

State of Tennessee)
Vs.
John W. Fowlkes

C.W.

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said presentment pleads guilty.
Thereupon to try the issues joined came a Jury of Good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tenn., to wit: C.R. Horner, John McMurtry, Della Dolton, H.L. Rogers, Emmitt May, J.A. Fortner, Scott Smith, Eddie Little, Barnett Peeler, Marion Mims, J.H. Perkins, and S.R. Betty, who, being legally selected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the presentment and assess and fix his punishment at Fifty Dollars. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of Fifty Dollars and the costs of this cause for which execution may issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him confined in the County Jail until same is secured, paid or worked out.

State of Tennessee)
Vs.
James C. Mays

Reckless Driving

This case is continued by the defendant until the next term of this Court, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed.

State of Tennessee)
Vs.
W.O. Hodge

B.D.

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute this case no further.
It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)
Vs.
Carter Simpson

Bastardy

This case is continued until the next term of this court.

ALIAS CASES

State of Tennessee Vs. W.J. Jamison, P.K. Wilson, Ernest Durham, Ray Melideth, Hunter Blackwell, Osby Baker, Nettie Ingram, Imogene Parrish, Perry Madison, C.N. Brown, Edgar Wheeler, Nathan Sanders, Clarence Booth, Noah Smith, E.C. Neal,

State of Tennessee)
Vs.
Jessie Bird

Transporting

This case is continued by the defendant Bird until the next term of this court.

THIS DAY THE GRAND JURY CAME INTO OPEN COURT IN A BODY AND PRESENTS THE FOLLOWING INDICTMENTS AND PRESENTMENTS.

One against B. Lowery, Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows; to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1935, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that B. Lowery of said County, heretofore, to wit, on the 21st day of September 1935 with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and maliciously, did make and assault upon the body of one A.B. Bryant with a certain knife with the unlawful and felonious intent, then and there, him, the said A.B. Bryant unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill and upon him to commit the crime and felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee, W.C. Howell, Attorney General. December Term, 1935 The State Vs. B. Lowery Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree, A.B. Bryant Prosecutor, Subpoena for the State A.B. Bryant, E.J. Work, Dan McCord, John Deck, Ridley Williams, Dr. J.A. Sugg, Elder Titke and W.J. Watkins, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term, 1935, R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, A True Bill R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against J.L. Druen and Lucille Druen, B.D., which indictment is in the words and figures as follows: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.B. 1935, The Grand Jurors for the state of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that J.L. Druen and Lucille Druen heretofore, to wit, on the 24th day of August, 1925, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquor contrary to statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee, December Term, 1935 The State Vs. J.L. Druen and Lucille Druen, B.D. Subpoena for the state J.S. Westbrook, T.R. Westbrook, T.D. Story, D.B. McCann, and D.A. Birch, W.C. Howell, Attorney General. A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury A.S. Carns, D.C. Daniel, J.T. Gunn, B.E. Braden, E.C. Pickard, W.L. White, Vester Spann, C.A. Latimer, W.D. Woods, C.E. Guinn, T.R. Summers and A.D. Pogner.

One against Walter Lehman, B.D. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1935, The Grand Jurors for the state of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Walter Lehman, heretofore, to wit, on the 29th day of September, 1935, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. December Term, 1935, The State Vs. Walter Lehman, B.D. Subpoena for the state T.R. Westbrook, D.A. Birch, T.D. Story, and J.R. Wilsford, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, A True Bill, A.L. Capps, D.C. Daniel, J.T. Gunn, B.E. Braden, E.C. Pickard, W.L. White, Vester Spann, C.A. Latimer, W.D. Woods, C.E. Guinn, T.R. Summers, A.D. Poyner.

One against Walter Lehman, M. Liquor. Which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1935, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, present that Walter Lehman heretofore ~~as~~ ^{on} the 29th day of September, 1935 in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully did manufacture intoxicating liquors, to wit Whiskey, contrary

to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said Walter Lehman, on the day and year aforesaid, in the state and county aforesaid unlawfully did possess or have in his control a still, apparatus, or part thereof, use or intended to be used for the manufacture of intoxicating liquors, to wit, whiskey, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee W.C. Howell, Attorney General. December Term 1935, The State Vs. Walter Lehman, M.Liquor, Subpoena for the state T.R. Westbrook, J.S. Westbrook, D.A. Burch, T.D. Story, J.R. Wilsford, A true bill R.H. McKeel, foreman grand jury, A.S. Capps, J.T. Gunn, B.E. Braden, E.C. Pickard, W.L. White, Vester Spann, C.A. Latimer, W.D. Woods, C.E. Guinn, T.R. Summers, A.D. Royner.

One against Jim Miller and Mrs Jim Miller, B.D. Subpoena for the state J.S. Westbrook, T.R. Westbrook, T.D. Story.

One against George Ragan, C.W. Subpoena for the state T.D. Story, T.R. Westbrook, J.M. Reeves.

One against Mary F. Marable, B.D. Subpoena for the state, T.B. Story, D.B. McCann, D.A. Burch, J.S. Westbrook, T.R. Westbrook.

One against R.O. Saderberg, B.D. Subpoena for the state T.R. Westbrook, D.A. Burch, Johnnie Durham,

One against A.B. Bryant, Assault and Battery, Subpoena for the state, B.L. Lowery, John Deak, Dan McCord, Ollie Brown, J.T. Chambers, Elder Tittle, E.J. Work, Herb Peeler, Malcolm Lomax, Will Stewart.

One against Jim Miller, B.D. Subpoena for the state J.S. Westbrook, T.R. Westbrook, D.B. McCann.

One against Claude Stewart, B.D. Subpoena for the state, T.D. Story, T.R. Westbrook, Esq. J.M. Reeves.

One against Son Coleston, B.D. Subpoena for the state, T.R. Westbrook, J.S. Westbrook, T.D. Story, D.B. McCann, Esq. J.M. Reeves.

One against Earl Evans, B.D. Subpoena for the state, Erabus Lewis, J.S. Westbrook.

One against Earl Evans, D.D. Subpoena for the state, Erabus Lewis, J.S. Westbrook, Esq. J.M. Reeves.

One against R.O. Saderberg, B.D. Subpoena for the state T.R. Westbrook, D.A. Burch, Johnnie Durham.

One against Boyd White and Harvell Murrell, H.B. & Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1935. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Boyd White and Harvell Murrell heretofore, to wit: on the 30th day of October, 1935, in said County and State, unlawfully, feloniously and forcibly did break and enter the business house of one Herb Peeler, of said County, with intent to commit a felony, to wit, a larceny. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid further present that the said Boyd White and Harvell Murrell, on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did take, steal, and carry away, Beer, Coca-cola, sandwiches, cheese, cigarette and goods and lawful money of the United States to the amount of Ten Dollars, all of the value of Twenty Dollars, and of the goods and chattels of the said Herb Peeler, with intent to deprive him, the said Herb Peeler, the true owner thereof and convert the same to their own use, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. A December Term, 1935 The State Vs. Boyd White and Harvell Murrell, H.B. & Larceny

Herb Peeler, Prosecutor. Subpoena for the State: Herb Peeler, L.H. Winstead, Mary Williams, W.D. King, J.S. Westbrook, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term, 1935 R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Noah Smith et al.)

Forfeiture

In this case came Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the Court that, this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of Non-support, and the said defendant, was arrested and entered into bond with G.C. Coleman, Bay Edwards and B.H. Smith, which bond is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, We Noah Smith, principal & sureties agree to pay to the State of Tennessee the sum of Two Hundred Fifty & No/100 . . . Dollars unless the said Noah Smith appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly, on the 3rd Monday in April 1935, on Monday of said term, to answer the State of Tennessee for the offense of willfully refusing & failing to support his wife and do not depart the Court without leave.

Noah Smith, Prin.

Approved:

B.H. Smith, Surety

T.R. Westbrook, D. Sheriff.

Bay Edwards, "

This 18. day of Dec. . . 1934

G.C. Coleman, "

And the defendant Noah Smith being solemnly called to come into open court, to answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of Non-support, came not but made default and said B.H. Smith, Bay Edwards and G.C. Coleman, were also called to come into open court and bring with them the body of the said Noah Smith according to the tenor and effect of their said bond, came not but made default, neither came the defendant Noah Smith nor his said sureties but made default.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendants Noah Smith, B.H. Smith, Bay Edwards and G.C. Coleman for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee the said sum of Two Hundred Fifty dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bond. And it is further ordered by the Court that Sol Fa be issued to the said defendant and his said sureties requiring them to appear at the next term of this court, and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final. And further that Alias Capias be issued for the defendant.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'Clock

J. S. Minton, Judge.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J. D. G. MORTON, JUDGE ET C.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Driving Drunk

Earl Evans

This case is continued by the defendant until the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Vernon Hailey

In this case it is continued by the defendant on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty ^{to misdemeanor} at the next term of this court, it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Reckless driving

Vernon Hailey

This case is continued by the defendant until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Age Consent

Oliver Pulley

This case is continued by the state on account of absence of all the parties until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Reckless Driving

Vernon Hailey

This case is continued by the defendant until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee))

Vs. Assault with intent to commit murder in the 1st. degree.

Verd Bell

This case is continued on account of absence of E.E. Shultz until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Driving Drunk

R.O. Saderberg

This case is continued on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B. D.

R.O. Saderberg

This case is continued on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B. D.

Claude Steward

This case is continued on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B. D.

Son Goleston

This case is continued on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B. D.

Mary F. Marable

This case is continued on agreement for the defendant to plea guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B. D.

J.L. Druin &
Lucille Drui

This case is continued by the defendants until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B. D.

Earl Evans

This case is continued on agreement for the defendant to plea guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Volunious Assault

Walter Lehman

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendnat in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads present insanity, that is that defendant is at present time of unsound mind.

Therefore to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit, C.R. Horner, John McMurtry, Della Dalton, H.L. Rogers, Emmet May, J.A. Fortner, Scott Smith, Eddie Little, Barnet Peeler, Marion Mims J.R. Perkins, S.R. Betty, Carroll Curtis, R.L. Hamilton, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law and being in charge of their sworn officers D.T. Story and D.A. Burch, who had previously been legally selected and sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath say that they find the defendant Walter Lehman is at the time is a person of unsound mind.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant, Walter Lehman, be transferred to the Central State Hospital for the insane to be confined there until such time as his mental condition will permit him to be tried in this court on this cause.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

House breaking and Larceny

Boyd Johnson

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads, not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee to wit, Scott Smith, Eddie Little, Emmitt May, Barnett Peeler, S.R. Betty, Della Dalton, J.M. Fortner, Marion Mimms, John McMurtry, J.R. Perkins, C.R. Horner, and H.L. Roger who, being duly selected tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers D. O. Lee and T.D. Story, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of illegally possessing stolen property under the value of sixty Dollars and assess and fix his punishment at confinement for six months in the county Jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be confined in the County Jail or work House of Humphreys County Tennessee at hard labor for a period of time of not less than six months nor more than six months, and that he be rendered infamous and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow at 9:00 O'Clock

J.D. Morton Judge.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT & PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE, ETC.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Housebreaking and Larceny

James H. Monsue &
Clint McCandless

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendants in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, the defendant James H. Monsue plead guilty to petit larceny and the defendant Clint McCandless plead not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tenn. to wit, W.C. Davis, Eddie Little, Emmitt May, Barnett Peeler, S.R. Betty, Della Dalton, J.A. Fortner, Marion Mimms, John McMurtry, J.R. Perkins, C.R. Horner and H.L. Rogers, who, being duly selected, tried and sworn according to law and being in charge of their sworn officers T.D. Story and D.M. Burch, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them after hearing all the proof, argument of the counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath say that they find the defendant James H. Monsue guilty of petit larceny as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at one year in the Penitentiary, and upon their oath say that they find the defendant Clint McCandless guilty illegally possessing stolen property as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at six months in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant James H. Monsue be confine in the State Penitentiary at Nashville Tennessee, at hard labor for a period of time of not less than one year nor more than one year and be rendered infamous, and it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the that the defendant Clint McCandless be confined in the County Jail or work House of Humphreys County, Tennessee for six months; and that both be rendered infamous and that defendants pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

In the Circuit Court at Nashville, Tennessee

Clint McCandless

TO THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR HUMPHREYS COUNTY, TENNESSEE.

