April Term, 1932 THE STATE VS. Richard Rauleton B.D. Subpoens for the State D.A. Burch, Esq. J. McReeves & Trent Westbrooks , W. C. Howell, Afformey General Pro tem A TRUE BILL R. H. McKeel Foreman Of Grand Sury? W. W. Elswick John Sheeby, J. F. Trotter, D. H. Wright, R. H. Grice, R.A. Ellison, W.C. Pace, W. H. McCaully ., Geo. Brown, Oda Binkston. Walter Hedge & H.P. Phebus. One against W.H. Stokes, Assault with intent to committ in the first degree, which indictment is in the words andifigures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County. April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that W.H. Stokes of said County, heretofore, x to wit, on the 27th day of December 1931 with force and arms, in the County aforesaid. unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatemry, and maliciously, did make an assault mapon the body of one J.C.Richardson with a certain knife with the unlawful and felonious intent, then and there, him, the said J.C.Richardson unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill and upon him to commit the crime and felony of murder in the first degree, againt the peace and & dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, April Term, 1932 THE STATE Vs. W.H. Stokes, Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree, J.C. Richardson, Prosecutor, SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: J.C. Richardson, Dan Smith & John Smith, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before thenGrand Jury, April Term, 1932 R.H.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

State of Tennessee

Sci Fa.

Jack Wright & Chas. Norman

This case is continued until the the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Banks Wright

H.B.& Larceny.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indistment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the lesues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; Neal Danesworth, Dave Cullum, Lee Sharp, Gilbert Davis, W.R.Webb, J.H.Cotham, Paul Reece, John Milem, D.B.Danesworth, Emmer Marsett, Dave Merideth & H.L.Rogers, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn socwellnandstraby try the issues joined according to las, the after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant, Banks Wright go hence without day:

State of Tennessee)

Lewdness.

Daniel Hooper & Lescy Keel

Lecoy Keel)
In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute the case no further.

Is is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be discharged and to hence without day.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Garrying a Pistol.

Grady Turner | The case is continued by the defendant until the next term of this

Court.

State of Tennessee

B. D.

Grady Turner)
This case is continued by the defendant untill the next term of this

court.

State of Tennessee

₹9.

Driving drunk.

Gilbert Shaw
In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute the case no further.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the fourt that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)
Vs.

Larceny.

B. P. Turner et al.

This case is continued by the defendant until the next . 3rm of this

court.

State of Tennessee)

Va.

Driving drunk.

Ed Rheomesa

This case is continued by the defendant until the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Driving drunk.

F.B. Worlev

In the case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute the case no further.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

Jesse Beeles

A. & B. with intent to kill.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleade not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; Heal Damesworth, John Milem, W.R.Webb, J.D.Lytton, J.H.Collier, J.H.T.Cotham, Paul Reece, W.W.Norman, Gilbert Davis, Elmer Marsett, Dave Merideth & H.L.ROgers, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issues joined according to law, who, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their cath do say that they find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant, Jesse Beeles be discharged and go hence without day.

41 TO 0382

State of Tennessee Va.

B. D.

JrR. Parchman &

Jesse Hargrove In this case came the Attorney General. Bro Tem. for the State and the defendant in personsand by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try thr issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit, Henry Ballard, Dave Cullum, John Bradford, Buck Dotson, Lee Sharp, Jim Phy, Jim Ogden, Bill Patterson, D.B. Danesworth, W.H. Knight, R. W. Harrison & Pierce Hooper, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try thr issues joined according to law, who, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the defendant be discharged and we hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

B.D.

P. B. Worl ev

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem. for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to assess the defendant's punishment came a tury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; Henry Ballard, Dave Gullum, John Bradford, Buck Dotson, Lee Sharp, Jim Phy, Jim Orden, Bill Patterson, D.B. Danesworth, W.H. Knight, R.W.Harrison,& Pierce Hooper, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issues joined according to law, who, after hearing all the groof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon the'r oath do say they find the defendant guilty and say he shall pay a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay a fine of One Hundred Dollars, said fine being suspended during good behavior and he shall pay the costs of this cause for whitelet execution issue.

This day the Grand Jury came into open court in a body and presents the following presentments and indictments.

One Against Maude Hughey, Brumkeness subpoens for the State, W.B. Cowen, Charlie Carnell &

One against Joe Thompson, Age Consent which indictment is in the words and figures as follows: to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1932 The Grand jurges for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Joe Thompson heratofore, to wit, on the 13th day of September 1931, in gaid County and State, unlawfully, feloniously, and carnally knew Maudie Durham, a female, over the age of twelve years and under the age of twenty-one years, the said Joe Thompson and Maudie Durham not occupying the relation of husband and wife, at the time of such carnol knowledge, and the sad Maudie Durham not being, at the time and before said sarnal knowledge, a bawd, lewd or kept female, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tenneagee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Ten. April Term. 1932 THE STATE Va. Joe Thompson. Age Consent Ray Durham, prosecutor, SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: Ray Durham, Nora Durham, Maudie Durham & Edith Durham, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury April Term. 1932 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. W.C. Howell, Attorney General. Pro Tem. A TRUE BILL, R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury. One against Charles Tilson, Age Consent which indictment is in the way as and figures as follow

to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, 4.D. 1932 The Grand nurrors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, ampaneled, sworn, and charged to i inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their cath aforesaid. present that Charles Tilson heretofore, to wit, on the 5th day of February 1932, in said County and State, umlawfully, feloniously and carnally knew Bonnie Megga, a female, over the age of twelve years and under the age of twenty one years, the said Charles Tilgon and Bonnia Meggs not occupying the relation of husband and wife, at the time of such carnal knowledge, and the said Bonnie Meggs not being at the time and before said carnal knowledge, a.c. bawd, lewd or kept female, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee. W.C.Howell. Attorney General, pro tem April Term, 1932 THE STATE Vs. Charles Tilson Age Consent John E. weggs Prosecutor SUSPOENA FOR THE STATE, John E. Meggs. Amanda Meggs, Bonnie Meggs, R.C.Carnell and Dr. J.Y. Wall, Witnesses sworn by me on this indict ment beforme the Grand Jury April Term, 1932 R.H.MeLeel Foreman Grand Jury.W.C.Howellattorney General, Pro Tem A TRUE BILLREUH. McKeel Foremen Grand Jury.

One against Fred Spencer, Forgery which indictment is in the words andffigures as follows, to alt. State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932, The Grand Jurrors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Fred Spencer heretofore, to wit, on the 29th day of August 1931, in said County and State. unlawfully, fraudulently and feloniously made a certian instrument in writing, purporting to be a check for twenty dollars on one C.W.Turner, which instrument is in the and figures as follows; Waverly Tenn. 8-29-1931 Farmers and Merchants Bank, Pay to the order of Frd Fred Spencer \$20.00 Twenty Dollars for Painting C.W.Turner, with intent to defraud him, the said G. E. Turner, and thethe pregudice of the right of him, the said C.W. Turner, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee, And the Grand Jurors afore said, upon their oath aforesaid further present that the said Fred Spencer, on the day and year afore said, in the state and county aforesaid, unlawfully, knowingly, fraudulently, feloniously and with in tent to defraud T.H. Kight did offer and pass to the said T.H. Knight aforesaid, a

certain forged instrument as follows; Waverly Tenn. 8-29-1931 Farmers and Merchante-BankPay to the order of Fred Spencer \$20.00, Twenty Dollars-for painting C.W. Turners said instrument to
being a forgery and the said Fred Spencer, at the time knowing the same to be forgery,
intending to defraud the said T.H.Knight, contrapy to the statute and against the peace and
dignity of the State of Tennessee, W.C.Howell Attorney General Pro Tem April Term 1932 THE
STATE Vs. Fred Spencer Forgery T.H.Knight Prosecutor Subpoca for the State TTH.Knight
and C.W.Turner, Witnessee sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury April Term,
1932 R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C.Howell Attorney General Pro tem A TRUE BILL R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury,

One against Fred Spencers . Forgery which indictment is in the words and figures as follows; State of Tennsseee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1932 The Grand Jurrors for the State of Tennessee, duly, elected, empaneled, evern, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their cath aforesaid, present that Fred Spenceraet al heretofore to wit, on the 29th day of August 1931 in said County and State, unlawfully, fraudulently made a certain instrument in writing currenting to be a check for Twenty-one dollars on one C. J. Turner, which imperumentia in words and figures as follows: Waverly. Tenn. 8-29-1931 Farmers & Merchants Bank- Pay to the order of Fred Spencer \$21.00 Twenty one Dollars, for loan, C.W.Turner" with intent to defraud him the said C.W.Turner, and to the pregudice of the right of him, the said C.W.Turner, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Term. And the Grand Jurors af sforesaid, upon their eath aforesaid; further present that the said Fred Spengerset al. on the day and year aforesaid in the state and county aforesaid, unlawfully, knowingly, fraudulently, feloniously and with intent to defraud oy Turner, did offer and page to the said Roy P Turner, aforesaid, a certain forged instrument being a forgery as follows Waverly, Tenn. 8-29-1931 Farmers & Metalata Bank- Pay to the order of Fred Spencer \$21.00 Twenty one Dollars for loan, C.W.Turner" and the said Fred Spencer et al. at time knowing the same tobe forgery. intending to defraud the said Roy Turner, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee, W.C. Howell Attorney General, Pro tem April Term 1932, THE STATE VS. Fred Spenceretabl Roy Turner Prosecutor SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE Roy Turnerand C. W. Turner. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury April Thram 1932 R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C.Howell Attorney General Protem A TRUE BILL R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

REBORT OF GRAND JURY

We, the members of the Grand Jury at the April Term 1932 of Circuit Court for Humphreys County Beg leave to submit the following report to your Honor. WE have digligently inquired and true presentments made of All offenses given us in charge by your Honoror otherwise brought to our knowledge. We have examined the County Jail and Poor House and find the prioners and imimates well fed and cared for (see attached recommendation) We have examined all bonds required to be examined by us and find them properly excutedand good and solvent for the several amounts thereof and having now completed our labors we respectfully ask to be discharged for the term.

