

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT & PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE, ETC.,

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Housebreaking & Larceny

Oddie Chappell
Boyd L. Edwards
W. C. Turner

This cause coming on to be heard, present for the state the Attorney General, and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon the motion of the defendant it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the order entered in the cause at the April Term 1936 of this court be revived which order is in the words and figures as follows:

State of Tennessee

Vs.

H. B. & Larceny

Oddie Chappell
Boyd L. Edwards &
W. C. Turner

In these cases upon petition of defendants and in view of the proven good character of all the defendants, it is ordered that the jail sentence imposed in two cases be served concurrently and it is further ordered, that, upon defendants consenting thereto the sentence to the Penitentiary for three years heretofore imposed be suspended at the pleasure of the court, upon defendants, after having served the jail sentence imposed, paying the costs of the case, and executing appearance bonds of \$1000.00 for their appearance on the first day of each term of court until released by order of the court, and upon consent of the defendants that at any term of court hereafter for a term not succeeding three years from this term, the Court may at the discretion of the court, revoke the order suspending sentence to the Penitentiary, and commit the defendants, or each of them to the Penitentiary to serve the term of sentence heretofore imposed, without proof, or notice to the defendants and the defendants present in open court, in person and by attorney, agree to the above order.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Felonious Transportation.

Vernon Hailey &
Ben Barnhill

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and the defendants in person and by attorney, who, being duly arraigned and charged on said indictment pleads guilty to feloniously transporting more than one gallon of intoxicating liquor from one point to another in this state.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; W.R. Warden, Eugene Johnson, Thomas Bigham, Alvie Simpson, George Wheeler, D.M. Merrieth, J.N. Puckett, Prust Jones, Albert Wallace, Will Madden, I.H. Davis, and John Pearl, who had been legally selected tried and sworn according to law and being in charge of their sworn officers D.A. Burch and D.O. Lee who, had previously been selected and sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath say that they find each of the defendants guilty of illegally transporting intoxicating liquor from one point in this state to another point and assess and fix the punishment of each defendant at one year and one day in the penitentiary.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by each of the defendants, Vernon Hailey and Ben Barnhill be confined in state Penitentiary at Nashville, Tennessee, at hard labor for a period of time of not less than one year and one day nor more than one year and one day and that the defendants pay the costs of this cause. As to the defendant Vernon Hailey, it is ordered that his sentence begin on the

first day of September 1936 and he remain on his present bond until that time and the Mittimus to the penitentiary to be delivered to defendant's attorney Robert Brown.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Driving Drunk

Vernon Hailey

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and states to the court that he desires to prosecute this case no further. It is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Drunkness

Ben Barnhill

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and states to the court that he desires to prosecute this case no further. It is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

D. D.

Grady Gutgery

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and states to the court that he desires to prosecute this case no further. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B. D.

Warner Hays

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and states to the court that desires to prosecute this case no further. It is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Common law Misd.

Murray Puckett

In this cause came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and pleads guilty as charged in the indictment, thereupon the court assesses the penalty and say he shall pay an fine Five Dollars together with all the cost of this cause.

Thence came into open court Murray Puckett and paid to the clerk of this court all of said fine and costs.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B. D.

Harris Bradley

This case is continued by the defendant on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court it is ordered, adjudged and decreed.

This day the Grand Jury came into open court in abody and presents the following indictments and presentments.

One against F.D. Lofton, Carrying a Pistol, which indictment is in the words and figures State of Tennessee, Humphreys County August Term of the Circuit Court, A.D. 1936. The Grand Jurors for the state of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the county of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that F.D. Lofton heretofore, to wit, on the 26th day of June 1936, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully carried a pistol, the same not being an army or navy pistol carried openly in the hand, to the evil example of all others in like case offending, and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee. W. C. Howell, Attorney General, August Term 1936 the state/F.D. Lofton, Carrying a pistol, Subpoena for the state: T.R. Westbrook, W. C. Howell Attorney General, A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. T.R. Tucker, R.H. Hughey, D.B. Stewart, E.C. Hall, F.A. Morris, W.W. Gatlin, L.E. Dotson, J.W.D. Doad, J.O. Budson Odie Pinkston, W.R.H. Brown and Willie Shaver.

One against Woodroe Johnson et al. Attempt to commit a felony, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit, State of Tennessee Humphreys County August Term of Circuit Court, A. D. 1936. The Grand Jurors for the state of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and state aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Woodroe Johnson, Clayton Dugger and Maloy May heretofore, to wit, on the 1st., day of June, 1936, in said County and state unlawfully and feloniously did attempt to steal, take and carry away gasoline belonging to the County of Humphreys, which stealing, taking & carrying away of said gasoline is a felony punishable by imprisonment in the penitentiary, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General. August term 1936 the state Vs. Woodroe Johnson, Dayton Dugger and Maloy May, Attempt to commit felony A. J. Burns Prosecutor. Subpoena for the state: M.J. Burns, J.P. Houseman and T.R. Westbrook Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury August term, 1936 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Richard Parrott, Attempt to commit a felony, State of Tennessee Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit court, A. D. 1936 The Grand Jurors for the state of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Richard Parrott heretofore, to wit, on the 17th day of July, 1936 in said County and State, unlawfully feloniously did attempt to forcibly and enter the business house of one L. P. Guinn with intent to take, steal and carry away the goods therein to be found and deprive the true owner thereof said attempt to forcibly break and enter said building being a felony punishable by imprisonment in the penitentiary contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee. W. C. Howell, Attorney General, August Term, 1936 the state Vs. Richard Parrott Attempt to commit a felony L.P. Guinn Prosecutor. Subpoena for the state: L.P. Guinn and Sam Scott. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury August Term R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury W.C. Howell, Attorney General. A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against William Baker, Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A. D. 1936 The Grand Jurors for the state of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid, present that William Baker of said County heretofore, to wit, on the 26th day of June 1936 with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and maliciously, did make an assault upon the body of one W. E. Smith with a certain bottle with the unlawful and feloniously intent then and there, him the said W. E. Smith unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill and upon him to commit the crime and felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee. W.C. Howell Attorney General, August Term, 1936 The State Vs. William Baker, Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree. William E. Smith, Prosecutor Subpoena for the state: W.E. Smith, R.F. Ingram, E.W. Smith, Willie Baker, W.B. Buchanan, Albert Capps, Annie Smith and Alice Baker. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury. August Term. 1936 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury W. C. Howell Attorney General, A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against A. G. Allasio, Assault and Battery, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows: State of Tennessee Humphreys County August Term, of Circuit Court, A. D. 1936, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid present that A. G. Allasio of said County heretofore, to wit on the 5th day of July 1936 with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully did make an assault upon the body of one C. R. Watts, and him, the said C.R. Watts he the said A.G. Allasio, then and there did cruelly beat, wound, bruise, and otherwise maltreat, against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee, W. C. Howell, Attorney General, August Term, 1936 The State Vs. A.G. Allasio, Assault and Battery C.R. Watts, Prosecutor. Subpoena for the state: C. R. Watts, G.G. Jarrell, Bob Rambo and Mrs. Ernie Matlock, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury August Term, 1936 R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against F.E. Pitts D. D. Subpoena for the State: Trabue Lewis, and Bowser Stanfield. One against W.H. Parker, B.D. Subpoena for the State: T.R. Westbrook, Barnett Paehler and DA Burch. One against Elvis Crowell, Election, Subpoena for the state: George Stringer, G.W. Smith and J.C. James.

One against H.H. Stewart, B.D. Subpoena for the state: T.R. Westbrook Jack Wilsford, Barnett Peeler and D.A. Burch.

One against Frank Oakley, Driving Drunk, Subpoena for the state: Trabue Lewis and Jack Holleran.

One against Charlie McGruder, Tippling, Subpoena for the state: L.C. Bone, W.B. Bone, Broke Davidson and Grady Baker.

One against G.E. Miller, Driving Drunk, Subpoena for the state; T.R. Westbrook, Trabue Lewis and Sam Scott.

One against Clifford Bennett, Driving Drunk, Subpoena for the state; T.R. Westbrook, and Ben Sourlock and Ben Sourlock and Sam Thompson.

One against Robert Fowlkes, Driving Drunk, Subpoena for the State; Trabue Lewis, R.P. Hedge and Sam Scott.

One against Porter Head, Drunkenness, Subpoena for the state; C.R. Watts, G.O. Garrell, Bob Rambo and Mrs. Ernie Matlook.

One against Warner Hays, B.D. Subpoena for the state; J.B. Westbrook, T.R. Westbrook, D.B. McCann and D.A. Burch.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Carrying Pistol

F.D. Lofton

This case is continued by the defendant until the next term of this court, it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Drunkenness

A.G. Missio

The Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Attempt to Murder

Fred Spencer

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Mfg. Liquor

Paul Sanders

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Felony

W.B. Bone L. C. Bone
& Broke Davidson

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs. B.D.

Elvis Chambers

This case is continued by the defendant until the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Rape

Ben Van Doss

This case is continued by consent of both the state and the defendant until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Rape

George Fritch

This case is continued by consent of the defendant until the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Murder

D. O. Lee

This cause coming on to be heard, present for the state the Attorney General, and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion of the defendant. It is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the order entered in the cause at the April term 1936 of this court be revived which order is in the words and figures as follows:

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Murder

D.O. Lee

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tennessee, to wit; Nathan Collier, Lee Triplett, J.L. Carroll, J.B. Long, Geo. Stiringer, Clint Bell, Frank Dotsen, T.O. Simpson, Forrest Triplett, Marshall Triplett, Glen Grenwell and A.A. Arnold, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers D.A. Burch and Floyd Hand, who had previously been selected and sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty of involuntary manslaughter as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at six months in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that, for the offense as found by the jury the defendant will be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him confined in the County Jail for a period of six months and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

H. B. & Larocny

Harris Perry

This cause coming on to be heard, present for the state the Attorney General, and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion of the defendant. It is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the order entered in the cause at the April Term 1936 of this court be revived which order is in the words and figures as follows:

State of Tennessee

Vs.