Your petitioner, Clint McCandless, respectfully states to the court:

That since the indictment against him in this cause was returned, he has been admitted to the C. C. C. Camps, and was located and camped at Paris Tenn., when tried and convicted for this cause at the present term of Court, and that he still has 5 months which he can serve in this camp, were he permitted to do so by the Court.

Your petitioner states to the court that he is a young man eighteen years of age and that this is the first trouble that he has been into; and that his connection with his case was not intentional, and was the result of being led into trouble before he really knew or thought what he was doing in going on the trip to Dickson with James H. Monsue. Your petitioner states to the Court that he is greatly in need of the training obtained in the government camps, and that his family, greatly in need of the pay for his services in these camps, and petitioner states to the court that should he be permitted to return to the camps, he promises the court that his experience in this case will be a lesson to him, and that he will do his best to make a man of himself.

Petitioner prays that he be allowed to file this petition in this cause, and that the upon the hearing thereof the Court will suspend the sentence of six months in jail, and

give him an opportunity to return to the camps, and go straight, and make a man of him self and at the same time aid his family.

Clint McCandless

State of Tennessee,
Humphreys County,

Clint McCandless, being duly sworn, makes oath before me that the facts stated in the foregoing petition are true.

Clint McCandless

Sworn to and subscribed before me on this the 14th day of December, 1935.

Maak C. Simpson
Notary Public. (SEAL)

My comm. expires June 19, 1938.

TO THE HONORABLE J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR HUMPHREYS COUNTY, Tenn.,

We, the undersigned, and members of the Jury in the case of Tennessee Versus Clint McCandless at the December, 1935, term of court, when the said Clint McCandless was convicted and given sentence of six months in the County Jail, when we considered the case as a jury, we did not know that the defendant was in the CCC camps at Paris, but since learning that he is a member of that camp we urge your Honor to suspend the jail sentence of the defendant, upon his paying or securing the costs, in order that he might return to this camp for the remainder of his term. Had we sworn that he was a member of this camp there would in all probability been no conviction as to the said McCandless. We Respectfully ask that the jail sentence be suspended upon good behavior.

This the 14th day of December, 1935.

Marion Mims
John McMurtry
H.L. Rogers
Emmit May
O.D. Dalton
S.R. Getty
E.C. Little
Barnett Feeler
W.C. Davis.

State of Tennessee }
Vs. }
Clint McCandless }

Housebreaking and Larceny

This petition came on to be and was heard by the court on this the 17th day of December 1935, on the motion and petition of defendant, present for the state the Attorney General for the defendant when said motion was heard and duly considered by the court when the following order and decree is by the court entered, to wit:— The defendant is required to execute a good and solvent bond in the sum of One Thousand Dollars for his appearance at the next term of this court for further orders of the court, and defendant is required to secure or pay all costs of this cause and when this is done defendant to be released.

Sallie Daniel

Vs.

In Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn. December Term.

John Daniel

In this cause, it duly appearing to the court that the defendant, John Daniel, has been regularly brought before the court and made a party defendant by publication, duly made as required by law, and that the defendant has failed to appear and make defense to said bill, within the time required by law; it is ordered that, as to him, complainant's bill be taken as confessed and set for hearing ex parte. And thereupon this cause coming on to be further and finally heard upon the bill, this December 12th 1935 before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Judge, the judgment pro-confesso, heretofore taken and entered against the defendant and the proof in the cause taken by oral testimony proof in open court, from all of which, it duly appearing to the Court. And it satisfactorily appeared to the court from the proof that the facts charged in the bill are true; that the defendant had wilfully deserted the complainant, without a reasonable cause, for more than two whole years before the filing of the bill, as charged, and that the complainant is a chaste woman, and gave defendant no just or reasonable cause for said desertion, and that she has not concided in same.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that the complainant be vested with all the rights and privileges of an unmarried or single woman.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the title to the Homestead lot be divested out of the defendant and vested in the Complainant Sallie Daniel.

Said homestead lot is situated in the 2nd, Civil District of Humphreys County Tennessee, described as follows to wit:

Being in what is known as Big Bottom, beginning in the center of the old No. 1 highway on the west side of said tract, thence—poles to W.C. Watts N.W.C. and J.R. Rookers N.E.C; thence east with W.C. Watts line 31 poles and 11 links to the S.W.C. of a school lot; thence N.—poles to the center of the highway; thence with the center of the highway to the beginning, containing about One and one fourth acres.

Deeded to Jno. Daniel by W.C. Watts dated Nov. 11, 1926, recorded in Deed Book 43 page 158, Registers Office, Humphreys County, Tenn.

The title to all personal property is vested in Compl. The defendant will pay all cost of this suit, for which execution may issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Assault with intent to commit murder.

J. O. Perkins

In this case the Grand Jury came into open Court and presents the indictment marked not a true bill.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B.D.

S.J. May Jr.

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Stook Law.

Wyley Mayberry

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B.D.

Johnnie Durham

In this case The Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the defendant go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B.D.

W.W. Norman

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Non-Support

John Runions

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B.D.

Baker Martin

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

THIS DAY THE GRAND JURY CAME INTO OPEN COURT AND PRESENTS THE FOLLOWING INDICTMENTS AND PRESENTMENTS.

One against Clay Fowlkes, Promoting Gaming, Subpoena for the state Bill Mathes, Mason Sanders, C.E. Felts, J.V. Bell, and John Satterwhite.

One against Julia Harrison, Promoting Gaming, Subpoena for the state D.O. Lee, O.B. Whitson, and Kelly Carlon.

One against Henry Hunt, Promoting Gaming, Subpoena for the state, Herb Peeler.

One against Maurice Ridings, Promoting Gaming, Subpoena for the state, L.H. Winstead, Johnnie Stanford, Basil Florence, John Deck, E.J. Work, John Chambers and Herb Peeler.

One against W. D. King, Promoting Gaming, Subpoena for the state, L.H. Winstead, E.J. Work, Malcolm Lomax, Buford Christianson, Elwood Williams, Ridley Williams and Leslie Hudgins.

One against Henry Bone, Promote Gaming, Subpoena for the state, Bill Mathis, C.E. Felts, J.V. Bell and John Satterwhite.

One against Rex Hooper, Promote Gaming, Subpoena for the state, Bill Mathes, C.E. Felts, J.V. Bell and John Satterwhite.

One against Mrs. Clifford Morsett, Promoting Gaming, Subpoena for the state, D.C. Lee, Kelly Carlew and Billy Goodrich.

One against Jess Rushing, Promote Gaming, Subpoena for the state, Bill Mathis, C.E. Felts, J.V. Bell and John Satterwhite.

One against Malcolm Clements, Promote Gaming, Subpoena for the state, Ridley Williams, E.J. Work, Herb Peeler, L.H. Winstead and Johnnie Stanford.

One against John Patterson, Promote Gaming, Subpoena for the state, L.H. Winstead, John Deck, Buford Christianson, Bill Doyle, Eddie Lehman, Raymond Chambers, Ridley Williams.

One against George Fritch, Rape, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows: to wit; State of Tennessee Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1935, The Grand Jurors for the state of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and state aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that George Fritch heretofore, to wit, on the 9th day of October, 1935, in said County and State, unlawfully and feloniously did have carnal knowledge of one Violet Virginia Ray, a female, forcibly and against her will, contrary to the statute and peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said George Fritch, on the day and year aforesaid, in the state and county aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did commit an assault and battery upon one Violet Virginia Ray, a female, more than twelve years of age, with intent to unlawfully carnally know her, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid further present that, on the day and year aforesaid, in the state and county aforesaid, the said George Fritch did unlawfully, feloniously and carnally know Violet Virginia Ray, a female over the age of twelve and under the age of twenty-one years, the said George Fritch and Violet Virginia Ray not occupying the relation of husband and wife, at the time of such carnal knowledge, and the said Violet Virginia Ray, not being, at the time and before said carnal knowledge, a lawful wife, or kept female, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, December Term, 1935 the state vs. George Fritch, Rape, Vergie Warren, Prosecutor, Subpoena for the state; Vergie Warren, Violet Virginia Ray, Nellie Odom, Red Lowery and E.W. Hutton, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term, 1935 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury W.C. Howell, Attorney General. A True Bill, R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Talmage (Top) Milligan, Felony Which indictment is in the words and figures as follows; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1935, The Grand Jurors for the state of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, to wit, on the 13th day of December, 1935, in said County and State, unlawfully and feloniously did sell to Earl Smith for beverage purposes intoxicating liquor which he the said Earl Smith drank, and the drinking of said intoxicating liquor caused the death of the aforesaid Earl Smith contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid further present that the said Earl Talmage Milligan on the day and year aforesaid, in the state and county aforesaid unlawfully and feloniously did sell to Earl Smith for beverage purposes, intoxicating liquor, which he, the said Earl Smith drank, and the drinking of the said liquor caused the death of the aforesaid Earl Smith, and so the grand jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid present that the said Talmage Milligan, on the day and year aforesaid, in the state and county aforesaid, and in the manner and form aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did kill the said Earl Smith and commit the crime of Murder in the second degree contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid upon their oath further present that the said Talmage (top) Milligan, on the day and year aforesaid, in the state and county and state aforesaid unlawfully and feloniously did transport from one point to another within this state, intoxicating liquors in the quantity of one gallon or more contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, December Term, 1935 the state vs. Talmage (Top) Milligan Felony, J.S. Westbrook, Prosecutor Subpoena for the state, J.S. Westbrook, Hubert Tibbs and Dr. Capps, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term, 1935 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Hermon Williams, Age Consent, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows; State of Tennessee Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1935, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Hermon Williams heretofore, to wit, on the 23rd. day of June, 1935 in said County and State, unlawfully, feloniously and carnally knew Amanda Collier, a female, over the age of twelve years and under the age of twentyone years, the said Herman Williams and Amanda Collier not occupying the relation of Husband and wife, at the time of such carnal knowledge, and the said Amanda Collier not being at the time and before said carnal knowledge, a bawd, lewd or kept female, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, December Term 1935, the state vs Herman Williams, age consent J.H. Collier Prosecutor. Subpoena for the state, J.H. Collier, Amanda Collier, Billie Collier and Low Gill, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the grand jury december term, 1935, R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Eliza Luten, Disorderly House, Subpoena for the state, Avery Mays Dewey Brigham, Huse Mays, Tom Brigham, William Beech, Frank Spicer and Jesse Kittrell.