W.C.Pace, Oda Pinkston, R.N.Grice, J.F. Trother, John Sheeby, D.W. Wright, W.W.Elswick, R.A.Ellison H.P. Phebus, G.W.Brows, W.H.McCauley; WWalter Hedge and R.H.McKeel.

TO THE HONORABLE COUNTY COURT OF HUMPHREYS COUNTY, TENNESSEE.

We, the undersigned Grand Jury of your County for the April Term, 1932, hereby recommend that there be something done with the County Jail in the way of making more room for the prisoners, so that the negroes can be kept separate from the whites and also the ladies should be putrin a separate department.

This could be done with a very little cost by building a wing on to the present jail

or fixed in some way to give more room, and separate departments.

We also recommend that contractors, to work the prisoners thatmight be in jail at the time of repairing, for all the common labor and that the County get the benefit of the free labor.

This April 21-1932.

Signed.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8.00 O'Clock.

John Judge.

COURT MET PERSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HOWIJ.D.G.MORTON; JUDGE ETC. State of Tennessee)

Va

Larceny.

Bob Rose & Jesse Mattingly

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendants for the costs of this suit that the defendants is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs acrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the State Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs

State of Tennessee

Mid.d.

Will Hogan

Intthis case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs acrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessie

Vø.

.s. 1.

Forter Lee

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it
appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the
clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the
defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs acrued upon the
part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk
of this court make out and certify the same to this County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Petit Largeny.

Riley Turner

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the statum of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the oberk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholey insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof.

So is is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreedaby the Court that the costs acried upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Va.

Driving Drunk.

Isaac Crockett

State of Tennessee, To the Honorable Judge of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, Holding and Presiding at Waverly, Tennessee:

Whereas, in the Sumreme Court, at Hashville, at its December ferm, 1931, it was adjudged and an ordered in this cause Isaac Crockett Vs. The State appealed to our said Court from said Circuit Court that the same be remanded thereto for further proceedings and final determination therein. These are therefore, To require you, the Court as aforesaid, that you proceed with the execution of this judgment of our said SuprememCourt, by such further proceedings in your Court as shall effectuate the objects of this order to remand, and attain the ends of justice. Witness, David S. Lansden, Clerk of our said Court, at office in Nashville, the first Monday of December, 1931

Seal.

David S. Lansden, Clerk.

State of Tonnessee, Be it Remembered, That at a Supreme Court of Errors and Appeals, begun and held at the Capitol. in the City of Nashville, on the First Monday of December, 192----it being theday of December, 192....... when the following proceedings were had, to wit: Isaac Crockett Vs. THE STATE, Humphreys Crimina. Came the plaintiff... in error by counsel, and also came the Attorney-General on behalf of the State, and this cause was he heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of Humphreys County; and upon consideration thereof the Court is of opinion that there is no reversible error on the record and that the judgment of the Court below should be affirmed, and it is accordingly so ordered and adjudged by the Court.

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Tennessee recover of Isaac Crockett the plaintiff... in error, the costs of the cause accrued in the Court and in the Court below, and execution may issue from the Court for the costs of the appeal. It is further ordered by the Court that the plaintiff... in error be confined in the County jail or workhouse of Humphreys County, subject to the lawful rules and regulations thereof, for a term of 30 days and that after the expiration of the aforesaid term of imprisonment, he remaks in the cusyody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County until said fine and costs are paid, secured or worked out as required by law, and this cause is remended to the Circuit Court of Humphreys County for the execution of this judgment.

Office of ClerkupfenhecSupreme Court of the Middle Division of the State of Tennessee.

E, David S. Lansden, Clerk of said Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, perfect, and complete copy of the judgment of said Court, pronounced at its December Term, 1931, in the case of Isaac Crockett Vs. The State, as the same appears of record in my office.

In testimony where I have hereto set my mand and affixed the seal of the Court, at office in the Capitol, at Nashville, on this the 23rd day of January, 1932.

Seal

David S. Lansden, Clerk.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8.00 O'Clock.

Jan Marken Judge.

Minutes Circuit Court Humphreys County April Term 23rd adv of April 1932.

GOURT MET PERSUART TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G.MORTON, JUDGE ETQ.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Age Consent.

In this cause comes again the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, when the motion for a new trial, heretofore filed in this cause, come on to be heard by the Court, and which motion is as follows:

State of Tennessee

Vs.

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.

Comes the defendant, Hosie Chappell, and by attorney, and moves the Court for a new trial in the cause for the following reasons, and upon the following grounds:

First: because the evidence introduced in the cause prepondarates against the verdict of the Jury, and in favor of the defendant.

Second: because the Court errod in not defining in his charge to the Jury the words, "bawd, lewd,or képt woman".

Third: because the Court errod in not defining in his charge to the Jury the legal definition of the word "lewd", as used in the Statute, or Code, under which the indictment in this case is drawn.

Fourth: because teh Jury was misled and prejudiced by the Attorney-General in his closing argument, by stating to the Jury, "that the "sputation of Myrtle Forrest for virtue and chastity was good, as shown by the testimonyof some five or six elderly people introduced by the State as character witnesset on behalf of said Myrtle Forrest", the Attorney-General well knowing that the offense depospoed by the Statute in this cause is predicated upon the character of the female rather than upon the reputation of the Temale for chastity and virtue

Fifth: Because the testimony of the female, Myrtle Forrest, is uccorroborated by any testimony offered by the State, or in the cause.

Sixth: Because the Court failed to charge the jury that the character of the female in question was to be looked to rather than her reputation for chasting and virtue. and the same being heard by the court it is in all things overruled. To which action of the Court the defendant excepts.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant be confined in the State Penitentiary at Mashville, Tennessee, at hard labor for an indetermination period of time of not less than three years nor more than three years and that he pay the conference of this cause for which let execution issue.

Thereupon the defendant move in arrest of judgment, which motion is likewise overruled by the court and to which action of the Court thedefendant excepts. Thereupon the defendant approach to the Supreme Court at Mashville, Tennessee which appeal is granted and the defendant is allowed thirty days in which to prepare and file his bill of exception.

The defendant will excute an appearance bond, as required by law, in the sum of Two Thousand D Bollars for his appearance before the Supreme Court at Mashville and in the event of his failure he will be held in the custody of the Sheriff of Humshreys County to await the orders of the Court.

Driving Drunk

D.S.Lansden

In this case came the Attorney General, Pgo Tem, for the State, and the defendants in preson and by attorneys, who, being duly charged and arraingned on said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a Jury of cod and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; Heal EmmesWorth, Syl Curtis, Riley Chambers, Allen Muphree, Fred Marrs, Julious Roertson, Emmitt May, Will Morran, Dilly Dotson, Bryan Turner; Jim Stanfield & Clint Bell, who, being duly eleated, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their s worn officers, R.F. Ingram and J.C. Thomas, who had

own motion doth order the Jury to return a verdict of not guilty, the Juryythence returned a verdict of not guilty. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the fourt that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

previously been legally smorn to attend them, after haring all the proof, the Court upon it's

State offTennesses)
Vs. B.D.
D.S.Lanaden

State of Tennasses

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tóm, for the State, and the defendant in person and by attorneys, who being duly charged and arrainged on said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue jdined came a Jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennesse, to wit; Neal Danesworth, Syl Curtis, Riley Chambers, Allen Murphree, Fred Marrs, Julious Robertson, Emmitt May, Will N. rmen, Dilly Dotsmin Byran Turner, Jim Stanfield and Clint Bell, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, R.F.Ingram and J.C.Thomas, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, the Court upon it's own motion doth order the Jury to return a verdiet of not guilty, the Jury thence returned a verdict of not guilty. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by het Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee }

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defandant in person and by attorneys, who, being duly charged and arraingned on said bill of indictment pleads no t guilty. Thereupon to tyr the issue joined came a Jury of good and lawful man of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; Heal Danesworth, Syl Curtis, Riley Chambers Allen Murphree, Fred Marrs, Julious Robertson, Emmitt May, Will Horman, Dilly Dotson, Bryan Turner, Tim Stanfield and Clint Bell, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, R.F.Ingram And J.C.Thomas, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, the Court upon it's own motion doth order the Jury to return a verdict of not guilty, the Jury thence returned a verdict of not guilty.

State of Tennasee)
Vs.
1 - og | naeden
D. S. Laneden
In these goases there

In these cases there is a Jury and verdict of not guilty in each cause.

It is ordered by the Court that the pistols and liquor held in these cases be turned over to David S. Lansden.