H.B. & LAROCNY

Harris Perry

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tenn., to wit; Walter Harris, John Kiley, Forrest Triplett, Tom Wheeler, A. A. McKnight, Doss Little, Afford O'Bryan, J.B. Long, Marshall Triplett, Clint Bell, Lindell Reversion, and Floyd Hand, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law and after hearing

all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at six months in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that, the defendant be confined in the County Jail of Humphreys County Tennessee, for a period of six months and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue. It is further ordered by the court that the sentence and costs be suspended that he be probated to Mr. Mack Simpson to pay one (\$1.00) Dollar per week on costs.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Murder

Jack Boland

This cause coming on to be heard, present for the state the Attorney General, and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion of the defendant. It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the order entered in the cause at the April term 1936 of this court be revived which is in the words and figures as follows:

State of Tennessee

Vs. Murder

Jack Boland

In this cause comes again the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, when the jury, heretofore selected and sworn in this case to wit J.B. Long, Jim Pentress, Scott Myatt, Tom Wheeler, Marshall Triplett, Forrest Triplett, Sanford Forrest, J.A. McKnight, Lindell Robertson, Doss Little, Jno T. Tate and Oliver Dolan, having returned into open Court in charge of their sworn officer Dr. A. Burch, and T.R. Westbrook, having heretofore heard the proof in this case, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of involuntary manslaughter as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at five months in the County jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the county jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, for a period of five months and that he pay the cost of this case for which let execution issue.

Upon further consideration of this cause by the Court it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that for sufficient reasons shown the five months jail sentence heretofore imposed on the defendant be suspended until the next term of this Court when the cost of this cause are paid or secured by the defendant and said defendant is probated to R.T. Porter of Maysville, Kentucky upon the execution of a bond of One Thousand Dollars signed by the defendant alone, the said R.T. Porter being required to have the defendant in this Court at the August Term, 1936.

W.C. Mays

Vs.

W.E. Shultz
Estil Shultz
E.E. Shultz

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY
TENNESSEE.

In this cause, on motion of plaintiff, and it duly appearing to the Court that on April 15th, 1936, an execution issued by J.M. Reeves, a Justice of the Peace, of Humphreys County, Tennessee was duly filed in this Court along with other papers, making a regular case for condemnation, which execution had been levied upon certain lands of the defendants in the Fifth Civil District of Humphreys County, Tennessee. And at said April term of Court, 1936, order of condemnation and for sale of said lands to satisfy judgment in favor of W.C. Mays, plaintiff, was entered by the Court; and it now appearing to the Court that the officer, T.R. Westbrook, deputy Sheriff actually levied upon the lands of the defendants, but in making return on said execution by mistake described the wrong tract of land, but should have endorsed on said on said execution the following tract:

FIRST TRACT: Beginning on a black oak in J.C. Jenkins W.B.L. thence southward with conditional line 72 poles to J.C. Jenkins S.B.L. thence east 52 poles to a stake, thence west 52 poles to the beginning containing by estimation 24 acres more or less.

SECOND TRACT: Beginning at a Blackgum, running thence east 140 poles to a hickory; thence North 72 poles to a chestnut; thence west 140 poles to a stake; thence south 72 poles to the beginning.

THIRD TRACT: Lying east of the foregoing tract beginning on a chestnut, running east 80 poles to a hickory and black oak; thence south 80 poles to an ash with dogwood pointers; thence west 80 poles to a stake thence North to the beginning, second and third tract containing 65 acres more or less.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said order heretofore entered by corrected and that this order be entered now for then, and the lands so levied on and above described be sold by the Sheriff of Humphreys County to satisfy said judgment set out in the order heretofore entered at the April term of Court, 1936, and the costs of this procedure.

And the death of W.E. Shultz being suggested to the Court, and is satisfactorily appearing to the Court that the said W.E. Shultz is dead, it is ordered by the Court that the said cause be revived against the heirs of W.E. Shultz, Agnes Shultz Bell and Cressie Shultz Cagle who are not already parties to the suit and that the judgment heretofore rendered in this cause be executed. The Court so orders, adjudges and decrees.

HUMPHREYS COUNTY, TENNESSEE FOR
USE OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS.

MOLLIE SHAW,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT
WAVERLY, TENNESSEE.

JUDGMENT

This case came on to be finally heard by the Hon J.D.G. Morton, Judge, etc. upon the petition, exhibits thereto, order, publication, the answer of the Guardian Ad Litem, the report of the Jury of View, and the whole record in the case, the report of the Jury of View having been on file more than five days before the convening of this term of Court, unexcepted to, which report is as follows:-

T.R. Harris, Jno A. Lehman, W.L. Cude, W.R. Warden, R.H. McKeel,
And said report of the Jury of view being unexcepted to, is by the Court in all things conformed by the Court.

It is therefore ordered and decreed by the Court that all the right, title and interest in the strip or parcel of land herein after described, of the defendant Mollie Shaw, be and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the said Mollie Shaw and vested in the Department of Highways and Public Works of the State of Tennessee, for Highway purposes and uses.

The land herein condemned is located in the 2nd Civil District of Humphreys County, Tennessee, just north of the corporation limits of the City of Waverly, being an extension of Highway No 13, and known as project W.P.H. 238-F Humphreys County, said land being so described is as follows:-

" A strip of land extending from Station 31 X 64 to Station 32 X 70, 106 feet long and 80 feet wide bounded on the north by Jones, on the south by the lands of Spicer, and on the east and west by lines parallel to and at all points 40 feet distance from the center line of said proposed road as staked out. Containing approximately 0.19 acres"

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant Mollie Shaw have and recover of Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned, and taken by the petitioners, for Highway purposes and uses, the sum of One Hundred Dollars, (\$100.00) with interest from date of judgment, together with all the costs of this case, for which execution or other necessary and proper process may issue.

See next Page

HUMPHREYS COUNTY FOR USE
OF STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

MOLLIE SHAW

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT
WAVERLY, TENNESSEE.

JUDGMENT.

This case came on to be finally heard by the Hon J.D.G. Morton, Judge, etc. upon the petition, exhibits thereto, order publication, the answer of the Guardian Ad Litem, the report of the Jury of View, and the whole record in the case, the report of the Jury of view having been on file more than five days before the convening of this term of Court, unexcepted to, which report is as follows:-

HUMPHREYS COUNTY, TENNESSEE
FOR THE USE AND BENEFIT OF
THE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND
PUBLIC WORKS OF THE STATE OF
TENNESSEE. IN THE CIRCUIT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE.

REPORT OF JURY OF VIEW.

We, the undersigned jury of view, having been summoned and sworn, do respectfully report that we went upon the property described in the petition in the above case, and shown to be the property of Molly Shaw, and examined the property to be taken for the use of Highway No 13, and we find that the owners of this property are damaged in the amount of \$100.00 by reason of the taking of the land and property described in the petition.

We went upon the lands as described by the Sheriff on the 12th day of May, 1936, and completed our work on the same day.

Respectfully submitted on this the 12th day of May, 1936.

T.R. Harris,
Jno A. Lehman,
W.L. Cude,
W.R. Warden,
R.H. McKeel,

And said report of the Jury of view being unexcepted to, is by the Court in all things conformed by the Court.

It is therefore ordered and decreed by the Court that all the right, title and interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described, of the defendant Mollie Shaw, be and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the said Mollie Shaw, and vested in the Department of Highway and Public Works of the State of Tennessee, for Highway purpose and uses.

The land herein condemned is located in the 2nd Civil District of Humphreys County Tennessee, just north of the corporation limits of the City of Waverly, being an extension of Highway No 13, and known as project W.P.H. 238-F Humphreys County, said land being so described is as follows:

" A strip of land extending from Station 31 X 64 to Station 32 X 70, 106 feet long and 80 feet wide bounded on the north by Jones, on the South by the lands of Spicer, and on the east and west by lines parallel to and at all points, 40 feet distance from the center line of said proposed road as staked out.

Containing 0-19 acres"

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant Mollie Shaw have and recover of Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned, and taken by the petitioners, for Highway purposes and uses, the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars with interest from date of judgment, together with all the costs of this case, for which execution or other necessary and proper process may issue.

HUMPHREYS COUNTY TENNESSEE
FOR USE ETC. VS
FRANK SPIGER ET AL

In the Circuit Court at Waverly,
Tennessee.

JUDGMENT

This cause is compromised and settled by agreement out Court, and it is agreed that the following Judgment or decree may be entered.

This cause came on to be heard to the Court upon the petition, the order proconfesso taken against the defendants, Frank Spioer, Mary C. Spioer, Ida Mai Spioer, Joan Spioer, Allen Spioer, Young and the answer of Mack C. Simpson, guardian ad litem for Purcell Spioer and Priscilla Spioer, minors, and the report of the Jury of View and the whole record in the cause, which report of the Jury of View has been on file more than five days before the convening of Court, and which report is in the words and figuras as follows:

HUMPHREYS COUNTY TENNESSEE
FOR THE USE AND BENEFIT
OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAY AND
PUBLIC WORKS OF THE STATE OF TENN.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENN.

REPORT OF JURY OF VIEW

We, the undersigned jury of view, having been summoned and sworn, do respectfully report that we went upon the property described in the petition in the above cause and shown to be the property of Frank Spioer et al and examined the property to ~~the~~ be taken for the use of Highway No 13, and we find that the owners of this property are damaged in the amount of \$75.00 by reason of the taking of the land and property described in the petition.

We went upon the lands as described by the Sheriff on the 12th day of May, 1936, and completed our work on the same day. Respectfully submitted on this the 12th day of May, 1936.

T.R. Harris
R.H. McKee
W.R. Warden,
W.L. Oude
Jno A. Lehman,

Which report is unaccepted to, and is by the Court in all things confirmed.