One against Ben Van Dose, Rape, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows; State of Tennessee Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court A.D. 1935 The Grand Jurors for the state of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Ben Van Dose heretofore, to wit, on the 3th day of October, 1935, in said County and State, unlawfully and feloniously did have carnal knowledge of one Nellie Odom, a female, forcibly and against her will, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that on the day and year aforesaid, in the state and county aforesaid, the said Ben Van Dose did unlawfully and feloniously commit an assault and battery upon one Nellie Odom, a female, more than twelve years of age, with intent to unlawfully carnally know her, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid further present that the said Ben Van Dose on the day and year aforesaid in the state and county aforesaid unlawfully, feloniously and carnally knew Nellie Odom, a female over the age of twelve years and under the age of twenty-one years, and the said Ben Van Dose and Nellie Odom not occupying the relation of husband and wife, at the time of such carnal knowledge, and the said Nellie Odom not being at the time and before said carnal knowledge a bawd, lewd or kept female contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, December Term, 1935 The state vs. Ben Van Dose, Rape, Ruby Odom Prosecutor, Subpoena for the state: Ruby Odom, Nellie Odom, Violet Virginia Ray and Red Lowery. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term, 1935, R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury W.C. Howell, Attorney General, A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

House-breaking and Larceny

Boyd White

In this case came the Attorney General, for the state and defendant in person and by attorney who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleaded not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit, Hatch Holland, Ernest Moore, Claude Breeden, J.L. Breeden, T.W. Richardson, Earl Rooker, K.B. Carlew, Rufus Forrest, E.T. Plant, Oscar Milner, Clint Bell and J.C. Wright, whom being duly elected tried and sworn according to law and being in charge of their sworn officers, D.A. Burch and T.D. Story, who had previously been legally elected and sworn to attend them, but not having time to hear all the proof said jury was respited by the court until tomorrow morning at nine o'clock and said jury retired in charge of their sworn officers aforesaid.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'clock.

..... Judge.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT & PRESEIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE, ETC.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

House-breaking and Larceny

Boyd White

In this cause comes again the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney when the jury heretofore selected and sworn in this cause, to wit, Hatch Holland, Ernest Moore, Claude Breeden, J.L. Breeden, L.W. Richardson, Earl Rooker, K.B. Carlew, Rufus Forrest, E.T. Plant, Oscar Miller, Clint Bell and J.C. Wright, having returned into open court being in charge of their sworn officers, D.A. Burch and T.D. Story, who had been selected and sworn to attend them, and entering into further consideration of the case, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say find the defendant guilty of petit larceny as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at one year in the penitentiary.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the state Penitentiary at Nashville, Tenn. at hard labor of time of not less than one year nor more than one year and that he be rendered infamous and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Age Consent

Hugh Nickols

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit, J.A. Fortner, Porter Breeden, Halden Waggoner, J.R. Pierce, Luke Pruett, Roger Prichard, Nolan Fowlkes, C.R. Horner, Quinton Harrell, Carroll Curtis, O Dell Dalton, Darris Sutton, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers T.D. Story and D.A. Burch, who had previously been selected and legally sworn to attend them, but not having time to hear all the proof said jury was respite by the court until tomorrow morning at nine o'clock and said jury retired in charge of their sworn officers aforesaid.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

H.B. & Larceny

Harvell Murrell

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment the defendant Harvell Murrell, offered as a plea that he is under seventeen years of age. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tenn., to wit: C.R. Horner, John McMurtry, Della Dalton, H.L. Rogers, Emmet May, J.A. Fortner, Scott Smith, Eddie Little, Barnet Peeler, Marion Mize, J.R. Perkins, S.R. Betty, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their officers D.A. Burch and T.D. Story, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant is under the age of seventeen years. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant Harvell Murrell, be sent to Juvenile Court of Humphreys County for further proceedings in his cause.

W.M. Lane

Vs.

Houston Henry et al

Court
In Circuit/at Waverly Tennessee.

Come the plaintiff by Attorney and moves the Court for a nonsuit in styled case which motion is allowed, and the suit is dismissed without prejudice. The Court so orders.

Frank Baggett

Vs.

In Circuit Court at Waverly Tennessee.

Jim Townsend

This case is continued to the next term upon the application of the defendant, upon the defendant being taxed with all the costs of the term, for which execution may issue.

Humphreys County Tennessee
for the use and benefit of the
Department of Highways and
Public Works of the State of
Tennessee

In the Circuit Court of Waverly
Humphreys County Tennessee.

Vs.

Jack Coleman et al.

ORDER PROCONFESSO

On this case on motion of the petitioners, and it appearing to the Court that the defendants in the above styled case, viz; Jack Coleman and Eddie Jones, have been regularly brought before the Court by proper notice and copy of the petition in the above styled case, and up until this the 13th day of December 1935, at the December term of Court, they have failed to appear and make defense to the petition within the time required by law; it is therefore ordered as to them, that the petition be taken for confessed, and the case set for hearing exparte.

Humphreys County Tennessee
for use and benefit of the
Department of Highways and
Public Works of the State of
Tennessee.

In the Circuit Court of Waverly
Humphreys County Tennessee.

Vs.

Jack Coleman et al

ORDER.

This case came on to be heard before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Judge, on this the 13th. day of December 1935, at the December Term, upon the whole record in the cause, and upon motion of solicitor for the petitioners, it is ordered by the Court that a Writ of Inquiry issued by the Clerk of this Court to the Sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, who after giving proper Notice will summons, a Jury of View according to law, to ascertain and report to this Court the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use, for Highway purpose as described in the petition in this cause; the Court so orders adjudges and decrees.

Humphreys County Tennessee
for use and benefit of the
Department of Highways and
Public Works of the State of
Tennessee.

Public Works to the Circuit Court at Waverly
Tennessee.

Vs.

Jack Coleman et al

AGREED JUDGMENT

Humphreys County Tennessee
for use and benefit of the D
Department of Highways and
Public Works of the State
of Tennessee.

Vs.

Jack Coleman et al

AGREED JUDGMENT

In the Circuit Court at
Waverly Tennessee.

AGREED JUDGMENT

This case as to Jack Coleman, Ed Jones and Elizabeth King is compromised and settled out of Court and it is agreed that the following judgment or decree may be entered therein.

This case came on to be heard by the Court, upon the petition, the order procomesso, taken against defendants Jack Coleman and Eddie Jones, the answer of Elizabeth King, by her Guardian Adlites, M.C. Simpson, the report of the Jury of View, dated 28th day of December 1935, and the whole record in the case, which report of the Jury of View has been on file more than five days before the convening of the Court, unexcepted to, and which report is in all things confirmed by the Court, which report is as follows:-

Humphreys County, Tennessee
for the use and benefit of the
Department of Highways and
Public Works of the State of
Tennessee.

Vs.

Jack Coleman et al

In the Circuit Court at
Waverly Tennessee.

REPORT OF JURY OF VIEW

We, the undersigned jury of view, having been summoned and sworn, do respectfully report that we went upon the property described in the petition in the above cause, and shown to be the property of Jack Coleman et al, and examined the property to be taken for the use of Highway No. 13, and we find that the owners of this property are damaged in the amount of \$275.00 by reason of the taking of the land and property described in the petition. We went upon the lands as directed by the sheriff on the 28th day of December, 1935, and completed our work on the same day.

Respectfully submitted on this the 28th day of December, 1935.

W. A. Nolan
S. R. Warden
J. R. Daniel
J. H. Pearl
J. R. Harris
Jury of View.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that all the rights title and interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described, belonging to the defendants Jack Coleman, Eddie Jones and Elizabeth King, be and the same is condemned and the title thereto divested out of them and each of them, and vested in the Department of Highways and Public Works of the State of Tennessee, for highway purposes and uses. The land herein condemned is located in the 2nd Civil District of Humphreys County Tennessee, just north of the Corporation limits of the town of Waverly Tennessee, being an extension of Highway No. 13, and known as Project W P H 238-F Humphreys County, said land so condemned being described as follows:-

A strip of land extending from Station 32X 70 to Station 35X36, 266 feet long and 80 feet wide, bounded north by the land of May, S by the land of Berry of Shaw, east and west by lines parallel to and at all points 40 feet distance from center line of said Highway as marked out. Containing approximately .48 acres.

It is therefore ordered and decreed by the Court, that the defendants, Jack Coleman, Ed Eddie Jones and Elizabeth King, jointly have and recover of Humphreys County Tennessee, as a balance to their damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the petitioners for public use for Highway purposes, the sum of \$192.25, the defendant Eddie Jones being entitled to the sum of \$147.25 the value of his life estate therein, and the said Jack Coleman and Elizabeth King, being entitled as remainderman therein to the sum of \$22.50 each, together with the costs of this case, for which execution other necessary and proper process may issue.

It appearing to the Court that there is a mortgage against the land condemned, going to Weakley & Caldwell, Attys of Dyersburg Tennessee, dated Sept 24th 1932, for \$50.00 bearing interest from date, and amounting at this date to the sum of \$60.25 principal and interest which the Court orders paid out of the damages allowed by the jury of view of the sum of \$275.00 and the court orders that the portion of the \$275.00 going to the Minor defendant Melvin Jones, be retained in Court until further orders. The Clerk is ordered to pay to the defendant Eddie Jones his part of the judgment herein rendered and take his receipt therefor. To pay the defendant Jack Coleman his part of said judgment and take his receipt therefor. And to pay that portion of said judgment going to the said defendant Elizabeth King, to Moses Crockett, trustee for the use and benefit of the support, maintenance or education of said Elizabeth King, and the said Moses Crockett will make report to this Court how he may have expended said fund for said Minor.

Humphreys County,

By J. R. Harris, for

Mack C. Simpson
Guardian adlites for

Elizabeth King & Melvin Jones

Jack Coleman

Eddie Jones

Moses Crockett
Trustee for Elizabeth King

Witnesses;

L. C. Bohanan
D. B. McCann

Humphreys County Tennessee
for the use and benefit of
the Department of Highways and
Public Works of the
State of Tennessee

Vs. R. D. Spicer et al

R. D. Spicer

In the Circuit Court at Waverly
Humphreys County Tennessee.

ORDER

This case came on to be heard before the Hon. J. D. G. Morton, Judge on this the 13th day of December 1935, upon the whole record in the cause, and upon motion of solicitor for the petitioner, it is ordered by the Court, that a Writ of Inquiry issued by the Clerk of this court, to the Sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, who after giving proper notice will summon a jury of view according to law, to ascertain and report to this court, the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use, for highway purposes, as described in the petition in this cause; the Court so ordered adjudges and decrees.

Humphreys County Tennessee
for the use and benefit of the
Department of Highways and
Public Works, of the State
of Tennessee.

Vs.

Humphreys County Tennessee
for the use and benefit of the
Department of Highways and
Public Works, of the State of
Tennessee.

Vs.

R.D. Spicer et al

In the Circuit Court at
Waverly Humphreys County
Tennessee.

ORDER

In this case it appearing to the Court, that on December 4th 1935, Humphreys County, Tennessee, for use and benefit of the Department of Highways and Public Works, of the State of Tennessee, filed an original petition in this Court, seeking condemnation of a strip of land situated in the 2nd Civil District of Humphreys County Tennessee, for Highway purposes, known and designated as W P H, 338-F Humphreys County Tenn. said strip of land so sought for highway purposes, lies N. of the Corporation limits of the town of Waverly, and is a continuation of State Highway No. 13, into Waverly described as follows:

- (1) " A strip of land extending from Station 38 X 26 to station 39 X 80 150 feet long and 80 feet wide, bounded N. by the lands of Frank Spicer, S. by the Baptist Church property, on the E and W. by lines of said proposed road as staked out.
- (2) " A strip of land extending from station 40 X 00 to station 41 X +1, 101 feet long and having an average width of 35 feet, bounded S. by the lands of the Walker heirs, on the S W by the lands of Tucker, on the E. by the line of said strip and at all points 80 feet distance from the center line of said proposed road as staked out.

