpoena for the State; Will Curtis, Willie McMillon, Charley Ellison and Dock Blackburn.

One against John B. Madden, Misd. subpoena for the State; May Sikes, E.W. Sikes, Bob Allison, A. Weatherason and Dude Danesworth.

One against J.P. McCoy, Murder, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1933 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that J.P. McCoy heretofore, to wit, on the 20th day of November 1932, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully, wilfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and maliciously made an assault upon the body of one Clifford Bell with a pistol inflicting deep, dangerous, and mortal wounds, from and on account of which he, the said Clifford Bell, died; and so the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present and say that the said J.P. McCoy, on the day and year aforesaid, by the means and in the manner aforesaid, and in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, wilfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforesaid, did kill and murder him, the said J.P. McCoy, and commit the crime of murder in the first degree, to the evil example of all others likewise offending, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, April Term 1933 THE STATE Vs. J.P. McCoy, Murder J.W. Bell prosecutor, Subpoena for the State; Jim Gargus, Mrs. Lee Durnam, Arthur Carnell, Lewis Arnold, Minnie Willson, Melvin Rollins Brownie Ingram and E.P. Luff, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury upon this indictment at April Term, 1933 R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury. A TRUE BILL, R.H. McKeel foreman Grand Jury.

One against Bud Binkley and Sara Binkley, B.D. subpoena for the State; T.R. Westbrook and Tom Ferguson.

One against Ray Meredith & Noah Lee, felony, which indictment is in the words as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1933.

The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Ray Meredith & Noah Lee heretofore, to wit, on the 17th day of February, 1933, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquor contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid further present that the said Ray Meredith and Noah Lee on the day and year aforesaid, in the state and county aforesaid unlawfully and feloniously did transport from one to another within this state intoxicating liquors in quantity of one gallon or more contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro tem, April Term 1933 The State Vs. Ray Meredith and Noah Lee, Felony, Subpoena for the State Bertha Howe, Roxie Howe and Ula Mae Howe, W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro tem A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, A.S.J. Curtis, J.M. Hooper, W.C. Davis, W.L. Latimer, W.M. Daniel, W.M. Kiley, J.C. Parks, R.T. Chate, C.P. Burdhard, W.L. White, J.M. Reese and J.W. Bell.

One against June Waggoner, Murder, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of the Circuit Court, A.D. 1933. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid, present that June Waggoner heretofore, to wit, on the 21st day of December 1932, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully, wilfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and maliciously made an assault upon the body of one Lindell Presson with a shot gun inflicting deep, dangerous and mortal wounds from and on account of which he, the said Lindell Presson died; and so

the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present and say that the said June Waggoner, on the day and year aforesaid, by the means and in the manner aforesaid, and in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, did kill and murder him the said Lindell Presson and commit the crime of murder in the first degree, to the evil example of all others likewise offending, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee, W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro tem, April Term 1933 The State Vs. June Waggoner, J.H. Presson Prosecutor Subpoena for the State J.H. Presson Glendell Cheater, J.S. Westbrook, D.B. McCann, G.G. Gould, Gordon Gould and J.E. McAuley, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro tem Witnesses sworn by me to testify before the Grand Jury upon this indictment at April Term, 1933 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Tom Curtis, Arson, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1933.

The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Tom Curtis heretofore, to wit on the 14th day of December 1933, in said County and State, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully and maliciously did set fire to and burned the barn of one Tom Danesworth of the value of Twelve Hundred Dollars, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Tom Curtis of said county, on the day and year aforesaid in the county aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously willfully, and maliciously did cause, aid, counsel procure the burning of a barn belonging to the said Tom Danesworth of the value of Twelve Hundred Dollars, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee, W.C. Howell Attorney General, Pro tem, April Term, 1933 the State Vs. Tom Curtis, Tom Danesworth Prosecutor Subpoena for the state Tom Danesworth, Mary Jane Curtis, Roy Pinkston, Leon Curtis, Myrtle Curtis, "Big" Tom Curtis, J.C. Haygood, J.D. Hooper and Vesta Haygood, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury April Term, 1933 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General Pro tem, A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Clyde Mitchell and Henry Maroum, Carrying a pistol which indictment is in the words and figures as follows. to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1933, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Clyde Mitchell and Henry Maroum heretofore, to wit, on the 22nd day of April 1933, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully carried a pistol, the same not being an army or navy pistol carried openly in the hand, to the evil example of all others in like case offending, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro tem, April Term, 1933 THE STATE Vs. Clyde Mitchell and Henry Maroum, Carrying a pistol Subpoena for the State; J.S. Westbrook and W.L. McNeil, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, A.S.J. Curtis, J.M. Hooper, W.C. Davis, W.L. Latimer, N.M. Daniel, W.M. Kiley, J.C. Parks, R.T. Choate, C.P. Burchard, W.L. White, J.M. Reese and J.W. Bell.

One against Clyde Mitchell, Henry Maroum and Charlie Bull, Bad Check, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1933 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Clyde Mitchell, Henry Maroum and Charlie Bull, heretofore, to wit, on the 10th day of February 1933, in said County and State, unlawfully, feloniously, wilfully and maliciously did conspire to cheat and defraud D.E. Weatherspoon of twelve head of cattle of value of \$256.05 by giving to him the said D.E. Weatherspoon a check which is in words and figures as follows:-

Torrant, Ala. 2/10/1933

Torrant American Saving Bank

Torrant Ala.