And it further appearing to the Court that the above named defendants, own only a one fourth undivided interest in the lands herein described and that the Clinton (Noon) Gholston and Elsie Doney own one fourth undivided interest and Roy Perkins owns a one fourth undivided interest and that Lawson Brown owns a one fourth undivided interest in said property; and that they have appeared in person and by attorney and entered an appearance in this cause and have subjected themselves to the orders of the Court, it is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that on the right, title and interest in the strip of land hereinafter described of the defendants Frank Spioer, Mary C. Spioer, Ida Mai Spioer, Jona Spioer, Allen Spioer Young, Purcell Spioer and Priscilla Spioer and Clinton (Noon) Gholston Elsie Doney, Roy Perkins, and Lawson Brown be and the said is condemned and the title thereto divested out of them and each of them and vested in the department of High ways and Public Works of the State of Tennessee, for highway purposes and uses, and the land herein condemned is located in the second civil district of Humphreys County, Tennessee, just north of the corporate limits of the town of Waverly, being an extension of Highway No 13, and known as Project W.P.H.2)8-F Humphreys County; said lands so condemned being described as follows:

A strip of land extending from station 30 X 32 to station 31 X 64 120 feet long and having an average width of 75 feet; bounded on the north by the land of Harding or Molly Shaw; on the south by land of Gorum, on the west by School property and a line

parallel to and at all points 40 feet distance from ~~the~~ the central line of said purchased lot and on the east by a line parallel to and at all points 40 feet distance from said central line. Containing 0.2 acres.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendants, Frank Spioer, Mary C. Spioer, Ida Mai Spioer, Joan Spioer, Allene Spioer Young, Purcell Spioer and Priscilla Spioer, Clinton (Noon) Gholston, Elsie Doney, Roy Perkins and Lawson Brown, jointly have and recover of Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned, and taken by petitioners, for highway purposes and uses, the sum of \$75.00 with interest from date of Judgment together with all costs of this cause for which execution or other necessary and proper process may issue.

It is further ordered by the Court that the portion of the proceeds of the judgment recovered in this case, going to the minor defendants, Purcell Spioer and Priscilla Spioer, may be paid by the Clerk of this Court to their father, Frank Spioer he having their care and custody and charged with their support, maintenance and education and he shall not be required to make bond therefor.

Purcell Spioer,
Priscilla Spioer
By Mack C. Simpson,
Guardian ad Litem.

Humphreys County.
By J.R. Morris
Attorney.

Frank Spioer
Ida Mai Spioer,
Allene Spioer Young,
Joana Spioer
Mary C. Spioer,
Clinton (Noon) Gholston
Elsie Doney,
Roy Perkins,
Lawson Brown,
By Mack C. Simpson,
Attorney for Defendants.

COUNTY OF HUMPHREYS ETC.)

VS.

MOLLIE TRAYLOR

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT
WAVERLY, TENNESSEE.

JUDGMENT

THIS case came to be finally heard by the Court at this the August term 1936. upon the petition, Non-resident publication, the proconfesso, taken against the defendant Mollie Traylor the report of the Jury of View, and the whole record in the case, which report of the Jury of View had been on file more than five days before the convening of Court, unexcepted to, which is as follows:-

HUMPHREYS COUNTY TENNESSEE
For the use and benefit of the
Department of Highways and P
Public Works of the State
of Tennessee

In the Circuit Court at
Waverly, Tennessee.

Verses

Mollie Traylor

REPORT OF JURY OF VIEW

We, the undersigned jury of view, having been summoned and sworn, do respectfully report that we went upon the property described in the petition in the above cause and shown to be the property of Mollie Traylor and examined the property to be taken for the use of Highway No 13, as we find that the owners of this property are damaged in the amount of ~~the~~ \$15.00 by reason of the taking of the land and property described in the petition.

We went upon the land as described by the Sheriff on the 12th day of May, 1936, and completed our work ~~the~~ on the same day.

Respectfully submitted on this the 12th day of May, 1936.

W.L. Gude,
T.R. Harris,
Jno A. Lehman,
W.R. Warden,
R.H. McKee

And said report of the Jury of View being unexcepted to is in all things confirmed by the Court.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court, that all the right title and interest of the defendant Mollie Traylor in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described, be and is condemned, and the title thereto, divested out of the said Mollie Traylor and vested in the Department of Highways and Public Works of the State of Tennessee, for Highway purposes and uses.

The land herein condemned is located in the 2nd Civil District of Humphreys County, Tennessee, just North of the corporation limits of the City of Waverly, being an extension of Highway No 13, and known as Project W.P.H. 278-F Humphreys County, said land so condemned being described as follows:

A triangular strip of land extending from Station 41 X 15 to Station 41 X 60, 45 feet long and having an average width of 10 feet bounded on the northeast by the lands of Walker heirs; on the south by the lands of Tucker; on the west by a line parallel to and at all points 40 feet distance from the center line of said proposed road as staked out. Containing approximately 0.01 acres.

It is therefore ordered adjudge and decreed by the Court, that the defendant Mollie Traylor have and recover of Humphreys County Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned, and taken by the petitioners, for Highway purposes and uses, the sum of FIFTEEN (\$15.00) with interest date of Judgment, together with all the costs of this case, for which execution or other necessary and proper process may issue.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

SV
WILLIAM BAKER } Assault to Murder.
In this case came the Attorney General for the state and defendant in person and by Attorney, who being duly arraigned and charged in said said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: Eugene Johnson, I.H. Davis, D.M. Meredith, Milt Petty, Albert Wallace W.D. Jordan, W.R. Warden, J.N. Puckett, Alvie Simpson, Will Madden, Thomas Bigham John Pearl, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law and being in charge of their sworn officers D.A. Burroughs, and Sam Scott, who, had previously been selected and sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of an assault with intent to commit voluntary manslaughter as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at five years in the penitentiary.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the State penitentiary at Nashville, Tennessee, at hard labor for an indeterminate period of time of not less than one year nor more than five years and that the defendant pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

Daniel Brothers)

vs

Carl Scott

In the Circuit Court at
Waverly, Tennessee.

This case came on to be heard, by the Honorable J.D.G. Morton, Judge, without intervention of a Jury after due consideration of this case, It is ordered by the court that the Judgment of the J.P. be affirmed, and is as follows: In this case I rendered Judgment in favor of the plaintiff Daniel Brothers, and against Defendant Carl Scott, and fix this damage for the Seizure and detention of the property at \$15.00 which Plaintiff will recover of the defendant together with all cost accrued. I also adjudge the property to belong to Daniel Brothers. And the cost of this cause be adjudged to the Defendant Carl Scott, for which let execution issue.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

Driving Drunk.

C.N. BROWN In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: W.R. Warden, Eugene Johnson, Thomas Bigham, Alvie Simpson, George Wheeler, D.M. Meredith, J.N. Puckett, Pruett Jones, Albert Wallace, Will Madden, I.H. Davis, John Pearl, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Thirty days in jail and also a fine of ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of ten Dollars and serve a term of Thirty days in the County jail in Waverly, Humphreys County, and will pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

It is further ordered by the Court that the jail sentence be suspended until the next term of this court upon the defendant paying or securing said fine and costs. It is also further ordered by the court that, the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of six months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

F.E. PITTS

Driving Drunk.

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: W.R. Warden, Eugene Johnson, Thomas Bigham, Alvie Simpson, George Wheeler, D.M. Meredith, J.N. Puckett, Pruett Jones, Albert Wallace, Will Madden, I.H. Davis, John Pearl, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Thirty days in jail and also a fine of ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of ten Dollars and serve a term of Thirty days in the County Jail in Waverly, Humphreys County, and will pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. It is further ordered by the Court that the jail sentence be suspended until the next term of this court upon the defendant paying or securing said fine and costs.

It is also further ordered by the court that, the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of six months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

Thence came into open court the defendant F.E. Pitts, and paid to the Clerk of this Court all of said fine and cost.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

ATTEMPT TO HOUSE BREAKING.

RICHARD PARROTT

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the Defendant in person, and by Attorney, who being duly charged and arranged an said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: W.R. Warden, Eugene Johnson, Thomas Bigham, Alvie Simpson, George Wheeler, D.M. Meredith, J.N. Puckett, Pruett Jones Albert Wallace, Will Madden, I.H. Davis, and John Pearl, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment of Trespass and fix and assess his punishment at Thirty days in jail.

It is there fore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be confined in the County jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee for a period of Thirty days and that he pay the costs of this cause, for which let execution issue.

In the event of his failure to pay or secure said cost he shall be further ~~****~~ confined in the County jail or work house until he pays, secure or work out all of said costs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

FORFEITURE

G.E. MILLER

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court that the defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of driving an automobile while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, and the said defendant, was arrested and entered into bond with G.L. Raney as his surety, which bond is in the words and figures as follows; to wit:

State of Tennessee Humphreys County, We, G.E. Miller agree to pay to the State of Tennessee Five Hundred Dollars unless the said G.E. Miller appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly, on the 2. Monday in August, 1936 on Tuesday of said term, to answer the State of Tennessee for the offense of driving while ----- and do not depart the court without leave

Approved ----- Sheriff,
This ----- day of ----- 1936 ----- Deputy

G.E. Miller Principal
G.L. Raney Surety

And the defendant G.E. Miller being solemnly called to come into court, and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of Driving an automobile while under influence of intoxicating liquor, came not but made default and the said G.L. Raney also called to come into court and bring with him the body of the said G.E. Miller according to the tenor and effect of their said bond, came not but made default, neither came the defendant G.E. Miller nor his said surety, but made default.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant G.E. Miller and G.L. Raney for their said default do forfeit and pay to the State of Tennessee, the said sum of Five Hundred Dollars, according to the tenor and effect of their said bond.

And it is further ordered by the court that Sol Fa be issued for the defendant and his said surety requiring them to appear as the next term of this court, and show cause

If anythey have why this judgment should not be made final.
And further that Alias be issued for the defendant.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

V.S.

FORFEITURE

CHARLIE MCGRUDER

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court that the defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of Tippling, and the said defendant, was arrested and entered into bond with Dillie McGruder and W.J. Hooper as his surety, which bond is in the words and figures as follows to wit:

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, We, Charlie McGruder Dillie McGruder, and Will Hooper, agree to pay the State of Tennessee Two Hundred Fifty Dollars, unless the said ----- appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of said County, and from term to term until the case is finally disposed of, to answer for the offense of selling whisk to minors. Charlie McGruder and does not depart the Court without leave.

Witness our hands this the 13 day of July, 1936.

Approved.

Charlie McGruder,
Dillie McGruder;
W.J. Hooper,

And the defendant Charlie McGruder, being solemnly called to come into court and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of Tippling, came not but made default and the said Dillie McGruder and W.J. Hooper also called to come into court and bring with them the body of the said Charlie McGruder, according to the tenor and effect of their said bond, came not but made default, neither came the defendant Charlie McGruder, nor his sureties Dillie McGruder and W.J. Hooper but made default.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant Charlie McGruder, ~~****~~ and Dillie McGruder and W.J. Hooper, for their said default do forfeit and pay to the State of Tennessee the said sum of Two hundred and Fifty Dollars, according to the tenor of their said bond.