And it appearing to the Court, that proper notice has been made for the defendants according to law, and that they have answered the petition; that the property sought to be condemned, as right of way for Highway purposes hereinbefore described, has been selected and is needed and necessary for the public use, and as and for a part of said Highway No. 13 and as an extension thereto. And it appearing to the Court, that the petitioners are entitled to the immediate possession of the property sought to be condemned; it is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court, that said petitioners be and are given the right to the immediate possession of the strips of land sought to be condemned as a Right of Way, for building and an extension of said highway No. 13 known and designated as W P H, 338-F. Humphreys County Tennessee, and as hereinbefore described, and on application of the petitioners if necessary the Clerk of this Court will issue a Writ of Possession to put the petitioners in possession of said strips of land. All other matters are reserved by the Court.

Humphreys County Tennessee
for use and benefit of the
Department of Highways and
Public Works, of the State
of Tennessee.

Vs.

L. W. Slayden et al

In the Circuit Court at
Waverly Humphreys County
Tennessee.

ORDER PROCONFESSO

In this case on motion of the petitioners and it appearing to the Court, that the defendants in the above styled case, Viz:- L. W. Slayden and Mrs. Eve Slayden, have been regularly brought before the Court by proper notice and copy of Petition, in the above styled case, and up until this the 13th day of December 1935, they have failed to appear and make defense to the petition within the time required by law; it is therefore ordered as to them, that the petition be taken for confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

Humphreys County Tennessee
for use and benefit of the
Department of Highways and
Public Works, of the State
of Tennessee.

Vs.

L.W.Slayden et al.

In the Circuit Court at Waverly
Humphreys County Tennessee.

ORDER

This case came on to be heard before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Judge, on this 13th day December 1935, upon the whole record in the cause, and upon motion of solicitor for the petitioners, it is ordered by the Court that a Writ of Inquiry issue by the Clerk of this Court, to the Sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, who after giving proper notice will summon a jury of view according to law, to ascertain and report to this Court, the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use, for Highway purposes as described in the petition in this case; the Court so ordered adjudge and decree.

Humphreys County Tennessee
for use and benefit of the
Department of Highways and
Public Works, of the State
of Tennessee.

Vs.

L. W. Slayden et al.

In the Circuit Court at Waverly
Humphreys County Tennessee.

ORDER

In this case, it appearing to the Court that on December the 4th 1935, Humphreys County Tennessee for use and benefit of the Department of Highways and Public Works, of the State of Tennessee, filed an original petition in this court, seeking condemnation of a strip of land situated in the 2nd Civil District of Humphreys County Tenn. for Highway purposes, known and designated as W P. H 336-F Humphreys County Tennessee, said strip of land so sought for highway purposes, is just north of the Corporation limits of the Town of Waverly, Humphreys County Tenn., and is a continuation of Highway No. 13 into Waverly, as described as follows:-

A strip of land extending from station 61 X 33, to station 68 X 74, 681 feet long and 80 feet wide, bounded on the N. by lands of Collier; S. by the lands of Walker; and on the E, and W by lines parallel to and at all points 40 feet distance from center line of said proposed road as staked out.

And it further appearing to the Court that proper notice has been made for the defendants according to law, and that proconfesso has been taken against them, that the property that is sought to be condemned as a right of way for highway hereinbefore described has been selected and is needed and necessary for the public use and as and for a part of said highway and as an extension thereof. And it further appearing to the Court that the petitioners are entitled to the immediate possession of the property sought to be condemned; it is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court, that said petitioners be and are given the right to the immediate possession of the strip of land sought to be condemned as a Right of Way, for the building and extension of said Highway No. 13, known and designated as W P H, 338-F. Humphreys County Tennessee, and as hereinbefore described, and on application of the petitioners if necessary, the Clerk of this Court will issue a Writ of Possession to put the petitioners in possession of said strip of land. All other matters being reserved by the Court.

Motion

Upon motion of the Attorney General made in open Court and it appearing to the court from the written report of the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, that said sheriff is now in possession of liquors taken from the following persons, to wit; J. W. Fowlkes, one pint, W. O. Hodge, one pint, Bill Edwards two Gallon, Boyd White on Gallon, Tom Wright seven pints, and Cacy Farnell one pint. It is ordered by the Court that the sheriff of Humphreys County publically destroy said whiskey.

We, the members of the Grand Jury for the December term 1935 of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County beg leave to submit the following report to Your Honor. We have diligently enquired and true presentment made of all matters given us in charge by your Honor or otherwise brought to our attention. We have examined the County Jail and County Poor House and find the inmates well fed and cared for but at the County Hall we find the sanitary conditions of the toilet bad and should have some attention. We have examined all bonds required by law to be examined by us and find them properly executed and good and solvent for the various amounts thereof except the bond of W. J. Hooper, Constable of the Fifth Civil District and we find that two of these bondsmen have died and think this bond should be given attention for this reason. And now, having completed our labors for the term we respectfully ask to be dismissed for the term.

Respectfully submitted

R. H. McKeel
Foreman Grand Jury
T. R. Sumner
Vester Spann
C. E. Quinn
B. E. Braden
C. A. Latimer
John Gunn
Elmo Pickard
A. D. Payner
Walter Woods
Albert Jacobs
W. L. White
D. C. Davis

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'Clock.

..... *J. D. Morton* Judge.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT & PRESIDING THEREON, J. D. G. MORTON, JUDGE, ETC.

Olene Hensley

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

Vs.

B. E. Hensley

In this cause on motion of the complainant, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant, B. E. Hensley, has been regularly served with subpoena to answer the complainant's bill, and that he has failed to appear and make defense to said bill, within the time required by law; it is ordered that the complainant's bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing the experts.

And the cause came on to be heard upon this the 14th day of December, 1935 upon the whole record in the cause, including the bill of the complainant, the subpoena to answer, with the Sheriff's return indorsed thereon, and the pro confesso heretofore entered against the defendant, and the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open court.

And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from the proof that the facts stated in the bill are true; that the defendant wilfully deserted the complainant, without a reasonable cause, and turned her out of doors, and refused and neglected to provide for her; and that his conduct toward her was so cruel and inhuman as to render cohabitation unsafe and improper; and that the complainant gave the defendant no cause or just excuse for his misconduct, and has not condoned the same.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that the complainant be vested with all the rights of an unmarried woman; and that her maiden name, Olene Hooper, be restored to her.

And it further appeared to the Court that the complainant and the defendant have settled all questions as to alimony and property rights, in that the defendant has paid the sum \$129.10, and has turned over and given complainant all of the household goods and personal property used about complainant's home, all of which has been satisfactorily agreed to by the parties. It is therefore, ordered by the Court that such agreement be made the judgment of the Court.

It is further ordered that the defendant pay all the costs of this cause including the sum of \$50.00 as fee for Mack C. Simpson, attorney for complainant, for which execution may issue. This cause will be retained in court for any necessary orders to enforce this decree.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.)

Age Consent

Hugh Nickell

In this cause comes again the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney when the jury heretofore selected and empaneled in the cause, to wit; J. A. Fortner, Perter Breeden, Halden Waggoner, J. R. Pierce, Luke Pruett, Roger Prichard, Nolan Fowlke, C. R. Horner, Quinton Harrell, Carroll Curtis, O. Dell Dalton, and Dorris Sutton, having returned into open court in charge of their sworn officers T. D. Story and D. A. Burch, and having resumed consideration of said cause after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty of unlawfully having carnal knowledge of Josephine Baker, a female, over the age of twelve years and under twenty-one years as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment of four years in the Penitentiary. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant, Hugh Nickell, be confined in the State Penitentiary at Nashville.

Tennessee, at hard labor for an indeterminate period of time of not more than three years nor more than four years and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

Whereupon the defendant gave notice of a motion for a new trial and this cause is passed pending the filing and hearing of said motion and, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that said motion be filed by Tuesday December 17, 1935.

Honor Vineyard)
Vs.)
L. E. Vineyard)

In the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, Tennessee,
December Term 1935.

In this cause on motion of the complainant, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant, L. E. Vineyard, has been regularly brought before the Court and made a party to complainant's bill, by publication duly made, and that said defendant has failed to appear and make defense to said bill within the time required by law and is in default, it is ordered that as to said L. E. Vineyard complainant's bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

And thereupon the cause coming on to be further and finally heard upon the bill, the judgment pro confesso, heretofore taken and entered against the defendant, L. E. Vineyard, and all the proof in the cause, from all of which it duly appearing to the Court that the facts charged in the bill are true; that the defendant had wilfully deserted the complainant, without a reasonable cause, for more than two whole years before the filing of the bill, and that defendant had also turned complainant out of doors and refused and neglected to provide for her.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between complainant and defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved; and she is hereby granted an absolute divorce from defendant; and it further ordered that the defendant pay the costs of this cause for which execution will issue.

State of Tennessee)
Vs.)
Oddie Chappell
Boyd L. Edwards
W. C. Turner)

H. B. & Larceny

This cause coming on to be heard, present for the state the Attorney General, and the defendant in person and by attorney, when upon motion of the defendant it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the order entered in the cause at the ~~August~~ Term 1935, of this court be revived which order is in the words and figures as follows:

State of Tennessee)
Vs.)
Oddie Chappell
Boyd L. Edwards
W. C. Turner)

H. B. & Larceny

In these cases upon petition of defendants, and in view of the proven good character of all the defendants, it is ordered that the jail sentences imposed in two cases be served concurrently and it is further ordered, that, upon defendants consenting thereto the sentence to the Penitentiary for three years heretofore imposed be suspended at the pleasure of the Court, upon defendants, after having served the jail sentence imposed, paying the costs of the case, and executing appearance bonds of \$1000.00 for their appearance on the first day of each term of court until released by order of the court, and upon consent of the defendants that at any term of court hereafter for a term not succeeding three years from this term, the Court may at the discretion of the court,

revoke the order suspending sentence to the Penitentiary, and commit the defendants, or each of them to the Penitentiary to serve the term of sentence heretofore imposed, without proof, or notice to the defendants, and the defendants present in open court, in person and by attorney, agree to the above order.

COURT ORDER

It is hereby ordered by the Court that J. R. Traylor Deputy Sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, be appointed court officer to sit on court this 12th day of December 1935, It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed.

Court then adjourned until Monday Morning at 9:00 O'Clock

.....Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment present & presiding the Hon. J. D. G. Morton, Judge, ETC.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Felonious Assault

B. Lowery

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and law men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; W.T. Warren, Eddoe Little, Emmitt May, E.D. Hooper, Carroll Curtis, W.A. Nolan, W.R. Warden, C.F. Cagle, John McMurtry, J.R. Perkins, C.R. Horner, and H.L. Rogers, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn offices D.A. Burch and T.D. Story, who had previously been legally selected and sworn to attend ex them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court but not having time to consider of their verdict said jury was by the Court respite until tomorrow morning at nine o'clock and said jury retired in charge of their sworn officers.

Court then adjourned until to morrow morning at 9:00 O'clock.

J.D.G. Morton
.....Judge.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

Vs.

CIRCUIT COURT AT HAVERLY HUMPHREYS COUNTY TENNESSEE

HUGH NICKELL.

AGE OF CONSENT

MOTION IN ARREST OF JUDGMENT AND FOR NEW TRIAL

In this case, on this _____ day of December, 1935, comes the defendant Hugh Nickell in person and by Attorney, and moves the court in arrest of judgment and for a new trial, upon the following grounds:-

Ist.

BECAUSE: There is no evidence to support the verdict of the jury declaring the defendant guilty of the offense charged in the indictment.

Ii

BECAUSE: The greater weight of the evidence preponderates against the verdict of the jury, and in favor of the innocence of the defendant.

Iii.

BECAUSE: The Honorable Court allowed the State to introduce proof over the objections of the defendant, seasonably made, to the effect, that the father of the defendant and the father of the girl in question, had sought, and obtained a conference with the Attorney General, seeking settlement of the case out of Court, and had offered money in settlement of the case the admission of which proof, prejudiced the jury against the right of the defendant to have a fair and impartial trial.