Pay to the order of D. E. Weatherspoon \$256.05 Two Hundred Fifty-Six & 05/100 Dollars  
For 12 head cattle

Clyde Mitchell.

of which check the said Clyde Mitchell was the drawer on the aforesaid bank and which check was presented to the drawee, said bank, and not paid, and was not paid by the drawer after three days notice in writing having been mailed to said Clyde Mitchell's last known address.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Clyde Mitchell, of said County, on the day and year aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did obtain from D.E. Weatherspoon, with fraudulent intent, 12 head cattle, by means of a check of which he the said Clyde Mitchell, was the drawer on the Torrant American Bank, of Torrant, Ala., for the sum of \$256.05 which check was presented to the drawee, said bank, and not paid and was not paid by the drawer after three days notice, in writing, having been mailed to said Clyde Mitchell's last known address, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro tem, April Term, 1933 Clyde Mitchell et al., D.E. Weatherspoon, Prosecutor Subpoena for the State; D.E. Weatherspoon and J.D. Holmes Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury April Term, 1933 R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell Attorney General, Pro tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

#### REPORT OF GRAND JURY

We, the members of the Grand Jury at the April Term 1933, of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, beg leave to submit the following report to your honor; We have diligently inquired and true presentment made of all offenses given us in charge by your Honor or other wise brought to our knowledge. We have examined the County Jail and Poor House and find the prisoners and inmates well fed and cared for. We have examined all bonds required to be examined and find them properly executed and good and solvent for the several amounts thereof and now having completed our labors, we respectfully ask to be discharged for the term. We note about three bonds not yet approved by the Judge. R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, A.S.J. Curtis, Bob T. Choate, W.L. White, W.C. Davis, N.M. Daniel, W.L. Latimer, J.M. Reese, J.M. Hooper, W.M. Kiley, W.J. Bell, C.P. Burchard, and J.C. Parks.



Humphreys County  
Vs.  
George Stedronsky.

In the Circuit Court,  
At Waverly, Tennessee.

ORDER PRO CONFESSE

In this cause, on motion of petitioner, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant, George Stedronsky, has been regularly brought before the Court and made a party to the petition by publication duly made, and up until the last day of this term, he has failed to appear and make defense to the petition within the time required by law; it is therefore ordered as to him that the petition be taken as confessed and the cause set for hearing, ex parte.

Humphreys County  
Vs.  
George Stedronsky.

In the Circuit Court,  
At Waverly Tennessee.

In this cause, it appearing to the Court that on the 18th day of March, 1933 Humphreys County filed an original petition in this Court, seeking condemnation of a strip of land as a right of way for public road services, on Highway No. 13, in District No. 1, of Humphreys County, Tennessee, the tract of land out of which said right of way is sought containing 365 acres, bounded generally as follows: North by Farrington, South by Williams, East by Farrington, and west by Farrington, the particular boundaries of which appear in Book 42, page 143, Register's Office, Humphreys County, Tennessee, to which reference is here made for said boundaries, said right of way over and across the property of the defendant, George Stedronsky.

And it further appearing to the Court that proper notice and non-resident publication has been made for said defendant according to law. And it further appearing that pro confesso against the defendant, George Stedronsky has been taken and entered and that the property sought to be condemned as a right of way, hereinafter described, has been selected and is needed and necessary for the public use, and is and for a part of Highway No. 13.

And it further appearing to the Court that the petitioner Humphreys County, is entitled to the immediate possession the property sought to be condemned; it is, therefore, ordered that the said Humphreys County be and is given the right to the immediate possession of the strip of land sought to be condemned as a right of way, which strip of land is described as follows: A strip of land extending from station 52 + 35 to station 157 + 00, 445 feet long and 80 feet wide, bounded on each side by a line parallel to and at all points 40 feet distant from the center line of said proposed road. A strip of land extending from station 157 + 00 to station 165 + 00, 800 feet long and 115 feet wide, bounded on the right side by a line parallel to and of said proposed road and on the left side by a line parallel to and at all points 45 feet distant from said center line. A strip of land extending from station 172 + 00, 700 feet long and 80 feet wide; bounded on each side by a line parallel to and at all points 40 feet distant from the center line of said proposed road. A strip of land extending from station 172 + 00 to station 173 + 00, 100 feet long and 85 feet wide; bounded on the right side by a line parallel to and at all points 40 feet distant from the center line of said proposed road and on the left side by a line parallel to and at all points 45 feet distant from said center line. A strip of land extending from station 173 + 00 to station 199 + 00, 2,600 feet long and 80 feet wide; bounded on each side by a line parallel to and at all points 40 feet distant from center of said proposed road. A strip of land extending from station 199 + 00 to station 200 + 50, 150 feet long and 95 feet wide; bounded on the right

side by a line parallel to and all points 40 feet distant from the center line of said proposed road and on the left side by a line parallel to and at all points 55 feet distant from center line.

A strip of land extending from station 200 + 50 to station 203 + 00, 250 feet long and 80 feet wide; bounded on each side by a line parallel to and at all points 40 feet distant from the center line of said proposed road.

A strip of land extending from station 203 + 00 to station 205 + 00 200 feet long and 110 feet wide, bounded on the right side by a line parallel to and at all points 70 feet

distant from the center line of said proposed road and on the left side by a line parallel to and at all points 40 feet distant from said line. A strip of land extending from station 205 + 00 to station 223 + 50, 1850 feet long and 80 feet wide, bounded on each side by a line parallel to and at all points 40 feet distant from the center line of said proposed road. A strip of land extending from station 223 + 50 to station 224 + 50 100 feet long and 90 feet wide; bounded on the right side parallel to and at all points 50 feet distant from the center line of said proposed road and on the left side by a line parallel to and at all points 40 feet distant from said center line.

A strip of land extending from station 224 + 50 to station 226 + 00, 115 feet wide; bounded on the right side by a line parallel to and at all points 40 feet distant from the center line of said road and on the left side by a line parallel to and at all points 75 feet distant from said center line.

A strip of land extending from station 226 + 00 to station 241 + 00, 1500 feet long and 80 feet wide; bounded on each side by a line parallel to and at all points 40 feet distant from center line of said proposed road.

A strip of land extending from station 241 + 00 to station 244 + 00, 300 feet long and 85 feet wide; bounded on the right side by a line parallel to and at all points 45 feet distant from center line of said proposed road and on the left side by a line parallel to and at all points 40 feet distant from center line, as laid out in a plat or survey attached hereto and made a part hereof.