Minutes Circuit Court Humphreys County April Term 23rd day of April 1932. State of Tennesses Vs. Red Check R. J. Bo man In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem upon the part of the State the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged. Thereuson the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of One Dollar together with all the costs, andin the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and cost he will be confined in the County Jail or Work Huose until he pay secure or work out all of said fine costs. State of Tennages Motion to retex coats Clarence Nickell In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State, and the appearing to the Court from the return of the Seriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for them suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs acrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certafy the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennossee Disorderly Conduct William Hines wotion to retax costs In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit thatthe defendant is wholly inscivent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is this sefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs acrued upon the part of the State ce allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and the clerk of t this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment sa the law directs. State of Tennessee) VQ Motion to retax costs W. D. Trhompson In this cass came the Attorney General, Pro tem , for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs acrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this cour; make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tendesses) . Vs. Mia.d. Curlie Arrington Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General, Protem, for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant iq wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the sosts acrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee Min.d. Vs. Motion to retax costs Ed Metcalf In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part of thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs scrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennessee) Mia.d. Motion to retax costs J. W. Metcalf In this case came the Attorney General. Pro tem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and defreed by the fourt , that the costs acured upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennesses Mia.d. Motion to retax costs Only Johnson In this case came the Attorney General Pro tem for the masse, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this sui t, er any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs acrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the CountyTreasury, and that time clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennessee Disorderly conduct. Motion to retax costs. Margrett Bowman In this case came the Attorney General. Pro Tem. for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk

the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee |

Vs. | Mis.d. |

Motion to retax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the slerk of this Court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is sholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit. or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this Court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by

the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that

the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the cost acrued upon the

part of the State he allowed and ordered paid out of the County Transury, and that the clark

appearing to the Court of rom the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by

the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that

the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost acrued upon the

part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk

of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it

Disorderly conduct.

Min d

Motion to retax costs.

Motion to retax costs.

State of Tennessee

State of Tennessee

Carter Craft

Horace Bowman

Va.

Minutes Circuit Court. Humphrees County, April Ter. 23rd day of April 1932. State of Thomesee) Mia.d. Vs. Motion to retar costs. L. J. Comn In this case came the Attorney General. Pro Tem. for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost sorned upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennessee Win.d. Motion to retax coate Elvis Chambers In this case came the Attorney General, ProTem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution is sued to him by the clerk of thes court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part othereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost scrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk afixing of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennessee) Motion to retax costs. Mel vin Lowax In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wandly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennessee) Va. Motion to retax costs Emmitt Seav In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is whoily insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the State oe allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the olerk of and certify this court make out/the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennessee) Min.d. Ve -Motion to retam costs. Basel Anthy In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this Court against the estate of the defendant ofor the cost of this suit that the

defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost scrued upon the part

part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk

of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs State of Tennessee) Mia.d. V a Motion to retax coats. Will Valentine In this case came the Attorney Gene al, Pro fem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, saiudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that he clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law Airects State of Tennessee) Mia.d. Motion to retas costs. Buck Craft In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or anypart thereof. 30 it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the accests acrued upon the part of the State be allowed vand ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs State of Tennessee) Tresspage. V a Motion to retam costs. Paul Smith In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof. so it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee) Carrying a pistol ٧a. Motion to retax costs. Basel Flowrence In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem. for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of othe sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost sorued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennessee) Driving Drunk. Va Motion to retax costs. Clarence Felts In this case same the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution is sued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part there of. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payments as the law directs. State of Tennessee Driving Drunk Motion to ratex costs Grady Berryman In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sneriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the coat of this suit or any part thereof. So it is/ordered, adjudged and degreed by the Court, that the cost scrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennesses) Vs. Motion to retak costs J. A(Jack) Bramlett) In this case came the Attorney General. Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the nestate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolgent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost acreed upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerkxo of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the kaw directs. State of Tennessee) Mis.d. Vs. Motion to retax costs. Cliff Harden In while case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of thes court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost sorted upon the past of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury; and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee) Va. Motion to retex coats. Theo Spicer In this case came the Attorney General. Oro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court of rom the serum of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clark of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part therof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost acrued on the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennegges Va. Motion to retay couts Jim Moore In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Coart from the return of the sheriff, upon antexecution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost acreed upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennessee Profane Language. Motion to retax cost. St. Pasric Webb In this case came the Attorney General, Pro lem for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the glerk of this courtagainst the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and degreed by the Court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennessee A. &B. Vs. Motion to retax costs. Clarence Felts In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the samethe County Judge for payment as the law directs.

Louise Phillips Sanders Va.

In the Circuit Correllumphreys County, Tenn. April Term. 1932.

A. J. Sanders, Jr.,

This cause came on to be heard before the Hon. J. D. G. Morton. Judge of the 9th indicial circuit, holding the circuit court at Waverly Tenn., on this the 22nd day of April, 1932, upon the orinnial bill and answer and the deposition of and exhibits on fil file, and the oral testimony of witnesses. And it satisfactorsly appeared to the court from the proof that the facts charged in the bill are true; and that the defendant has been guilty of such cruel and inhuman treatment or conduct toward to the complainant as his wife so as te render it unsafe and improper for complainant to longer cohabit with the defendant or be under his dominion and control; and that defendant has offered such indignities to her person as renders her condition intolerable and has thereby forced her to withdraw.

It is order4d, adjudged and degreed by the court that the bonds of matirmony now muhaisting between the complainant and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved and that complain complainant be vested with all the rights of an unmarried person; and that her maide n name. Louise Ehillips, be restored to her. The defendant will pay J.E. Tubb and Guild Smith, solicitors for the complainant Two Hundred Dollars as their commsel fees for services rendered the complainant in this cause together with all costs of the cause for all of which let execution igme.

Magnolia Thompson Johnson Vs. H

In Circuit Court at Waverly Tennessee.

Harris Johnson

In this cause, on motion of complainant andit duly appearing to the Court that the Defendant, Harris, Johnson, has been regularly served with subpoens to a answer and complainants bill, and the said Harris Johnson has failed to appear and make defense of said bill, withan the time required by law; it is ordered that, as to him, complainent bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parts. And thereupon the cause coming on further to be heard on this day by the Court upon the entire record in the cause, including complainants bill, and the pro confesso heretofors entered against the defendent and the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open court; it satisfactorily appeared from the proof to the Court that the faces charged in the bill are turns; that the defendant willfully deserted the complainant, an a failed and refused to provide for h er and her child. with out a reasonable or just excuse; and that the defendant was guilty of such cruel and inhuman treatment toward complainant as to make it unsafe for her to cohabit with him; and that complainant gave the defendant no cause for his said misconduct , and that she has not condoned the same. It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matriaony sabsisting between the complainant and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that complainant be vested with all rights of an unmarried woman; and that her maiden name, MagnabliasThompson, be restored to mher. It is further ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Complainant have the exclusive custody of her child, HarrisDouglas Johnson, a be boy now about two years old, free from any interference and control on the part of the defendant The defendant will pay the costs of this cause for which execution may issue. This cause will be retained in Court for future orders with reference to abe said child if any may be necessary.

Betty Hedge Eakes) Va.

In Circuit Court at Waverly Tennessee.

Newman Eakes In this causem on motion of complainant, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant, Newman Eakes, has been regularly brought before the Court and made a party to the complainant bill by publication duly made, and that said Newman Eakes has failed to appear and make defense to said bill, within the time required by law; it is ordered that, as to him, the complainant bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parte. And thereupon the cause coming on further to be heard before the Court on this day, upon the bill of the complainant, the pro confesso heretofore taken against the defendant, and the entire record in the cause, including the oral tastimony of witnesses examined in open court; it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from the proof that the facts charged in the bill are true; that the defendant willfully deserted the complainant and her child, leaving them upon the mercies of the world, and neglected, failed and refused to provide for complainant and her child. without a reasonable cause; that complainat gave the defendant no cause or just excuse for his said mis-conduct and has not condoned the same. It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and dec decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the defendant and complainant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that the complainant be vested with all the rights of an unmarried woman, and that her maiden name. Betty Hedge, be restored to her. It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that t' exclusive custody of their child. Charles Eakes, be committed to complainant, free from any interference or control on the part of the defendant. The defendant will pay the orats of the cause for which execution may issue. The cause will be retained in Court for futuer orders with reference to maid child if any are necessary.

Minnie Lou Williams

In the Circuit Court, at Waverly, Tennessee.

Flovd Williams

DECREE

In this case, on motion of complainant and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant Floyd Williams, has been regularly served with copy and subpoena to answer, the complaimant bill, and up until this, the last day of the present term has failed to appear and amke defense to the bill, within the time required by law; it is therefore ordered that as to him complainant's bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing, ex parte. This cause came on further to be heard at the present term before his Honor, Judge J.D.G.Morton, upon the bill, the pro confesso heretofore taken in the cause and the oral testimeny of witnesses examined in open Court. And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from the bill and the proproof that the facts charged in the bill are true; that the defendant had willfully deserted the complainant without a reasonable cause fore m ore than two whole years, before the filing of the bill, as charged; and that he had refused and neglected to provide for her, according to his means.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the bonds of matrimony now subsisting between the complainant and the dfendant, be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that complainant be vested with all the rights of an unmarried woman, and that her maiden name. Minnie Lou Wright, be restored to her and that the defendantpay all the costs of this cause for which execution may issue.

State of Tennagge Va.

Driving Drunk.

Issac Crockett In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State ing the defendant bin person and by attorney, who, being duly charfed and arreigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; Jeff Page, L.Robertson, H.H.Himby, Boss Fortner, W.O.Simpson M.J.Brennon, E.W.Sykes, Charley McMurray, Bob Hughey, John Milam, Jim Patrick, and John Pearl who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and after hearing all the proof. argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile on the public highways of said state and county as charged in the indictment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant be confined in the Jail of Humphreys County, Tennesses, at Waverly for a period of Thirty days and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution 10000

SHERIFF'S BOARD BILL FOR BOARDING PRIBONERS CHARGED WITH FELONIES. This day came Walter McNiel Sheriff and Jailor for Humphreys County, Tennessee, in open Courtand presents and reads his board bill against the state of Tennessee, for boarding prisoners charged with felonies.