Iv.

BECAUSE: Over the objections of the defendant seasonably made, the Court admitted the Attorney General on cross examination of the defendant's witnesses, or the most of them, to enter into long, drawn out, misleading purported quotations of their original testimony, thereby confusing the witnesses, on material matters testified to on original examination, and by such methods obtaining contradictions, rather than obtaining the truth, all of which prejudiced the rights of the defendant to have a fair and impartial trial, and calculated to and did in fact inflame the minds of the jury against the defendant.

V.

BECAUSE: In the trial of the case, the State was not required by the Court, on presentation of its side of the case, to engage in and proceed with the trial before and beyond, the usual hours each day that the Court holds, that is, from 9 o'clock a.m. to 4 o'clock p.m. and that although the case was being tried on Friday, December 13th 1935, the State concluding its proof some time in the afternoon of the same day, over the objections of the defendant, for time to confer with many witnesses some of whom were 25 or 30 miles from home, after a very short period to confer with witnesses, the trial was proceeded with till about 5 o'clock p.m. adjournment till 7 P. M. when the trial was again taken up over the objection of the defendant and proceeded with till 10:30 P. M. and in the meantime some of the defendant's witnesses had left town for their homes in the country, others left the Court House, without the consent or knowledge of the defendant or his Attorneys, when material witnesses could not be reached, when defendant was required to proceed with his proof or close the case, over the instance of the defendant that he be allowed until next morning (Saturday) to finish his proof and close his case; resulting in the defendant being precluded into trial, before a worn out sleepy jury, worn out Attorneys, and no doubt a tired and nervous Court; when as a matter of fact the Court had the following day Saturday to complete the case, and the following week if necessary to use it, hence the

defendant was forced to close his case on Friday night, without the benefit of material evidence for the defendant, as hereinbefore stated, and it is respectfully submitted that the Honorable Court committed error and abused its discretionary powers in the course pursued over the objections of the defendant, resulting in an unfair and prejudicial trial to him.

El.

BECAUSE: The Honorable Court was in error in charging the jury as follows:- "IT IS ADMITTED THAT JOSEPHINE AND THE DEFENDANT WERE NOT MARRIED TO EACH OTHER." When as a matter of fact, the fact that the defendant and girl in question were not married, was not admitted by the defendant nor his Attorneys, and was not proven by any witness for the State or the defendant, which is required under the law, hence a new trial should be granted for this reason.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PERSENT & PRESIDING TH E HON. J. D. G. MORTON, JUDGE, ETC.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Felonious Assault

B. Lowery

In this cause comes against the Attorney General for the state and defendant in person and by attorney, when the jury heretofore selected in this cause, to wit; W.T. Warfen, Eddie Little, Emmet May, E.D. Hooper, Carroll Curtis, W.A. Nolan, W.R. Warden, C.F. Cagle, John McMurtry, J.R. Perkins, C.R. Horner, and H.L. Rogers, appeared in open court in charge of their sworn officers D.A. Burch and T.D. Story, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, said jury having heretofore heard all the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court and now further considering the said case said jury upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of simple assault and battery as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant will pay a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him confined in the County Jail or Work House until same is paid or secure or worked out. Whereupon the defendant gave notice of a motion for a new trial and the cause is passed pending the filing and hearing of said motion.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Age Consent

Hugh Nickels

In this cause comes again the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, when the motion for a new trial heretofore filed in this cause came on to be heard by the court, and after due consideration of said motion the court is of the opinion that said motion is well taken and it is by the court allowed and a new trial is ordered by the court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Felonious Assault

B. Lowery

In this cause comes again the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney when the motion for a new trial heretofore filed in this cause, to wit no motion was never filed said motion coming on to be heard and after due consideration by the court it is in all things over-ruled. To the action of the court in over-ruling said motion the defendant excepts. Thereupon the defendant moved the court in arrest of judgment which motion, by the court is like wise over-ruled and to which action the defendant excepts.

Thereupon the defendant prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court at Nashville Tennessee, which appeal is by the Court granted and the defendant is allowed thirty days in which to prepare and file his bill of exceptions, however it is ordered to be delivered to the Attorney General twenty days from this date. It is ordered by the Court that the defendant execute an appearance bond in the sum of Two Hundred Fifty dollar for his appearance before this court from term to term awaiting the further orders of this court and in the event of his failure to execute said bond he will be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee and by him held in custody pending the further orders of this court.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Assault
 A.B. Bryant }

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty to simple assault and battery. Thereupon to try the issues joined course a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tennessee to wit; C.R. Horner, John Mc Mastry, Della Dalton, H.L. McGee, Emmitt May, J.A. Fortner, Scott Smith, Eddie Little, Barnett Peeler, Marion Mims, J.R. Perkind, S.R. Betty, who being duly selected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the court, upon their oath say that they find the defendant guilty of an assault and battery as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of Fifty Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same, he will be taken in custody by the sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, and by him confined in the county jail or Work House until same is paid secured or worked out.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Bad Check
 Helmet Goshans }

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Mfg. Liquor
 Hugh Ledbetter }

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tenn

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Drunkenness
 Mote Shaver }

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Larveny
 Arthur Tinnel et al. }

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } B. D.
 Casey Parnell }

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Bad Check
 J. E. Scott }

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B. D.

Motion to relax costs

Bill Edwards

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B. D.

Motion to relax costs

Leonard Tucker

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Shipping Board Bill

Motion to relax costs

W. A. Kunzlee

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this case or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Drunkness

Motion to relax costs

Maloy Hooper

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Drunkness

Motion to relax costs

Bud Binkley

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Drunkness

Motion to relax costs

Joe McCrary

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Drunkness

Motion to relax costs

Case Rice

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Drunkness

Motion to relax costs.

George Ragan

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Dutch Burnham

Drunkness

Motion to relax costs

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the cost accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

George Ragen

Drunkness

Motion to relax costs

In this case came the attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the cost accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Gaston Barrow

Drunkness

Motion to relax costs

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Walter Burch

Drunkness

Motion to relax costs

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this cause or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Joe Higke

Drunkness

Motion to relax cost

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this cause or any part thereof.

So it therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Albert Walker

MIS. D.

Motion to relax cost

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this cause or any part thereof.

So it therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Joe Thompson

Drunkness

Motion to relax costs

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this cause or any part thereof.

So it therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Eugene Tibbs

Drunkness

Motion to relax costs

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this cause or any part thereof.

So it therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Flويد Tibbs

Drunkenness

Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly solvent unable to pay the costs of this cause or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Melvin Hicks

Drunkenness

Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly solvent unable to pay the costs of this cause or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Leonard Wucker

Drunkenness

Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this cause or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Noel Snow

Jail Breaking

Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant and that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this cause or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

SHERIFF'S STATE BOARD BILL.

State of Tennessee Vs. Jim Gargus, Rape Sept 8, 1935 to Sept 9, 1935

2 days at 75¢ per day \$1.50, 2 turnkeys \$2.00,

\$3.50

State of Tennessee Vs. Stella Forrest, H.B. & Larceny Sept 8, 1935 to

Sept. 9, 1935 2 day at 75¢ per day \$1.50, 2 turnkeys \$2.00,

3.50

State of Tennessee Vs. Willie Brown, H.B. & Larceny Sept 8, 1935 to

Sept. 9, 1935 2 days at 75¢ per day \$1.50, 2 turnkeys \$2.00,

3.50

State of Tennessee Vs. Willie O. Curtis H.B. & Larceny Sept. 8, 1935 to

Sept 9, 1935 2 days at 75¢ per day \$1.50, 2 turnkeys \$2.00,

3.50

State of Tennessee Vs. Jim Mongue, Larceny Sept. 14, 1935 to Dec 14, 1935

92 day at 75¢ per day 69.00, 2 turnkeys \$2.00,

71.00

State of Tennessee Vs. Boyd White, H.B. & Larceny Dec. 10, 1935 to

Dec. 14, 1935 5 days at 75¢ per day \$3.75, 2 turnkeys \$2.00,

5.75

State of Tennessee Vs. Walter Lehmon, Attempt to murder Sept 29, 1935 to

Oct. 4, 1935 & Dec 10, 1935 to Dec. 17, 1935, 14 days at 75¢ per day

\$10.50, 4 turnkeys \$4.00,

14.50
\$105.25

Court then adjourned until Court in course.

J. W. Nelson Judge.

State of Tennessee }
Humphreys County }

Caption April Term Court A.D. 1936

Be it remembered that Circuit Court was opened and held in and for the County of Humphreys at the Court house in the town of Waverly, Tennessee, on the 16th day of April it being the third Monday of said month, and the One thousand Nine Hundred and thirty-sixth year of our Lord, and the One Hundred and sixty-first year of American Independence. Present and Presiding the Hon. J. D. G. Morton, Judge of the Ninth Judicial District of the State of Tennessee. Court was opened in due form of law by J. S. Westbrook, Shff., of Humphreys County, Tennessee and by him was returned into open court a writ of Habeas Corpus, showing that the following named persons were appointed by the County Court, at its April term 1936, to appear and to serve as jurors at the present term of this court to wit, Forrest Triplett, Tom Wheeler Marshall Triplett, A. W. Warren, Moody Collier, Walter Harris, G. G. Jarrell, Lee Breeden, Bubba Watts, Grover Ross, H. W. Hooper, Lindell Robertson, Cal Coleman, John Kiley, A. L. Reigle, J. A. McKnight, Orville Simpson, W. H. Jones, Frank Kilgore, J. B. Long, Albert Doughton, Ross Little Alfred OBryan, Clint Bell, Ernest Warren, A. H. Little. And it appearing to the Court that the above named parties were regularly summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and that all of said parties so summoned appeared and answered said summons.

And out of said jurors so summoned the following were selected, as required by law, Grand Jurymen, A. W. Warren, Dub Watts, Frank Kilgore, A. H. Little, A. L. Reigle, W. H. Jones, Moody Collier, H. W. Hooper, Lee Breeden, G. G. Jarrell, Grover Ross, Orville Simpson, and R. H. McKeel having been appointed foreman of the Grand Jury at a former term of this Court the said Grand Jury is in all things as the law directs having been duly selected, tried, sworn and charged by the Court according to law, retired to their room in charge of G. G. Watts, Constable of Humphreys County, sworn according to law to attend them in considering indictments and presentments.

And out of the remaining number of said jurors so summoned, the following were excused from jury service, by the Court, to wit, Cal Coleman, and Albert Doughton, and the following named persons were summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and qualified as regular jurors in the stead of the above named excused jurors, to wit, Floyd Hand, J. A. Curtis, J. F. Gibbons, W. H. Knight, Nathan Collier.

Humphreys County et al,

Vs.

IN the Circuit Court at Waverly,
Tennessee.

Frank Spicer et al,

In this cause, the complainant moved the Court to appoint a guardian ad litem for Percell Spicer and Percella Spicer, two of the defendants, and it appearing to the Court that the defendants are under the age of twenty one years old, and are duly in Court by service of process and that they have no general guardian, and the Court appointed Mack C. Simpson, a solicitor of the Court, guardian ad litem of the said Percell and Percella Spicer to defend this suit for them, and the said Mack C. Simpson in open Court accepted said appointment and filed his answer as such.

Humphreys County et al,

Vs.

IN the Circuit Court at Waverly
Tennessee.

Frank Spicer et al,

The defendants, Percell Spicer and Percella Spicer, for answer to the bill filed against them in the above cause, answering by Mack C. Simpson, their guardian ad litem, says, that they are under the age of twenty one years, and that they know nothing about the matters in said bill alleged, and, therefore, neither admit nor deny them, but submit their rights and interests in said matters to the protection of the Court.