On application of petitioner, if necessary, the clerk of this Court will issue a writ of possession, putting petitioner in possession of the above described strip of land. All other matters are reserved.

Humphreys County  
Vs.  
George Stedronsky.

In the Circuit Court  
At Waverly Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Circuit Judge, at this April Term of Court, 1933, upon the whole record in the cause, including the pro confesso heretofore taken, and upon motion of solicitor for petitioner, it is ordered by the Court that a writ of enquiry issue by the clerk of this Court to the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, who, after giving proper notice, will summons a jury of view according to law, to ascertain and report to this Court the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use or purposes in this cause, and the Court so orders, adjudge and decrees.

Humphreys County }  
 Vs. }  
 A.C.Dobbins, Et al. }

In the Circuit Court,  
 At Waverly, Tennessee.

ORDER PRO CONFESSE

In this cause, on motion of petitioner, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant, A.C.Dobbins and Della Dobbins, have been regularly brought before the court and made parties to the petition, by publication duly made, and up until the last day of this term, they have failed to appear and make defense to the petition within the time required by law; it is therefore ordered as to them that the petition be taken as confessed, and the cause for hearing ex parte.

Humphreys County }  
 Vs. }  
 A.C.Dobbins et al. }

In the Circuit Court,  
 At Waverly, Tennessee.

In this cause, it appearing to the Court that on the 15th day of March, 1933, Humphreys County filed an original petition in this Court, seeking condemnation of a strip of land as a right of way for public road services, on Highway No. 13, in District No. 1, of Humphreys County, Tennessee the tract of land out of which said right of way is sought containing 206 acres, bounded generally as follows: North by Turner, South by Johnson, East by Hatcher, West by Pegram, the true boundaries of which appear of record in book 36, page 326, Register's Office, Humphreys County, Tennessee, to which reference is here made for said boundaries, said right of way over and across the property of the defendants, A.C.Dobbins and wife Della Dobbins. And it further appearing to the Court that proper notice and non-resident publication has been made for said defendants according to law.

And it further appearing that pro confesso against the defendants, A.C.Dobbins and Della Dobbins has been taken and entered, and that the property sought to be condemned as a right of way hereinafter described, has been selected and is needed and necessary for the public use, as and for a part of highway No. 13, aforesaid. The property sought to be condemned; it is, therefore, ordered, that the said Humphreys County be and is given the right to the immediate possession of the strip of land sought to be condemned as a right of way, which strip of land is described as follows. A strip of land extending from station 346 + 39 to station 366 + 82, 2,043 feet long and 80 feet wide, bounded on each side by a line parallel to and all points 40 feet distant from the center line of said proposed road, as laid out by a plat or survey attached hereto, and made a part hereof. On application of petitioner, if necessary, the Clerk of this Court, will issue writ of possession, putting petitioner in possession of the above described strip of land. all matters are reserved.

Humphreys County }  
 Vs. }  
 A.C.Dobbins, et al. }

In the Circuit Court,  
 At Waverly Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard before the Honorable J.D.G. Morton, Circuit Judge, at this April term of Court, 1933, upon the whole record in the cause, including the pro confesso heretofore taken, and upon motion of solicitor for petitioner it is ordered by the Court that a writ of enquiry issue by the Clerk of this Court to the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, who, after giving proper notice, will summons a jury of view, according to law, to ascertain and report to this Court the damages occasioned by taking of the land for public use or road purposes in this cause, and the Court so orders, adjudge and decrees

Mrs. Jewel Hodges }  
 Vs. }  
 Clay Hodges }

No. IN the Circuit Court of  
 Humphreys County

Order

This cause came on to be heard before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton on this the 25th day of April, 1933, upon the original bill, the pleas in abatement, oral testimony and argument of counsel; and the Court finds upon plea in abatement Number One in favor of the defendant that the petitioner and defendants have not at any time established a domicile or residence in Humphreys County; 2d That at the time of this separation the defendant was not a resident of Humphreys County. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plea in the abatement No. One is sustained, that the bill be dismissed, and that the petitioner pay the costs of this cause. For which let execution issue. To all of which the petitioner excepts.

O.K. Claude Callicott

Milton Davenport  
Sols. for deft.

Entered J.D.G.M.

Humphreys County }  
 Vs. }  
 Mrs. Mary Lewis, et al. }

In the Circuit Court,  
 At Waverly, Tennessee.

CONDEMNATION

This case was compromised and settled out of Court, and upon motion of Humphreys County, the petitioner, by J.R. Morris, County Judge, the cause was dismissed and the costs of the cause will be paid by Humphreys County, for which proper process may issue.

Humphreys County }  
 Vs. }  
 Joe Farrington, et al. }

In the Circuit Court,  
 At Waverly, Tennessee.

CONDEMNATION

This case was compromised and settled out of Court, and upon motion of Humphreys County, the petitioner, by J.R. Morris, County Judge, the cause is dismissed and the costs of the cause will be paid by Humphreys County, for which proper process may issue.

Humphreys County }  
 Vs. }  
 Carlyle Lewis. }

In the Circuit Court  
 At Waverly Tennessee.

CONDEMNATION

This case was compromised and settled out of Court, and upon motion of Humphreys County, the petitioner, by J.R. Morris, County Judge, the cause is dismissed and the costs of the cause will be paid by Humphreys County, for which proper process may issue.

Humphreys County }  
 Vs. }  
 Paul H. Lewis. }

In the Circuit Court at  
 Waverly, Tennessee.

CONDEMNATION

This case was compromised and settled out of Court, and upon motion of Humphreys County, the petitioner, by J.R. Morris, County Judge, the cause is dismissed and the costs of the cause will be paid by Humphreys County, for which proper process may issue.

Ernest Wright }  
 VS. } In the Circuit Court,  
 Lonnie Wright, } At Waverly, Tennessee.