State of Tennessee Vs. Paul Smith, Larceny Nov. 30. 1931 to Dec. 15, 1931, 64 days at 75¢ per day \$34.50, 1 Turnkey \$1.00, \$35.50 State of Tennessee Vs. W.H. Stokes, A.& B. Dec 27, 1931 to Jan. 8, 1932 13dams at 75¢, per day \$9.75, 2 Turnkeys \$2.00, \$11.75 State of Tennessee Vs. Bob Rose, Larcen, Dec. 16, 1931 to Dac. 18,1932 2 days at 75¢ per day \$1.50. 2 Turnkeys \$2.00. 3.50 State of Tennessee Vs. Jesse Mattingly, Larceny Dec. 16, 1931 to Dec. 18, 1932 2 dams at 75¢ per day \$1.50, 2 Turnkeys \$2.00, State of Ternessee Vs. Clara Williams, H.3.4 Larceny Feb. 23.1972 to Mar. 24 1932 31 days at 75¢ per day \$23.25, 2 turnkeye \$2.00, State of Tennessee Vs. Clyde Anderson, H.B.& Larceny Feb. 23. 1932 to Mar. 10, 1932 16 wdays at 75¢ per day \$12.00, 2turnkeys \$2.00, State of Tennessee Vs. Isaac Spence, Incest Mar. 5, 1932 to Apr. 21,1932 47 days at 75¢ per day \$35.25, 2 turnkeys \$2.00. State of Tennesere Vs. Virgi: Comahue, A.& B. with Apr. 14. 1932 to Apr. 16.1932 3 days at 75¢ per day \$2.25, 2 mrnkeys \$2.00, 4.25 State of Ternessue Vs. W.E. Snaely, A.& B. with Apr. 14, 1932 to Apr. 15, 1932 2 days at 75¢ per day \$1.50, 2 turnkeys \$2.00, 3.50 \$137.50

Court then adjourned wuntil Court in coarse.

Domanton Judge

CAPTION ANGUAGER TERM CIRCUIT COURT A.D. 1932.

State of Tennessee)

Humphreys County Be it remembered that a Circuit Court was opened and held in and for the County of Humphreys at the Court House in the town of Waverly. Tennessee, on the 8th day August it being the second Monday of said month, and the One Thousand Nine Hundred and Thirtysecond year of our bord, and the One Hundred and Fiftysixth year of American Independence. Present and Presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Morton. Judge of the Ninth Judicial District of the State of Tennessee.

Court was opened in due form of law by Walter McNeil, sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him was returned into open Court a writ of Venire vacias, showing that the following named persons were appointed by the County Court, at its July Term 1932, to appear and to serve as jurors at this the present term of this Court to wit: Ed Lewis. Dink Mosley. Walter Brown, F.C. Madden, John Williams, Bill Gatlin, Oce Himby, P.L. McGrary, W.A. Potter, Alden Poiner, W.M.Lane, John James, J.D.Hooper, Bob Bolthrop, Gorden Pullen, J.W.Dodd, Alf Rice, W.H. May, Emmitt, May, Jim Woods, Edd Mayberry, T.L. Parnell, Monroe Warren & John Hollerand.

And it appearing to the Court that the above named parties were regularly summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and that all of said parties so summoned appeared and answered said summon.

And out of said jurge so summoned the following were selected, as required by law, as Grand Jurymen. to wit: Walter Brown, Bill Gatlin, John Williams, Ed Mayberry, Dink Mosley, John Hollerand, Emmitt May, Oce Himby, J.W. Dodd, J.D. Hooper, W. h. May & Alf Pice, and R. H. Ms McKeel having been appointed Foreman of the Grand Jury at a former term of this Court, the said Grand Jury is in all things as the law directs having been duly elected, tried, sworn and charged by the Court according to law, retired to their room in charge of R.F. Ingram Deputy Sheriff of Humphreys County, sworn according to law to attend them in considering indictments and presentments.

And out of the remaining number of said jurors so summoned, the following were excused from jury service, by the Court, to wit: P.L.McCrary.

And the following named person was summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and qualified as a regular juror in the stead of the above named excused juror, to wit: Fred Marra.

W. C. HOWELL APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL, PRO TEM.

Whereas, Hon. John B. Bowman, Attorney General for the Ninth Judicial Circuit of the State of Tennessee, is sick and on that account unable to attend the present term of the court portions his duties as Attorney General and on that account has failed to attend and prosecute according to law; Therefore, I J.D.G.Morton, Judge of said Judicial Circuit, by virtue of the power vested in me by the Constitution and laws of the State of Tennessee. do hereby appoint W.C. Howell, a regular licensed attorney for the State of Tennessee, engaged in the active practice of his profession in said State, and who possesses all the qualifications required by law, as Attorney General, pro tempore for and during this term of the Circuit Court for and in the place of said John B.Bowman, Attorney General, and with all the powers and duties conferred upon him by virtue of this appointment.

This appointment of the said W.C. Howell, as such Attorney General, pro tempore is for the August term 1932 of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, Tennessee. This the 8th day of August 1932.

J.D.G.Morton, Judge

State of Tennessee,

Humphreys County. I, W.C.Howell, do solemnly swear that I will perform with fidelity the . duties of the office of District Attorney General, pro tempore of the Minth Judicial Circuit of Tennessee, to which I have been appointed by J.D.G.Morton, Ahageirouit Judge of said District and that I will support the Constitution of the United State of America and the Constitution of the State of Tennessee. I further swear that I have not, directly or indirectly given, accepted or knowingly carried a challenge, in writing or otherwise to any person, being a citizen of the State, since the adoption of the Constitution in 1853 or aided or

abetted therein, and that I will not, during by continuance in office, be guilty of either

Witness my hand, this the 8th day of August 1932.

W. C. Howell

Sworn to and subscribed to before me,

This the 8th day of August 1932.

Court than adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9.00 0'Clock.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 9th day of August 1932.

Ŧ			
	COURT MET PERSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J. D. G. MORTON, JUDGE ETC.		
-	State of Tennessee)		
1	Vs. Driving Drunk.		
-	Charlie Kooens) It is ordered by the Court that an Alia	as be issued for the defendant	
-	and the case be continued to next term.		
	State of Tennessee		
	Vs. Age Consent.		
	Patterson Murphree) It is ordered by the Court that an Alia	as be issued for the defendant	
	and the case vbe continued to next term.		
	State of Tennessee)		
	Va. A.& B. with intent to kill.		
	Britton Townsend It is ordered by the Court that an Alice	as be issued for the defendant	
	and the case be continued to next term.		
	State of Tennessee)		
	Vs. Carrying a Pistol.		
	John Wilson This case is continued on agreement to	plead guilty at the next term	
	of this court.		
	With the second		
	State of Tennessee)		
	Vs. Drunkness.		
	Grady Goodman In this case came the Attorney General	Pro tem for the state, and it	
	appearing to the court, that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for		
	the offense of Drunkness, and the said defendant, was arrested and entered into bond with		
	G.C.Hunnery, Jessie Oatsuall, J.G. Hunnery, B.W. Warren, Vallis Earp, C.P. Saunders, as his suret:		
which bonds is in the words and figures following towit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys Coun			
We Grady Goodman agree to pay to the state of Tennessee, Two Hunderd Fifty Dollars unless the			
	said Grady Goodman appear at the next term of circuit court of Humphrays county, to be held		
	at the Court house in the town of Waverly, on Srd. Monday in August 1932 on Tuesday of said		
	term to answer the State of Tennessee, for the offense of Brunknessingnd do not depart the		
	court without leave.		
		Grady Goodman Principal G.C. Nunnery Surety Jessie Oatsuall Surety J.G. Nunnery Surety	

Surety Vallie Earp C. P. Sanders

Approved

.....Sheriff

And the defendant Grady Goodman being solmnely called to come into open court, toanswer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of Drunkness came not but madedefault and said G.C. Nunnery Jessie Oatsuall, J.G. Nunnery, B.W. Warren, Vallie Earp and C.P. Sanders, were also called into open court and bring with them the body of the said Grady Goodman according to the tenor and effect of their said bond, came not but made default, neither came the defendant Grady Goodman

It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant Grady Goodman and G.C. Munnery,
Jessie Oatsuall, J.G. Nunnery, B. W. Warren, Vallie Earpand C.P. Sandersfor their said default
do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee, the wabd sum of Two Hundred Fity Dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds. And it is further ordered by the court
SGI.Fa. be issued to the said defendant and his said sureties requiring them to appear at the
next term of this court, and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made
final,

And further that Alias Cabias be issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee

Va.

Drunk ness

Easter Peebles In this case came the Attorney General Pro tem upon the part of the State, the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged. Whereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the costs, for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and costs he will be confined in the County Jail or Work House until he pay or work out all of said fine and Costs.

ALIAS CASES

It is ordered by the Court that an Alias be issues for the following defendants, State Vs. Britton Townsend, Eugene Petty, Fred Spencer et al., G.O.Cox.

State of Tennessee)

Va.

Grady Turner

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant insperson and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arreigned on said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Gorden Pullen, John James, Jim Woods F.C.Madden, Bob Bolthron, Monroe Warren, T.L.Parnell, W.M.Lane, W.A.Potter, Ed Lewis, Alden Poiner & Fred Marrs, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upan their oath do say that they cannot agree upon a verdict in this case.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that a mistrial be entered in this case and the jury be discharged and the case continued until the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Profane Language

Lela Rollinge
In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and pleads guilty as charged. Thereupon the Court assesses her penalty and sayshe shall pay a fine of Five Dollars togather with all the costs.