Percell Spicer
Percella Spicer
By Mack Simpson
Guardian ad Litem.

State of Tennessee

Humphreys County.

Mack C. Simpson being duly sworn makes oath that the facts set out in the above answer are true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Mack Simpson

Sworn to and subscribed before me
on this the Eighth day of May, 1936.
L. G. Bohannon, Circuit Court Clerk.

Humphreys County et al,

Vs.

IN the Circuit Court at Waverly,
Tennessee.

Molly Shaw,

In this cause, the complainant moved the Court to appoint a guardian ad litem for Molly Shaw, the defendant, and it appearing to the Court that the said Molly Shaw is a person of unsound mind and duly in Court by publication, and that she has no general guardian, the Court appointed Mack C. Simpson, a solicitor of the Court, guardian ad litem of the said Molly Shaw, to defend this suit for her; and the said Mack C. Simpson in open Court accepted said appointment, and filed his answer as such guardian.

Humphreys County et al,

Vs.

IN the Circuit Court at Waverly,
Tennessee.

Molly Shaw

The defendant, Molly Shaw, for answer to the bill filed against her in the above cause, answering by Mack C. Simpson, her guardian ad litem, says, that she is a person of unsound mind and confined in the State Hospital for the insane at Indianapolis, Indiana, and that she knows nothing about the matters in said bill alleged, and, therefore, neither admits nor denies them, but submits her rights and interests in said matters to the protection of the Court.

Molly Shaw
By Mack Simpson, Guardian ad Litem.

State of Tennessee

Humphreys County.

Mack C. Simpson being duly sworn makes oath that the facts set out in the above answer are true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Mack C. Simpson

Sworn to and subscribed before me
on this the 8th day of May, 1936.
L. G. Bohanan, Circuit Court Clerk.

Humphreys County Tenn,

vs.

Mollie Traylor.

In Circuit Court at
Waverly Tennessee

Proconfesso,

In this case, and on motion of the petitioners and it duly appearing to the court, that the defendant Mollie Traylor has been regularly brought before the Court by Non-resident Publication, according to law, and that up to this the April term of Court 1936, has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed against her in this case, with in the time required by law; it is ordered that as to the said Mollie Traylor the defendant, the petition will be taken as confessed, and the case set for hearing ex parte. The Court so orders.

Elmer Larkins

Vs.

Lehman Wright,

In the Circuit Court at
Waverly, Tennessee.

April Term 1936

By agreement and upon motion of the defendants Atty. this case is dismissed at the plaintiff's cost.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that this case be and is dismissed at the costs of the plaintiff, for which execution may issue against Elmer Larkins and Jesse Rice his surety on the cost bond.

It is further ordered by the court, that the deposit of \$50.00 put up on the Justices trial by the defendant in lieu of an appeal bond, be returned to the defendant or his Atty. by the Clerk of this Court.

Humphreys County Tennessee for use and benefits of the
Department of Highways and Public Works of the State of
Tennessee.

In the Circuit Court
Waverly, Tennessee

Vs.

Frank Spicer Et Al.

In this case on motion of attorney for the petitioner and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant, Frank Spicer, Mary C. Spicer, Ida May Spicer, Joe Anna Spicer, defendants in the above styled cause have all been regularly served with notice and copy of petition and thereby made parties to the petition and that they have all failed to appear and make defense to the petition within the time required by law; it is therefore ordered by the Court, that as to them, the petition be taken as confessed, and the case set for hearing ex parte.

Humphreys County etc.

Vs.

Jack Coleman et al,

In the Circuit Court at Waverly,
Tennessee.

The defendants, Elizabeth King and _____ King, minors, for separate answer to the petition filed against them in said cause, answering by Mack C. Simpson, and guardian ad litem says, that they are minors, under twenty-one years of age, and know nothing about the matters in said petition alleged, and, therefore, neither admit nor deny them, but submit their rights and interests in said matters to the protection of the court.

Elizabeth KingJohn KingMack C. Simpson

State of Tennessee,

Humphreys County.

Mack C. Simpson, guardian ad litem, makes oath that the facts stated in the in the foregoing answer are true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Mack C. Simpson

Sworn to and subscribed before me on this the 19th day of December, 1935.

L. G. Bohanan
Circuit Court Clerk

Humphreys County Tennessee
for use and benefit of The
Department of Highways and
Public Works of the State of
Tennessee.

Vs.

Jack Coleman et al.

In the Circuit Court of Waverly, Humphreys
County Tennessee.

Order Proconfesso.

In this case on motion of the petitioners, and it appearing to the Court that the defendants in the above styled case, viz; Jack Coleman and Eddie Jones, have been regularly brought before the court by proper notice and copy of the petition in the above styled case, and up until this the 13th day of December 1935, at the December term of Court, they have failed to appear and make defense to the petition within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered as to them, that the petition be taken for confessed, and the case set for hearing ex parte.

Humphreys County Tennessee
for the use and benefit of
The Department of Highways
and Public Works of the State
of Tennessee,

Vs.

Jack Coleman et al.

In the Circuit Court at Waverly Humphreys
County Tennessee, December Term 1935.

In this case it appearing to the court, that on December 4th 1935, Humphreys County Tennessee, for use and benefit of The Department of Highways and Public Works of the State of Tennessee, filed an original petition in this court, seeking condemnation of a strip of land situated in the 2nd Civil District of Humphreys County Tennessee, for Highway Purposes, known and designated as W P H 338-F, Humphreys County Tennessee, a said strip of land so sought for Highway purposes is just north of the corporation limits of the town of Waverly Humphreys County Tennessee, and is a continuation of State Highway No. 13, into Waverly, described as follows;

"A strip of land extending from Station 32 X 70 to Station 35 X 36, 268 feet long and 80 feet wide, bounded on the N by the land of May, On the S. by the land of Hardy, On the E and W by lines parallel to and at all points 40 feet distance from the center line of said proposed road, as staked out;

And it further appearing to the court that proper notice has been made for all of the defendants according to law, and that proconfesso has been taken against the adult defendants, and that a guardian AdLitem has been appointed for the minor defendants, and that answer has been filed for them, and that the property that is sought to be condemned as a Right of way for highway here in before described has been selected and is needed and necessary for the public use, and as for a part of said highway and as an extension thereto.

And it further appearing to the Court that the petitioners are entitled to the immediate possession of the property sought to be condemned; it is therefore ordered and adjudged by the court, that said petitioners be and are given the right to the immediate possession of the strip of land sought to be condemned, as a right of way, for the building and extension of said Highway No. 13, known and designated as W P H. 338-F, Humphreys County Tennessee, and as here in before described, and on application of the petitioners, if necessary the Clerk of this court will issue a Writ of Possession, to put the Petitioners in possession of said strip of land, All other matters being reserved by the court.

Humphreys County Tenn.
for use etc.

Vs.

Jack Coleman et al.

In circuit Court at
Waverly, Tennessee.

In this case it appearing to the court that a final decree has been entered in the above styled case, to be found in Minute book 18 pages 415 to 417, and that a fund of \$22.50 belonging to Melvin Jones a Minor arising from the above condemnation proceedings, was ordered left in the hands of the Clerk of the court under said decree, until further orders of the court.

And it appearing to the Court, that one Ed Jones on the 7th day of February 1936, was appointed guardian for said minor by the County Court of Dyer County Tennessee, and is now said Minors Guardian.

It is therefore ordered by the court, that said fund of \$22.50 belonging to said Minor Melvin Jones, be paid by the clerk of this court to the said Ed Jones, guardian of said Minor, and his receipt for said payment be taken by the clerk.

It is agreed by the guardian of Melvin Jones, had in open court, the sum of \$22.50 is a fair and reasonable sum to be paid to said minor for his interest in the land condemned in the above styled case.

Wit. J. R. Morris
his
Ed J Jones- Guardian
mark
Melvin Jones

State of Tennessee, Dyer County

To Ed Jones, A Citizen of Dyer County: Whereas, it appearing to the Probate Court of said County that Melvin Jones minor and the court being satisfied as to your right to the guardianship of said minor, and you having given bond and qualified according to law, and the court having ordered that letters of guardianship be issued to you:

You are, therefore, authorized to take into your possession, for the use and benefit of said ward, the profits of the lands, tenements, and hereditaments belonging to said Melvin Jones, and also the goods, chattels, and other personal estate of the said ward; to bring such suits or actions in relation thereto as may be deemed necessary; to return to the next court after the date hereof a statement, on oath, of all the estate which shall have come into your hands or possession; to exhibit annually an account of the profits and disbursements thereof; to return a new list of the estate of said ward two years from the date hereof, and to renew your bond as such, and to faithfully perform all the duties required of you by law in relation to such guardianship, and upon the arrival at full age, or sooner, if required, to make final settlement thereof, to deliver and pay to the person lawfully authorized to receive the same, the residue of said estate, including the profits arising therefrom. Herein fail not.

Witness, J. C. Prechard, clerk of said court, at office, this 7th day of February 1936, and the 160th year of American Independence.

J. C. Prechard Clerk.

G. H. McLeod D.C.

Letters of Guardianship Ed Jones, Guardian of Melvin Jones Minor heirs of, issued Feb. 7, 1936, J. C. Prechard, Clerk of Probate Court.

Humphreys County Tennessee
for use and benefit of the
Department of Highways and
Public Works of the State
of Tennessee.

Vs.

Jack Coleman et al.

In the Circuit Court at
Waverly Tennessee.

Agreed Judgment.

This case as to Jack Coleman, Ed Jones and Elizabeth King is compromised and settled out of court and it is agreed that the following judgment or decree may be entered therein.

This case came on to be heard by the court, upon the petition, the order procon-fesso, taken against the defendants Jack Coleman and Eddie Jones, the answer of Elizabeth King, by her Guardian Adlitem, M.C. Simpson, the report of the Jury of View, dated 28th day of December 1935, and the whole record in the case, which report of the Jury of view has been on file more than five days before the convening of the court, unaccepted to, and which report is in all things confirmed by the court, which report is as follows;

Humphreys County, Tennessee.
For the use and benefit of the
Department of Highways and Pub-
lic works of the State of Tenn-
essee.

Vs.

Jack Coleman et al.

In the Circuit Court at
Waverly, Tennessee.

Report of Jury of View

We, the undersigned jury of view, having been summoned and sworn, do respectfully report that we went upon the property described in the petition in the above cause, and shown to be the property of Jack Coleman et al., and examined the property to be taken for the use of Highway No. 13, and we find that the owners of this property are damaged in the amount of \$275.00 by reason of the taking of the land and property described in the petition.

We went upon the lands as directed by the sheriff on the 28th day of December, 1935 and completed our work on the same day.

Respectfully submitted on this the 28th day of December, 1935.

W.A. Nolan

W.R. Warden

J.F. Daniel

J.H. Pearl

T.R. Morris

Jury of View

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that all the right title and interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described, belonging to the defendants Jack Coleman, Eddie Jones and Elizabeth King, be and the same is condemned and the title thereto divested out of them and each of them, and vested in the Department of Highways and Public Works of the State of Tennessee, for Highway purposes and uses.

The land herein condemned is located in the 2nd Civil District of Humphreys County Tennessee, just north of the Corporation limits of the town of Waverly, Tennessee just north of the Corporation limits of the town of Waverly, Tennessee, being an extension of Highway No. 13, and known as Project W P H 238-F Humphreys County, said land so condemned being described as follows;

A strip of land extending from Station 32 X 79 to station 35 X 36, 266 feet long and 80 feet wide, bounded north by the land of May; 8 by the land of Hardy or Shaw; east and west by line parallel to and at all points 40 feet distance from the center line of said Highway as staked out. Containing approximately .48 acres.