PRO CONFESSEO

In this case complainant moved the Court for an order pro confesso against the defendant, Lonnie Wright; and it appearing to the Court that said defendant, Lonnie Wright, is duly in Court by service of subpoena and copy requiring her to appear and defend the bill filed against her in this cause, and up until this Saturday, the 22nd day of April, 1933, she has failed to make any defense to complainant's bill as required by law; it is therefore ordered by the Court that said bill be taken as confessed, as to said Lonnie Wright, and the cause set for hearing ex parte as to her.

DECREE

And this cause was further heard before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Judge, upon the bill of complaint, the pro confesso heretofore taken and the oral testimony of witnesses had in open Court and the whole record in the cause. And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court that the defendant was guilty of adultery on one or more occasions with various persons and more especially on or about the 1st of February, 1933, at a dance in the neighborhood, at and about the home of Sol Forrester, and that the complainant is a chaste man, and the defendant had no cause or just excuse for her misconduct, and the plaintiff has not condoned the same. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved and that the complainant be vested with all the rights of an unmarried man. And it appearing to the Court that the defendant is not a suitable and proper person to have the care and custody of the two children by their marriage, a girl named Jewel Marie Wright, and James D. Wright. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said two children be decreed to the custody, care and control of their father, the complainant, Ernest Wright, without interference or control of the defendant. It is further ordered and decreed by the court that the complainant pay all the costs of this cause, for which execution may issue.

State of Tennessee }  
 Vs. } Mis. d.  
 Lee Johnson } Motion to retax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this Court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }  
 VS. } Drunkenness  
 Will Stewart } Motion to retax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and paid out of the County Treasury, and the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }  
 VS. } Drunkenness  
 Hubert Hooper } Motion to retax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and paid out of the County Treasury, and the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }  
 VS. } Drunkenness  
 Finas Hendricks } Motion to retax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and paid out of the County Treasury, and the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }  
 VS. } Mis. d.  
 Will Stewart } Motion to retax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and paid out of the County Treasury, and the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee  
VS.  
Will Stewart

Drunkness  
Motion to relax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this Court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee  
VS.  
Will Stewart

Drunkness  
Motion to relax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee  
VS.  
Roy Hughey

Drunkness  
Motion to relax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee  
VS.  
Grady Stewart

Disturbing the peace  
Motion to relax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee  
VS.  
Henry Danesworth

A.&B.  
Motion to relax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee  
VS.  
Hafford Boyd

Drunkness  
Motion to relax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee  
VS.  
Willie Holland

Mis. d.  
Motion to relax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee  
VS.  
Tom Cummings

Drunkness  
Motion to relax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.



State of Tennessee)  
Vs.  
Frank Washburn

Mis. d.  
Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent, unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)  
Vs.  
Lee Johnson

Mis. d.  
Motion to retax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)  
Vs.  
Grady Turner

Carrying a pistol  
Motion to retax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as law directs.

State of Tennessee)  
Vs.  
Maude Hughey

Drunkenness  
Motion to retax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)  
Vs.  
Jim Miller

B.D.  
Motion to retax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)  
Vs.  
George Mosley

Drunkenness  
Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent, unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)  
Vs.  
Richard Rawleston

B.D.  
Motion to retax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent, unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)  
Vs.  
Tom Curtis

A. & B.  
Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee )  
 Vs. ) Assault with intent to commit murder.  
 W.H. Stokes ) Motion to set aside costs

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court, against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent, unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the Treasury of the State and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee )  
 Vs. ) D.D.  
 Ezra Potter. )

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit, George Stinger, Alvie Simpson, Carl Ridings, Robert Wheeler, D.C. Vaden, John Collier, H.M. Turner, A.S. Gibbs, D.A. Burch, J.R. Perkins, J.W. Mooney and Glynn Greenwell, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go thence without day.

State of Tennessee )  
 Vs. ) D. D.  
 John Diviny )

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and in person and by attorneys, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit, Alex May, J.H. Smith, Glenn Greenwell, Alvie Simpson, A.S. Gibbs, Robert Wheeler, Carl Ridings, W.T. Hughey, John Collier, Chesley Vaden, J.R. Perkins and J.W. Mooney, who, being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they cannot agree upon a verdict in this case. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that a mistrial be entered in this case, and the jury be discharged, and the case continued until next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee )  
 Vs. ) D.D.  
 R.E. Bunch. )  
 This case is continued on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee )  
 Vs. ) B.D.  
 Bud Wright )

This case is continued on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee )  
 Vs. ) B.D.  
 Dee Hedge & Leon Ruison )

This case is continued on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee )  
 Vs. ) D.D.  
 B.P. McMabb )

This case is continued by consent until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee )  
 Vs. ) B.D.  
 Josh Howe )

In this case came the Attorney General, pro tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit, J.I. Ridings, Alvie Simpson, A.S. Gibbs, D.A. Burch, H.M. Turner, Robert Wheeler, Carl Ridings, W.T. Hughey, John Collier, D.C. Vaden, J.R. Perkins and J.W. Mooney, who, duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at sixty day in Jail also a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be required to pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00) and will serve a term of Sixty days in the County Jail or workhouse of Humphreys County, Tenn., also will pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he shall be further confined in the aforesaid County jail until pay, secure or workout all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee )  
 Vs. ) D.D.  
 B.L. Thomson )

This case is continued by the defendant until next term of this court.

State of Tennessee )  
 Vs. ) D.D.  
 Paul Westbrooks )

This case is continued on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B.D.

Ed Carnell

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: J.I. Ridings, Alvie Simpson, A.S. Gibbs, D.A. Burch, H.M. Turner, Robert Wheeler, Carl Ridings, W.T. Hughey, John Collier, D.C. Vaden, J.R. Perkins and J.W. Mooney, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at thirty days in Jail also a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be required to pay or secure a fine One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars and will serve a term of thirty days in the County Jail or Workhouse of Humphreys County, Tenn., also will pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue. and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he shall be further confined in the aforesaid County Jail until pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

VS.