And it is further ordered by the court that ~~****~~ Sol Fa be issued for the defendant, and his said sureties requiring them to appear at the next term of this court, and show cause if any they have, why this judgment should not be made final.
And further that Alias be issued for the defendant.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

BONE DRY.

BAKER MARTIN } In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the
 Defendant in person who being duly charged and arranged on said indictment pleads guilty y
 Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys
 County, Tennessee, to wit: Walter Harris, John Kiley, Forrest Triplett, Tom Wheeler, J.A. Mo-
 Knight, Does Little, Alford O'Bryan, J.B. Long, Marshall Triplett, Clint Bell, Lindell Robert son
 Floyd Hand, who being duly elected, tried, and sworn according to law, after hearing all
 the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they
 find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment
 and fix and assess his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense
 as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the
 costs of this cause. for which execution may issue.
 It is further ordered by the Court that the fine be suspended on paying or securing costs
 until next term of this court

Thence came into open Court P.L. McGrary, and Jim Divinnie, and signed their names
 as sureties for all this cost.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

DRIVING DRUNK.

WARNER MAYBERRY

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the
 defendant in person, who being charged and arranged on said indictment pleads guilty.
 Thereupon to try the issues came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County,
 Tennessee, to wit: W.R. Warden, Eugene Johnson, Thomas Bigham, Alvie Simpson, George Wheeler,
 D.M. Meredith, J.N. Puckett, Pruett Jones, Albert Walace, Will Madden, I.H. Davis, John Pearl.
 who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof,
 argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say they find the
 defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at
 Thirty days in jail, and also a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and
 decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be required
 to pay a fine of Ten Dollars and serve a term of Thirty days in the County Jail in
 Waverly, Humphreys County, and will pay the cost of this cause for which let execution
 issue.

It is further ordered by the Court that the jail sentence be suspended until the
 next term of this court upon the defendant paying or securing said fine and costs. It is
 also further ordered by the court that, the defendant be prohibited from driving an auto-
 mobile for a period of six months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in
 charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

Thence came into open Court W.E. Mayberry, and Albert Cappe, and signed their names as
 sureties for all this fine and costs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

BONE DRY.

GRADY GUTHRY

In this cause came the Attorney General, for the State and
 defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arranged on said indict-
 ment, pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful
 men of Humphreys County to wit: W.R. Warden, Eugene Johnson, Thomas Bigham, Alvie Simpson,
 George Wheeler, D.M. Meredith, J.N. Puckett, Pruett Jones, Albert Walace, Will Madden, I.H. Davis
 John Pearl, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all
 the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that
 they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged
 in the indictment and assess and fix his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as
 found by the jury, the defendant pay a fine of One Hundred Dollars together with all the
 costs for which let execution issue.

And in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he shall
 be confined in the County Jail or Workhouse of Humphreys County, Tenn. until he pay, secure
 or work out all of said fine and costs.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning, at 9 O'Clock A.M.

JUDGE.

*These minutes are correct but was not signed
 by the Judge, J. D. B. Martin on the account
 of his death.*

Ch. Bahama - Clerk.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT; PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE.
ETC.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

MURDER.

ROBERTA MOORE } In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the
defendant, in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arranged on said indictment
pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys
County, to wit: I.H. Davis, J.H. Collier, Albert Wallace, George Wheeler, J.N. Puckett, W.D.
Jordan, L.O. Morgan, Frank Dotson, Will Madden, A.A. Allison, L.A. Lewis, Charlie James, who being
duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being charged of their sworn officers,
Barnett Peeler,
and D.A. Burch, deputy Sheriffs for Humphreys County, who had previously been
legally sworn to attend them and having heard all the testimony, argument of counsel
and the charge of the Court, ~~upon their oath do say that they find the~~
~~defendant not guilty of the matters charged in the indictment.~~
upon their oath do say that they find the
defendant not guilty of the matters charged in the indictment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant go hence
without day.

Court then Adjourned until next day at 9 O'clock

JUDGE.

*These Minutes are correct but was not
signed by the Judge, J.D. G. Morton
on the account of his death.*

Cliff Buchanan, Clerk

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT; PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE.
ETC.

Court Then Adjourned until tomorrow morning, at 9 O'clock A.M.

JUDGE

*These Minutes are correct but was
not signed by the Judge, J.D. G. Morton
on the account of his death.*

Cliff Buchanan, Clerk

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE.

J.C. Choate Administrator

vs.

CIRCUIT COURT OF HUMPHREYS COUNTY?

Humphreys County, et al

TENNESSEE AUGUST TERM 1936.

At the close of the plaintiff's evidence in chief the Attorney for the defendant moved the Court to direct the jury to return a verdict in favor of the defendants and for grounds of the motion say:

That they have not shown that the School Board or any of the members of the Board in so far as the bus that carried these children that they had a contract with them or the bus driver to carry these children on this particular bus to the McEwen School, on another ground that they have shown no sufficient evidence to want the jury to find these defendants negligent either as a body or as an individuals on another ground is that as to the School Board as an organized body under the law is an arm of the State Government whose duty it is to carry on a function of the State Government in enforcing and carrying out the education of the children of the State as provided by the State Government and the Board as an organized body could not be held for any damages whatever.

Which motion the Court sustained as to the defendants as a School Board or an organized body and over-rule the motion as to the individuals. To which action of the Court over-ruling the motion as to the defendants as individuals the defendant except.

J.C. Choate Administrator

vs.

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

Humphreys County et al

This day came the parties by their attorneys and in their own person, and also came a jury of good and lawful men, and which jury is as follows to wit: Eugene Johnson, George Wheeler, J.W. Mobney, D.M. Meredith, A.R. Moore, W.C. Cantrell, A.V. Anderson, Pruet Jones, W.D. Durham, Will Madden, Thomas Bigham, L.A. Lewis, And said jury having heard the testimony of all the witnesses produced before the Court the argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court, retired and considered of the verdict.

And the said jury reported August 14th, 1936, that they were hopelessly disagreed, and were unable to reach a verdict, thereupon the jury, was by the Court, discharged and the Court directed a miss trial to be entered.

J.C. Choate Administrator

vs.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE.

Humphreys County et al

In this case comes J.C. Choate, Administrator, and plaintiff and moves the Court to order and direct a change of venue in this action, and in support of his motion plaintiff says:

That he verily believes that owing to prejudice now existing and heretofore existing against his cause of action, and a prejudice or partiality in favor of the defendants, T.C. Miller, Doss Thompson, John James, J.P. Houseman, W.H. Crockett, Walter Long, and W.R. May, some or all of them, the plaintiff cannot have a fair and impartial of his cause in Humphreys County.

That he has presented the facts of the cause to three juries of Humphreys County, and which juries on a fair and impartial charge of the law by the Court, the evidence in each trial decidedly preponderating in the favor of the plaintiff,

Said juries having failed and neglected and refused to reach a verdict, and plaintiff therefore thinks it futile and needless expense to further submit the case to the jury of Humphreys County.

Plaintiff therefore prays to the Court to order and direct and change the venue to another County in accordance with the Statute in such case made and provided.

Howard E. Brown
W.J. Stephens
W.F. Turner,
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

J.C. Choate makes oath, that the facts and circumstance state in his foregoing motion are true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

J.C. Choate,

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this the 14th day of August, 1936.

L.C. Bohanan,
Circuit Court Clerk.

J.C. Choate Administrator

vs.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE.

Humphreys County, et al

In this cause comes Rob Rambo W. Anderson, -----

that they verily believe that because of prejudice against the plaintiff in this cause and his right of action, and because of prejudice or partiality in favor of the defendants T.C. Miller, Doss Thompson, John James J.P. Houseman, W.H. Crockett, Walter Long, and W.R. May or some of them plaintiff cannot have a fair and impartial trial of this case before the jury of Humphreys County.

Bob Rambo,
W. Anderson,

STATE OF TENNESSEE

HUMPHREYS COUNTY

Sworn to and subscribed before me this the 14 day of Aug, 1936.

L.C. Bohanan,
Circuit Court Clerk.

J.C. Choate Administrator

vs.

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

Humphreys County et al

This cause was heard by the Court on the 14th day of August on motion of the Plaintiff, supported by affidavits, as required by the Statute and in requirance of the Statute, and the Court is of the opinion that the motion is well taken, in view of the fact, that the cause has been three times heard in this County and on each trial the jury was unable to agree.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the venue in this action be changed to Houston County, Tennessee, and the Clerk of this Court is ordered and directed to make a transcript of the record in this cause and file the same duly certified, with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Erin, Tenn prior to the convening of the next term of said Court.

G.C.Davis

VS

George Greenwell and
Fletcher Wilson Coffee Company
day of August, 1936 upon motion for a new trial made and filed by the defendant, Fletcher-
Wilson Coffee Company, which motion for a new trial is in the words and figures as follows.

G.C.Davis,

Vs

George Greenwell and
Fletcher-Wilson Coffee Company

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE.

This cause came on further to be heard on this the 14th

IN the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

Comes the defendant, Fletcher Wilson Coffee Company,
and moves the Court for a new trial in this cause upon the following grounds:

First. Because the Court erred in holding that the plaintiff was not compelled to make a sworn itemized statement of his claim before the institution of the proceedings in this cause, as required by statute.

Second. Because the Court erred in decreeing in this cause that the due bill offered in the testimony by the plaintiff was a sufficient compliance with the statute requiring a sworn itemized account to be made and filed before the institution of proceedings in a cause of this kind.

Third. Because the Court erred in rendering any judgment and costs against the defendant, Fletcher-Wilson Coffee Company.

Mack C. Simpson,
Attorney for Fletcher Wilson
Coffee Company.

Which motion the Court was pleased to overrule, and the defendant, Fletcher-Wilson Coffee Company, duly accepted to the action of the Court in overruling said motion, and prayed an appeal to the next term of the Court of Appeals at Nashville, which appeal was by the Court granted, and the defendant Fletcher Wilson Coffee Company was by the Court allowed thirty days within which to file its bill of exceptions, make appeal and otherwise perfect the appeal.

G.C.Davis,

Vs.

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

George Greenwell et al)
This case was further heard on this 14 day of August, 1936, upon a motion for new trial made by the plaintiff G.C.Davis, which motion for new trial is as follows:

G.C.Davis

Vs

George Greenwell et al)

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

Comes the plaintiff G.C.Davis and excepts to the action of the Court in disallowing the plaintiff his item of Twenty Five Dollars, (\$25.00) set out in his note or due bill given him by defendant Greenwell, for workstock to make crop, and the failure of the Court to declare a lien for said item and moves the Court for a new trial upon the following grounds.

Because the Court is in error in holding that the plaintiff had no Landlord lien or furnishes lien for the item of twenty Five Dollars (\$25.00) charged against the defendants by reason of payment by plaintiff of a joint note for work stock to make crop for the year 1935.

J.R.Morris,
Atty for G.C.Davis, Pltff.

And which motion is by the Court overruled and disallowed, and the plaintiff, G.C.Davis duly excepted to the action of the Court in overruling his motion, and prayed an appeal to the next term of the Court of Appeals at Nashville, Tennessee which appeal was allowed by the Court, and the plaintiff G.C.Davis, allowed Thirty (30) Days from the adjournment of this Court, to file his bill of exceptions, make appeal bond and otherwise perfect this appeal.

REBECCA JOHNSON

VS

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

BOYD JOHNSON

PROCONFESSO

In this cause, the complainant moved the Court for a judgment proconfesso against the defendant Boyd Johnson, and it appearing to the Court that the defendant Boyd Johnson is duly in Court by service of subpoena requiring him to appear and defend this suit at this term of the Court, and that he has failed to make any defense to complainant's bill as required by the rules of this Court, it is therefore ordered, that as to the defendant Boyd Johnson, complainant's bill be taken for confessed the cause set for hearing ex parte, as to him.

REBECCA JOHNSON

VS

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

BOYD JOHNSON

DECREE

This cause came on to be heard before the Hon J.D.G. Morton, on this Friday the 14th day of August 1936, upon the bill of complaint, the order proconfesso heretofore taken, and the oral testimony of witnesses had in open Court, and upon the whole record in the cause.

And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from the proof that the defendant Boyd Johnson, had abandoned the complainant, turned her out of doors, and refused and neglected to provide for the complainant and their minor child.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony now subsisting between the complainant and the defendant be dissolved, and that the complainant be and is restored to all the rights and privileges of an un-married person, and that the complainant, have the exclusive custody of Gould Johnson, the infant child of the complainant and defendant, with the right reserved to the defendant to visit said child at such times and places as may be convenient for the complainant, but the defendant is enjoined from tampering with the complainant and said child, or endeavoring to take said child from its Mother without due process of law.

The case is retained in Court for any further orders that be necessary in the case.

It is further ordered by the Court, that the defendant Boyd Johnson pay the costs of this case, including the \$6.00 paid into Court on institution of the suit by the complainant, for all of which execution may issue.

CELIA MAY BERRYMAN
VS
JOHN H. BERRYMAN

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

PRO CONFESSO

This cause came on to be heard before the Honorable J.D.G. Morton, Judge, on this the 14th day of August 1936, and upon motion of complainant and it duly appearing to the Court, that the defendant has been regularly served with subpoena to answer, and has failed to appear and make defense to the bill filed against him, as required by law it is therefore ordered that the bill be taken as confessed as to defendant, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

DECREE

And the case came on further to be heard before the Court on the 14th day of August 1936 upon the entire record in the cause, complainant's bill the judgment pro confesso heretofore taken against the defendant, the summons and Sheriff's return there on, and the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open Court.

And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court that the facts stated in the bill are true; that the defendant wilfully deserted and abandoned the complainant, and his family, and failed refused and neglected to provide the necessities of life for her, and that the complainant has not condoned the acts of the defendant.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that the complainant be freed from the obligations thereof, and be restored to the rights and privileges of an unmarried person.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that complainant have the exclusive custody of their minor children, namely Johnny, Ruth, Berryman, Clarence Berryman, and Clyde Berryman, free from any interference on the part of the defendant.

It further appears to the Court that the parties hereto have entered in a satisfactory agreement as to their property rights, alimony, etc., which agreement is as follows:

This agreement entered into by and between John H. Berryman, hereinafter called first party and Mrs. Celia May Berryman, hereinafter called second party, Witnesseth:

In order to settle the property rights, and alimony rights, between the parties in view of a divorce being granted between the parties, first party agrees to pay to second party the sum of \$5.00 per month for the use and benefit of Johnny Ruth Berryman, their daughter who is now twelve years of age and these monthly payments are to continue until the said child is eighteen years of age, provided such payment shall cease upon her marriage before reaching the age of eighteen years.

And second party agrees to accept the above mentioned payment, and certain land and personal property described in a deed made independent of this agreement, in lieu of and in full satisfaction of any further claims for alimony for herself, or any of her other children not herein mentioned, and hereby releases and discharges first party from any obligations for support, maintenance, or any other form of alimony.

First party further agrees that in case of sickness of any of their minor children, namely Johnny Ruth Berryman, Clarence Berryman, and Clyde Berryman, he will help pay the doctor's bills in looking after and caring for said children during such sickness, if any should occur.

It is further agreed between the parties that second party is to have the exclusive custody of all their children.

It is further agreed between parties that this agreement may be made a part of the decree of the Court in granting a divorce between them.

This the 29th day of July, 1936.

Celia Berryman,
John H. Berryman,

And the Court hereby ratifies and confirms said agreement in all things, and the same is made the judgment of the Court. The defendant will pay the costs of the cause for which execution may issue. The cause will be retained in the Court for any necessary orders in the enforcement of this decree.

GERLANDINE BARROWS

VS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE.

RANDALL BARROWS

ORDER PROCONFESSO.

In this case on motion of the petitioner Geraldine Barrows by her Attorney J.R. Morris and it duly appearing to the Court, that the defendant Randall Barrows, has been regularly brought before the Court and made a party to the petition in this case by publication duly and properly made, and that the said Randall Barrows, the defendant has failed to appear and make defense to said petition within the time required by law, it is ordered that as to the defendant Randall Barrow the petition will be taken as confessed and the case set for hearing ex parte.

GERALDINE BARROWS

VS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY, TENNESSEE.

RANDALL BARROWS

DECREE

This cause came on to be heard on this the 14th day of August, 1936, before the Hon J.D.G. Morton, Judge, upon the petition of the complainant, Geraldine Barrows the proconfesso heretofore taken and entered, against the defendant Randall Barrows, and the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open Court.

And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from the proof, that the facts charged in the petition, are true, that the defendant has abandoned his wife the petitioner, turned her out of doors and refused and neglected to provide for her, and their child.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the petitioner and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved.

And that the petitioner be vested with all the rights and privileges of an unmarried woman; and that she have the undivided custody and control of their only child Randall Barrows and that the defendant be prohibited from interfering with said child, but the defendant is given the right to visit said child at such intervals as may be convenient to its Mother, and that the case be retained in Court for any future orders seeming proper in the case, and that the defendant pay the costs of the case, including the \$6.00 that the petitioner paid into Court upon institution of the suit, for all of which execution may issue.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

DRIVING DRUNK.

ROBERT L. FOWLES In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the Defendant in person, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. There upon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee to wit: W.R. Warden, Eugene Johnson, Thomas Bigham, Alvie Simpson, George Wheeler, D.M. Meredith, J.N. Puckett, Pruett Jones, Albert Wallace, Will Madden, I.H. Davis, John Pearl, who being elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath, do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Thirty days in jail and also a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of Ten Dollars, and serve a term of Thirty days in the County jail at Waverly, Humphreys County, and will pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

It is also further ordered by the court, that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of Six months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

CONTEMPT OF COURT.

MRS EFFIE MIMS AND
MISS DELIA MITCHELL

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendants and each of them, in person, pleads not guilty. After hearing testimony, Court is pleased to and does say the defendants and each of them shall pay a fine of Ten Dollars each and shall pay the costs of this cause. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed. In the event of their failure and each of them to pay or secure said fine and costs they shall and each of them, shall be confined in the County Jail, or workhouse of Humphreys County, Tennessee, until they pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

DRIVING DRUNK.

CLIFFORD BENNETT

This case was continued on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

DRIVING DRUNK.

FRANK OAKLEY

This case was continued on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
EX. REL. MRS A.N. BROWN

VS

AUGUST TERM OF CIRCUIT COURT AT WAVERLY.

CITY OF MOEWEN AND BOARD OF
MAYOR AND ALDERMEN

This 13th day of August 1936, this case has been compromised and settled out of Court, with the agreement that the City of McEwen, pay the costs except State and County Tax.

It is so ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court; that the City of McEwen, pay the costs thus far accrued in the above matter, except the State and County Tax, for which execution may issue.

APPOINTMENT OF W.R. WARDEN DEPUTY CLERK

I hereby appoint W.R. Warden to be DEPUTY CIRCUIT Court Clerk of Humphreys County Tenn., to take effect immediately to act in my absence, hereby ratifying anything he may do in the premises.

This the 2nd day of September, 1936.

J. C. Bohanan
Circuit Court Clerk of Humphreys
County, Tennessee.

SHERIFF'S STATE BOARD BILL

State Vs. Eugene Neal, Forgery May 1st. 1936 to May 7th. 1936 7 days at 75¢ per day \$5.25, 2 turnkeys \$2.00	\$7.25
State Vs. William Baker, Attempt to murder, June 7th 1936, to Aug 24th 1936 59 days at 75¢ per day \$	\$4.25
State Vs. Ben Hamhill, Transporting liquor, Aug. 11th. 1936, to Aug. 24th. 1936, 14 days at 75¢ per day	\$10.50

CHARLIE BUCHANAN

VS

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

C.N. SUMPSON

On motion of plaintiff, the plaintiff is permitted to take a non-suit. It is therefore, ordered by the Court that the cause be stricken from the docket, and the plaintiff assessed with the costs for which execution may issue.

THIS DAY THE GRAND JURY CAME INTO OPEN COURT IN A BODY AND PRESENT THE FOLLOWING INDICTMENTS, AND PRESENTMENTS.

One Against Robert Farley, Subpoena for the State, C.R. Watts Eva Watts, Margret Watts, C.C. Chappel,

One Against Luther Winstead, Subpoena for State, Jack Forrest Coke Coleman, D.H. Turner, Henry Long, Glen Greenwell, A.H. Greenwell.

One Against Thomas Scott, Subpoena for State W.C. Pace, Albert Gray, Claud Davis, Harold Davis.

One Against Pete Beasley, Subpoena for the State, Troy Hooper, Lester Moran, George Greenwell.

One Against Clyde Mayberry, Subpoena for the State Arthur Bowen, Jess Bowen, Frank Dodson.

One Against Son Gholston, Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree.

Which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit:

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1936. The Grand Jurors, for the State of Tennessee elected empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Son Gholston, of said County, heretofore, to wit: on the 19 day of July, 1936, with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly and maliciously, did make an assault upon the body of one Frank Hogan, with a certain shotgun, with the unlawful and felonious intent, then and there, him the said Frank Hogan, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill and upon him to commit the crime and felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee..

W.C. Howell
Attorney General.

Subpoena for the State, Willie Hogan, Frank Hogan, Roger Wilburn, Mrs Willie Hogan, George Hogan, Thurman Hogan, Frank Hogan, . Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury August Term 1936.

R.H. McKeel,
Foreman Grand Jury.
W.C. Howell
Attorney General.

A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand-Jury.

One against Son Gholston, Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree.

Which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit:

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court A.D. 1936. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Son Gholston of said County, heretofore to wit on the 19 day of July 1936, with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately premeditatedly, and maliciously, did make an assault upon the body of one Willie Hogan, with a certain Knife, with the unlawful and felonious intent, then and there, him, the said Willie Hogan, unlawfully, feloniously, deliberately premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill and upon him to commit the crime and felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.

W.C. Howell,
Attorney General.

Subpoena for the State, Willie Hogan, Frank Hogan, Roger Wilburn, Mrs Willie Hogan, Dr Wall. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, August Term 1936.

R.H. McKeel,
Foreman Grand Jury
W.C. Howell
Attorney General.

A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Harold Weatherspoon, Larceny, Which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee Humphreys County, August Term of the Circuit Court A.D. 1936. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid present that Harold Weatherspoon, of said County, heretofore, to wit, on the ---- day of July 1936, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did setal, take and carry away five gallon Gasoline of the value of One Dollar the property of Humphreys County, of said County then and there being found, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.

W.C. Howell,
Attorney General.

Subpoena for the State, G.B. Franklin, Mrs G.B. Franklin, Clarence Franklin, Carlton Orman, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, August Term 1936.

R.H. McKeel
Foreman Grand Jury
W.C. Howell
Attorney General.

A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

MISDEMEANOR

JACK COLEMAN

Motion to relax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, for the state and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS

BONE DRY.

SON GOLSTON

Motion to ~~relax~~ re tax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, for the state and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE }
VS }
J. L. BRUEN }
BONE DRY
MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS.

In this case came the Attorney General, for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the Sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge, for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE }
VS }
J. L. ADKINS }
BONE DRY
MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS.

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge, for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE }
VS }
MRS JIM MILLER }
BONE DRY
Motion to re-Tax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge, for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE }
VS }
JIM MILLER }
BONE DRY
MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS.

In this case came the Attorney General, for the state and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE }
VS }
CLAUDE STEWART }
BONE DRY
Motion to Re-Tax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE }
VS }
HARRIS BRADLEY }
BONE DRY
MOTION TO RETAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General, for the state and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE }
VS }
ETIZA LUTEN }
DISORDERLY HOUSE
Motion to retax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE }
VS }
MARY F. MARABLE }
BONE DRY
MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS.

In this case came the Attorney General, for the state and it appearing to the Court, from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE }
VS }
HUBERT STEWART }
DRUNKENESS
MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS.

In this case came the Attorney General, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk

of this Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the Clerk of this Court make out and certify the same to the County Judge, for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE)

VS

DRUNKENNESS

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS.

BILL MORAN) In this case came the Attorney General, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the Clerk of this Court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE)

VS

DRUNKENNESS

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS.

DUDE MORAN) In this case came the Attorney General, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the Clerk of this Court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE)

VS

DRUNKENNESS

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS.

CECIL BEACHAM) In this case came the Attorney General, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE)

VS

DRUNKENNESS

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS.

ROBERT HURT) In this case came the Attorney General, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE)

VS

HOUSE BRAKING & LARCENY

BOYD JOHNSON)

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court, from the return of the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the State Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE)

VS

FORGERY

E.G. NEAL)

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court, from the return of the Sheriff, upon execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit, and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the State Treasury and that the Clerk make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE)

VS

HOUSE BRAKING AND LARCENY

HARVELL MURRELL)

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court, against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the State Treasury, and that the clerk make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE)

VS

TRANSPORTING MORE THEN ONE GALLON LIQUOR.

VERNON HARLEY)

MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the State Treasury, and that the clerk make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS
HALBERT DOTSON

ASSAULT WITH INTENT TO COMMIT MURDER.
MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem. For the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit, and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cost accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the State Treasury and that the clerk make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS
BOYD WHITE

HOUSE BRACKING AND LARCENY
MOTION TO RE-TAX COSTS:

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem. For the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the ~~costs~~ costs accrued upon part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the State Treasury, and that the clerk make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

MOTION

Upon motion of the Attorney General made in open Court and it appearing to the Court from the written report of the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, that said sheriff is now in possession of liquors taken from the following persons, to wit; Vernon Bailey and Ben Barnhill, twenty-three gallon. Warner Hays, Eight pts. Brady Outarie, 1 pt. It is ordered by the Court that the sheriff of Humphreys County publicly destroy said whiskey.

State of Tennessee
Vs.
W.H. Parker et al.

Forfeiture

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the Court that the defendant was indicted at this term of this Court for the offense of possessing intoxicating liquor, and the said defendant, was arrested and entered into bond with A. Hood as his surety, which bond is in the words and figures as follows; to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, We, W.H. Parker and A. Hood agree to pay to the State of Tennessee Two Hundred Fifty Dollars, unless the said W.H. Parker appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly, on the second Monday in August 1936, on Tuesday Aug. 11, 1936, of said term, to answer the State of Tennessee for the offense of possessing liquor and do not depart the Court without leave.

Approved: _____ A. Hood Principal.
J. S. Westbrook Sheriff. _____ W.H. Parker Surety.

This _____ day of _____ 1936

And the defendant W.H. Parker being solemnly called to come into court, and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of possessing intoxicating liquor came not but made default and the said A. Hood also called to come into Court and bring with him the body of the said W.H. Parker according to the tenor and effect of their said bond,

came not but made default, neither came the defendant W.H. Parker nor his said surety but made default. It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant W.H. Parker and A. Hood for their said default do forfeit and pay to the State of Tennessee, the said sum of Two Hundred Fifty Dollars, according to the tenor and effect of their said bond. And it is further ordered by the Court that Sci Fa. be issued for the defendant and his said surety requiring them to appear at the next term of this Court, and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final. And further that Alias be issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee
Vs.
Clint McCandless

House breaking and larceny

In this cause comes again the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion of the state it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendant be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him confined in the County Jail for a period of time of six months which sentence was imposed on him at the December term 1935.

Court then adjourned until court in course.

Judge.

These Minutes are correct but not signed by Judge J. D. G. Martin on account of his death.

L. B. Baker, Clerk.

SECTION

CAPTION DECEMBER TERM CIRCUIT COURT A. D. 1936

State of Tennessee)
Humphreys County

Be it rembered that a Circuit Court was opened and held in and for the County of Humphreys at the Court house in the town of Waverly, Tennessee, on the 14th day of December it being the second Monday of said month, and the One Thousand Nine Hundred and Thirty Sixth year of our Lord, and the One Hundred and sixtieth year of American Independence. Present and presiding the Hon. ~~James B. Bann~~ Judge of the Ninth Judicial District of the State of Tennessee.

Court was opened in due form of law by J.S. Westbrook, Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tenn. and by him was returned ~~into~~ open Court a writ of Venire Facias, showing that the following named persons were appointed by the County ~~Court~~, at its October Term 1936, to appear and serve as jurors at this the present term of Court to wit, Bob Davis, Ed Warnermaker, R.W. Allison, Geo. Stanfill, A.F. Lockhart, Arthur Stewart, John Stribling, T.A. Quinn, Claude Forester, Melvin Ayers, Oleo Headbrook, W.B. Clevenger, C.S. Oliver, John Bradley, G.W.D. Ethridge, Geo. Robertson, Lonnie Banes, D.T. Taylor, W.E. Joslin, Willie Franklin, Allen McClure, Doss Weatherpoon, W.J. Rice, E.L. Pace, J.C. Wright and J.P. Hedge, And it appearing to the Court that, the above named parties were regularly summoned by the by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and that ailsaid parties so summoned appeared and answered said summon.

And out of the said jurors so summoned the following were selected, as required by law, as Grandjurymen, W.E. Joslin, Doss Weatherpoon, R.L. Davis, W.J. Rice, G.W.D. Ethridge, Claude Forester, W.D. Clevenger, E.L. Pace, Allen McClure, Melvin Ayers, A.F. Lockhart and Willie Franklin, and R.H. McKel having been appointed as Foreman of the Grand Jury at a former term of this court, the said Grand jury is at all things as the law directs having been duly elected, tried and sworn and charged by the Court according to law, retired to their room in charge of their sworn officers Hubert Hedge, Constable of Humphreys County, sworn according to law to attend them in considering in disoent and presentments. And out of the said remaining number of jurors so summoned, the following were excused R.W. Allison, Arthur Stewart and Lonnie Banes, .

And the following named persons were summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and qualified as regular jurors in the stead of the above named excused jurors, to wit, J.A. Lehman, W.H. McCaulley and M.M. Anderson, .

MOTION

Upon motion of the Attorney General made in open Court and it appearing to the Court from the written report of the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tenn., that said sheriff is now in possession of liquors taken from the following persons, to wit: Audley Ross, 1 pint, Elvis Chambers, 2 pint, Robert Fowlkes, 48 1/2-pints and H. H. Stewart 1 quart. It is ordered by the Court that the sheriff of Humphreys County publicly destroy said whiskey.

WILLIAM H. JOHNSON, EMPLOYEE,
VS.
MUNICIPAL PAVING & CONSTRUCTION CO.,
EMPLOYER

NO. _____ I N THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HUMPHREYS
COUNTY, TENNESSEE

In this matter the petitioners, William H. Johnson, as employee, Municipal Paving & Construction Co., as employer and the Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., as Workmen's Compensation Insurance carrier for the employer, presented the settlement agreement on the form provided by the Workmen's Compensation Division of the State of Tenn., and their formal final settlement petition, from which it appears by examination of said employee William H. Johnson by the Court to its satisfaction that on March 30, 1936, said employee suffered an accidental injury arising out of and in the course of his employment, to wit: while hoisting long piling, one pole fell and caught left leg between it and the ground, resulting in injury to left leg and ankle, from which he suffered temporary total disability for short time, being paid the sum of \$10.59, for said lost time, said payments being based on an average weekly wage of \$13.50, thereby entitling said employee to the sum of \$6.75 per week, that it is estimated and agreed upon between the parties hereto, that said employee Johnson's permanent partial disability amounts to twenty eight weeks, and said employer and/or insurer is willing to pay said employee Johnson has agreed to accept said twenty eight weeks compensation in a lump sum settlement, and the sum of \$189.00 is being paid at this time in full settlement of all claims and demands for all injuries arising from said accident and injury, that his rights to Workmen's Compensation benefits terminates with the payment of said twenty-eight weeks compensation, so that he is entitled to no further benefits, total or partial, temporary or permanent; that likewise he is also entitled to have paid, and said insurance carrier has paid, his necessary medical bills; and that the said parties have, therefore, made and entered into and signed a formal written settlement agreement, final as to all claims and demands for Workmen's compensation benefits to said employee, of every kind and character, growing out of said accident and injury, heretofore arising or that may hereafter arise therefrom, which written agreement is hereby approved and ratified by the court and is hereby made final between the parties.

It is, therefore, considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed that all claims and demands of the petitioner William H. Johnson for Workmen's Compensation benefits growing out of, or on account of, said injuries of every kind, character and nature, have been and are fully and finally settled and paid by said insurance carrier on behalf of the employer, that said agreement is hereby approved and ratified by the court, and henceforth it shall be final and binding between the parties.

Approved 11-18-36

DANCEY FORT,
JUDGE WITH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

WILLIAM H. JOHNSON,
EMPLOYEE

MACK C. SIMPSON,
ATTORNEY FOR EMPLOYEE

MUNICIPAL PAVING & CONSTRUCTION CO.,
By THOS. O. H. SMITH,
EMPLOYER.

LIBERTY NATIONAL INSURANCE CO.,
By THOS. O. H. SMITH, ATT.,
INSURANCE CARRIER.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Dancey Fort Judge.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HONORABLE DANKEY FORT, JUDGE, ETC

State of Tennessee

Vs. Housebreaking & Larceny

Oddie Chappell
Boyd L. Edwards
W. O. Turner

This cause coming on to be heard, present for the state the Attorney General, and the defendant in person and by Attorney, when upon motion of the defendant It is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the order entered in the cause at the August Term 1936 of this court be revived which order is in the words and figures as follows:

State of Tennessee

Vs. H. B. & Larceny

Oddie Chappell
Boyd L. Edwards
W. O. Turner

In these cases upon petition of defendants and in view of the proven good character of all the defendants, it is ordered that the jail sentence imposed in two cases be served concurrently and it is further ordered, that, upon defendants consenting thereto the sentence to the Penitentiary for three years heretofore imposed be suspended at the pleasure of the court, upon defendants, after having served the jail sentence imposed, paying the costs of the case, and executing appearance bonds of \$100.00 for their appearance on the first day of each term of court until released by order of the court, and upon consent of the defendants that at any term of court hereafter for a term not succeeding three years from this term, the Court may at the discretion of the Court, revoke the order suspending sentence to the Penitentiary, and commit the defendants, or each of them to the Penitentiary to serve the term of sentence heretofore imposed, without proof, or notice to the defendants and the defendants present in open court, in person and by attorney, agree to the above order.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Felonious Transportation

W.J. Jamison
Jesse Baird

In this cause upon motion by the Attorney General it is ordered by the Court that an Alias issue as to the defendant W. J. Jamison and the case is continued as to the defendant.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Possessing Liquor

P.K. Wilson

In this cause said case was called by the Court and the defendant not answering an alias sci fa was ordered by the Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs. H. B. & Larceny

Odie Chappell
Boyd L. Edwards
W.O. Turner

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court it is ordered by the Court that the order heretofore entered in this cause be revived and the cause passed until next term of Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Albert Hughey
M.J. Holland

Possessing Liquor

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant M.K. Holland in person and by Attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tennessee, to-wit: George Robertson, S.W. Standfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, E.L. Warramaker, D.T. Taylor, J.M. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment and assess and fix this fine at the sum of \$100.00.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of \$100.00 and the cost of this case for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody by the sheriff of this County, and by him confined in the County jail or work house until the same is paid, secured or worked out.

As to the defendant, Albert Hughey the case is continued until the next term of Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Clint McGlandle

H. B. & Larceny

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion of the defendant and order heretofore entered in this cause at a former term is ordered by the Court to be revived.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Boyd White

H. B. Larceny

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to-wit: George Robertson, G.W. Standfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, E.L. Warramaker, D.T. Taylor, J.M. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, T.R. Westbrook and D.B. McCamp, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath to say that they find the defendant guilty of Petit Larceny as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at one year in the penitentiary.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant be confined in the State penitentiary at Nashville Tennessee at hard labor for a period of time of not more than one-year and not less than one-year and that he pay the cost of this case for which let execution issue and that the defendant be rendered infamous.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court upon the Court's own motion that the sentence heretofore imposed be suspended during good behavior.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

J.L. Druin and Lucille
Druin

Possessing Liquor

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when the jail sentence heretofore entered in this cause against the defendant J.L. Druin it was suspended until next term of Court.

The cause was continued until next term as to Lucille Druin.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Ben Van Dose

Rape

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Therefore to try the issues joined came a jury of good men and lawful men of Humphreys County, to-wit; George Robertson, G.W. Standfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, E.L. Warnamaker, D.T. Taylor, J.N. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, T. R. Westbrook and D.B. McCann, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, upon their oath, do say that they find the defendant not guilty of the offenses charged in the indictment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant

Ben Van Dose go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

George Fritch

Rape

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and law men of Humphreys County, Tennessee to-wit; George Robertson, G.W. Standfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, E.L. Warnamaker, D.T. Taylor, J.N. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being of their sworn officers, T.R. Westbrook and D.B. McCann, who, had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant not guilty of the offenses charged in the indictment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Harris Bradley

Possessing Liquor

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant it is ordered by the Court that the former order in this cause be revived and the cause is continued until next term on the defendant's plea of guilty.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Harris Bradley

Driving Drunk

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant it is ordered by the Court that the former order of the cause be revived when said cause is to be nolle.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Audley Ross

Possessing Liquor

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit; George Robertson, G.W. Standfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, E.L. Warnamaker, D.T. Taylor, J.N. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who, being duly elected and tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument and counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oaths do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his fine at the sum One-Hundred dollars. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine One-Hundred dollars and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event that he fails to pay or secure the same, he will be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined to the County jail or work house until the same is paid, secured or worked out.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Joe Hays

Possessing Liquor

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court when it appearing to the Court that the defendant has not been apprehended, it is ordered by the Court that an alias issue for said defendant.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Joe Hays

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court when it appearing to the Court that the defendant has not been apprehended, It is ordered by the Court that an alias issue for said defendant.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Harry Berry

H.B. Larceny

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued until next term of the Court and the defendant is ordered to pay One-Dollar per week to Mack Simpson to be paid into Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Jack Boland

Murder

In this cause came on to be heard present the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, when it is ordered by the Court that the former order entered in this cause be revived until the next term of Court.

State of Tennessee
Vs.

Possessing Liquor

Baker Martin

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion by the defendant it is ordered by the Court that the fine heretofore adjudged and decreed in this Court be suspended until the next term of the Court.

State of Tennessee
Vs.

Felony

Woodroe Johnson
Dayton Dugger
Maloy May

This cause coming on to be heard it is ordered by the Court upon motion of the defendant that the cause be continued until next term of Court.

State of Tennessee
Vs.

Possessing Liquor

H.H. Stewart

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee to-wit: George Robertson, G.W. Stanfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, E.L. Warnamaker, D.F. Taylor, J.N. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of the counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oaths do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment and assess his fine at the sum of ~~ONE~~ One-Hundred dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense found by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of) One-Hundred dollars and the cost of this case for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure the same, he will be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until the same is paid, secured or worked out.

State of Tennessee
Vs.

Possessing Liquor

W.H. Parker

This cause coming on to be heard, present the Attorney General for the State and when upon the call of said the defendant failed to answer and it therefore ordered by the Court that alias capias issue as to him.

State of Tennessee
Vs.

Possessing Liquor

H.H. Stewart

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of

(Over)

Continued from page 542

Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: M.M. Anderson, John Hodge, D.T. Taylor, E.L. Warnamaker, J.A. Lehman, John Bradley, J.C. Wright, G.W. Stanfield, J.N. Striblin, C.S. Oliver, W.H. McQualey, T.A. Guin, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant not guilty of the matters charged in the presentment and indictment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
Vs.

Tippling

Charlie McGruder

This cause coming on to be heard present the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant the cause is continued until the next term of the Court.

State of Tennessee
Vs.

Forfeiture

Charlie McGruder
Della McGruder
W.J. Hooper

This cause coming on to be heard, present the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant said cause was continued until the next term of Court.

State of Tennessee
Vs.

Driving Drunk

G.E. Miller

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: George Robertson, G.W. Stanfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, E.L. Warnamaker, D.T. Taylor, J.N. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and charged of the Court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an Automobile while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense found by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine to be assessed by this Court and to be paid with such further judgment as may be rendered by this Court at the next term at which time the Court will hear proof to determine and assess the fine and punishment. It is further ordered that the defendant pay all cost incident to a forfeiture taken against the defendant.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Driving Drunk

Clifford Bennett

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined in said case came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: George Roberston, G.W. Stanfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, E.L. Wamamaker, D.T. Taylor, J.W. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of the counsel and the charge of the Court upon their do say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of Ten-dollars and the cost of this case for which let execution issue and that he prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of six months. In the event of his failure to pay or secure the fine and cost in this case he will be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined to the County jail or work house until the same is paid, secured or worked out. In addition to the above fine and cost it is ordered, ~~by~~ adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be taken in charge by the sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail for a period of Thirty days, however, this fine of Thirty days will be suspended upon condition that the defendant pay or secure the fine heretofore imposed and subject to further orders of this Court. The defendant will report back to the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Carrying Pistol

E.D. Lofton

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: George Roberston, G.W. Stanfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, E.L. Wamamaker, D.T. Taylor, J.W. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of the counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of unlawful carrying a Pistol as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at a fine of Fifty dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense found by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of Fifty Dollars and the cost of this case for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure the same, he will be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until same is paid, secured or worked out. However, it is ordered by the Court that on the payment of Fifty Dollars of the above fine and costs the balance is extended for payment until next term of Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Misdemeanor

Clyde Mayberry

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment and by agreement upon the part of the State and defendant said cause is passed until next term of Court at which term the defendant agrees to enter a plea of guilty to the matters alleged in the indictment.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Possessing Liquor

Robert Farley

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant and upon his statement as made in open Court that he intends to enter a plea of guilty said cause is continued until term of Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Violating Election Law

Elvis Crowell

This cause came on to be heard by the Court, present for the State the Attorney General when it appearing that the defendant had not been arrested it is ordered by the Court that an alias issue as to him.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Public Drunkenness

Pete Beasley

This cause came on to be heard by the Court, present for the State the Attorney General and the defendant ~~in~~ when upon motion made by the Attorney General in open Court it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that an alias prosequi be entered as to the defendant.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Bone Dry

Ham Barnett

In this cause came on to be heard by the Court present for the State the Attorney General and the Defendant when upon motion by the defendant and attorney made in open Court and upon the statement of the defendant that he intends to enter a plea at next term of the Court it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued until term of this Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Driving Drunk

Joe McOfrarry

This cause coming on to be heard ~~by~~ present for the State the Attorney General and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion by the defendant and his statement in open Court that he intends to plead guilty at next term of Court, it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued until the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Ben Ingram

Driving Drunk

This cause coming on to be heard present the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion by the defendant his statement in open Court that he intends to plead guilty at the next term it is ordered by the Court that said case be continued until the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Martin Moran

Assault to Murder

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant made in open Court it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said cause be continued until next term.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Luther Townsend

Possessing Liquor

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General for the State, the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion made by the defendant and upon his statement made in open Court that he will enter a plea of guilty at next term, it was ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said case be continued until the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Fred Spencer

Assault to Murder

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty of an assault to commit voluntary man-slaughter.

Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: George Robertson, G.W. Standfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, E.L. Warnamaker, D.T. Taylor, J.N. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, being in charge of their sworn officers, T.R. Westbrook and D.B. McGann who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of an assault to commit voluntary man-slaughter as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Eleven-Months and Twenty-Nine days in the County jail of Humphreys County.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the defense as found by the jury, the defendant be confined in the County jail of Humphreys County Tennessee, or work house, at hard labor for a period of time of not less than Eleven-Months and Twenty-nine days nor more than Eleven-months and Twenty-nine days and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Mike Burns

Driving Drunk

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General for the State, the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion made by the defendant and his statement made in open Court that he intends to plead guilty at the next term of Court, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said case be continued until next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

J.C. Parsih

Driving Drunk

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court, present the Attorney General for the State, the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion made by the defendant in open Court and sufficient reason is shown therefore it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued until next term.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

W.H. Parker
A. Hood

Forfeiture

In this case it is ordered by the Court that this case be continued until next term without making forfeiture find in order to give bondsmen further time to apprehend defendant.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Jim Miller

Bone Dry

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute the case no further.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

D. O. Lee

Murder

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute the case no further.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, adjudged and decreed that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Warner Mayberry

Driving Drunk

In this case comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tennessee, to-wit: George Robertson, G.W. Standfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, Ed Warnamaker, D.T. Taylor, J.N. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who, being duly elected sworn and tried according to law, after all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his

over

(cont'd)

punishment at Thirty days in the jail of Humphreys County, and also a fine of Ten-Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court, adjudged and decreed, that for the offense found by the jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of Ten Dollars and serve a term of Thirty days in the County jail in Waverly, Humphreys County, and will pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

It is further ordered by the Court that the jail sentence be suspended until the next term of this Court upon the defendant paying or securing said fine and costs. It is also further ordered by the Court that, the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of six months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charged and be further confined to the jail.

THIS DAY THE GRAND JURY CAME INTO OPEN COURT IN BODY AND PRESENTS THE FOLLOWING INDICTMENTS AND PRESENTMENTS.

One against Hamm Barnett, B.D. Subpoena for the State, D.B. McCann, J.S. Westbrook.

One against Joe McCrary, D.D. Subpoena for the State, L.H. Winstead, T.R. Westbrook.

One against Ben Ingram, D.D. Subpoena for the State, D.A. Burch, T.R. Westbrook.

One against J.W. Bilbert, D.D. Subpoena for the State, Charlie Carnell, Charlie Sourlook, Sam Scott.

One against Will Valentine, B.D. Subpoena for the State, T.R. Westbrook, Nellie Sourlook.

One against Martin Moran, Assault to Murder, which indictment is in words and figures as follows, to-wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December term of Circuit Court A.D. 1936. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of for the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Martin Moran, of said County, heretofore, to wit on the 15th day of September 1936 with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and maliciously, did make an assault upon the body of one Wyly Carter, with a certain pistol, with the unlawful and felonious intent, then and there, him, the said Wyly Carter, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill and upon him to commit the crime and felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General. December term, 1936 The State of Tennessee Vs. Martin Moran, charged with Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree, Wyly Carter, Prosecutor. Subpoena for the State: Wyly Carter, Grady Carter, Clyde Oguin, Mrs. Clyde Oguin, Dr. J.Y. Wall, witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, December term, 1936, R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury. W.C. Howell, Attorney General. A True Bill, R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Luther Townsend, B.D. Subpoena for the State, T.R. Westbrook, J. McReeves.

One against Grady Chance, B.D. Subpoena for the State, J.S. Westbrook, T.R. Westbrook, D.B. McCann.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT & PRESIDING THE HON. DANCY FORT? JUDGE? ETC.

State of Tennessee }

Vs. }

Age of Consent

Hugh Nickell

This cause coming on to be heard when upon motion of the defendant the case is continued because of the absence of a witness, Earl Jones, said witness upon claim of defendant being a material witness in his interest and the case is set for Thursday of next term of Court.

State of Tennessee }

Vs. }

Driving Drunk

Frank Oakley

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned in said presentment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to-wit: George Robertson, G.W. Stanfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, Ed Warmamaker, D.T. Taylor, J.N. Striblin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodges, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their do say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile while under the influence of intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense found by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of Ten Dollars and be prohibited from driving an automobile within the State of Tennessee for a period of six months and that he pay the cost of this case for which let execution issue. In the event of his failure to pay or secure the fine and cost herein imposed he will be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined to the County jail or work house until the same is paid, secured or worked out. In addition to the above fine imposed, it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee and by him confined in the jail or work house for a period of Thirty days, however, this jail sentence of Thirty days is suspended on the payment of cost and fine heretofore imposed, until the further order of this Court and it is ordered that the defendant report back to the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee }

Vs. }

Assault with Battery

A.G. Alissio

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion by the defendant it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued until the next term of the Court.

State of Tennessee }

Vs. }

Public Drunkenness

Porter Head

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney when upon motion of the defendant it is ordered by the Court that this cause be continued until the next term of the Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Possessing Liquor

Robert Fowlkes &
Leon Runion

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: Albert Gray, D.T. Taylor, John Hodge, Lynn Bird, Oscar Miller, J.C. Wright, John Collier, Ed Warnamaker, T.A. Guin, W.T. Patterson, George Lafavor, C.S. Oliver, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant Leon Runion not guilty and the defendant, Robert Fowlkes, guilty of illegally possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the presentment and assess the fine at the sum of One-Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense found by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of One-Hundred Dollars and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure the same, he will be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the County jail or work house until the same is paid, secured or worked out.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Assault to Murder

Son Goleston

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: George Robertson, G.W. Stanfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, Ed Warnamaker, D.T. Taylor, J.N. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, D.A. Burch and Cleo Lee, who had been previously legal sworn to attend them, and after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of an assault to commit voluntary man-slaughter as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at One-year in the penitentiary.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense found by the jury, the defendant be confined in the State penitentiary at Nashville Tennessee, at hard labor for a period of time of not less than One-year, nor more than One-year and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

However, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that this sentence to the penitentiary be suspended during the good behaviour of the defendant, but to be enforced in the future when in the judgment of the Court it is proper.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Assault to Commit Murder in 1st Degree

Son Goleston

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: George Robertson, G.W. Stanfield, J.T. Bradley, C.S. Oliver, Ed Warnamaker, D.T. Taylor, J.N. Striblin, T.A. Guin, Cleo Headrick, J.C. Wright, J.A. Lehman, J.P. Hodge, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law and being in charge of their sworn officers, D.A. Burch and Cleo Lee, who had previously been sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of an assault with the intent to commit voluntary man-slaughter and assess and fix his punishment at Eleven-Months and Twenty-Nine days in jail. *See page 52*

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant be confined in the County jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee, or the work house at hard labor for a period of time of not less than Eleven-Months and Twenty-Nine days nor more than Eleven-Months and Twenty-Nine days and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Public Drunkenness

Thomas Scott

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to-wit: J.S. Lehman, D.T. Taylor, John Hodge, George Robertson, G.W. Stanfield, J.C. Wright, John Bradley, W.T. Patterson, T.A. Guin, M.M. Anderson, W.H. McCaully, C.S. Oliver, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant not guilty of the matters charged in the indictment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Larceny

Harold Weatherapoon

This cause coming on to be heard by the Court present the Attorney General for the State when upon a call of the case it appearing to the Court that the defendant has not been arrested, it is ordered by the Court that as to him an alias issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Bone Dry

Will Valentine

This cause coming on to be by the Court, present the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion by the defendant in open Court and upon his agreement to plead guilty at the next term of this Court, it is ordered by the Court, adjudged and decreed that said case be continued until next term.