It is therefore ordered and decreed by the court, that the defendants, Jack Coleman, Eddie Jones, and Elizabeth King, jointly have and recover of Humphreys County Tennessee, as a balance of their damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the petitioners for public use for Highway purposes, the sum of \$192.25, the defendant Eddie Jones being entitled to the sum of \$147.25 the value of his life estate therein, and the said Jack Coleman and Elizabeth King, being entitled as remaindermen therein to the sum of \$22.50 each, together with the costs of this case, for which execution other necessary and proper process may issue.

It appeared to the court that there is a mortgage against the land condemned, going to Weakley & Caldwell, Attys of Dyersburg Tennessee, dated Sep 24th 1932, for \$50.00 bearing interest from date, and amounting at this date to the sum of \$60.25 principal and interest, which the Court orders paid first out of the damages allowed by the jury of view of the sum of \$275.00 and the Court orders that the portion of the \$275.00 going to the Minor defendant Melvin Jones, be retained in court until further orders. The clerk is ordered to pay to the defendant Eddie Jones his part of the judgment herein rendered and take his receipt therefor. To pay the defendant Jack Coleman his part of said judgment and take his receipt therefor. And to pay that portion of said judgment going to the defendant Elizabeth King, to Moses Crockett, trustee for the use and benefit of the support maintenance or education of said Elizabeth King, and the said Moses Crockett will make report to this Court how he may have expended said fund for said Minor.

Humphreys County,
By J.R. Morris, Sol

Mack C. Simpson
Guardian Ad litem, for
Elizabeth King &

Melvin Jones

Jack Coleman

his
Eddie M Jones
mark

Moses Crockett
Trustee for Elizabeth King

Witnesses; L.C. Bohanan, and D.B. McCann.

Humphreys County etc.,
Versus
R.D.Spicer et al,

In the Circuit Court at Waverly,
Tennessee.

The defendant, Robert D. Spicer, an infant for separate answer to the bill filed against him in said cause, answering by Mack C. Simpson, his guardian ad litem says, that he is a minor about _____ years old, and knows nothing about the matters in said bill alleged, and, therefore, neither admits nor denies them, but submits his rights and interests in the said matters to the protection of the Court.

Robert D. Spicer

By Mack C. Simpson
Guardian ad litem.

State of Tennessee,
Humphreys County.

Mack C. Simpson, Guardian ad litem, makes oath that the facts stated in the foregoing answer are true to the best of his knowledge and information and belief.

Mack C. Simpson

sworn to and subscribed before me on this the 19th day of December, 1935.

L.C. Bohanan
Circuit Court Clerk.

Humphreys County Tennessee
for use and benefit of The
Department of Highways and
Public Works of the State
of Tennessee.

Va.

R.D.Spicer et al.

In Circuit Court at
Waverly Tennessee.

Agreed Decree.

This case is compromised and settled out of Court, and it is agreed by all the parties thereto, that the following decree or judgment may be entered therein.

This case came on to be heard by the Court, upon the petition filed in the case, the answer of the adult, R.D.Spicer, and Robt Spicer, minor, by his Guardian Ad litem, M.C.Simpson, and the report of the jury of view dated 28th day of December 1935, which report has been on file more than five days before the convening of the Court, and is unexpected to, and the whole record in the case, which report is as follows:—

Humphreys County, Tennessee,
for the use and benefit of the
Department of Highway and Pub-
lic Works of the State of
Tennessee.

Versus

In the Circuit Court at
Waverly, Tennessee.

R.D.Spicer et al.

Report of Jury of View

We, the undersigned jury of view, having been summoned and sworn, do respectfully report that we went upon the property described in the petition in the above cause, and shown to be the property of R.D.Spicer et al. and examined the property to be taken for the use of Highway No. 13, and we find that the same of this property are damaged in the amount of \$450.00 by reason of the taking of the land and property described in the petition.

We went upon the lands as directed by the Sheriff on the 28th day of December, 1935, and completed our work on the same day.

Respectfully submitted on this the 28th day of December, 1935,

W.A. Nolan

W.R. Ward

J.F. Daniel

J.H. Pearl

T.R. Harris

Jury of View.

And which report of the jury of view is in all things confirmed by the Court.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that all the right the right title and interest, in the strip or or parcel of land, hereinafter described, of the defendants R.D.Spicer and Robert Spicer, be and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the defendants and each of them, and vested in the Department of Highways and Public Works of the State of Tennessee, for Highway purposes and uses.

The land herein condemned, is located in the 2nd Civil District of Humphreys County Tennessee, just north of the Corporation limits of the town of Waverly, being an extension of State Highway No. 13, and known as Project, W P H 238-F, Humphreys County, said land condemned being described as follows:—

STRIP NO. 1. A strip of land extending from Station 38 X 6 to Station 39 X 60, 154 feet long and 80 feet wide, bounded on the north by the lands of Frank Spicer, on the south by the Baptist Church, On the East and West by lines parallel to and at all points 40 feet distance from the center line of said Highway as staked out.

Strip No. 2. A strip of land extending from Station 40 X 00 to Station 41 X 41, 141 feet long, and having an average width of 35 feet, bounded North by the lands of Walker heirs, On the southwest by the lands of Tucker, and on the East by a line parallel to and at all points 40 feet distance from the Center line of said Highway as staked out. Said two strips of land containing approximately, 0.39 acres.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendants, R.D.Spicer and Robert Spicer, have and recover of one of the petitioners, Humphreys County Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned, and taken by the petitioners for Highway purposes, the sum of Four Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$450.00) with interest from date of judgment, together with all costs of this case, from which execution, or other necessary and proper process may issue.

In this case it appears to the Court, that it was necessary to demolish or wreck and remove from the land condemned, a dwelling house, and outbuilding, and inasmuch as the defendant R.D.Spicer the father of the defendant minor Robt Spicer, is erecting another dwelling house on that portion of the tract from which the land condemned was taken it is permitted and ordered by the Court, that the said R.D. Spicer, the father of Robert be appointed Trustee and may use certain of the funds necessary going to his minor child Robt Spicer in the rebuilding of their said home, and any balance remaining of said child's interest in said recovery, said Trustee may use for the support and best interests of the child. In this case, it appears to the Court, that there is a mortgage in favor of Luff-Bowen, Co. dated Feb. 4th, 1932, for \$55.25, with interest and some balance of principal unpaid recorded in Trust Deed Book, No. 14, page 595-6 Registers office Humphreys County Tennessee given by R.D.Spicer et al. against the property herein condemned and the Court orders, that said mortgage be paid by the Clerk of this Court out of that portion of the proceeds of judgment herein recovered going to the said R.D.Spicer, and have the lien on the property released.

It is further ordered, upon motion of M.C. Simpson, Atty for the defendant R.D. Spicer, that Ten Dollars of the recovery herein, be paid to said Simpson for his services as Atty for the defendant R.D. Spicer.

Humphreys County
By J.R. Morris Atty.
R.D. Spicer
Mack C. Simpson
Guardian Ad litem for
Robert Spicer and Atty
for R.D. Spicer.

Arley Jordan, by next friend,
W.D. Jordan,

Vs.

J.L. Robertson

Circuit Court,
Humphreys County,
Waverly, Tennessee.

Came the parties by their attorneys, also a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Walter Harris, John Kiley, Forrest Triplett, Tom Wheeler, J.A. McKnight, Doss Little, Alfred QBryan, J.B. Long, Marshall Triplett, Clint Bell, Lindell Robertson Floyd Hand, who being duly sworn to well and truly try the issues joined and assess the damages, they having heard the statement of the parties and their counsel in open court, and charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict. Returning into open Court the jury on their oath do say they find the issues joined in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant and by reason of the premises assess his damages in the sum of \$650.00.

It is, therefore, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff, Arley Jordan, by next friend, W.D. Jordan, have and recover of the defendant, J.L. Robertson, the sum of \$650.00, together with the costs of the cause, for all of which execution may issue, if necessary.

Upon motion of counsel of record for the plaintiff, Frank Hall and Ray Stewart, a lien is hereby declared on the above recovery for their reasonable attorneys' fee in the sum of \$300.00. Upon the payment of said judgment into court by the defendant, the Clerk of the Court will pay direct to said attorneys, Frank Hall and Ray Stewart, the sum of \$300.00 for their fee.

O.K. for entry.

F.S. Hall
Ray Stewart
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Trabue Hume and Armstead
Attorneys for defendant.

Howard E. Brown

Vs.

Tack Pruett et al.

In the Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.
for Humphreys County.

J.R. Anderson, a Justice of the peace in and for Humphreys County, Tennessee, filed in Court the following papers:

WARRANT

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, To any lawful officer within said county: You are hereby commanded to summon Tack Pruett and S.P. Pruett to personally appear before me, or some other acting Justice the Peace for said County, to answer the complaint of Howard E. Brown in a plea of debt due by two notes under \$100.00 given under my hand and seal, this 16th day of Jan. 1932.

Jno. W. Knight (Seal) Justice of Peace.

Howard E. Brown vs. Tack Pruett and S.P. Pruett, Defendants. Issued 16th day of Jan. 1932 Jno. W. Knight, J.P. Came to hand the same day issued, and executed by reading the within warrant to Tack Pruett and S.P. Pruett and citing them to appear before J.R. Anderson, Esq. for trial the 5 day of Feb. 1932 at 7 O'clock P.M., R.F. Ingram D.S.H. This Jan. 25, 1932.

JUDGMENT

Howard E. Brown vs. Tack & S.P. Pruett. In this cause I render judgment for the plaintiff and against the defendants for fifty four and 37/100 dollars and all costs of suit, for which execution may issue, This Feb. 5th day of Feb., 1932. J.R. Anderson, Justice of Peace.

Bill of Cost

Justice's Cost: Issuing Warrant 75¢, Judgment \$1.50, pocketing 50¢, Officer's Cost: serving warrant \$2.00. Filed Apr. 13, 1936. L.G. Bohanan, Clerk.

EXECUTION

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, to any lawful officer to execute and return, You are hereby commanded, that of the goods and chattels, lands and tenements of Tack Pruett and S.P. Pruett you cause to be made the sum of Fifty-four dollars and thirty-seven cents and 37/100, Int. to March 24, 1936, and cost of suit, to satisfy a judgment which obtained before J.R. Anderson, Justice of the Peace, on the 5th day of Feb. 1932, against the said Tack Pruett and S.P. Pruett and such moneys, when collected, pay to the said Howard E. Brown given under my hand and seal, this 24 day of March 1936. J.R. Anderson (Seal), Justice of Peace. J.R. Anderson, Docket. Howard Brown vs. Tack Pruett and S.P. Pruett, Defendants Judgment 5th day of Feb. 1932, issued 24th day of March 1936, J.R. Anderson, J.P.

LEVY

The above attached execution, of which this is a part, came to hand when issued, and search having been made and no personal property to be found in my county belonging to the said defendants upon which to levy the same, I therefore levy said execution upon all the right, title and interest of the said S.P. Pruett, which interest, being all of the same, and subject to the HOMESTEAD RIGHTS of the said Pruett, it being admitted that said lands are not worth more than ONE THOUSAND DOLLARS at the present time, that is to say, the reversionary right of said Pruett and his title to said land and subject alone to his interest and homestead therein of the said Pruett.

Said lands being situated in the new 5th Civil District of Humphreys County, Tenn. and on the waters of Pruett Branch or Creek, somewhat or three miles from Buffalo Post Office, Tenn. N.E. and on which the said S.P. Pruett now lives and has been living for a long period of years and known as his HOMESTEAD, containing two tracts, first tract bounded as follows: On the North by Taylor, South by _____, East by Taylor, west by Pruett containing according to the 1935 tax book, 125 acres.