Thence comes into open court C.L. Wall & C.E. Simpson and entered their names as sureties for all of said fine and costs for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Driving Drunk

R.L.Stockard

This case is continued on account of illness of defendant.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys Coutny, August Term 9th day of August 1932.

This day the Grand Jury came into open Court in a body andpresents the following indictmants and presentments.

One against Will Chance, .B.D. which indictment im in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennesses Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932.

The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennesses, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, mand charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their cath aforesaid, present that Will Chance heretofore to wit, on the 8th day of July 1932 in said County and State unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the states and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennesses.

August Term 1932, The State Vs. Will Chance B.D. Subpoena for the State Walter McNeil,

J.S. Westbrook and D.B. McCann, W.C. Howell Pro tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman of Grand Jury,

W.H. May, Dink Mcsley, W.E. Mayberry, W.O. Hemby, J. W. Dodd, A. W. Rice, W. W. Gatlin, J.D. Hooper,

John Holland, J.R. Williams & Walter Brogn; Emmit May,

One against Floyd Livingston Incest which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D.,1932.

The Grand Juors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and Statesfore said, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Floyd Livingston heretofore, to wit, on the 8th day of November 1931 in asid County and State, unlawfully and feloniously had carnal knowledge of one, Margaret Livingston, a female, the said Margrett Livingston being the daughter of the said Floyd Livingston, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state of Tennessee.

August Term, 1932 The State Vs. Floyd Livingston Incest Walter McNeil Subposent for the State Walter Mcneil, Mrs.M. Austin, Mrs. C.C. Cable, Mrs. Carrie Byrn, Mrs. W. C. Hicks? Margrett Livingston Dr. W. W. Slayden. Witnessess Swornby me on this indictment before the Grand Jury August Term 1932 R. E. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. W. C. Howell Attorney General Pro Tem A TRUE BIEL R. H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Melvin Rollins B.D. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932.

The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Melvin Roll ins heretofore, to wit, on the 31st day of July 1932, in said County and State unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the scatue and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, furtherpresent that the said Melvin Rollins on the day and year aforesaid, unlawfully did transport from one point to another in this State, intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statue and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.

August Termile 32, The State Vs. Melvin Rollins, B.D. Subpoens for the State J.W.Curtis, Clarence

Felts.Robert L. Hogan and Dave R. Marable, W. C. Howell Attorney General Protem, ATrue Bill R. H.

McKeel, Foreman Of Grand Jury, W.H.May, Dink Mosley, W.E. Mayberry, W.O.Hemby, J.W.Dodd, A.W. Rice, W.W.Gatlin, J.D.Hooper, John Holland, nJ.R.Williams, Walter Brown and Emmitt May.

One against Calvin Crafton Passing Forged Check, which indictment is in the words and figures asfollows to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932

The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Calvin Crafton & Lester Davis heretofore, to wit, on the 30th day of July 1932 in said County and State, unlawfully, knowingly, fraudulently, feloniously and with intent to detrand did offer and pass to the said aforesaid, a certian forged check being a forgery and the said

Calvin Crafton and Lester Davis, at the time, knowing the same to be a forgery, intending to defraud the said the check so forged and offord to be passed as aforesaid being in the words and figures as follows:-

Waverly, Tenn. July 23,1932

Farmers & Merchants Bank.

Pay to the order of James Mannon \$21.15

Twenty one & 15/100

For

Dollar

Delia W. Sykes.

Said passing of said instrument being contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C.Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, August Term, 1932

THE STATE Vs. Calvin Crafton and Leater Davis, Passing forged check, Ed Whitfield, prosecutor SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: Ed Whitfield Witnesses sworn by me on this inditument before the Grand Jury August Term, 1932 R.H.McKell, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C.Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem. A TRUE BILL R.H.McKell, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against G.O.Cox, Driving Drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys county, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1932

The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their cath aforesaid, presents that G.O.Cox heretofore, to wit, on the 4th day of July 1932, in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the Public Highways of said State and County while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, contrary to the Statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Pennessee. W.C.Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, August Term, 1932 THE STATE Va. 00.Cox, Driving Drunk J.S. Westbrook, Prosecutor SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE:

J.S. Westbrook, J. Timathis, Witnessee sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury August Term, 1932 K.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE

BILL, R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury,

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

G.O.Cox In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment unarked not a true bill it is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee) '

V.B.

" Carrying a Pistol

Grady Turner

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arreigned on said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wis: Gorden Pullen, John James, Jim Woods, F.O.Madden, Bob Bolthrop, Monroe Warren, T.L.Painell, W.M.Lane, W.A.Potter, Ed Lewis, Alden Poiner & Fred Marrs, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guiltyse charged in the indictment and say he shell pay a fine of Fifty Dollars togather with all the cost of this cause, and shall be confined in the County Jail for a period of Sinky days. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and debreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pays a fine of fifty dollars and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

C.W.Daniel)

In the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, Tenn.

August term 1932.

J.G.Rains et al.)
In this case comes the plaintiff and dismisses his suit without prejudice;
and the cost of the case are adjudged against the said plaintiff the said C.Q.Daniel, for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee)

Ve.

Driving Drunk.

In this case some the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State and withe defendant in person, who, being duly charged and arreigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; Gorden Pullen, John James, Jim Woods, F.C. Madden, Bob Bolthrop, Monroe Warren, T.L. Parnell, W.M.Lane, W.A. Potter, Ed Lewis, Alden Poiner & Fred Marrs, who, being duly elected, it tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Thirty days and also a fine of Ten Pollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of Ten Dollars and will serve a term of Thirty days in Jail in Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennesses, and will pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

It is further ordered by the Court, that the jail sentence be suspended until the next term of this court upon the defendant paying or securing said fine and costs, It is further ordered by the Court, that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of Six months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

Thence came into open court the defendant C.R.Saunders and paid to the clerk of this court all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee)

Va. 4.1.3t

B.D.

W.H.Stokes

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in rerson, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not kuilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys

County, to wit; Gorden Pullen, John James, Jim Woods, F.C.Madden, Bob Bolthrop, Monroe Warren, T.L.Parnell, W.M.Lane, W.A.Potter, Ed Lewis, Alden Poiner & Ezel Merrs, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issues joined according to law, who, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say

they find the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant W.H.Stokes, go

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant w.n.Stokes, a hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Driving Drunk.

T.S.Holmes

This case is continued on account of absence of the defendant.

Va. Will On moa

State of Tennessee Va. Driving Drunk. Ed Rhegness In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem. for the State and the defendant in verson, and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; Gorden Pullen, John James, Jim Woods, F.C. Madden, Bob Bolthrop, Monroe Warren, T.L. Parnell, W.M. Lane, W.A. Pooter, Ed Lewis, Alden Poiner & Fred Marrs, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon thear cath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Thirty days jail and also a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of Tan Dollars and will serve a term of Thirty days in jail in Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee, and will pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue. Vlt is further ordered by the Court, that the iail sentence be suspended until the next term of this Court on paying or securing said fine and costs. It is also further ordered by the Court, that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of Six months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of Four months. Thence came into open court the defendant Ed Rhegness, and paid to the clerk of this court all of said fine and costs. State of Tennagges) for the defendant George Mosley; This case is continued or agreement/to plead guilty at the next term of this court. State of Tennessee) Age Consent. Charlie Tilson This case is continued on account of illness of the defendant, State of Tennessee Richard Rowleton This case is continued on agreement for the defendant tomplead guilty at the next term of this court. State of Tennessee) Drunkness. Mande Hughey This case is continued on agreement for the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court. State of Tennessee Vs., Assault with intent to committ murder in first degree. W. H. Stokes This case is continued on application of the defendant.

State of Tennessee	B, D.		
Vs. '	B. D.		
Will Chance	This case is continued on agreement	for the defendant to plead guilty a	
the next term of th	nis courst		
State of Tennessee)	1.		
Va.	Incest.		
State of Tennessee Vs. Floyd Livingston	by State This case dis continued/on account of	absence of Margarett Livingston.	
State of Tennessee)			
Va. {	B.D.		
Melvin Rolline	B.D. In this case came the Attorney Gener	ral, Pro Tem for the State and the	
defendant in person, and by attorney who being duly charged and appropriately			

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State and the defendant in person, and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on eaid indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a Jury of good and lawful, men of Humphreve County, To wit, Gorden Pullen, John James, Jim Woods, F.C.Madden, Bob Bolthrop, Monroe Warren, T.L.Parnell, Walter Lewis, W.A.Potter, Ed Lewis, Alden Poiner & Fred Morrs, who, being duly elected, tried and swarn, according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Sixty days in Jail and also a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

It is therfore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of One Hundred Dollars and will serv a term of Sixty days in Jail in Waverly, Humphreus County, Tennessee, and will pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue. It is further ordered by the Court, that the jail sentence be suspended on paying orrsecuring fine and costs and during good behavior.

State of Temnesses Forged Check.

Calvin Crafton &

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendants in cerson and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and layful men of Humphreys County, to wit; Gorden Pullen, John James, Jim Woods, F.C. Madden, Bob Bolthrop, Monroe Warren, T.L. Parnell, W.H. Lane, W.A. Potter, Ed Lewis, Aldan Poiner, & Fred Marrs, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, Asiter hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendants guilty of Attempt to committ a felony as charged in the indictment and assess and fix their punishment at Thirty days in Jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Courtthat for the offense as found by the jury the defendants be confined in jail in Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee, for Thirty days and shat beerapsy: the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

The term of confinement in this case as to Lester Davis to run concurrently with a sentence haracters pronounced against this defendant at this term of court for the offense of Larceny.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 0'Clock.

for marken judge.