D.D.

Hal Stricklin

This case is continued by the defendant on account absence of the Lees Dotson, M.A. Woods and G.L. Immen.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B.D.

E.L. Thompson

This case is continued on account of absence of \_\_\_\_\_.

State of Tennessee

VS.

Housebreaking and larceny.

Lesa Crafton, Dude Moran  
& Monroe Crafton.

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro tem., for the State and the defendants, Lesa Crafton and Dude Moran, in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: J.I. Ridings, Alvie Simpson, A.S. Gibbs, D.A. Burch, H.M. Turner, Robert Wheeler, Carl Ridings, W.T. Hughey, John Collier, Chesley Vaden, J.R. Perkins and J.W. Mooney, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, R.F. Ingram and T.R. Westbrook who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendants, Lesa Crafton and Dude Moran, and each of them guilty of petit larceny as charged in the indictment and assess and fix the punishment of each at one year in the Penitentiary. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendants Lesa Crafton and Dude Moran, and each of them be confined in the State Penitentiary at Nashville, Tennessee, at hard labor for a period of time of not less than one year nor more than one year and that this sentence of confinement for one year in the Penitentiary run concurrently with a sentence this day imposed on said defendants of a

one year in said Penitentiary in an other conviction for petit larceny, and that each of the defendants be rendered infamous and that they pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Forfeiture

John Dodd et al

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court, that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of driving an automobile while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, and the said defendant, was arrested and entered into bond with A.J. Saunders, Sr., as his surety, which bond is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, We, John Dodd agree to pay to the State of Tennessee Five Hundred Dollars, unless the said John Dodd appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly, on the 3rd Monday in ..... 1933, on Tuesday of said term, to answer the State of Tennessee for the offense of driving while under the influence of intoxicating liquor and do not depart the court without leave.

John Dodd, Principal

Approved:

A.J. Saunders, Surety

..... Sheriff.

This ..... day of ..... 1933. By ..... Deputy.

And the defendant John Dodd being solemnly called to come into open court, and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of driving an automobile while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, came not but made default and said A.J. Saunders, also called to come into court and bring with him the body of the said John Dodd according to the tenor and effect of their said bond, came not but made default, neither came the defendant John Dodd, nor his said surety but made default.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant John Dodd, and A.J. Saunders, for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee the said sum of Five Hundred Dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds, And it is further ordered by the Court Soi Fa., be issued to the said defendant and his said surety requiring them to appear at the next term of this court, and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final. And further that Alias be issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Forfeiture

John Wilson et al

Came the defendants in their own proper persons and by attorney, and the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, when this case came on to be heard by the Court upon the Soi Fa., return of the sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendants, and motion of the defendants to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the court after hearing and fully understanding the same is pleased, and does set aside said forfeiture entered at the April term of this court 1933, aside and adjudge the costs of the forfeiture against defendants, It is therefore considered by the Court that the forfeiture taken and entered against defendants be and the same is set aside at the defendants costs, and that the State of Tennessee, recover of the defendants all the costs accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeiture, for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Forfeiture

W.J. Jamison et al

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court, that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of transporting intoxicating liquor, and the said defendant, was arrested and entered into bond with R.L. Jamison and Joe Chambers, as his sureties, which bond is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, We, W.J. Jamison & ..... agree to pay to the State of Tennessee Five Hundred Dollars, unless the said W.J. Jamison appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly, on the 3rd Monday of April 1933, on Tuesday of said term, to answer the State of Tennessee for the offense of Transporting intoxicating liquor and do not depart the Court without leave.

Approved:

W.J. Jamison, Principal

Walter McNeil, Sheriff.

R.L. Jamison, Surety

This 6 day of April 1933 By..... deputy

Joe Chambers, "

And the defendant W.J. Jamison being solemnly called to come into court, and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of Transporting intoxicating liquor, came not but made default and the said R.L. Jamison, also called to come into court and bring with them the body of the said W.J. Jamison according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds, came not but made default, neither came the defendant W.J. Jamison, nor his sureties but made default.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant W.J. Jamison, R.L. Jamison and Joe Chambers for their said default do forfeit and pay to the State of Tennessee, the said sum of Five Hundred Dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds.

And it is further ordered by the Court that Scire Facias be issued for the defendant and his said sureties requiring them to appear at the next term of this court, and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be final.

And further that Alias be issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Sci Fa.

O.C. Berryman et al

In this case came the defendants in their own proper person, and the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, when the case came on to be and was heard by the Court upon the Sci Fa., return of the sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendant, and the motion of the defendant to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the Court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set aside forfeiture entered at the December Term 1932 of the court, aside but adjudges the costs of the forfeiture against the defendants.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the forfeiture taken and entered against defendant be and the same is set aside at defendants costs, and that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendants all the costs accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside of said forfeiture for all of which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Sci Fa.

John Berryman et al

In this case came the defendants in their own proper person, and the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, when the case came on to be and was heard by the Court upon the Sci Fa. return of the sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendants, and the motion of the defendants to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the Court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set aside forfeiture entered at the December Term 1932 of this court, aside but adjudges the costs of the forfeiture against the defendants.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the forfeiture taken and entered against the defendants be and the same is set aside at the defendants costs, and that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendants all the costs accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside of said forfeiture for all of which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Sci Fa.

Tom Crawford et al

In this case came the defendants in their own proper person, and the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, when the case came on to be and was heard by the Court upon the Sci Fa., return of the sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendants, and the motion of the defendants to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the Court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set aside forfeiture entered at the December Term 1932 of this court, aside but adjudges the costs of the forfeiture against the defendants.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the forfeiture taken and entered against the defendants be and the same is set aside at the defendants costs, and that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendants all the costs accruing by reason of taking and setting aside of said forfeiture for all of which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Sci Fa.