End tract bounded on the North by _____ South by Jones, East by Pruett, west by _____, containing by said tax book, 100 acres.

Levied upon as the property of said S.P. Pruett to satisfy the foregoing and attached execution, this April, 3rd, 1936, at 12 O'clock.

W.J. Hooper

Constable in and for Humphreys County, Tenn.

The file of the record of the J.P. before whom the judgment was rendered includes the warrant judgment and all the papers.

BILL OF COST

Judgment \$54.37, Officer's fee \$4.00, Justice's Fee \$4.25, Interest \$13.04, Commission \$2.66, Levy \$2.00. W.J. Hooper, C.H.C. filed Apr. 13, 1936. L.G. Bohanan, Clerk.

And on motion of the plaintiff in person and by his atty. it was ordered by the Court that the lands so levied on, be sold by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tenn., to satisfy the aforesaid Judgment of Howard E. Brown taken before J.R. Anderson and against Task and G.P. Pruett, and the most of all proceedings.

W.C. Mays,

Vs.

W.E. Schultz
Estel Schultz
D.E. Schultz

In the Circuit Court for Humphreys County,
Tennessee.

J.M. Reeves, a Justice of the Peace of Humphreys County, Tennessee filed in Court the following papers:

Magistrate's Warrant.

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County. To any lawful officer within said county: You are hereby commanded to summon W.E. Schultz, Estel Schultz, & D.E. Schultz to personally appear before me, or some other acting Justice of the Peace for said County, to answer the complaint of W.C. Mays in plea of debt due by note to be filed on or before hearing under \$500.00 given under my hand and seal, this 3rd day of Dec. 1935.

J.M. Reeves (seal), Justice of Peace.

Plaintiff
W.C. Mays vs. W.E. Schultz, Estel Schultz, and E.E. Schultz, Defendants. Issued 3rd day of Dec. 1935. J.M. Reeves J.P. Came to hand the same day issued, and executed by reading the within warrant to with in parties and citing them to appear before J.M. Reeves Esq. for trial on the 26th day of Dec. 1935 at 1 O'clock P.M., T.R. Westbrook, D.S.

Judgment

W.C. Mays vs. W.E. Schultz, Estel Schultz & E.E. Schultz. In this case I render judgment for the Plaintiff, and against the Defendant for \$127.16 and all costs of suit, for which execution may issue. This 31 day of Dec. 1935. J.M. Reeves Justice of the Peace.

Bill of Cost

Issuing warrant \$1.00, Judgment \$1.00, Docketing 25¢, Issuing F.F. 50¢, Officer's cost, serving warrant \$3.00. Total \$5.25. Filed Apr. 15, 1936. L.G. Bohanan, Clerk.

Magistrate's Execution

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County. To any lawful officer to execute and return: You are hereby commanded that of the goods and chattels lands and tenements of W.E. Schultz, Ethel Schultz & E.E. Schultz you cause to be made the sum of One Hundred twenty-seven Dollars and 16/100 cents, and cost of suit, to satisfy a judgment which W.C. Mays obtained before J.M. Reeves on the 31 day of Dec. 1935 against the said W.E. Schultz, Ethel Schultz & E.E. Schultz and such moneys, when collected, pay to the said W.C. Mays. Given under my hand and seal, this 7th day of April 1936. J.M. Reeves (seal), Justice of Peace.
W.C. Mays vs. W.E. Schultz et al, Defendant. Judgment 31 day of Dec. 1935. Issued 7th day of April 1936. J.M. Reeves J.P.

Levy

I levied this execution on this the 15th day of April, 1936 on the following described lands is the property of W.E. Schultz and wife Ethel Schultz. Beginning on a white oak stump a Leon Lesure's N.W.C. running westward to a white oak just above the spring on the hill, thence westward straight on to Buzzard Branch; thence with the branch to a hickory thence back to the branch; thence with said branch to a white oak stump on the side of the public road, thence westward to a red bud, thence westward straight to the branch; thence with the branch to the Tennessee River 157 poles, thence 68 poles Southward with the River to the corner; a stake between A.J. Gibb and K.R. Parnell, thence East 86 poles to a double chestnut, thence East 71 poles to a black oak stump; thence North 78 poles

more or less to the beginning. Containing by estimation 120 acres by the same more or less and returns this file into Circuit Court. T.R. Westbrook, D.S.

Bill of Cost

Judgment \$127.16, Officer's fee \$3.00, Justice's fee \$2.50, Interest \$1.85, commission \$5.10, Levy \$1.00. Filed this Apr. 15, 1936. L.G. Bohanan, Clerk.

On motion of plaintiff, it ordered by the Court that the lands so levied, on be sold by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, to satisfy the aforesaid judgment of W.C. Mays taken before J.M. Reeves Justice of the Peace, and the costs of this proceedings.

MOTION

Upon motion of the Attorney General made in open Court and it appearing to the Court from the written report of the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, that said sheriff is now in possession of liquors taken from the following persons, to wit: Harris Bradford, five quarts, J.L. Adkins, 3/4 pint, Vernon Hailley, 15 gal., Claude Stewart 1 pint, Son Goleston 1 pint, J.L. Druen 10 pints, Jim Miller et al. 2 gallon, Jim Miller & Mrs. Jim Miller 1/2 pint, R.O. Saderburg 1 1/2 pint. It is ordered by the Court that the sheriff of Humphreys County publicly distroy said whiskey.

B. T. INGRAM

VS.

MRS. C. J. SPENCER, ET AL

In this cause it appearing to the Court by statement of counsel that all matters of controversy between the plaintiff and defendant have been compromised and settled. It is, therefore, ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed.

The defendant will pay the costs of this cause, for which let execution be.

Mack O. Simpson, Atty. for plaintiff
J. E. Travis, Atty. for Defendant.

B. T. INGRAM

VS.

MRS. G. J. SPENCER, ET AL.

In this cause it appearing to the Court by statement of counsel that all matters of controversy between the plaintiff and defendant have been compromised and settled.

It is, therefore, ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed.

The defendant will pay the costs of this cause, for which let execution issue.

Mack O. Simpson, Atty. for plaintiff.

COURT THEN ADJOURNED UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING AT 9:00 o'clock

[Signature]

JUDGE

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT & PRESIDING THE HON. J. D. G. MORTON, JUDGE, ET AL.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Baaterdy

Carter Simpson

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and a defendant in person and by Attorney, Upon motion of the Attorney for defendant this case was dismissed.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs. H. B. & LARGENY

Oddie Chaprell
Boyd L. Edwards
W. C. Turner

This cause coming on to be heard, present for the state the Attorney General, and the defendant in person and by Attorney, when upon motion of the defendant it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the order entered in the cause at the December Term 1935, of this court be revived which order is in the words and figures as follows:

State of Tennessee

Vs. H. B. & LARGENY

Oddie Chaprell
W. C. Turner
Boyd L. Edwards

In these cases upon petition of defendants, and in view of the proven good character of all the defendants, it is ordered that the jail sentences imposed in two cases be served concurrently and it is further ordered, that, upon defendants consenting thereto the sentence to the Penitentiary for three years heretofore imposed be suspended at the pleasure of the court, upon defendants, after having served the jail sentence imposed, paying the costs of the case, and executing appearance bonds of \$1000.00 for their appearance on the first day of each term of court until released by order of the court, and upon consent of the defendants that at any term of court hereafter for a term not succeeding three years from this term, the court may at the discretion of the court, revoke the order suspending sentence to the Penitentiary, and commit the defendants, or each of them to the Penitentiary to serve the term of sentence heretofore imposed, without proof, or notice to the defendants, and the defendants present in open court, in person and by Attorney, agree to the above order.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Driving drunk

Earl Spencer

This cause coming on to be heard, present for the state the Attorney General, and the defendant in person and by Attorney, when upon motion of the defendant it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the order entered in the cause at the December Term 1935, of this court be revived which order is in the words and figures as follows:

State of Tennessee

Vs. D.D.

Earl Spencer

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issue joined came a Jury of Good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tenn., to wit: O.R. Horner, John McMurtry, Della Polton, H. L. Rogers, Emmet May, J. A. Fortner, Scott Smith, Eddie Little, Barnett Peeler, Marian Mimms, J. R. Perkins and S. R. Betty, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at thirty day in jail and also a fine of Ten dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of ten dollars and will serve a term of thirty day in the County jail in Waverly Humphreys county, Tennessee and will pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

It is further ordered by the court that the jail sentence be suspended until the next term of this court upon the defendant paying said fine and cost.

It is also further ordered by the Court that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of six months and in the event he should do so he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

Thence came into open court Eveready Motor Co. Per W. W. Napier and entered their names as surety for all of this fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

Vs. B. D.

Harrie Brady

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys county, Tenn., to wit: Walter Harris, John Kiley, Forrest Triplett, Tom Wheeler, J. A. McKnight, Doss Little, Alfred O'Brien, J. B. Long, Marshall Triplett, Clint Bell, Lindell Robertson, Floyd Hand, who, being duly elected tried and sworn according to law after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his fine at one hundred dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred dollars and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the County jail or work house until he pay, secure, or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

Vs. B. D.

J. L. Adkins

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: Walter Harris, John Kiley, Forrest Triplett, Tom Wheeler, J. A. McKnight, Doss Little, Alfred O'Brien, J. B. Long, Marshall Triplett, Clint Bell, Lindell Robertson, Floyd Hand, who, being duly elected, tried, and sworn according to law after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in

and fix and assess his fine at one Hundred dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the County Jail or work house until he pay or secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
Vs.
Vernon Hailey

B. D.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the Defendant in person who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tennessee, to-wit:- Walter Harris, John Kiley, Forrest Triplett, Tom Wheeler, J. A. McKnight, Doss Little, Alfred O'Bryan, J. B. Long, Marshall Triplett, Clint Bell, Lindell Robertson, Floyd Hand, who, being duly elected, tried, and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his fine at One Hundred dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay and secure a fine of one Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the County Jail or work-house until he pay, secure, or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
Vs.
Cliff McCandless

H. B. & LARGENT

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the Defendant in person and by Attorney, when upon motion of the defendant, the jail sentence imposed upon him at the last term of this court and was suspended is hereby suspended until the term of this court because the Defendant was in the CC Camp.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court that the Jail sentence be suspended until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee
Vs.
Earl Evans

DRIVING DRUNK

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute this case and no further.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court that the Defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
Vs.
R.O. Saderburg

DRIVING DRUNK

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute this case and no further.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court that the Defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
Vs.
Claude Stewart

B.D.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the Defendant in person who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tennessee, to-wit:- Walter Harris, John Kiley, Forrest Triplett, Tom Wheeler, J. A. McKnight, Doss Little, Alfred O'Bryan, J. B. Long, Marshall Triplett, Clint Bell, Lindell Robertson, Floyd Hand, who, being duly elected, tried, and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the County Jail or work-house until he pay, secure, or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
Vs.
Son Gileston

B. D.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the Defendant in person who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tennessee, to-wit:- Walter Harris, John Kiley, Forrest Triplett, Tom Wheeler, J. A. McKnight, Doss Little, Alfred O'Bryan, J. B. Long, Marshall Triplett, Clint Bell, Lindell Robertson, Floyd Hand, who, being duly elected, tried, and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the County Jail or work-house until he pay, secure, or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
Vs.
Mrs. Jim Miller

B.D.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute this case and no further.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the Defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
Vs.
Jim Miller

B.D.

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute this case and no further.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court that the Defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.