COURT MET PERSOANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE ETC.

This day the grand Jury came into open court in a body and presents the following indictments and presentments;

One against Ehmer Macklin, B.D. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to

wit; State of Tennessee Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Sumpreys and State aforesaid, upon their cath aforesaid present that Elmer Nacklin heretofore, to wit on the 24 day of July 1932 in asid County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. August Term, 1932 The State Vs. Elmer Macklin B.D. Subpouna for the Saase Roy Pinston T.R. Westbrook, W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro Tem. A True Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.H. May, W.E. Mayberry, W. O'Hemby, J. W. Dodd, A. W. Rice W. W. Gallin, J. W. Hooper, John Holland J. R. Williams, Walter Brown and Emmit May. One arrainst Leon Runion et al. Rape which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court. A.D. 1932. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elecated, empaneled, sworn, and charged to in quire for the body of the County Of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid. present that Leon Runions and Hub Cooley heretofore, to wit, on the 18 day of May 1932 , in said County and State, unlawfully and feloniously didthave carnel knowledge of one, Elsie Mas Pigrim, a female over twelve years of age, forcibly and against her will contrary to the statue and against the peace and dingnity of the State of Tennessee, And the Grand Jurors aforsaid, upon their cath aforesaid further present that the said Leon Runions and Hub Cooley, on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously an make an assault and voattery upon one, Elsie Kas Pigrim, afemale over twelve years of age, with intent, forcibly and against her will, to have unlawful carnal knowledge of her, the said Elsie Mas Pigrim, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C.Howell Attorney General, Pro tem, Magust Term 1932 The State Vs. Leon Ruion & Hub Cooley, Rape B.O. Pigrim Prosecutor Subpoena for the State B.O. Pigrim, Elsie Mae Pigrim and Dr. Chas. Davis. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Juny August Term. 1932 .R.H.McKeel forewan Grand Jury, W.C.Howell Attorney General, Pro Tem, Atrue Bill R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Ray Patterson, Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures asfollows to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932, The Grand Jurors for the Staws of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their cath aforesaid, present that Ray Paterson of said County heretofore, to wit, on the 18th day of July 1932, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and Felonicusly did steal, take and carry away two automobile tires, two inner tubes for automobile tires and two automobile rims of the value of Twentyfive Dollars the property of Mrs. J.P.Houseman of said County, then and there being found, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and gignity of the State of Tennessee, W.C.Howell Attorney General Pro tem.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Ray Patterson of said County, on the day and year aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, unlamfully and feloniously did receive, buy, conscal, and aid in conscaling two automobile tires, two inner tubes for automobilesasings, and two automobile rims of the value of Twentyfive Dollars, the property of Mrs. J.P. Houseman of said County, before then feloniously stolen, take taken and carried away by someome, to the grand Jury unknown he the said Ray Patterson them

and those knowing the said two automobile scasings two inner tubes for automobile casings, and two automobile rims to have feloniously stolen, taken, and carried away, and he she said Ray Pattterson intending then and there fraudulently to deprive the owner thereof, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and gignity of the State of Tennessee, W.C.Howell Attorney General pro tem, August Term, 1932 The State Ray Patterson, Larceny J.P.Houseman Prosecutor Subpoens for the State J.P.Houseman, Will Hapier, Henry Poss; Marvin Gill, Howard Horner, witnesses sworn by me on this sadiotment before the Grand Jury August Term 1932 R.H.MoKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C.Howell, Attorney General pro Tem ATrus Bill R? H.MoKeel Foreman Grand Jury
One against Tom Larkins, Rape which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit;

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term, of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and chargeed to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Tom Larkin heretofore, to wit, on the 27th day of July 1952, in said County and State, unlawfully and feloniously did have carnal knowledge on one, Nannie Mae Braden, a female over twelve years of age, forcibly and against her will contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tenneasee, W.C . Howell Attorney General Pro tem. August Term, 1932 The State Vs. Tom Larkin Rape Pert Braden prosecutor, Subpoena for the state Bert Braden . Mrs. Bert Braden , Nealey Hardin, Henry Jackson, Nannie Mae Braden, Witnesses sworn by me on this indict ment before the Grand Jury August Term 1932, R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury . W. C. Howell Attorney General Por tem A TRue Bill R. H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. One against Virgil Donehue, Murder, which indiscment is in the words and figures as follows to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys Cou ty, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, daly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Vergil Donehue heretofore, to wit, on the 3rd day of May 1932 in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and maliciously made an assault upon the body of one D.P. Wheeler with an Auto truck inflicting deep, danderous, and mortal wounds, from and on account of which he, the said D.P. Wheeler died, and so the Grand Gurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present and say that the said Vigil Donehue on the day and year aforesaid, by the means and in the manner aforesaid, and in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premaditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, did kill and murder him, the said D.P. Wheeler and commit the crime of murder in the first degree, to the evil example of all other likewise offunding, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee, W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro tem August Term 1932 The Same Vs. Virgil Donahue, Mrs. D.P. Wheeler Prosecutor , Subpoena for The State: Mrs. D.P. Wheeler, Claudie Tinnell, J.R. Traylor, DR. J.Y. Wall, J.S. Bowen, Grady Spann, Emmit Collins, W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro Tom. Witnesses Sworn by me to testify before t the Grand Jury upon this in indictment at August Term, 1932 R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. v

One against O.C.Berryman, A.B. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforeasid, upon their oath aforesaid present that O.C. Berryman, of said County heretofore, to wit, on the 30th day of July 1932 with force and arms in the County aforesaid, unlawfully did make an assault upon the body of one Tolley Berryman and him, the said Tolley Berryman he, the said O.C.Berryman, then and there did cruelly

wound, bruise, and otherwise maltreat, against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennes W. C. Howell Attorney General pro tem. August Tor., 1932 The State Vs. O. C. Berryman, Assault and Battery, Tolley Berryman Prosecutor. Shappens for the state Tolley Berryman and Frank Hegwood. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury. August Term 1932 R.H.Mokeel Foreman Grand Jury W.C.Howell Attorney General pro tem A TRUE BILL R.H? Mokeel

One against John Berryman, A.B. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August rerm of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932. The Grand jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid present that John Berryman of said County, hretofore, on to wit, on the 30th day of July 1932 with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully did make an assault upon the b ody of de one Toll ey Berryman, and him, the said Tolley Berrymanhe, whe said John Berryman, then and three these did cruelly beat, wound, brise, and otherwise maltreat, against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee, W.C. Howell Attorney General, Pro tem, August Term, 1932, The State Vs. John Berryman, Assault and Battery, Tolley Berryman Prosecutor. Subpoena for the State Tolley Berryman, John Logan, and Myrtle Berryman, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, August Term, 1932 R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C.Howell Attorney General Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Leater Davis, Larceny, which indictment is inthe words and figures as follows to wit; State of Tennessee, Humsphreys County, August Temm of Circcuit Court, A.D. 1932. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire beby of the County of Humphre's and State aforesaids upon their oath aforesaid, present that Lester Davis of said County, heretofore, to wit, on the 13th day of April 1932, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feroniously did steal, take and carry away Automobile battery of the value of Three Dollars, the property of Porte Bice of said County, then and there being found contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee , W.C. Howell Attogues General pro tem. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their cath aforesaid, do further present that the said Lester Davis of said Couny on the day and year aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and felenmously stolen, taken and carried away by some one, to the Grand Jury unkown, he the said Lester Davis then and there knowing the said Automobile battery to ha vebeen feloniously stolen, taken away, and he the-said Lester Davis intending then and there fraudently to deprive the owner theseof, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the pease and dignity of the State of Tennessee W.C. Howell Attorney General pro tem. Augut Term, 1932, The State Vs.Legter Javis , Larceny, Porter Rice Prosecutor, Subpoens for the state Porter Rice. G. W. Smith, W. H. Crockett, Frank Ingram, and Henry Smith, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury August Term, 1952 R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C.Howell, Attorney General, A TRUE BILL R.H.MoKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against J.A. Adams, Embezzlement, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, Augent Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1932, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, Duly elected, emaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that J.A.Adams heretofore, to wit on the 17th day of February 1932 in said County and State being executor of the last will and testament of W.M.Adams, deceased, holding trust funds of the estate of said W.M.Adams estate, belonging to Willie Mas (Adams) Hopper to the amount of Three Hundred Eighty-four Dollars and one and one half Cents did unlawfully, feloneously and willfully convert same to his own use and benfit the same being assets of the estate of Track

W.M.Adams deceased, aforegaid for which he is executor and on final settlement has failed to pay to the aforesaid Willie Mai (Adams) Hooper said funds so intrusted to and due from him as executor aforesaid to the said Willie Mai (Adams) Hooper as an heir of said W.M. Adams under the aforesaid last will and testament, and same are not paid and cannot be collected by due process of law, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, August Term, 1932 THE STATE Vs. J.A. Adams, Embezzlement, Willie Mai(Adems)Hooper, Prosecutor SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: Willie Mai(Adams) Hooper, Mrs. Azzie Lee McConnell. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Sux August Term, 1932 R.H.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury,
Jury,/W.G.Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL. R.H.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Tom Wright, Larceny which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court. A.D. 1932 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empameled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their cath aforesaid, presents that Tom Wright heretofore, to wit, on the 15th day of May 1932., in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did steal, take and carry away 814 yards wire fencing of the value of Ten Dollars, the property of J.L. Smith of said County, then and there being found, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, August Term, 1932. The State Vs. Tom Wright, Larceny, J.L. Smith, Prosecutor, SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE? J.L. Smith. Hurt Gorden, Carl Buchanan, G.F.Gorden, Hohn W.Daniel, Esq.J.R 'nderson and W.J.Field. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, August Ferr, 193., R.H. McKeel, Foreman Gramd Jury. W.C.Howell, Attorney General pRo tem A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury One against Ida Parnell, A.B. Subpoena for the State, Bertha Howe, Pauline Howe, Elk McNetl, Ruth Wright, and Mrs. Elk MoNiel.