T.S. Holmes

In this case came the defendant in his own proper person, and the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, when the case came on to be and was heard by the Court upon the Sci Fa., return of the sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendant, and the motion of the defendant to set aside the forfeiture entered against him, when the Court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set aside forfeiture entered at the December Term 1932 of this court, .

It is therefore considered by the Court that the forfeiture taken and entered against the defendant be and the same is set aside without costs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Bad Check

Henry Maroun et al

This case is continued by consent by both attorney for the State and the defendants until the next term of this court.



Foster P. Sadler }  
VS. }  
Fannie Etta Sadler }

In the Circuit Court,  
At Waverly Tennessee.

PRO CONFESSED

In this cause, complainant moved the Court for an order pro confesso, against the defendant, Fannie Etta Sadler; and it appeared to the Court that the defendant, Fannie Etta Sadler is duly in Court by service of subpoena and copy, requiring her to appear and defend the bill filed against her in this cause; and up until this Saturday, the 22nd day of April, 1933, she has failed to make any defense to complainant's bill, as required by law, it is therefore ordered by the Court that said bill be taken as confessed, as to the said Fannie Etta Sadler, and the cause set for hearing ex parte as to her.

DECREE

And this cause was further heard before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Judge, upon the bill of complainant; the pro confesso heretofore taken and the oral testimony of witnesses had in open Court, and upon the whole record in the cause, and it satisfactorily appeared to the Court that the defendant had abandoned the complainant, without just or reasonable cause, and had been away from his home, in Humphreys County, for more than two whole years before the filing of the bill in this cause, and that she had no just excuse for going away from complainant's home and not returning.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved and that complainant be vested with all the rights of an unmarried man.

And it is further ordered by the Court that all the marital rights in and to a tract of land, situated in the Second District of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek, bounded generally as follows: on the north by the lands of Turner, on the east by the lands of Turner and Wallace, on the south by the lands of Montgomery, and on the west by the lands of Totty and Bradley, by reason of the marriage of the defendant to the complainant, be cut off, and the defendant decreed to have no interest or right therein, nor in any other property that the complainant may own.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the complainant pay all the costs of this cause, for which execution may issue.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Forfeiture

Charlie Kooens

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court, that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of driving an automobile while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, and the said defendant, was arrested and entered into bond with J.L. Edwards and Geo. W. Meux, as his sureties, which bond is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County We ..... agree to pay to the State of Tennessee Five Hundred Dollars, unless the said Charlie Kooens appear at the next term of Circuit Court of Humphreys County, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly, on the 2nd Monday in Aug 1930, on Tuesday of said term, to answer the State of Tennessee for the offense of transporting & possessing liquor and do not depart the Court without leave.

C.H. Kooens, Principal

J.L. Edwards, Surety

Geo. W. Meux, "

Approved:

..... sheriff.

This ..... day of ..... 192...

By..... Deputy.

And the defendant C.H. Kooens being solemnly called to come into court, and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of Driving an automobile while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, came not but made default and the said J.L. Edwards and Geo. W. Meux also called to come into court and bring with them the body of the said Charlie Kooens according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds, came not but made default, neither came the defendant Charlie Kooens, nor his said sureties but made default.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendants Charlie Kooens, J.L. Edwards and Geo. W. Meux for their said default do forfeit and pay to the State of Tennessee, the said sum of Five Hundred Dollars, according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds.

And it is further ordered by the Court that Sci Fa. be issued for the defendant and his said sureties requiring them to appear at the next term of this Court, and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final.

And further that Alias be issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

B.D.

Will Chance

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleaded guilty at the last term of this court, to wit; December term 1932, also judgment was passed upon him and his punishment assessed at One Hundred Dollars fine, said punishment was continued until this term of this court.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the suspended fine of One Hundred Dollars becomes final at this term of this court and say he shall pay a fine of One Hundred Dollars together with all the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

Court then adjourned until Court in course.

J.D. Morton Judge.

CAPTION AUGUST TERM CIRCUIT COURT A.D. 1933

State of Tennessee)  
Humphreys County

Be it remembered that a Circuit Court was opened and held in and for the County of Humphreys at the Court-house in the town of Waverly, Tennessee, on the 14th day of August it being the 2nd Monday of said Month, and the One Thousand Nine Hundred and Thirty Third year of our Lord, and the One Hundred and Fifty-Seventh year of American Independence. Present and Presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Judge of the Ninth Judicial District of the State of Tennessee.

Court was opened in due form of law by Walter McNeill, sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him was returned into open Court a writ of Venire Facias, showing that the following named persons were appointed by the County Court, at its July term 1933, to appear and to serve as jurors at this the present term of this court, to wit; Luther Morrison, Grover McMillon, Clarence Guinn, C.S. Forrest, Anderson Brown, W.C. Cantrell, Charlie Buchanan, Geo Waggoner, Jesse Anderson, Helden Waggoner, Perry Moore, John Lagan, A.L. Regal, Phil Lagan, Oscar Wallace, T.M. Dotson, Elmo Smith, David Long, W.C. Kilgore, Jim Wilhite, Vester Spann, Nealy Harden, Will Brown, W.H. Batton, J.C. Wright, and Ed Crowell, and it appearing to the Court that the above named parties were regularly summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and that all of said parties so summoned appeared and answered said summon. And out of said jurors so summoned the following were selected, as required by law, as Grand Jurymen, to wit; David Long, W.H. Batton, Ed Crowell, T.M. Dotson, G.W. Waggoner, Grover McMillon, O.C. Wallace, C.E. Guinn, J.C. Wright, John Lagan, W.C. Kilgore, and Nealy Harden, and R.H. McKeel having been appointed foreman of the Grand Jury at a former term of this court, the said Grand Jury is in all things as the law directs having been duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, retired to their room in charge of J.R. Traylor, deputy sheriff of Humphreys County, sworn according to law, to attend them in considering indictments and presentments. And out of the remaining number of said jurors so summoned, the following were excused from jury service, by the Court, to wit; Charlie Buchanan, Perry Moore, <sup>W.C. Cantrell</sup> ~~W.C. Kilgore~~ and Will Brown.

And the following named persons were summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and qualified as regular jurors in the stead of the above named excused jurors, to wit; Wess Cathey and J.D. Parker.

W. C. HOWELL APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL, PRO TEM.

Whereas, Hon. John B. Bowman, Attorney General, for the Ninth Judicial Circuit of the State of Tennessee, is sick and on that account unable to attend the present term of the said court to perform his duties as such Attorney General, and on that account has failed to attend and prosecute according to law; Therefore I, J.D.G. Morton, Judge of said Judicial Circuit, by virtue of the power vested in me by the Constitution and laws of the State of Tennessee, do hereby appoint W.C. Howell, a regularly licensed attorney for the State of Tennessee, engaged in the active practice of his profession in said State, and who possesses all the qualifications required by law, as Attorney General, Pro tempore for and during this term of the Circuit Court for and in the place of said John B. Bowman, Attorney General, and with all the powers and duties conferred upon him by virtue of this appointment. This appointment of the said W.C. Howell, as such Attorney General, pro tempore is for the August term 1933 of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, Tennessee.

This the 14th day of August 1933.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge

State of Tennessee,

Humphreys County.

I, W.C. Howell, do solemnly swear that I will perform with fidelity the duties of the office of District Attorney General, pro tempore, of the Ninth Judicial Circuit of Tennessee, to which I have been appointed by J.D.G. Morton, the Circuit Judge of said District and that I will support the Constitution of the United States of America and the Constitution of the State of Tennessee. I further swear that I have not, directly or indirectly given, accepted or knowingly carried a challenge in writing or otherwise to any person, being a citizen of the State, since the adoption of the Constitution in 1853 or aided or abetted therein, and that I will not, during my continuance in office, beguilty of either of these acts.

Witness my hand, this the 14th day of August 1933

W.C. Howell.

Sworn to and subscribed to before me,

This the 14th day of August 1933

L.C. Bohanan  
Circuit Court Clerk

## ALIASES

It is ordered by the Court that Alias be issued for the following defendants, to wit;  
State of Tennessee Vs. Charlie Koons, Cleve Goodman, T.S. Holmes, G.O. Cox, P.K. Wilson -  
*Ray Meredith, W.J. Jamison, Ernest Durham.*

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 3:00 o'clock

*J.D.G. Morton*  
.....Judge.

S.H. Davis

VS.

J.M. Bone.

In the Circuit Court,

At Waverly Tennessee.

VERDICT OF JURY

Came the parties, and also a jury of good and lawful men, to wit; Jesse Anderson, Luther Morrison, Does Weatherspoon, C.S. Forrest, Anderson Brown, J.S. Perkins, Phill Lagan, Vester Spann, Elmo Smith, Jimmie Wilhite, Halden Waggoner, Wess Cathey, who being elected, tried and sworn the truth to speak, upon the issues joined, upon their oath do say they find the issue for the plaintiff and assess his damage at \$44.50, for the matters alleged in the warrent of the magistrate, the suit having been first instituted in a justice of the Peace Court, and appealed to this Court by the defendant. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant, \$44.50, damages, and the costs of suit.

State of Tennessee

VS.

R.L. Stockard

Driving Drunk

This case is continued on account of illness of the defendant.

State of Tennessee

VS.

Ray Patterson

Larceny

In this case came the Attorney General Pro tem for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and law men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; Jim Wilhite, Elmo Smith, Vester Spann, Luther Morrison, Anderson Brown, A.L. Regal, Phill Lagan, Halden Waggoner, C.S. Forrest, Jesse Anderson, Wess Cathey and J.D. Parker, who, being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, and in charge of their sworn officers D.A. Burch, Roy Pinkson, who, had previously been legally sworn to attend them after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence with out day.

State of Tennessee

VS.

B.D.

Jodie Stephens

In this case came the Attorney General Pro tem for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tennessee, to wit; Jim Wilhite, Elmo Smith, Vester Spann, Luther Morrison, Anderson Brown, A.L. Regal, Phill Lagan, Halden Waggoner, C.S. Forrest, Jesse Anderson, Wess Cathey, J.D. Parker, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of the counsel and charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars together with all the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and costs he will be confined in the County Jail or Workhouse until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

VS.

B.D.

John Tankersley

In this case came the Attorney General pro tem for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; Jim Wilhite, Elmo Smith, Vester Spann, Luther Morrison, Anderson Brown, A.L. Regal, Phill Lagan, Halden Waggoner, C.S. Forrest, & Jesse Anderson, Wess Cathey and J.D. Parker, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars together with all the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine costs he will be confined in the County Jail or Workhouse until he pay, secure or workout all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

VS.

B.D.

Joe Smith

In this case came the Attorney General Pro tem for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; Jim Wilhite, Elmo Smith, Vester Spann, Luther Morrison, Anderson Brown, A.L. Regal, Phill Lagan, Halden Waggoner, C.S. Forrest, Jesse Anderson, Wess Cathey and J.D. Parker, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and charge of the Court upon their oath do say that find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay a fine of One Hundred \$ 100. 00 Dollars together with all the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay secure all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

VS.

B.D.

Wyley Crowell

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; Jim Wilhite, Elmo Smith, Vester Spann, Luther Morrison, Anderson Brown, A.L. Regal, Phill Lagan, Halden Waggoner, C.S. Forrest, Jesse Anderson, Wess Cathey, J.D. Parker, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that, they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars together with all the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and cost he will be confined in the County Jail until he pay all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

VS.

D.D.

Hersel Cooley

This case is continued on account of absence of the defendant.

State of Tennessee

VS.

Drunkness

Walter Hooper

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and the defendant in person and pleads guilty as charged in the indictment, thereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the costs of this cause, for which let execution issue.



State of Tennessee }

Vs. }

B.D.

F.M. True }

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; Jim Wilhite, Elmo Smith, Vester Spann, Luther M. Morrison, Anderson Brown, A.L. Regal, Phill Lagan, Halden Waggoner, C.S. Forrest, Jesse Anderson, Wess Cathey, and J.D. Parker, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the argument of counsel and charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars together with all the costs of this cause for which let execution issue thence came the defendant to the clerk of this court and paid the cost of this case.

Thence came into open court J.H. Rushing, and signed his name as surety for this fine. Which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee }

Vs. }

Drunkness.

Joe Hooper }

In this case came the Attorney General Pro tem, for the State and the defendant in person and pleads guilty as charged in the indictment, thereupon the Court assesses the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the costs of this cause, for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee }

Vs. }

Drunkness.

Lonnie Parrott }

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and defendant in person and pleads guilty as charged in the indictment, thereupon the Court assesses the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the costs of this cause, for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee }

Vs. }

B.D.

Bud Wright }

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; Jim Wilhite, Elmo Smith, Vester Spann, Luther Morrison, Anderson Brown, A.L. Regal, Phill Lagan, Halden Waggoner, C.S. Forrest, Jesse Anderson, Wess Cathey and J.D. Parker, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars together with all the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure or workout all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the County Jail or work house until he pay or secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee }

Vs. }

Driving Drunk.

Nealy Inmon }

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and the defendant in person, and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; Jim Wilhite, Elmo Smith, Vester Spann, Luther Morrison, Anderson Brown, A.L. Regal, Phill Lagan, Halden Waggoner, C.S. Forrest, Jesse Anderson, Wess Cathey and J.D. Parker, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at thirty days in jail and also a fine of Ten Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of ten dollars and will serve a term of thirty days in jail in Waverly Tennessee, and will pay the costs of this cause, *for which execution issue.*

It is further ordered, by the Court, that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of six months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

It is also further ordered by the Court, that jail sentence be suspended until the next this court on payment of fine and costs.

Thence came into open court, the defendant Nealy Inmon and paid to the clerk of this court all of said *fine* and costs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B.D.

R.E.Bunch

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly sworn and assigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Therupon to try the issues joined on a jury of good and law ful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; Jim Wilhite, Elmo Smith, Vester Spann, Luther Morrison, Anderson Brown, A.L. Regal, Phill Lagan, Halden Waggoner, C.S. Forrest, Jesse Anderson, Wess Cathey and J.D. Parker, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of the counsel and charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars with all the costs of this cause.

And it is further ordered by the Court, that the fine be suspended on payment of cost, thence came the defendant R.E. Bunch into open court and paid to the clerk of this court all of cost of this suit.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Transporting

W.J. Jamison &  
Jesse Bird

This case is continued by the defendant until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Driving Drunk.

Frank Anderson

In this case the fine and cost has been paid here tofore, and the jail sentence is suspended until next term of this court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Disturbing assembly

Bill Durham

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and the defendant in person and pleads guilty as charged in the indictment, thereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of twenty dollars together with all the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

THIS DAY THE GRAND JURY CAME INTO OPEN COURT IN A BODY AND PRESENTS THE FOLLOWING INDICTMENTS AND PRESENTMENTS, TO WIT:

One against Tom Danesworth, Murder, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of the Circuit Court, A.D. 1933. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Tom Danesworth heretofore, to wit, on the 17th day of May 1933, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and maliciously made an assault upon the body of one Henry Danesworth with a shot gun inflicting deep, dangerous, and mortal wounds, from and on account of which he, the said Henry Danesworth died; and so the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oaths aforesaid, present and say that the said Tom Danesworth, on the day and year aforesaid, by the means and in the manner aforesaid, and in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, did kill and murder him, the said Henry Danesworth, and commit the crime of murder in the first degree, to the evil example of all others likewise offending, and against the peace and dignity of the State, of Tennessee. W.O. Howell, Attorney General, Pro tem, August Term 1933, The State Vs. Tom Danesworth Murder, Walter McNeil Prosecutor, Subpoena for the State; J.C. Haygood, Willie Smith, Tawt Patrick, Leona Curtis, E. Johnson, Minnie Johnson, E.T. Curtis, R.G. Haygood, Walter McNeil, J.S. Westbrook, Roy Pinkston, J.D. Hooper, Clint Richardson, W.O. Howell Attorney General, Pro Tem Witnesses sworn by me to testify before the Grand Jury upon this indictment at August Term, 1933, R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, A true bill R.E. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Max Thompson and Harris Mosley, Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit; State of Tennessee Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit A.D., 1933, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and the State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Max Thompson and Harris Mosley, heretofore, to wit, on the 13th day of June 1933, in said County and State, unlawfully, feloniously and forcibly did break and enter the business house of one, W.W. Glover, of said County, with intent to commit a felony, to wit, a larceny.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said Max Thompson and Harris Mosley, on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did take, steal, and carry away eighteen sacks of Country Gentlemen smoking tobacco, eighteen sacks of R.J.R. smoking tobacco, chewing tobacco, three pocket knives, candy, shotgun shells, cold cream, salmon and chewing gum, all of the value of eleven dollars, and of the goods and chattels of the said W.W. Glover, with intent to deprive him, the said W.W. Glover, the true owner thereof and convert the same to their own use. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Max Thompson and Harris Mosley of said County, on the day and year aforesaid, in the county aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did receive, buy, conceal, and aid in concealing eighteen sacks of Country Gentlemen smoking tobacco, eighteen sacks of R.J.R. smoking tobacco, chewing tobacco, three pocket knives, candy, shotgun shells, cold cream, salmon and chewing gum, all of the value of eleven dollars, the property of W.W. Glover of said county, before then feloniously stolen, taken and carried away by some one, to the Grand Jury unknown, by the said Max Thompson and Harris Mosley then and there knowing the said property aforesaid to have been feloniously stolen, taken