One Augainst Ham Parks, Drunkness SUPOENA FOR THE STATE, Sam Scott, Dick Daniel. T.R. Westbrook and George Bowman.

REPORT OF THE GRAND LITRY

We the members of the Grand Jury at the Aug ust Term 1952 of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, beg leave to submit the following report to your Monor. We have dilligently inquired and true presentment made of all offenses given us in charge by your Honor or otherwise brought to our knowledge. We have exa mined the County Jail and Poor House and find the prisoners and inmates well fed and cared for. We further recommend that the Jail be so fapaned as to give more room for the prisoners. We have examined all bonds required to be examined by us and find them properly executed and good and solvent for the several amounts thereof and now having compaited of our laboms, we respectfully ask to be discharged for the Term. R.H.McKeel Foreman, Emit May, W.E.Mayberry, John Holloran, J.W.Dodd, A.W.Rice, J.D.Hooper, Dink Mceley, W.H.Gatlin, J.R. Williams, W.H.May, Oce Hemby and Walter Brown.

State of Tennesese)

Assault to Murder

Virgil Donahue

Vs.

In this cause comes the Attorney General pro tem for the State and the defendant inperson and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arrigned on said indictment, pleads not gailty. Thereupon to try the issae joined, came a jury of goog and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit, Alden Paine, Jim Thompson, Harris Collier T. W. Parnell Johnie Carter John James, T.D. Story, G.G. Jarrell, Fred Marrs, Ed Lewis, Dee Curle and Tom Fortner who, being duly elected, tried and seorn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers D. A. Burch J. R. Traylor, who had oreviously been legally sworn to attend them, and the proof not being completed said jury was respited by the court until tomorrow morning ateight o'clock and said jury retiesd in charge of their sworn officers aforesaid.

State of Tennessee Va.

Sci Fe.

Jack Wiight & Chas. Norman

In this case came the defendants in their own proper person, and the

Attorney General pro tem for the State, when the case came on to be and was heard by the court upon the Sci Fa. return of the Sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendant, and the motion of the defendantto set aside the forfeture entered against them, when the Count after hearing and fully considering the same is pleaded to and does set aside forfeture entered at the April Term 1932 of the Court, aside but adjudged the cost of the forfeiture against defendants. It is therefore considered by the court that the forfeituer taken and entered against defendants be and the same is set aside at defendan's cost, and that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant all the cost adrueing by reason of the taking and setting aside asid forfettiure for all of which lay-execution issue

State of Tennesses)

Larceny.

John Curtis

In this case came the Attorney General Pro tem for the Same and the defendat in person who being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment pleads not gailty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury or good and lawful man of Humhreys County to wit, Gorden Pullen, John James, Jam Woods, F.C. Madden, Bob Bolthorp, Monroe Warren, T.L. Parnell. W.M.Lane, W.A. Potter, ED Lewis, Alden Painer, and Fred Marrs, who, being duly elected, tried a nd sworn to well and truly try the issue joined according to law who after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant John Curtis go hence without day.

STREE of Tennessee

Clyde Anderson, Clara Williams, Jack Wright, & maymond Riggs

H.B.& Larcenv.

In this case came the Attorney General Pro tem, for the State and states to the court what he desired to prosetue the case no further.

Lit is therefore ordered , adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendants be dismissed

and go hence w ithout day

Humphreys County Minutes Circuit Court, August Term 10th day of Augeut 1932

State of Tennessee) Va.

Larcenv.

O. D. Turner

In this case came the Attorney General pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the Court, that this desendant was indicted at a for mer term of this court the offense of larceny and the defendant was arrested and entered into bond with J.F. Cunningham and C. A. Cunninghamass his sureties, which bond is in the cwords and figuers as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, we, O.D.Turner agree to pay to the State of Tennessee, Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars unless the said O.D. Turner appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of Humphrets County, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly, on the 2nd December 1932 of said term to answer the State of Tennessee, for barceny and do depart the Court withouttleave.

> O. D. Turner, Principal, J.F. Cunningham Surety, C. A. Cunningham, Surety,

havorag A

And the defendant O.D.Turner being solemnly called to come into opencourts and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of Larceny came not but made default and said F. J. Cunningham and C.A. Cunningham were also called to come into open court and bring with them the bogy of the said O.C. Turner accoring to the tenor and affect of their said bond, came not but made default, neither came the defendant O.C. Turner or his said a reties but made default, It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant 0.D. Turner and J.F. Cunningham and C.S. Cunningham for their said default do forfeit and pay upto the State of Tennessee, the said sum of Two Hundssedand Fifty Dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds and it is

This-----dayof------dayof------deputy.

further ordered by the court that Soi. Fa. be issued to the defendant and his said sucrties regard requireing them to appear at the next term of this court, and show cause if any they have this judgment should not be made final. And further that Alias Capias be issued for the defendant

State of Tennessee

Va.

Johnie Jackson

In this case came the Attorney General pro tem for the State, and if appearing to the Court, that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of Larceny and the defendant was arrested and entered into bond with J.F. Cunningham J.C. Worley as his sureties, which bond is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, we, Johnie Jaskson agree to pay to the State Of Tennessee Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars unless the said Johnie Jackson appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, to be held at the Courthouse inthe town of Waverly, on 2nd Monday in December 1932 of said term to answer the State of Tennessee, for barneny and do not depart the fount with out leave

Johnie Jackson, Principal, J.F. Cunningham Surety, J. C. Worley Sure tv.

Approved

-----Sheriff

Larceny.

This-----day of-----1932--by------dayuty. And the defendant Johnie Jackson being solmnly called to come into open Court, and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of Larceny came not but made default and and Joka Cuntingham and J.C. Worley were also called to come into open court and bring with them the body of daid Johnie Jackson according to the tenor and affect of their said bond, came not but made default,

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 10th day of August 1932.

neither came the defendant Johnie Jacks on or his said sureties but made default. It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant Johnie Jackson and J.F. Cunningham and J.C. Worley for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee, the asid sum of Two Hundred and Fifhy Dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds and it is further ordered by the court that Sci. Fa. be fesued to the defendant and his said sureties requirang them to appear at the next term of this court, and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final.

and further that Ali as Capiasbe issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee)

Age Consent

Ine Thompson

This case is continued until the next term of this court by the defendant.

State of Tennagee

Age Consent

Fred Wills

In this cause comes the Attorney General Pro tem for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly chargedand arranged on said indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreye County, to wit, alden Painer, Paul Johnson, H.MVaden, Boll Bolthrop, T.L. Parnell, Milt Petty, Dick Warden, Monroe Warren, Fred Marrs, Charlie Simmons, F. C. Madden and Gorden Pullen, who, being duly elected, triet and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers Joe Traylor and Geo. Wyatt, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof argument of (Junsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say they find the defendan not guilty

It is therefore ordered accordinged and decreed by the court that the defendant Fred Wills go hence without day.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8:00 0'Clock.

Dono Judge.

Minutes Circuit Court Humphreys County, August Term 11 day of August 1932.

State of Tennessee)

Assault to Murder.

Virgil Donahue

In this cause comes again the Attorney General Protem for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, when the jury, heretofore selected and sworn in this cause, to wit, Alden Paine, Jim Thompson, Harris Collier, T.U. Parnell, Johnie Carter, John James, T.D. Story, G.G. Jarrell 1 Fred Marrs, Ed Lewis, Dee Curle, and Tom Fortner, having the returned into open coust in charge of their sworn officers D.A.Burch and J.R.Traylor, and having resumed consideration of this cause, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of an

COURT MET PERSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G.MORTON? JUDGE. ETC.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that, for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant pay afine of fifty dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

Whereupon the defendant gave notice of a motion for anew trial and this cause passed pending the filing and hearing of said motion.

State of Tennessee)

Larceny.

assault and Battery as charged in the indictment.

Lester Davis In this cause comes the Atvorney General, protem for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and erraigned on asid indictment pleads guilty. Therupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit, Gorden Pullen, John James, Jim Woods, F. C. Madden, Bob Bolthrop, Monroe Warren, F.L. Parnell, W.M. Lane, W.A. Potter, Ed Lewis, Alden Painer and Fred Marrs, who, being duly legally, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn o officers D.C.Bolthrop and T.R. Westbrook, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of petit largenyass charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at not less than one year nor more than one year in the penitentiary

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that, for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be confined in the state penitentiary at Nashville. Tenressee, at hard labor for a period of not less than one year nor more than one year and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

Saate of Tennessee)

O.C.Berryman &

1: & B. .

These two cases are continued by the State.

State of Tennesses

Rape.

Tom Larkin

This case is continued by the defendant until next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Wurder.

Vigil Donahue

In this cause comes the Attorney General, pro tem, for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit, M. W. Woods, Monroe Warren, Jim Moran, Luther King, Walter Anderson, A. W. Work, Charlie Buchanan, Fred Hubbs, Frank Baggett, Will Lattimore, Monroe Holland and Jim Woods, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn efficers, George Wyatt and T.R. Weatbrook who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, and the proof notwing completed said jury was resisted by the court until tomorrow morning at 80 O'Clock and said jury retired in charge of their sworn officers aforesaid.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8:0'Clock.

Judge.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 12 day of August 1932.

COURT MET PERSUART TO ADJUGRNMENT PRESENT END PRESIDING THE HON. J. D. G. MORTON, JUDGE ETC.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. .

Assault to Murder

Virgi) Danahua

In this cause comes again the Attorney General Pro tem, for the state end the dafandant impresson and by attorney, when the motion for a new trial, herefore filed in this cause, come on to be heard by the court, and which notion is as follows:

State of Tennessee)

Va.
Virgil Domahue

In the Circuit Court, at Waverly, Tennessee, August Term, 1952.

MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL

Comes the defendant and moves the court for a new trial in this case and for grounds thereof, s ays:-

First

The verdict of the jury in finding the defendant guilty of assault and battery under the charge of the court, is against the weight of the testimony introduced in the case, and in favor of theinnocence of the defendant.

Second.

The verdict of the Jury is against the charge of the court on the question of assault and the battery, under the indictment in this case, there being not intent to do harm to the prosecutrix shown, and no facts from which intent could be inferred under the proof in the case. If at part of the Court's charge on the subject of assault and battery under the indictment in this case being assigned in the offense of assault and battery under the indictment in this case being assigned in the offense of assault and battery; committed when one person unlawfully assaults, or strikes another, not intending to kill him—and, if you find that the defendant in Humphreys County, unlawfully made on assault upon or struck Mrs. Kate Stewart with a truck, not intending to kill her, you will find him guilty of assault and battery, and so say in your verdict, and if you so find, and think the fine should be more than \$\$0.00, myou will assess the fine at some amount more than \$50.00 and less than \$500.00, and if the fine should be, in your opinion \$50.00 or less, the Court will assass the fine — and you need not assess the punishment at all in your verdict.

There cannot be an assault and battery, unless there is an assault - for the battery is merely the carrying out of the assault, and an assault is defined in law, as an attempt, with force and violence, with the present apparent means of carrying it out, to do physical injury to another - the essential thing in assault and battery, when there is an actual striking, is the intent to do harm - and, unless there is an intent to do harm shown, beyond a reasonable doubt, there can be no assault andbattery - In fact, unless there is an intent to do harm, shown beyond a reasonable boubt, there can be no conviction of any offense, under the indictment, for an assault is necessary element of every offense charged in the indictment.* Filed 11th day of Aug., 1952, L.C.Bohanan, Clerk.

and the same being heard by the court it is in all things over-ruled. To which action of the

and the same being heard by the court it is in all things over-ruled. To which action of the court the defendant excepts. Thereupon the defendant moved in arrest of judgment, which motion is like wiseover -ruled by the court and to which action of the court the defendant excepts. Thereupon the defendant prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court at Nachville , Tenn., which appeal is granted and the defendant is allowed thirty days in which to prepare and file his bill of exception. The defendant willexexecute an appearance bond, as required by law,

in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars forbig appearancebefore the Supreme Court at Nashville. Tennessee, and in the event of his failure he will be held in custody of the sheriff of Human Humpherva County to await the orders of the court.

State of Tennessee) Vs.

Larcany.

This case is no ntinued by the defendant until the next term of this court

State of Tennessee) Va.

Murder.

Vigil Donahue

Ray Patterson

In this cause comes again the Attorney General pro tem. for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, when the jury heretofore selected and sworn in this ca cause, to wir. W.M. Woods, Monroe Warren, Jim moran, Luther King, Walter Anderson, A.W. Work, Charlie Buchanan, Fred Hubbs, Frank Bassett, Will Latimore, Monroe Holland and Jim Woods, having feturned into open court in charge of their sworn officers George Wyatt and T.R. Westbrook, and having resumed consideration of this cause, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and charge of thecourt, vyon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of involentary manslaughter as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at one year in the penitentiary, where upen the defendant gave notice of the motion of a new trial and this cause is passed pending the filing and hearing of said motion.

Lola Bella Hickal George Hicks

In the Circuit Court, at Waverly, Tennessee.

PRO CONFESSO DECREE.

In this cause, on motion of the petitioner, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant. George Hicks, has been regularly served with subpoens to answer the petition in said cause, and is properly before the Court, and have failed to appear and make any defense to said petition within the time required by law; it is ordered by the Court that has to the defendant, George Hicks, the petition will be teken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

And this cause, coming on further to be heard before his Honor, J.D.G.Morton, Judge, upon the petition of Lola Belle Micks, and the order-heretofore taken in said cause for pro confesse, and the oral testimony of witnesses taken in open Court.

And it is satisfactonily appeared to the Court from the proof that the facts charged in the petition are trucy that the defendant has willfully deserted the complainant, without reasonable cause, for more than two whole years before the filing of the petition as charged, and that he had refused and neglected to provide for the petitioner, according to his means. and had turned her out of doors ..

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the petitioner and the defendant, be absolutely and forever dissolved, and the petitioner be vested with all the rights of an unmarried woman, and that her maiden name, Lola Belle Breeden, be restored to her, and that the defendant pay the cost of this cause. for which kar execution may issue. The Court so orders, adjudges and decrees.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 12th day of August 1932.

Anne F. Harris) Vs.

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennesses

J.C. Harria

ORDER PRO CONFESSO

In this cause, on motion of complainant, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant, J.C. Harris, has been duly served with subpoens to answer the complainants bill and that he has failed to appear and make defenge to said bill, muithin the time required by law; it is ordered that as to him the bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parts, DECREE FOR DIVORCE

This cause came on further to be heard on th's day by the Court upon the entire record in the cause, the complainants bill the summons and the sheriff's return thereon, and the order pro confesso heretofore entered against the defendant, and the oral testimony of the witnesses examined in open court.

And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from the proof that the facts charged in the bill were true: that the defendant contracted the habit of drinking during the past three or four years, which habit he did not have at the time nor prior to the date of their marriage; that the defendant treated complainant in a manner so oruel and inhuman as to force her to withdraw from him, the defendant striking the complainant on numerous occasions, and especially on the occasion of their final separation, forcing complainant to withdraw from defendant; that it is not proper nor safe for complainant to longer cohabit with the defendant and be under his dominion and control. It appears to the Court that the complainant gave the defendant no just cause or excuse for his said misconduct, and has condoned the same.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony aubsisting between the complainant and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that the complainant be vested with all the rights of an unmarried woman.

And it further appearing to the Court that the complainant and defendant have reached an agreement out of court whereby the property rights of each, and the custody of their three children, are settled, which agreement is in the words and figures as follows:

AGREEMENT

This agreement and contract entered into by and between James C. Harris, and Anne F. Harris on this the 1st day of August 1832, witnesseth:

That the terms and stipulations of this contract is a full and complete settlement of the property rights of each, and settlement of the custody of the children of the said parties. It is agreed that the said Anne F. Harris will retain, keep and hold the custody and control of their daughter, Anne Caldwell Harris; and that the said J.C. Harris will pay to the Said Anne F. Harris the sum of \$15.00 per month, beginning on the 15th, day of August 1932, and each month thereafteron said date; and that the said J.C.Harris will be permitted to see the

That the said J.C. Harris will retain, keep and hold the custody and controll of James C. Harris, Jr., Mary Coleman Harris, and the said Anne F. Harris will be permitted to see the said two children, or either of them at times and intervals convenient to both parties.

said child at reasonable and convenient times of both parties.

Inasmuch as the said Anne F. Harris owns the home on the south side of Main Street in the & east part of Waverly, where the said parties have been living, in her own name, but same being encumbered with a mortgage of approximately Thirty Five Hundred (\$3500.00) dollars. the said J.C. Harris agrees to clear said mortgage as an encumbrance on said property in a manner that is satisfactory to the said Anne F. Harris. And the said J. C. Harris claims no of any kind in said property, or any other property that may be owned by the said Anne F. Harris.

The said J.Q. Harris agrees to clear the said house and lot by the further payment of interest taxes and insurance to date, and also the claim or bill of F.R. Newark for painting the said house, roof etc.

In as much as the said Anne F. Harris owns a one half undivided interest in the Waverly Tire Company property, situated on the corner of Main and Church Streets, and which is encumbered in certain amounts, the said Anne F. Harris agrees to convey what ever interest she may have in said property to the said James G. Harris, and then said Anne F. Harris makes no further claim of any interest or right in and to said property, or any other property that may be owned by the said J. C. Harris.

This is a complete settlement of the material rights of both parties, ending all claims of either party against the other.

It is agreed by both parties hereto that this agreement may be made the decree, or a part of the decree of the court as to the settlement of property rights, and the custody of the children: Witness our hands on this the lat day of August 1932.

Anne F. Harriss

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the above agreement be, and the same is hereby made the inecree of the Court; that said agreement is in full satisfaction of all property rights between the parties; and that the complainants claim for alimony, right of homestead and dower, and any other claim is hereby extinguished; and the defendant's claim or claims against complaimant are also hereby extinguished.

It appearing to the Court than the said three children of the parties are all minors, and of tender years, and this case is retained in court for future orders as to the custody and welfare of said phildren. The defendant will pay the cost of this cause for which execution may

State of Tennessee)

Lewdness

Vs.

Motion to retax cost

In this case came the AttorneyGeneral, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the setate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent, unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof,

so it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Count, that the cost acrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs State of Tennessee)

Mis.d.

Mctiom to retax cost

L.J.Cosen

In this case seme the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clark of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent, unable tompay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the Clark of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs