

the State. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further presents that the said Jim Moore on the day and year aforesaid in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully did transport from one point to another in the State, intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further presents that the said Jim Moore on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesaid unlawfully and feloniously did transport from one point to another within the State, intoxicating liquors in quantity of one gallon or more, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State, December Term, 1931, The State Vs. Jim Moore, R.D. Subpoena for the State; T.R. Westbrooks & Roy Pinkston, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, H.M. Sykes, J.P. Moore, Tom Thompson, C.J. Blessing, J.L. Murphree, Tom Williams, H.C. Daniel, J.W. Fuqua, J.J. Kiley, J.C. Mallard, T.O. Potter & L.J. Parker.

One against John Curtis, Larceny which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that John Curtis heretofore, to wit, on the 26th day of October 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully, fraudulently and feloniously did appropriate to his own use a dog of the value Thirty Five Dollars, the same being the property of R.P. Brown and given into the charge and care of defendant, subject to the immediate orders of the owner, the said R.P. Brown, said appropriation being contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, December Term, 1931, The State Vs. John Curtis, Larceny R.P. Brown Prosecutor, Subpoena for the State: R.P. Brown & Evans Haygood, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury Dec. Term, 1931 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Walter Burch, B.D. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Walter Burch heretofore, to wit, on the 7th day of September 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State, And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further presents that the said Walter Burch on the day and year aforesaid in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully did transport from one point to another in this State, intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State, December Term, 1931 The State Vs. Walter Burch, B.D. Subpoena for the State: T.R. Westbrook, Sam Scott & Esq. J.M. Reeves, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, H.M. Sykes, J.P. Moore, Tom Thompson, C.J. Blessing, J.L. Murphree, Tom Williams, H.C. Daniel, J.W. Fuqua, J.J. Kiley, J.C. Mallard, T.O. Potter & L.J. Parker.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Richard Rowleson B.D.

Richard Rowleson

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill it is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Profanity

Fate Ethridge

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing from the proof introduced in Court that the defendant is dead it is ordered that the case be abated.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Resisting arrest

Fate Ethridge

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing from the proof introduced in Court that the defendant is dead it is ordered that the case be abated.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Profanity

Walter Ethridge

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant, Walter Ethridge.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Resisting Arrest

Walter Ethridge

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant, Walter Ethridge.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B.D.

Tom Gunn

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant, Tom Gunn.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Driving Drunk

Charles Koons

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant, Charles Koons.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Mis.d.

Roy Dacus

In this cause came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute the case no further.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Patterson Murphree

Age Consent

Patterson Murphree

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant, Patterson Murphree.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B.D.

Flodie Merrideth

In this Case it is ordered by the Court, That an Alias be issued for the defendant, Flodie Merrideth.

State of Tennessee)

VS. Profanity

St. Patrie Webb

In this cause upon motion of the Attorney General, Pro Tem this cause is dismissed upon the defendant pay the costs.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the State of Tennessee, recover of the defendant the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

It is also ordered by the Court that no witnesses be allowed attendance except Joe Traylor. And in event of his failure to pay or secure said costs he will be confined in the County Jail until he pay, secure or workout all of said ~~costs~~ costs.

State of Tennessee)

VS. Age Consent

Hosie Chappel

This case is continued on application of the defendant, Hosie Chappell.

State of Tennessee)

VS. Carrying Weapons

Lester Davis

This case is continued on agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

VS. A+B. with intent to kill

Briston Townsend

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee)

VS. Carrying a Pistol

John Wilson

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee)

VS. Drunkenness

Grady Goodman

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee)

VS. Carrying a Pistol

Basel Florence

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State and the defendant in person and pleads guilty as charged, thereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Fifty Dollars together with all the Costs and be confined in the County Jail for the period of thirty days but said jail sentence is suspended during good behavior.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant all of said ~~fine~~ and costs and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he shall be confined in the county jail or work house until he pay, secure or work out all said fine and costs. For which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee)

VS. B. D.

Howard Shanks

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the state, and it appearing to the court, that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of B. D., and the said defendant, was arrested and entered into bond with S.L. Jarrett and N.S. Shanks his ~~sureties~~, which bonds is in the words and figures following to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, We, Howard Shanks. agree to pay to the State of Tennessee, Two Hundred Fifty Dollars unless the said Howard Shanks appear at the next term of circuit court of Humphreys county, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly, on 3rd. Monday in April 1932. on Tuesday of said term to answer the State of Tennessee, for the offense of transporting and possessing liquor, and do not depart the court without leave.

Howard Shanks Principal
S.L. Jarrett Surety
N.S. Shanks Surety

Approved

..... Sheriff

This day of 1931 by Deputy.

And the defendant Howard Shanks being solemnly called to come into open court, to answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of transporting and possessing liquor came not but made default and said S.L. Jarrett, and N.S. Shanks, were also called to come into open court and bring with them the body of the said Howard Shanks according to the tenor and effect of their said bond, came not but made default, neither came the defendant Howard Shanks nor his said sureties but made default.

It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant Howard Shanks and S.L. Jarrett, N.S. Shanks, for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee, the said sum of Two Hundred Fifty Dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds. And it is further ordered by the court S.C. Fa. be issued to the said defendant and his said sureties requiring them to appear at the next term of this court, and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final, And further that Alias Capias be issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee)

VS. B. D.

J. A. Bramlett

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem upon the part of the State, the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged. Whereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of One Hundred Dollars together with all the costs, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and costs he will be confined in the county Jail or Work House until he pay, ^{secure} or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Dr iving Drunk

D.T. Gould

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the state and the defendant in person who being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment plead not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Ollie Brown, Albert Guthrey, Hugh Cannon, Charlie Taylor, Dick Bryant, Tom Pickard, Bob Davis, Sam Bigham, Walter Pruett, Charlie Allison, Lawrence Cullum and J.J. Pace, who being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined according to law who after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charged of the court upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant T. Gould go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Driving Drunk

Grady Berryman

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads guilty as charged. Therefore to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Ollie Brown, Albert Guthrey, Hugh Cannon, Charlie Taylor, Dick Bryant, Tom Pickard, Bob Davis, Sam Bigham, Walter Pruett, Charlie Allison, Lawrence Cullum & J.J. Pace, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and after hearing all the proof argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged, and fix and assess his punishment at thirty days in jail and shall pay a fine of Ten Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be confined in the County Jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee, for a period of Thirty days, and that he pay said fine of Ten Dollars together with all the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in event of his failure to pay all or secure of said fine and costs he will be further confined in the County Jail or Workhouse until he pay, secure or workout all of said fine and costs. It is further ordered by the Court, that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of six months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Driving Drunk

Clarence Felts

In this case comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: Ollie Brown, Albert Guthrey, Hugh Cannon, Charlie Taylor, Dick Bryant, Tom Pickard, Bob Davis, Sam Bigham, Walter Pruett, Charlie Allison, Lawrence Cullum & J.J. Pace, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile in Humphreys County, Tennessee, while under the influence of intoxicating liquors, as charged in the indictment, and fix and assess his fine as the sum of Twenty Five Dollars, and that he be confined in County Jail or Workhouse for a period of Thirty days. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of Twenty five Dollars and be confined in the County Jail or Workhouse for a period of Thirty days, and he pay the costs of this cause for which execution may issue, and it is further ordered that on securing the said fine & costs said jail sentence suspended until next term of this court, and in the event of his failure to pay said fine and costs he will be confined in the county jail for a period of Thirty days & shall further be confined in the County Jail until he pay secure or workout all of said fine & costs.

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Cutting & ruining Timber

Vincent Buchanan

In this case through the recommendation of the Attorney General this case is continued by the State.

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Drunkenness

Hosie Chappell

This case is continued on agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this Court.

State Of Tennessee)

VS.

Drunkenness

Buster Peebles

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant, Buster Peebles.

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Driving Drunk

Isaac Crockett

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an alias be issued for the defendant, Isaac Crockett.

State of Tennessee)

VS.

A.E.B.

Tom Welch

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant, Tom Welch,

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Disturbing Worahip

Mitchell Cathey

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Ollie Brown, Bob Davis, Dick Bryant, A.A. Allison, Lawrence Cullum, Tom Pickard, Walter Pruett, Elmer Swaney, Sam Bigham, Charlie Taylor, J.J. Pace & Charlie Allison, who being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issues joined according to law who, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant Mitchell Cathey, go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Profane Language

Clyde Mayberry

This case is continued on agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Driving Drunk

Bennie Whitson

This case is continued on agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Violation of stock law

Tom Wright

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and the defendant in person and by attorney who, being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Ollie Brown, Bob Davis, Dick Bryant, Duncan Story, Lawrence Cullum, Tom Pickard, G.C. Hatcher, Elmer Swaney, Nath Collier, Charlie Taylor, J.W. Curtis & Albert Guthrey, who being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issues joined according to law who, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant, Tom Wright go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

B.D.

H.E. Baker

This case is continued on agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Driving Drunk

Clarence Felts

This case is continued on agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Carrying a Pistol

Tobe Mathis

This case continued on agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

Mrs. Bessie Smith,

Vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee.

W.N. McCrary, et al.

JUDGMENT.

This cause came on to be heard and was heard on this day by the Court upon the whole record in the cause, and without the intervention of a jury, when it appeared to the Court that all the parties were duly before the Court, and that the defendants are indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of One Hundred Fourteen (\$114.00) Dollars for services rendered in transcribing notes taken by her in a Chancery Court law suit styled W.N. McCrary Vs. Quimton Harrell, et al. The Court, therefore, orders, adjudges and decrees that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants, W.N. McCrary and O.P. Lashlee, the said sum of One Hundred Fourteen (\$114.00) Dollars, and all the costs of this cause for which execution may issue.

Pearl Couch et al,

Vs.

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

Merritt Morris et al.)

Upon motion of the plaintiffs to take a non-suit in this cause, and upon the payment of the costs in the case, this suit is dismissed this the 23rd day of October 1931.

Pearl Couch et al,

Vs.

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

Merritt Morris et al.)

Upon motion of the plaintiffs to take a non-suit in this cause and upon the payment of the costs in cause this suit is dismissed on this the 23rd. day of October, 1931.

Nealey Dreaden

Vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee.

Tom Wright

Judgment.

This cause came on to be heard, and was heard by the Court on this day, without the intervention of a jury, upon the entire records in the cause, and the testimony of both the plaintiff and defendant and numerous witnesses on both sides, when it appeared to the Court that a certain steer belonging to the defendant had eaten and destroy the plaintiff's sweet potato patch to the damage of the plaintiff in the sum of Two Dollars. It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendant, Tom Wright and his surety on his appeal bond, R.E. Bace, the sum of Two Dollars (\$2.00) for which execution may issue. And it is further ordered by the Court that the plaintiff pay his own witnesses, and the defendant pay his own witnesses, and that the other costs be divided between the plaintiff and the defendant, for which execution may issue against each.

Fannie Etta Sadler)

Vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee.

Foster Pate Sadler)

This cause came on to be heard by the Court on this day upon the bill of complainant, the answer and cross bill of the defendant, and complainant's answer to the cross bill, and after the reading of all the pleadings in the case, the Court is of the opinion that it does not have jurisdiction to grant the relief sought by complainant in her bill, and the Court was further of the opinion that the defendant in his cross bill and alleged insufficient grounds or basis for a divorce on any grounds, and especially upon the grounds of cruel and inhumane treatment, and the Court, therefore, is pleased to dismiss the complainant's bill, and also the cross bill of the defendant, without prejudice to either of the parties, and the costs is divided equally between the parties, for which execution may issue against each party.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'Clock,

J. M. ... Judge.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT. PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J. S. G. MORTON JUDGE ETC.

This day the Grand Jury came into open court in a body and presents the following indictments and presentments.

One against Clyde Anderson, Jack Wright, Clara Williams and Raymond Riggs, H.B. & Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1931 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oaths aforesaid, presents that Clyde Anderson, Jack Wright, Clara Williams and Raymond Riggs heretofore, to wit, on the 24th day of February 1931, in said County and State, feloniously and forcibly did break and enter the business house of one G.E. Miller, of said County, with intent to commit a felony, to wit, a Larceny.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further presents, that the said Clyde Anderson, Jack Wright, Clara Williams and Raymond Riggs, on the day and year aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did take, steal and carry away two watches, four flashlights, one dozen flashlight batteries and One Hundred Seventy Dollars in good and lawful money of the United States, all of the value of Two Hundred Dollars, and of the goods and chattels of the said G.E. Miller, with intent to deprive him, the true owner thereof and convert the same to their own use, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State, And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Clyde Anderson, Jack Wright, Clara Williams and Raymond Riggs, of said County, on the day and year aforesaid, in the County aforesaid unlawfully and feloniously did receive, buy, conceal, and aid in concealing the aforementioned property of the value of Two Hundred Dollars, the property of G.E. Miller of said County, before then feloniously stolen, taken and carried away by some one, to the Grand Jury unknown, they the said Clyde Anderson, Jack Wright, Clara Williams and Raymond Riggs, then and there knowing the said two watches, four flashlights, one dozen flashlight batteries and One Hundred Seventy Five Dollars good and lawful money of the United States to have been feloniously stolen, taken, and carried away, and they, the said Clyde Anderson, Jack Wright, Clara Williams and Raymond Riggs, then and there fraudulently intending to deprive the owner thereof, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, December Term, 1931 THE STATE Vs. Clyde Anderson, Jack Wright, Clara Williams and Raymond Riggs, H.B. & Larceny G.E. Miller prosecutor, SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: G.E. Miller and John Murray, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term, 1931 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Violation of Stock Law.

Ben Clayborn

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill.

It is therefore ordered, by the Court that, the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Larceny

William H. Johnson

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered, by the Court that, the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Threats of violence & attempt to commit murder.

A.M. Commins

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill

It is therefore ordered by the Court that, the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

One against Paul Smith, Petit Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1931 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Paul Smith heretofore, to wit, on the 31st day of October 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully and feloniously did steal, take and carry away, one cushion and bill book of the value of Three Dollars, the property of T.H. Knight of said County, then and there being found, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Paul Smith, of said County, on the day and year aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did receive, buy, conceal, and aid in concealing one cushion and bill book all of the value of Three Dollars, the property of T.H. Knight of said County, before then feloniously stolen, taken and carried away by some one, to the Grand Jury unknown, he the said Paul Smith, then and there knowing the said cushion and bill book to have been feloniously stolen, taken, and carried away, and he the said Paul Smith then and there fraudulently intending to deprive the owner thereof, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, December Term, 1931 THE STATE Vs. Paul Smith, Petit Larceny T.H. Knight Prosecutor, SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: T.H. Knight, Jesse Stribling, D.B. McCann, J.S. Westbrook and Esq. J.M. Reeves, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term, 1931 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Mis.d.

H.H. McCaslin

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered by the Court that, the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Larceny

Roy Ethridge et al

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered by the Court that, the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Skipping Board Bill.

J.G. Williams

On this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered by the Court that, the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Bad Check

W.R. Halbrooks

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill It is therefore ordered by the Court that, the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

One against Banks Wright, H.B. & Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1931. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Banks Wright heretofore, to wit, on the 26th day of September 1931, in said County, and State, unlawfully, feloniously and forcibly did break and enter a mill house in the daytime, of one G.W. Turner, of said County, with intent to commit a felony, to wit, a Larceny, and the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further presents that the said Banks Wright, on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously did take, steal, and carry away one Remington, double-barreled, hammerless, thirty inch barrel shot-gun of the value of Thirty five Dollars, and of the goods and chattels of the said G.W. Turner, with intent to deprive him, the said G.W. Turner, the true owner thereof and convert the same to his own use contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, December Term, 1931 THE STATE Vs. Banks Wright, H.B. & Larceny G.W. Turner Prosecutor, SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: G.W. Turner, C.N. Simpson, R.F. Ingram, Clyde Mayberry and Tom Turner, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term, 1931 R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Assault with intent to commit murder in 2nd degree.

Dock Mays

In this case the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant

in person, and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Ollie Brown, Albert Guthrey, Hugh Cannon, Charlie Taylor, Dick Bryant, Tom Pickard, Bob Davis, Sam Bigham, Walter Pruett, Charlie Allison, Lawrence Cullum and J.J. Pace, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officer, D.B. McCann and Geo. Wyatt, who, had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of assault and battery.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant or secure a fine of Ten Dollars and the costs of this cause then came into open court G.C. Bore and acknowledged himself as surety for this fine and costs for all of which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. H.B. & Larceny

Banks Wright

Upon motion of the defendant this case is continued until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Larceny.

John Curtis

Through the recommendation of the Attorney General, Pro Tem, this case is continued on account of absence of the defendant.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Walter Burch

This case is continued on agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Larceny.

Bob Rose and Jesse Mattingly

In this cause the Attorney General, pro tem, for the State and the defendants in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty to grand larceny. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit: Ollie Brown, Albert Guthrey, Hugh Cannon, Charlie Taylor, Dick Bryant, Tom Pickard, Bob Davis, Sam Bigham, Walter Pruett, Charlie Allison, Lawrence Cullum and J.J. Pace, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendants guilty of Grand Larceny as charged in the indictment and assess the punishment of each at three years in State Training and Agricultural School for boys at Nashville, Tennessee, it appearing from the proof that said defendants are under seventeen years of age. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury, the defendants Bob Rose and Jesse Mattingly be confined in the State Training and Industrial School for boys at Nashville, Tennessee, for a period of not more than three nor less than three years and that they pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue. It appears that H.B. with Rose of Lexington, Kentucky is the father of Bob Rose and that Jesse Mattingly lives with his brother Verlan Rose, of Lexington, Kentucky, and that Jesse Mattingly lives with his mother, Ella Mattingly, of 20 1/2 South Maine Street, Lexington, Kentucky.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

H.B. & Larceny

Clyde Anderson

Forfeiture on bond,

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and it appearing to the Court, that this defendant was indicted at this the present term of this court for the offense of H.B. & Larceny, and the said defendant was arrested and entered into bond with Mrs. Pearl Harper as his surety which bond is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, Vs. Clyde Anderson, Appearance Recognizance This case having been continued, thereupon the defendant, Clyde Anderson, with Mrs. Pearl Harper his security, acknowledge themselves to owe and to be indebted to the State of Tennessee in the penal sum of Five Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally, to be levied on their goods and chattels, lands and tenements; to be void however, on conditions that the defendant

Approxes,

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

H.B. & Larceny

Clyde Anderson

Forfeiture on bond,

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and it appearing to the Court, that this defendant was indicted at this the present term of this court for the offense of H.B. & Larceny, and the said defendant was arrested and entered into bond with Mrs. Pearl Harper as his surety which bond is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys Co. Vs. Clyde Anderson, Appearance Recognizance This case having been continued, thereupon the defendant, Clyde Anderson, with Mrs. Pearl Harper his security, acknowledge themselves to owe and to be indebted to the State of Tennessee in the penal sum of Five Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally, to be levied on their goods and chattels, lands and tenements; to be void however, on conditions that the defendant

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December Term 16th day of December 1931.

Clyde Anderson doth make his personal appearance before the Judge of our Circuit Court of Humphreys County, from day to day, then and there to answer to the State of Tennessee on a charge of Housebreaking & Larceny, and not depart without leave of Court first had and as obtained.

Clyde H. Anderson Principal
Mrs. Pearl Harper Surety

Acknowledged and subscribed to me before me,
this 28 day of August 1931

Seal W.B. Embry
Deputy Clerk

OATH

I, the undersigned, do solemnly swear that I personally appeared before the Clerk of the Criminal Court of Davidson County, State of Tennessee, and make oath that I own the following real estate, that the same is in my own name and free from all incumbrances and subject to execution to-wit; H & L-1014 Chicamauga Ave. value \$500.00 Nashville, Davidson County, Tennessee,

Mrs. Pearl Harper
Surety

Sworn to and subscribed before me,
this 28 day of Aug 1931

Seal W.B. Embry
Deputy Criminal Court Clerk,
Davidson County, Tennessee.

State of Tennessee, Davidson County. Personally appeared before me, W.B. Embry Deputy Criminal Court Clerk of Davidson County, Tennessee, the within named Mrs. Pearl Harper, the surety, with whom I am personally acquainted, and who makes oath that he owns real estate of the value of \$1500.00, located at 1014 Chicamauga Ave Nashville, Davidson County, Tennessee, that the same is in his own name, free from all incumbrances, and subject to execution, and that he attached his name as security on the foregoing bond of Clyde Anderson upon a charge House Breaking & Larceny before the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, State of Tennessee.

Mrs. Pearl Harper
Surety

Witness my hand and seal of Court at Nashville, Tennessee, this 28 day of August 1931

Seal W.B. Embry
Deputy Criminal Court Clerk
Davidson County, Tennessee

State of Tennessee,
Davidson County.

I, W.B. Embry, the Deputy Criminal Court Clerk of Davidson County, Tennessee, do hereby certify that the foregoing surety was qualified on the foregoing bond in the office of the Criminal Court Clerk of Davidson County, Tennessee; that the same is a good and solvent bond, and would be acceptable in the Criminal Court of Davidson County, Tennessee.

Witness my hand and seal of Court at Nashville, Tennessee, this 28 day of August 1931

Seal W.B. Embry
Deputy Criminal Court Clerk,
Davidson County, Tennessee

And the defendant Clyde Anderson being solemnly called to come into open court and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of H.B. & Larceny came not but made default, and the said Mrs. Pearl Harper was also called to come into court and bring with her the body of said Clyde Anderson according to the tenor and effect of her said bond came not but made default neither came the defendant Clyde Anderson nor his said Surety but made default. It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant Clyde Anderson and Mrs. Pearl Harper for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee, the said sum of Five Hundred Dollars, according to the tenor and effects of their said bond. And it is further ordered by the Court that Sci. Fa. Issue to the said defendant and his said surety requiring them to

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December Term 16th day of December 1931.

appear at the next term of this court and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final. And further that ALIAS CAPIAS issue for the defendant.

State of Tennessee }
Vs. H.B. & Larceny
Jack Wright } Forfeiture on bond.

In this cause themes the Attorney General, pro tem, for the State, and it appearing to the Court, that this defendant was indicted at this the present term of this court for the offense of H.B. & Larceny, and the said defendant was arrested and entered into bond with Chas. Norman Jr. as his surety which bond is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, Vs. Jack Wright, Appearance Recognizance, This case having been continued, whereupon the Defendant, Jack Wright, with Chas. Norman Jr. his security, acknowledge themselves to owe and to be indebted to the State of Tennessee in the penal sum of Five Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally, to be levied on their goods and chattels, lands and tenements; to be void however, on condition that the Defendant Jack Wright doth make his personal appearance before the Judge of our Circuit Court, of Humphreys County, Tennessee, from day to day, then and there to answer the State of Tennessee, on a charge of House Breaking & Larceny and not depart without leave of Court first had and obtained.

Jack Wright Principal
Chas. Norman Jr. Security

Acknowledged and subscribed to before me,

This 1 day of September 1931

Seal W.B. Embry
Deputy Clerk

OATH

If the undersigned, do solemnly swear that I personally appeared before the Clerk of the Criminal Court of Davidson County, State of Tennessee, and makes oath that I own the following real estate, that the same is in my own name and free from all incumbrances and subject to execution to-wit; 2 houses and lots 1403 & 1405 Wheeler St. Nashville, Tennessee, value \$2500.00

Chas. Norman Jr.
Surety

Sworn to and subscribed before me,

this 1 day of September 1931

Seal W.B. Embry
Deputy Criminal Court Clerk,
Davidson County, Tennessee

State of Tennessee, Davidson County.

Personally appeared before me, W.B. Embry Deputy Criminal Court Clerk of Davidson County, Tennessee, the within named Chas. Norman Jr., the security, with whom I am personally acquainted, and who makes oath that he owns real estate of the value of \$2500.00, located at 1403 & 1405 Wheeler St. Nashville, Davidson County, Tennessee, that the same is in his own name, free from all incumbrances, and subject to execution, and that he attached his name as security on the foregoing bond of Jack Wright upon a charge House Breaking and Larceny before the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, State of Tennessee.

Chas. Norman Jr.
Security

Witness my hand and seal of Court at Nashville, Tennessee,

this 1 day of September 1931

Seal W.B. Embry
Deputy Criminal Court Clerk
Davidson County, Tennessee

State of Tennessee,
Davidson County.

I, W.B. Embry, the Deputy Criminal Court Clerk of Davidson County, Tennessee,

do hereby certify that the foregoing surety was qualified on the foregoing bond in the office of the Criminal Court Clerk of Davidson County, Tennessee; that the same is a good and solvent bond, and would be acceptable in the Criminal Court of Davidson County, Tennessee. Witness my hand and seal of Court at Nashville, Tennessee, this 1 day of September 1931

W.B. Embury
Deputy Criminal Court Clerk,
Davidson County, Tennessee.

Seal

And the defendant Jack Wright being solemnly called to come into open court and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of H.B. & Larceny came not but made default, and the said Chas. Norman Jr. was also called to come into court and bring with him the body of said Jack Wright according to the tenor and effect of his said bond came not but made default neither came the defendant Jack Wright nor his said surety but made default. It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant Jack Wright and Chas. Norman Jr. for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee, the said sum of Five Hundred Dollars, according to the tenor and effect of their said bond. And it is further ordered by the Court that Sol. Pa. issue to the said defendant and his said surety requiring them to appear at the next term of this court and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final. And further that ALIAS CAPIAS issue for the defendant.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

B.D.

Theo Spicer

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State and defendant in person and by attorneys, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Ollie Brown, Albert Guthrey, Hugh Cannon, Charlie Taylor, Dick Bryant, Tom Pickard, Bob Davis, Sam Brigham, Walter Pruett, Charlie Allison, Lawrence Cullum and J.J. Pace, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all of the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Ninety days in Jail together with a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of One Hundred Dollars and will serve a term of Ninety days in Jail in Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee. The defendant will pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

B. D.

Porter Walker &
Thomas Brown

This case is continued on agreement of the defendants to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

B. D.

Jim Moore

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State and defendant in person and by attorneys, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Ollie Brown, Albert Guthrey, Hugh Cannon, Charlie Taylor, Dick Bryant, Tom Pickard, Bob Davis, Sam Brigham, Walter Pruett, Charlie Allison, Lawrence Cullum and J.J. Pace, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all of the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Ninety days in Jail together with a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that, for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of One Hundred Dollars and will serve a term of Ninety days in Jail in Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee. The defendant will pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Assault to commit murder.

Clarence Felts

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the state and the defendant in person, and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Bob Davis, G.C. Hatcher, Duncan Story, Nath Collier, Ollie Brown, Tom Pickard, Walter Pruett, Sam Brigham, Charlie Taylor, J.J. Pace, Elmer Swayney and Albert Guthrey, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers D.B. McCann and George Wyatt, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of assault and battery.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of Twenty-five Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure same he will be taken in custody by the Sheriff of Humphreys County and by him confined in the county jail or work house until the same is paid, secured or worked out. It is further ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant serve thirty days in the County Jail of Humphreys County, which sentence will be suspended until next term of the Court upon the payment or securing by the defendant of the costs of this cause. In event said fine and costs are not paid or secured the jail sentence will immediately go into effect.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Larceny

Paul Smith

comes

In this cause/the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a Jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Ollie Brown, Albert Guthrey, Hugh Cannon, Charlie Taylor, Dick Bryant, Tom Pickard, Bob Davis, Sam Bigham, Walter Pruett, Charlie Allison, Lawrence Cullum and J.J. Pace, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officer D.B. McCann, who, had previously been legally sworn, to attend them, and after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of Trespass, as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Thirty days in jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant will serve a sentence of Thirty days in the County jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee, said jail sentence being suspended during good behavior and on securing or working out costs for which let execution issue.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'Clock.

J. H. M. A. Judge.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE ETC.

Neal Weatherspoon, Admr.

Vs.

Circuit Court, Humphreys County,

M.B. Nickell et al.

Tennessee, August term, 1931.

Came the parties and also a jury of good and lawful men to wit: L.D. Morgan, Walter H. Jones, C.H. Bramlett, Willie Franklin, R.L. Parker, Binkley Crockett, J.D. Parker, E.W. Cullum, R.L. Petty, R.L. Tummins, Howard Bell and Fred Prichard, who being elected, tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined upon their oath do say they find the issues in favor of the plaintiff as to Hugh Nickells and assesses his damages against Hugh Nickells at two thousand dollars; and they find the issues as to M.B. Nickell the other defendants in favor of the defendant M.B. Nickells,

It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant M.B. Nickells go hence and recover of the plaintiff the costs herein accrued as to the said M.B. Nickells; and that the plaintiff recover of the defendant Hugh Nickells the said sum of two thousand dollars damages and the balance of the costs herein accrued. Thereupon the plaintiff by attorney excepted to the findings of the Jury and the action of the Court thereon, and gave notice of a motion for a new trial and ordered that said motion for a new trial be filed with the Clerk not later than September 2nd, 1931, and ordered further that the motion for a new trial would be heard at the December term of the Court, 1931. Upon motion of the defendant count number two in the original declaration filed in the case, and count number four in the amended declaration of complainants were struck out by the Court, to which action of the Court in striking out said counts, the plaintiff excepted. The foregoing cause was heard and determined at the August term 1931 of this Court, at which time the case was tried and the foregoing finding of the Jury and judgment of the Court was had, as appears from the file in the case and the entries upon the Judge's trial docket, but said entry in the minutes of the Court was by oversight not entered thereon; and it is the judgment and order of the Court that the foregoing entry be made for them.

Neal Weatherspoon Admr.

Vs.

Circuit Court, Humphreys County, Tenn., August term, 1931.

M.B. Nickell et al.

The motion for a new trial in this case was heard by the Court on this Thursday, December 17th, 1931, and after due consideration thereof, the Court is pleased to sustain the motion for a new trial as to the defendant Hugh Nickells, but overrules the motion for a new trial as to the defendant M.B. Nickells, to which action of the Court in overruling the motion for a new trial as to the defendant M.B. Nickell, the plaintiff excepts. This motion for a new trial was heard upon the written motion and exhibits thereto, and upon oral proof introduced by the defendants, which motion and exhibits thereto are as follows;

Neal Weatherspoon admr.

VS.

In Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

M.B. Nickell, et al.

Comes the plaintiff by attorneys and moves the Court for a new trial in the above styled case and assigns as grounds therefor the following:

1st.

BECAUSE, the preponderance or weight of the proof introduced in the case is against the verdict of the Jury, as to the defendant M.B. Nickell, and in favor of a judgment against him.

2nd.

BECAUSE, the verdict of the Jury of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00), in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant Hugh Nickell alone, is so meager, insignificant, and worthless as to show prejudice and caprice against the plaintiff, and no knowledge of the value of a human life.

3rd.

BECAUSE one of the jurors, Walter H. Jones, in his examination by attorneys for the plaintiff and by the Court as to his qualifications touching his competency as a juror in the cause, stated in substance that he had never heard any of the facts connected with the case discussed by anyone, and had no opinion about the facts or the case; yet, prior to the time he was examined for jury service in this case, to wit, on the third day of May, 1931, he heard discussed the facts of the case, and discussed these facts with one Jake Young, who was a member of the Grand Jury for the August term, 1930, of the Circuit Court for Hickman County, Tennessee, which Grand Jury made an investigation of the killing of Alice Weatherspoon, and the other girls, and at this same time and place, the said Walter Jones discussed the facts of the case with J.B. Murfree, of Only, Tennessee, who lives near the scene of the accident and who was at the church where the said Alice Weatherspoon was attending Sunday School on the 13th day of April, 1930, and who went to the scene of the accident a few minutes after it happened and helped remove the body of the said Alice Weatherspoon, and the other girls, from under the truck; and the said Jake Young also lives at Only, Tennessee, and in the vicinity of the wreck and knows all the parties in this lawsuit, and on May 3rd, 1931 knew all the facts connected with the entire case, and along with the said J.B. Murfree, disclosed and discussed these facts with the said Walter Jones, and these facts were discussed in the presence of J.T. Owens, John W. Stephens, and others; hence, the plaintiff, who was not aware that the said Walter H. Jones knew these facts at the time he qualified himself as a juror in the case, misled and lured the plaintiff and his attorneys, who were also ignorant of these facts until after the trial was over, into accepting him as a member of the Jury, who became the leader of the Jury and agitated the verdict that the Jury finally rendered. This ground is stated for a new trial will be and is here supported by affidavits of the said parties who know the facts, and made a part of this motion and attached hereto.

4th.

BECAUSE, the said juror Walter H. Jones, who led the jury to its verdict in this cause was actuated by prejudice against the plaintiff, and with the entire facts of the case in his mind went into the Jury box and qualified himself as a member of the jury, and led the plaintiff and his attorneys to believe that he did not know any of the facts in connection with the case, for the purpose and with the intention of urging and agitating the Jury to a verdict for the defendant M.B. Nickell, and also for the defendant Hugh Nickell and in case he could not secure this verdict to reduce the judgment as low as possible; and because the said juror Walter H. Jones on second day of the trial, the 15th day of August, 1931, was seen to come out of the Circuit Court Clerk's office with Dr. R.F. Prichard, of Only, Tennessee, who appeared and testified in the case for the defendant, and there being no other persons in the said room at the time they were in there.

5th.

BECAUSE, the plaintiff made out a prima facie case of ownership of the truck in question in the defendant M.B. Nickell, and the defendants failed to overcome this presumption in law by a preponderance of the evidence.

6th.

BECAUSE, the Court erred in striking out the second and fourth counts of the declaration and not submitting them to the Jury.

7th.

BECAUSE, the Court erred in not permitting the plaintiff to contradict the defendant M.B. Nickell by witness J.B. Murfree, offered by the plaintiff, on the point that he, the said M.B. Nickell did not know that his son the defendant Hugh Nickell, frequently drank intoxicating liquors prior to April 13, 1930.

Filed this August 29, 1931.
L.C. Bohanan, Clerk.

State of Tennessee

Humphreys County

Personally appeared before me, L.C. Bohanan, Circuit Court Clerk in and for said County and State, J.B. Murfree, who states upon his oath that he is a resident of Hickman County, Tennessee, near Only in said County, and that he is 74 years old; that on April 13th, 1930 he was at the Christian Church at Only, Tennessee, where he is informed Alice Weatherspoon, et al attended Sunday School on that date, and soon after Sunday School on that date, the accident in which the said Alice Weatherspoon, et al, was killed in a truck driven by Hugh Nickell was reported, and he went to the scene of the accident, a short distance from the church, and helped remove the bodies from under the truck; that he heard the facts of the case discussed on that day, and on numbers of occasions after that date; and that on Sunday, May 3rd, 1931, he attended the funeral of E.L. Pruett on Hurricane Creek in Humphreys County, Tennessee, and took dinner on that day with Walter H. Jones, who lives near the said E.L. Pruett home, and who was a member of the jury that tried the case of Neal Weatherspoon, Adm. vs. M.B. Nickell, et al. in the Circuit Court for Humphreys County at the August Term, 1931; and on this occasion, May 3rd, 1931, at the home of the said Jones, there was present, besides affiant, J.W. Stephens of Dickson, Tennessee, Jesse Owens of Humphreys County, and Jake Young, of Only, Hickman County, Tennessee, and others, the said Jake Young having been a member of the Grand Jury in Hickman County for the August Term, 1930, which investigated the killing of the said Alice Weatherspoon, and others, and thereon this occasion the said Walter H. Jones heard discussed, and discussed with the said Jake Young and with and in the presence of the rest of us, the general facts of the case; that the said Jake Young not only knew the facts that he may have gathered in the Grand Jury room, but also lives in the vicinity of the killing, and knew the general facts of the case.

Affiant states that he never informed the plaintiff in said case, Neal Weatherspoon, the facts here related, nor did he inform the attorneys for the plaintiff of such facts, until he knew or was informed that the said Walter H. Jones had qualified himself, and did serve as a juror in the trial of said cause.

Affiant further states that he has no interest in the out-come of said lawsuit, and has no interest in the matters for which this affidavit may be used, other than to state what facts that I know, as stated, in order that justice may be done in this lawsuit.

J. B. Murfree

Sworn to and subscribed before me on this the 29th day of August, 1931.

L.C. Bohanan
Clerk of the Circuit Court.

State of Tennessee

Humphreys County

Personally appeared before me, L.C. Bohanan, Circuit Court Clerk in and said county and State, J.T. Owens, who states upon his oath that he is a resident of Humphreys County, Tennessee, a farmer, and that he is 63 years old; that on May 3rd, 1931 he attended the funeral of E.L. Pruett on Hurricane Creek in Humphreys County, Tennessee, and that he took dinner on that day at the home of Walter H. Jones, who lives near the E.L. Pruett home on Hurricane Creek, and who as affiant is informed, qualified himself, and served as a juror in the case of Neal Weatherspoon, Adm. Vs. M.B. Nickell, et al. tried at the August Term of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, Tennessee; and that on this date J.B. Murfree of Only, Tennessee, and Jake Young of Only, Tennessee and J.W. Stephens of Dickson, Tennessee, also ate dinner, with others, at the said Walter Jones' home, and on that occasion the facts of the above mentioned was discussed by the said Jones, Young, Murfree and others; and the said Jake Young said that he was a member of the Grand Jury in Hickman County which investigated the killing of Alice Weatherspoon, and others, and the facts were stated there by Mr. Young and Mr. Murfree as being the facts surrounding the accident and the killing of the girl involved in the case. These facts were discussed for some time by the above named parties, and perhaps others present. Affiant states that he is not related to any of the parties to this lawsuit, and has no interest in same, and makes this statement merely to state the facts as he knows them to be, and he is informed that this affidavit is to be used in connection with a motion for a new trial in said cause.

J.T. Owens.

Sworn to and subscribed to before me, on this the 29th day of August, 1931.

L.C. Bohanan,
Circuit Court Clerk.

State of Tennessee

Humphreys County

Personally appeared before me, L.C. Bohanan, Circuit Court Clerk in and for said county and state, Neal Weatherspoon, who states upon his oath that he is the plaintiff, as administrator of the estate of his deceased daughter, Alice Weatherspoon, in the case of Neal Weatherspoon, Adm. Vs. M.B. Nickell, et al. tried at the August Term, 1931 of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, Tennessee; that on the second day of said trial, August 18th, 1931, he saw Walter H. Jones, one of the Jurors which tried the said case, come out of the Circuit Court Clerk's office in the Court house in Waverly, Tennessee, with Doctor L.F. Pritchard, of Only, Tennessee, one of the defendant's witnesses, who was very much interested in the defendant's interest in the said case, and appeared as a witness in their behalf; that they came from behind closed doors; that he did see anyone else in the room from which the said Pritchard and Jones came.

Neal Weatherspoon.

Sworn to and subscribed to before me, on this the 29th day of August, 1931.

L.C. Bohanan,
Circuit Court Clerk.

THIS DAY THE GRAND JURY CAME INTO OPEN COURT IN A BODY AND PRESENTS THE FOLLOWING PRESENTMENTS AND INDICTMENTS.

One against Lela Rollings, Profane language, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of the Circuit Court, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Lela Rollings heretofore, to wit, on the 14th day of November 1931, in said County and State, in a public place, and in the presence and hearing of diverse good citizens of the State then and there being, unlawfully did utter, publish, speak and say the following gross, scandalous, profane and blasphemous language, to wit, God Dam, etc. to the disturbance of the public peace, to the great scandal and common nuisance of all good citizens then and there being as aforesaid, to the manifest corruption of public morals, to the evil example of all like offenders, and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, December Term, 1931, THE STATE Vs. Lela Rollings, Profane Language, Joe Luff, Prosecutor, SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: Joe Luff, Bettie Hedge, Melvin Bass, Mrs. Grover Bass, Dan Luff and Billy Durham, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, December Term, 1931 R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL. R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Eugene Petty, Age Consent, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term Circuit Court, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Eugene Petty heretofore, to wit, on the 14th day of August 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully, feloniously and carnally knew Lillian Collier, a female, over the age of twelve years and under the age of twenty-one years, the said Eugene Petty and Lillian Collier not occupying the relation of husband and wife, at the time of said carnal knowledge, and the said Lillian Collier not being, at the time and before said carnal knowledge, a maid, lewd, or kept female, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, December Term, 1931 THE STATE Vs. Eugene Petty, Age Consent, Nath Collier, Prosecutor, SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: Nath Collier, Lillian Collier, Dr. J.Y. Wall and Anna Bell Collier, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term, 1931 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem. A TRUE BILL. R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Britton Townsend, Arson, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows; to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1931 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Britton Townsend heretofore, to wit, on the 16th day of January 1931, in said County and State unlawfully, feloniously, willfully and maliciously set fire to and burned the house of Rosa Townsend of the value of two hundred dollars, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, December Term, 1931 THE STATE Vs. Britton Townsend, Arson, Rosa (Townsend) Davis, Prosecutor, SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: Rosa (Townsend) Davis, Jane Crafton, Mrs. T.L. Parnell and C.C. Smith, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term, 1931 R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem. A TRUE BILL. R.H. McKeel, Fore Grand Jury,

One against Grady Turner, B.D. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County. December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Grady Turner heretofore, to wit, on the 10th day of April 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the statutes and against the peace and dignity of the State. December Term, 1931 THE STATE VS. Grady Turner, B.D. SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE G.G. Garner and J.L. Hickman, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem. A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury. H.M. Sykes, J.P. Moore, Tom Thompson, C.J. Blessing, J.L. Murphree, Tom Williams, H.C. Daniel, J.W. Fuqua, J.J. Kiley, J.C. Mallard and T.O. Potter, J.L. Parker.

One against Grady Turner, Carrying a Pistol which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County. December Term of the Circuit Court, A.D. 1931 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Grady Turner heretofore, to wit, on the 10th day of April 1931, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully carried a pistol, the same not being an army or navy pistol carried openly in the hand, to the evil example of all others in like case offending, and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem. December Term, 1931 THE STATE VS. Grady Turner, Carrying a Pistol SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: G.G. Garner and J.L. Hickman, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem. A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury. H.M. Sykes, J.P. Moore, Tom Thompson, C.J. Blessing, J.L. Murphree, Tom Williams, H.C. Daniel, J.W. Fuqua, J.J. Kiley, J.C. Mallard, T.O. Potter and L.J. Parker.

One against Daniel Hopper and Lucy Keel, Lewdness, subpoena for the State H.T. Smith, Mrs. H.T. Smith and Odell Smith.

One against Gilbert Shaw, Driving Drunk which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County. December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Gilbert Shaw heretofore, to wit, on the 23rd day of August, 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the public highways of said State and County while under the influence of intoxicating liquor contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem. December Term, 1931 THE STATE VS. Gilbert Shaw, Driving Drunk SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: Bert Braden, Pete Warren, W.C. Howell and Johnnie Smith, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem. R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, H.M. Sykes, J.P. Moore, Tom Thompson, C.J. Blessing, J.L. Murphree, Tom Williams, H.C. Daniel, J.W. Fuqua, J.J. Kiley, J.C. Mallard, T.O. Potter and L.J. Parker.

REPORT OF GRAND JURY.

We, the members of the Grand Jury at the December Term, 1931 of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, beg leave to submit the following report to your Honor. We have diligently inquired and true presentment made of all offences given us in charge by your Honor or otherwise brought to our knowledge. We have examined the County Jail and Poor house and the prisoners and inmates well fed and cared for but find the toilet at the Jail in a very unsanitary condition and badly in need of attention. The inmates of County Poor House are in some instances, being kept in open rooms with more or less exposure while other and better

rooms are left unoccupied. We have examined all bonds required to be examined by us and find them properly executed and good and solvent for the several amounts thereof and now having completed our labors, we respectfully ask to be discharged for the term J.W. Fuqua, H.C. Daniel, Tom Thompson, T.O. Potter, J.C. Mallard, J.P. Moore, C.J. Blessing, J.L. Murphree, Tom Williams, H.M. Sykes, L.J. Parker, J.J. Kiley and R.H. McKeel, Foreman.

Louise Phillips Saunders
vs.

A.J. Saunders, Jr.

This cause came on to be heard before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Judge of the Circuit Court, sitting at Waverly, Tenn., on this the 17th day of December, 1931, upon the regular call of the docket. Whereupon the cause was marked ready and taken up, and the pleadings read, when the Court of its own motion continued the cause until the next term of the Court, and rendered a judgment in favor of the complainant against the defendant for the sum of one hundred seventy-five dollars (\$175.00), to be paid as follows, to-wit: \$25.00 the first day of January 1932, and \$50.00 on the first day of each month thereafter until all of said sum is paid. Said judgment being rendered on behalf of the complainant for her maintenance and support until the next term of this Court. Upon the failure of the defendant to pay any of said sums in the manner, and at the time herein fixed, it is ordered that execution issue. All other questions are reserved. To which action of the Court in rendering judgment against the defendant in any amount, the defendant excepts.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'Clock.

J.D.G. Morton, Judge.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Clyde Mayberry

Drunkenness

Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Nealy Inmon et al.

Drunkenness

Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Nelsie Ingram

E.D.

Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Mary Hogan

A & B.

Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Peet Hicks

Drunkenness

Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

This day came into open Court Ben Smith and presents and reads in open Court, his account against Humphreys County, Tennessee, for witness attendance in case of State Vs. Hub Cooley, and the aforesaid Hub Cooley was acquitted, \$20.20, which is allowed by the Court, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this Court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

George Mallard

H.B.

Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the State Treasury, and that the clerk of this Court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Gilbert Buchanan

Attempt to commit a Felony

Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the State Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'Clock,

J. D. M. ..., Judge.

Masel Matlock }
 Vs. } Circuit Court, Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee.
 Floyd Matlock }

DECREE

In this Cause, on motion of complainant, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant has been regularly served with proper process requiring him to answer the complainant's bill, and that he, the defendant, Floyd Matlock, has failed to appear and make defense to said bill, within the time required by law; it is ordered that, as to him, the complainant's bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parte. And thereupon, this cause coming on to be further and finally heard upon the complainant's bill, the return of the sheriff endorsed on the summons, and the judgment pro confesso heretofore taken against the defendant, Floyd Matlock, and the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open court. And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from the proof that the facts charged in the bill are true, that the defendant had willfully deserted the complainant, without a reasonable cause, for more than two whole years before the filing of the bill, as charged, and that the defendant was guilty of such cruel and inhuman treatment towards complainant as rendered it unsafe and improper for her to cohabit with him, and be under his dominion and control, and complainant was thereby forced to withdraw from the defendant; and that complainant gave the defendant no just cause or excuse for his said misconduct, and did condone same. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and the complainant be vested with all the rights of an unmarried woman. The defendant will pay the costs of this case for which execution may issue.

Mary Carter }
 Vs. } In the Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee, December Term 1931.
 J.C. Carter }

PROCONFESSO AND DECREE FOR DIVORCE.

In this case on motion of petitioner, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant, J.C. Carter, has been regularly served with subpoena and copy to answer the petition in this cause, and that up to this Saturday the last day of the December Term of court 1931, has failed to appear and make any defense within the time required by law; it is therefore ordered by the Court/as to the defendant, J.C. Carter, the petition be taken as confessed and the cause set for hearing ex parte as to him. The cause came on to be heard further by the Court, upon the petition of complainant, and the order pro confesso taken, and the oral testimony of the witnesses examined in open Court, when it satisfactorily appeared to the Court, from the proof that the facts charged in the petition are true, that the defendant was guilty of cruel and inhuman treatment toward the petitioner as rendered it unsafe for her to be under his dominion and control. That he had abandoned her, turned her out of doors and refused and neglected to provide for her and their child, without reasonable cause. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved. That petitioner be vested with all the rights and privileges of an unmarried woman, and that she be given the exclusive custody and control of their child Jas Noel Carter. It is further ordered adjudged and decreed, that the defendant pay the costs of the case, for which execution may issue.

Lela Mayberry }
 Vs. } In the Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,
 Byrd Mayberry } December Term 1931.

PROCONFESSO AND DECREE FOR DIVORCE.

In this case, on motion of petitioner, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant Byrd Mayberry has been served with subpoena and copy to answer, the petitioner's bill for divorce, and that up to this Saturday the last day of the December term 1931, has failed to appear and make any defense to the petition within the time required by law; it is therefore ordered by the Court that as to the defendant Byrd Mayberry, the petition be taken as confessed, and the case set for hearing ex parte. The cause came on to be further heard by the Court upon the petition of the complainant and the order pro confesso taken, and the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open court. And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court, from the proof that the facts charged in the petition are true, that the defendant had willfully deserted the petitioner, turned her out of doors, and had refused and neglected to provide for her without reasonable cause. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the petitioner and the defendant, be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that petitioner be vested with all the rights and privileges of an unmarried woman. It is further adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant pay the costs of the case, for which execution may issue.

A.H. Little }
 Vs. } Circuit Court,
 Parke & Journey }

Motion to Retax Costs

In this case came the plaintiff by Atty and moved the Court for an order to retax the costs in the case as follows, because the following witnesses were summoned for the Deft and were not used by them as witnesses on the trial of the case, hence they should not be taxed against the Plaintiff. Jno Doda, Witness and mileage, \$7.50. Rute Smith, Witness and mileage \$10.70. Clint Klaxander, Witness and Mileage \$4.50. Which motion was heard by the Court and the same was overruled.

J.C. Thomas }
 Vs. } In Circuit Court at Waverly Tennessee.
 Henry Ingram }
 Maggie Ingram }

CONDEMNATION

\$76.67 Waverly, Tenn., Dec. 3rd. 1930
 One day after date we or either of us promise to pay to the order of C.C. Patterson Seventy Six and 67/100 dollars
 For value received, payable at Farmers & Merchants Bank Both makers and endorsers of this note severally and jointly waive demand, notice of non-payment and protest. In the event suit is brought upon this note, we, both makers and endorsers, agree to pay 10 percent attorney's fee, to be included in the judgment rendered for collection of same, and we, and each of us, both makers and endorsers, hereby authorize C.C. Patterson or either of them at any time after the above note becomes due, to go before any Court of record or before any Justice of the Peace having jurisdiction thereof in the State of Tennessee, and confess judgment thereof against us in favor of C.C. Patterson or assigns, for the said amount with interest and costs,

and the 10 per cent attorney's fee, in accordance with the provision of ~~the~~ Section 4705, 4706 and 4707, Code of Tennessee Shannon's Edition, 1896.

Henry Ingram

Maggie Ingram

Endorsed on back as follows: (C.C. Patterson Without Recourse)

JUDGMENT

Personally appeared before me J.C. Thomas with a confessed judgment note of \$76.67 against Henry Ingram and Maggie Ingram dated Dec. 3rd. 1930, due one day after date said note was made to C.C. Patterson and assigned by C.C. Patterson to (J.C. Thomas without recourse) J.C. Thomas asks for judgment on said note which is granted judgment for plaintiff against defendant for \$77.09, and all costs, of this suit for which execution may issue. Jan. 6th 1931.

A.G. Scott, J.P.

J.P. fees - - - Judgment \$1.00

Docketing 25
Total \$1.25

EXECUTION

STATE OF TENNESSEE, Humphreys County

To any lawful officer to execute and return:

You are hereby commanded, that of the goods and chattels, lands and tenements of Henry Ingram and Maggie Ingram you cause to be made the sum of (\$77.09) Seventy seven dollars and nine cents, and cost of suit, to satisfy a judgment which J.C. Thomas obtained before A.G. Scott, Justice of the Peace, on the 6th. day of Jan. 1931, against the said Henry Ingram and Maggie Ingram and such moneys, when collected, pay to the said J.C. Thomas

Given under my hand and seal this 15 day of Jan 1931.

A.G. Scott.....(Seal)

Justice of The Peace.

levy

The attached execution came to hand when issued search made by me and no personal property of the defendant to be found in my County I levied this F.F.A. upon one fourth undivided interest that the defendant Maggie Ingram has in a tract of land situated in the 2nd. Civil Dist. of Humphreys Co. Tennessee adjoining the lands of John Shaver on the north Jay Warren on the south, Dr. Byrn Heira on the east, Jas Roberts on west.

This Jan 13-1931.

D.D. McCann C.H.C.

And on motion of the Plaintiff, it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the lands so levied upon be and the same are hereby condemned, and be sold by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, or other lawful officer in said State and County, for cash, advertising same according to law, to satisfy said judgment and all costs of the cause.

SHERIFF'S BOARD BILL FOR BOARDING PRISONERS CHARGED WITH FELONIES.

This day came J.S. Westbrook D.S. and Jailor for Humphreys County, Tennessee, in open Court and presents and reads his board bill against the State of Tennessee, for boarding prisoners charged with felonies.

State of Tennessee Vs. Clyde Anderson, H.B. & Larceny Aug. 19, 1931 to Aug. 29, 1931, 10 days at 75¢ per day	\$8.25, - two turnkeys \$2.00, - - - - -	\$10.25
State of Tennessee Vs. Bob Rose Larceny Oct. 15, 1931 to Dec. 16, 1931, 64 days at 75¢ per day	- - - - -	\$48.00
State of Tennessee Vs. Jesse Mattingly, Larceny Oct. 15, 1931 to Dec. 16, 1931, 64 days at 75¢ per day	- - - - -	\$48.00
		<u>\$106.25</u>

Court then adjourned until Court in Course.

J. D. McCann....., Judge.

CAPTION APRIL TERM CIRCUIT COURT A.D. 1932.

State of Tennessee)
Humphreys County

Be it remembered that a Circuit Court was opened and held in and for the County of Humphreys at the Court House in the town of Waverly, Tennessee, on the 18th day of April it being the 3rd Monday of said month, and the One Thousand Nine Hundred and Thirty-Second year of our Lord, and the One Hundred and Fiftysixth year of American Independence. Present and Presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Judge of the Ninth Judicial District of the State of Tennessee.

Court was opened in due form of law by Walter McNeil, sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him was returned into open Court a writ of Venire Facias, showing that the following named persons were appointed by the County Court, at its April term 1932, to appear and to serve as jurors at this the present term of this Court to wit: R.N. Grice, D.R. Danesworth, W.W. Elswick, Forrest Trotter, R.A. Ellison, H.L. Rogers, Will Norman, J.H.T. Oatham, Elmer Morant, W.H. McCaulley, Dave Cullum, Lee Sharp, Buck Dutton, Ottie Pinkston, George Brown, John Sheehy, Dolton Fuqua, D.N. Wright, Walter Hedge, W.H. Willhite, J.N. Page, Frank James, Homer Phebus, Dave Merideth, Paul Reese & W.C. Pace, And it appearing to the Court that the above named parties were regularly summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and that all of said parties so summoned appeared and answered said summon.

And out of said Jurors so summoned the following were selected, as required by law, as Grand Jurymen, to wit: W.C. Pace, Homer Phebus, Walter Hedge, Geo. Brown, R.M. Grice, D.N. Wright, John Sheehy, Forrest Trotter, R.A. Ellison, W.W. Elswick, Oda Pinkston, W.H. McCaulley & R.H. McKeel having been appointed Foreman of the Grand Jury at a former term of this Court, the said Grand Jury is in all things by the law directed having been duly elected, tried sworn and charged by the Court according to law, retired to their room in charge of D.C. Bolthrop, C.H.C. of Humphreys County, sworn according to law to attend them in considering indictments and presentments.

And out of the remaining number of said jurors so summoned the following were excused from jury service, by the Court, to wit: Frank James, W.H. Willhite & J.N. Page.

And the following named person was summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and qualified as a regular juror in the stead of one of the named excused jurors, to wit: W.R. Webb.

W.C. HOWELL APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL, PRO TEM.

Whereas, Hon. John B. Bowman, Attorney General for the Ninth Judicial Circuit of the State of Tennessee, is sick and on that account unable to attend the present term of the Court to perform his duties as such Attorney General and on that account has failed to attend and prosecute according to law; Therefore, I, J.D.G. Morton, Judge of the said Judicial Circuit, by virtue of the power vested in me by the Constitution and laws of the State of Tennessee, do hereby appoint W.C. Howell, a regularly licensed Attorney for the State of Tennessee, engaged in the active practice of his profession in said state, and who possesses all the qualifications required by law, as Attorney General, pro tem, for and during this term of the Circuit Court for and in the place of said John B. Bowman, Attorney General, and with all the powers and duties conferred upon him by virtue of this appointment. This appointment of the said W.C. Howell, as such Attorney General, pro tem, is for the April term 1932 of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, Tennessee. This the 18 day of April 1932.

J.D.G. Morton
Judge.

State of Tennessee,
Humphreys County.

I, W.C. Howell, do solemnly swear that I will perform with fidelity the duties of the office of District Attorney General, pro tem, of the Ninth Judicial Circuit of Tennessee, to which I have been appointed by J.D.G. Morton, the Circuit Judge of said District and that I will support the Constitution of the United States of America and the Constitution of the State of Tennessee. I further swear that I have not, directly or indirectly given, accepted, or knowingly carried a challenge, in writing or otherwise, to any person, being a citizen of this state, since the adoption of the Constitution in 1853 or aided or abetted therein, and that I will not, during my continuance in office, be guilty of either of these acts. Witness my hand, this the 18th day of April 1932.

W.C. Howell.

Sworn to and subscribed to before me,

This the 18th day of April 1932.

L.C. Bohanan.
Circuit Court Clerk.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'Clock,

J.D.G. Morton..... Judge.

COURT MEET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT IN PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J. D. G. MORTON, JUDGE ETC.
Humphreys County.

C. W. Daniell, Plaintiff, vs. J. O. Rains et al., Defendants. In Circuit Court, April Term, 1932. The Plaintiff of Tennessee, to wit, C. W. Daniell, vs. the Circuit Judge of said District and that J. O. Rains et al.

In this case it is agreed that the plaintiff may have twenty days to amend or file an amended declaration in the above cause from the adjournment of this term of court, and that the defendant may have thirty days from and after said amended declaration is filed to make and file their defense in said cause. Which agreement was submitted to and agreed to by the Court, and was made the judgment of the Court in the case, said order being made, however, so as not to delay the hearing of the case.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Carrying a Pistol.

Lester Davis

In this Case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty as charged. Thereupon to assess the defendant's punishment came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit, Elmer Moresett, Buck Dotson, Dave Cullum, Lee Sharp, Will Norman, D. B. Danesworth, H. L. Rogers, Paul Reese, J. H. T. Cotham, Neal Danesworth, Dave Merideth and W. R. Webb, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, who after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment after which the Court says he shall pay a fine of Fifty Dollars together with all the costs for which execution may issue, and in event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and costs he will be confined in the County Jail until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

ALIAS CASES

State of Tennessee Vs. Patterson Murphee, Brantford Townsend, John Wilson, Eugene Petty, It is ordered by the Court that an Alias be issued for the above defendants.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Drunkeness

Grady Goodman

The above styled case is continued on account of absence of defendant.

State of Tennessee

Vs. B. D.

Howard Shanks

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty as charged. Thereupon to assess the defendant's punishment came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit, Elmer Moresett, Buck Dotson, Dave Cullum, Lee Sharp, Will Norman, D. B. Danesworth, H. L. Rogers, Paul Reese, J. H. T. Cotham, Neal Danesworth, Dave Merideth, and W. R. Webb, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, who after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment after which the Court says he shall pay a fine of One Hundred Dollars together with all the costs for which execution may issue, and in event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and costs he shall be confined in the County Jail until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Sei Fa.

Howard Shanks et al.

In this case came the defendants in their own proper person, and the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, when the case came on to be and was heard by the Court upon the Sei Fa. return of the Sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendant, and the motion of the defendant to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the Court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set aside forfeiture entered at the December Term 1931 of the court, aside but adjudged the costs of the forfeiture against the defendants.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the forfeiture taken and entered against defendants be and the same is set aside at the defendant's costs, and that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendants all the costs accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeiture for all of which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Cutting & Ruining Timber.

Vincent Buchanan

In this case comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute the case no further. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Drunkeness.

Hosie Chappell

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and the defendant in person, and pleads guilty as charged in the indictment, thereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the costs, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he shall be confined in the County Jail or Workhouse until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs, for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Drunkeness.

Buster Peebles

This case is continued on agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs. A & B.

Tom Welch

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit, Elmer Moresett, Buck Dotson, Dave Cullum, Lee Sharp, Will Norman, D. B. Danesworth, H. L. Rogers, Paul Reese, J. H. T. Cotham, Neal Danesworth, Dave Merideth & W. R. Webb, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment, thereupon the Court say he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the costs for which let execution issue, and in event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and cost he shall be confined in the County Jail until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Profane Language.

Clyde Mayberry.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and the defendant in person, and pleads guilty as charged in the indictment, thereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the costs, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and costs he shall be confined in the County Jail or Work House until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Driving Drunk.

Bennie Whitson

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person, and pleads guilty as charged.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; Elmer Morsett, Buck Dotson, Dave Cullum, Lee Sharp, Will Norman, D.B. Danesworth, H.L. Rogers, Paul Reese, J.H.T. Cotham, Neal Danesworth, Dave Merideth & W.R. Webb, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Ten Dollars fine and Thirty days in the County Jail. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of Ten Dollars and will serve a term of Thirty days in Jail in Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee, and will pay the costs of this cause for which execution may issue, and in event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be further confined in the County Jail or workhouse until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs. It is further ordered by the Court, that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of six months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

State Of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

H.E. Baker

In this case comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; Elmer Morsett, Buck Dotson, Dave Cullum, Lee Sharp, Will Norman, D.B. Danesworth, H.L. Rogers, Paul Reese, J.H.T. Cotham, Neal Danesworth, Dave Merideth & W.R. Webb, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquors as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his fine at the sum of One Hundred Dollars. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the cost of the cause for which let execution issue, and in event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and cost he will be confined in the County Jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee, until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Driving Drunk.

Clarence Felts

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a Jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; Elmer Morsett, Buck Dotson, Dave Cullum, Lee Sharp, Will Norman, D.B. Danesworth, H.L. Rogers, Paul Reese, J.H.T. Cotham, Neal Danesworth, Dave Merideth & W.R. Webb, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Thirty days in jail and also a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of Ten Dollars and will serve a term of Thirty days in Jail in Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee, and will pay the cost of this cause for which execution may issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be further confined in the County Jail or Work House until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs. It is further ordered by the Court that, the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of six months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Carrying a Pistol.

Tobe Mathews

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; Elmer Morsett, Buck Dotson, Dave Cullum, Lee Sharp, Will Norman, D.B. Danesworth, H.L. Rogers, Paul Reese, J.H.T. Cotham, Neal Danesworth, Dave Merideth & W.R. Webb, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of carrying a pistol as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his punishment at a Fifty Dollars fine and Sixty days in Jail. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be confined in the County Jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and pay a fine of Fifty Dollars together with all the costs for which let execution issue. It is further ordered by the Court, that the jail sentence be suspended during good behavior.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Porter Walker &
Thomas Brown

In this cause came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendants in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a Jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; Elmer Morsett, Buck Dotson, Dave Cullum, Lee Sharp, Will Norman, D.B. Danesworth, H.L. Rogers, Paul Reese, J.H.T. Cotham, Neal Danesworth, Dave Merideth, & W.R. Webb, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendants guilty as charged in the indictment and say they shall pay a fine of One Hundred Dollars each.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury the defendants will pay a fine of One Hundred Dollars each together with all the cost for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Walter Burch

In this case comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a Jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit Elmer Morsett, Buck Dotson, Dave Cullum, Lee Sharp, Will Norman, D.B. Danesworth, H.L. Rogers, Paul Reece, J.H.T. Gotham, Neal Danesworth, Dave Merideth & W.R. Webb, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquors as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his fine at the sum of One Hundred Dollars.

It is Therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and costs he shall be confined in the County Jail or Work House of Humphreys County, Tennessee, until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Drunkenness.

Lloyd Box

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person, and pleads guilty as charged in the indictment, thereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the costs for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and cost he shall be confined in the County Jail or Work House of Humphreys County, Tennessee, until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Profane Language.

Lela Rollings

This case is continued on agreement to plead guilty at the next term of this Court.

Ollie Reeves)

Vs.

J.C. Thomas

Replevin
in the Circuit Court,
at Waverly, Tennessee.

JUDGMENT

This case was heard by the Honor, Judge J.D.G. Moton, without the intervention of a jury, and it appeared from the proof that the corn levied on under a Justice of the Peace's execution, and possession thereof regained by the plaintiff under the writ of replevin, at the time of the levy and the institution of the replevin suit, the corn was held under a recorded mortgage in favor of the plaintiff in the replevin suit to secure a debt owing to him by a man by the name of Morris, therefore the levy of the execution must fail, and the mortgage be held good, and sufficient as against said levy.

It is therefore ordered that in as much as no damages were shown by reason of the levy, and detention of the property in question, the plaintiff in the replevin suit recover of the defendant, the costs only in said suit, for which execution may issue.

V.B. Hand

Vs.

S. A. Harbison

In the Circuit Court,
At Waverly, Tennessee.

JUDGMENT

This case was heard by the Honor, Judge J.D.G. Moton, without the intervention of a jury, and after hearing all the proof, the Court was of the opinion and finds from the facts that the plaintiff and defendant each had accounts against the other, and after making proper charges and giving proper credits on each of their accounts, that the defendant Harbison is justly indebted to the plaintiff, Hand, in a balance due the said Hand on account, of the sum of Six Dollars and Ninety Cents, (\$6.90). The Court therefore renders judgment in favor of the plaintiff, and against the defendant for the sum of Six Dollars and Ninety Cents, (\$6.90), and one half of all the costs assessed in the case, and gives judgment against the plaintiff for the other one half of all the costs accrued in the case, and for all of which, execution may issue.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Hooper Daniel

Age Court
Driving School

State of Tennessee, To the Honorable Judge of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, holding and presiding at Waverly, Tennessee, whereas, in our Supreme Court, at Nashville, at its December Term, 1931, it was adjudged and ordered in the same Hooper Daniel Vs. The State appealed to our said Court from said Circuit Court that the same be remanded thereto for further proceedings and final determination therein. These are therefore to require you, the Court as aforesaid, that you proceed with the execution of this Judgment of our said Supreme Court, by such further proceedings in your Court as shall effectuate the objects of this order to remand, and attain the ends of justice. Witness, David S. Lansden, Clerk of our said Court, at office in Nashville, the first Monday in December, 1931

Seal

David S. Lansden, Clerk

The State Of Tennessee be it remembered, that at a Supreme Court of errors and Appeals, begun and held at the Capitol, in the City of Nashville on the first Monday of December 192, it being the day of December, 192... when the following proceedings were had, to wit; Hooper Daniel Vs. State Humphreys Criminal came the plaintiff in error in proper person and by

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term, 19th day of April 1932.

Counsel, and also came the Attorney-General on behalf of the State, and this cause was heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of Humphreys County; and on consideration thereof, the Court is of opinion that there is no reversible error on the record and that the judgment of the Court below should be affirmed, and it is accordingly so ordered and adjudged by the Court. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the plaintiff in error, for the offense of violation of age of consent as charged in the indictment, be delivered to the warden of the penitentiary, or his agent, and be by him conveyed to the penitentiary of the State of Tennessee and there confined at hard labor for a term of not more than three years, commencing on the day of his reception at said penitentiary. It is further ordered by the court that plaintiff in error be infamous and disqualified from holding any office under this State, or exercising the elective franchise, or giving evidence in the Courts of this State. The Plaintiff in error will pay the costs of the cause accrued in this Court and the Court below, and execution may issue from this Court for the costs of the appeal. A procedendo will be issued to the said Circuit Court of Humphreys County directing that Court to proceed with the collection of the cost of the cause accrued therein in the manner provided by law. The Clerk of this Court will issue a duly certified copy of this judgment to be delivered by the Marshal to the Warden with the body of the plaintiff in error. Office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Middle Division of the State of Tennessee. I, David S. Lanaden, Clerk of said Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, perfect and complete copy of the judgment of said Court, pronounced at its December Term, 1931, in the case of Hooper Daniel Vs. the State, as the same appears of record in my office in testimony whereof I have hereto set my hand and affixed the seal of the Court, at office in the Capitol, at Nashville, on this 17th day of February, 1932, D. S.

David S. Lanaden, Clerk.

State of Tennessee
Vs.
Paul Wright et al.

B.D.

State of Tennessee, To the Honorable Judges of the Criminal Court of Humphreys County, holding and presiding at Waverly, Tennessee, Whereas, in our Supreme Court, at Nashville, at its December Term, 1931, it was adjudged and ordered in the cause State of Tennessee Vs. Paul Wright & Goad Wright appealed to our said Court from said Criminal Court that the same be remanded thereto for further proceedings and final determination therein. These are therefore, to require you the Court as foresaid, that you proceed with the execution of this Judgment of our said Supreme Court, by such further proceedings in your Court as shall effectuate the objects of this order to remand, and attain the ends of justice. Witness, David S. Lanaden, Clerk of our said Court, at office in Nashville, the first Monday of December, 1931.

David S. Lanaden, Clerk.

State of Tennessee Monday, December 7th., 1931. Be it remembered, that a Supreme Court of errors and Appeals begun and held at the Capitol in the City of Nashville, on the first Monday in December 1931, it being the 7th day of December 1931, present and presiding the Honorable Chief Justice Grafton Green and Associate Justice Colin P. McKinney, Wm. L. Cook Alexander W. Chambers and Wm. H. Swiggert, when the following proceedings were had and entered of record, to wit:

State of Tennessee
Vs.

Humphreys Criminal Affirmed.

Paul Wright & Goad Wright

This cause coming to be heard upon a transcript of the record from the criminal Court of Humphreys County, Assignment of error on behalf of the State and reply thereto and argument of counsel.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 1932 day of April 1931.

Reply thereto and argument of counsel, upon consideration whereof the Court is of opinion that in the judgment of the Court below there is no error. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the motion to quash be sustained- the indictment be quashed and that the State of Tennessee will pay the costs of this appeal, which will be certified to the Comptroller for payment in the manner required by law.

Office of Clerk of The Supreme Court for the Middle Division of the State of Tennessee I, David S. Lanaden, Clerk of said Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, perfect, and complete copy of the Judgment of said Court, pronounced at its December term, 1931, in case of State of Tennessee against Paul Wright & Goad Wright as appears of record now on file in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereto set my hand and affixed the seal of the Court, at office in the Capitol at Nashville, on this, the 17 day of February 1932.

Seal.

David S. Lanaden, Clerk.

H.H. Leathers

Vs.

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee

Parks & Journey

This cause came on to be heard on this day before the Hon. J.D.C. Morton Judge, without the intervention of the Jury, upon the whole record in the cause, including the testimony of the plaintiff, and the defendant, and numerous other witnesses, when it appeared to the Court that the defendant warranted the mule in question sold to plaintiff to be sound but that the warranty did not extend to and insure the plaintiff that the mule would not die from the cold which the mule had at the time of the trade, and which was apparent to, and known by both plaintiff and defendant at the time of the trade; and that such warranty of soundness did not extend to and insure the plaintiff against the loss by death of the mule forty-one (41) days. The Court, therefore, finds for the defendant, Parks & Journey, and gives judgment against the plaintiff, H.H. Leathers, and the sureties on his cost bond, for all the cost of the suit, for which execution may issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term, 19th day of April 1932.

This day the Grand Jury came into open Court in a body and presents the following presentments and indictments.

One against C.R. Sanders, Driving Drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows; to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that C.R. Sanders heretofore, to wit, on the 19th day of March 1932, in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the public highways of said State and county while under the influence of intoxicating liquor contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell Attorney General, Pro Tem, April term, 1932 The State Vs. C.R. Sanders, Driving Drunk Subpoena for the State: S. Smith, J.T. Mathis J. McReeves, A True Bill R.H. McKeel, Foreman, W.W. Elawick, John Sheehy, J.F. Trotter, D.N. Wright R.N. Grice R.A. Ellison, W.C. Pace, W.H. McCalley, Geo. Brown, Oda Pinkston, Walter Hedge and H.P. Phebus.

One against O.B. Turner & Johnnie Jackson, Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee Humphreys County, April Term of the Circuit Court, A.D. 1932, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that O.B. Turner & Johnnie Jackson heretofore to wit, on the 30th day of January 1932 in said County aforesaid unlawfully and feloniously did steal, take and carry away one chicken of the value of one dollar, the property of Mrs. Baker Martin of said County, then and there being found, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee W.C. Howell, Attorney General Pro Tem April Term, 1932, The State Vs. O.B. Turner & Johnnie Jackson larceny Baker Martin Prosecutor, Subpoena for the State Baker Martin Mrs. Baker Martin, Bill Lane, Mrs. Elsie Turner, and Mrs. W.A. Edwards, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury April Term, 1932 R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro Tem A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against David S. Lansden Carrying a Pistol which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of the Circuit Court, A.D. 1932, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the county of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that David S. Lansden heretofore, to wit, on the 20th day of March 1932, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully carried a pistol, the same not being an army or navy pistol carried openly in the hand, to the evil example of all others in like cases offending, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro Tem April Term, 1932 The State Vs. David S. Lansden Carrying A pistol Subpoena for the State: S. Smith, C.A. Jackson, W.H. Porter, G.E. Jackson and Jno. T. Tate W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro Tem A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.W. Elawick, John Sheehy, J.F. Trotter, D.N. Wright R.N. Grice R.A. Ellison, W.C. Pace, W.H. McCalley, Geo. Brown Oda Pinkston, Walter Hedge and H.P. Phebus.

One against David S. Lansden B.D. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid present that David S. Lansden heretofore, to wit, on the 20th day of March, 1932 in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the public highways of said State and county aforesaid while under the influence of intoxicating liquor contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, April Term, 1932 THE STATE Vs. David S. Lansden, Driving Drunk, SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: S. Smith, Adrien Barger, C.A. Jackson, G.E. Jackson, Jno. T. Tate, Dr. J.W. Frost, J.S. Westbrook, Harmon Rushing, Verg Rushing, Nathan Sanders, W.H. Porter, H.W. Hickman, Dr. W.W. Slayden & W.W. Elawick, John Sheehy, J.F. Trotter, D.N. Wright, R.N. Grice, R.A. Ellison, W.C. Pace, W.H. McCalley, Geo. Brown, Oda Pinkston, Walter Hedge & H.P. Phebus.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 19th day of April 1932.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 19th day of April 1932.

Dr. Wall. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A true bill R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury W.W. Elawick, John Sheehy, J.F. Trotter, D.N. Wright, R.N. Grice, R.A. Ellison, W.C. Pace, W.H. McCalley, Geo. Brown, Oda Pinkston, Walter Hedge & H.P. Phebus.

One against D.S. Lansden, B.D. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term, of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that David S. Lansden heretofore, to wit, on the 20th day of March 1932, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further presents that the said David S. Lansden on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully did transport from one point to another in the State, intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further presents that the said David S. Lansden on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesaid unlawfully and feloniously did transport from one point to another within the State, intoxicating liquors in quantity of one gallon or more, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.

April Term, 1932 The State Vs. David S. Lansden, B.D. Subpoena for the State: S. Smith, Adrien Barger, C.A. Jackson, G.E. Jackson, Jno. T. Tate, Dr. J.W. Frost & W.H. Porter. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.W. Elawick, John Sheehy, J.F. Trotter, D.N. Wright, R.N. Grice, R.A. Ellison, W.C. Pace W.H. McCalley, Geo. Brown, Oda Pinkston, Walter Hedge & H.P. Phebus,

One against Ed Rhegness, Driving Drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Ed Rhegness heretofore, to wit, on the 14th day of March, 1932, in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the public highway of said State and County while under the influence of intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, April Term, 1932 THE STATE Vs. Ed Rhegness Subpoena for the State: S. Smith & Esq. J. McReeves, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A true bill R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.W. Elawick, John Sheehy, J.F. Trotter, D.N. Wright, R.N. Grice, R.A. Ellison, W.C. Pace, W.H. McCalley, Geo. Brown, Oda Pinkston, Walter Hedge & H.P. Phebus,

One against T.S. Holmes, Driving Drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that T.S. Holmes heretofore, on the 20th day of March, 1932, in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the public highways of said State and County while under the influence of intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General Pro Tem. April Term, 1932 The State Vs. T.S. Holmes, Driving Drunk, Subpoena for the State: W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, J.T. Mathis & Esq. J. McReeves, A true bill R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.W. Elawick, Jno. Sheehy, J.F. Trotter, D.N. Wright, R.N. Grice, R.A. Ellison, W.C. Pace, W.H. McCalley, Geo. Brown, Oda Pinkston, Walter Hedge & H.P. Phebus.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 19th day of April 1932.

One against P.B. Worley, Driving Drunk, Subpoena for the State Howard Deak, Joe Traylor, S. Smith, Geo. Ross & J.E. Elrod.

One against P.B. Worley, J.R. Parnham & Jesse Hargrove, B.D. subpoena for the State, Howard Deak, Joe Traylor, S. Smith, Geo. Ross & J.E. Elrod.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Driving Drunk.

C.R. Sanders

This case is continued on agreement to plead guilty at the next term of this Court.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'clock.

J. F. Morton Judge

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 20th day of April 1932.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE ETC.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Age Consent

Howie Chappell

In this case comes the Attorney General, Pro tem for the state and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: J.W. Knight, Ollie Williams, H.L. Rogers, Buck Dotson, Clint Bell, Carl Warren, W.R. Webb, J.C. Watson, D.B. Danesworth, J.H. Smith, Bill Paterson and Cleave Higham, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, R.F. Ingram who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of violating the age of consent as chargee in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at three years in the Penitentiary. Whereupon the defendant gave notice of a motion for a new trial and this cause was passed pending the filing and hearing of said motion.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Driving Drunk

Isaac Crockett

In this case came the Attorney General Pro tem for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney who being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment plead not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Neal Danesworth, Dave Cullom, Buck Dotson, W.R. Webb, J.H. Smith, Marvin Gill, Paul Reese, Bill Paterson, D.B. Danesworth, John Forrest, Dave Merrieth and H.L. Rogers, who being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined according to law who after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant Isaac Crockett go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Larceny

John Curtis

This case is continued on application of defendant.

This day the Grand Jury came into open Court in abody and presents the following indictments and presentments.

One against R.L.Stockard, Driving Drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that R.L.Stockard heretofore, to wit, on the 6th day of February 1932 in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the public highway of said state and county while under the influence of intoxicating liquor contrary to the statutes and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C.Howell Attorney General, Pro tem, April Term, 1932 The State VS. R.L.Stockard Driving Drunk Subpoena for the state: J.T.Mathis, George Pace and Esq.J. McReeves, W.C.Howell Attorney General Pro tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury? W.W.Elswick, John Sheeby, J.F.Trotter, D.N.Wright, R.M.Grice, R.A.Ellison, W.C.Pace, W.B.McCalley George Brown, Oda Pinkston, Walter Hedge and H.P.Phebus.

One against W.H.Stokes B.D. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tenn., duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that W.H. Stokes heretofore, to wit, on the 21 day of December 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. April Term 1932 THE STATE VS. W.H.Stokes B.D. Subpoena for the State Roy Pinkston, Joe Traylor and D.A.Burch, W.C.Howell Attorney General Pro tem A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury W.W.Elswick, John Sheeby, J.F.Trotter, D.N.Wright, R.M.Grice, R.A.Ellison, W.C.Pace, W.B.McCalley, Geo. Brown, Oda Pinkston, Walter Hedge and H.P. Phebus.

One against Vergil Donahue Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Vergil Donahue of said County, heretofore, to wit, on the 14th day of April 1932 with force and arms, in the county aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and maliciously, did make an assault upon the body of one Kate Stewart with a certain automobile truck with the unlawfully and felonious intent, then and there, her the said Kate Stewart unlawfully, feloniously willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill and upon him to commit the crime and felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C.Howell Attorney General Pro tem April Term 1932 The State Vs. Vergil Donahue Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree Walter Stewart Prosecutor. Subpoena for the State Walter Stewart, Herb Peeler, George Ross, Ellis Hooper and Dr. J.A.Sugg Kate Stewart, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, April Term, 1932 R.H.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C.Howell ATTORNEY General Pro Tem A TRUE BILL R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Jesse Beeles, A & B. with intent to Kill, subpoena for the State; W.A.Work, Riley Monsue, L.B.Work & Vidie Work.

State of Tennessee)
vs. Violation of tobacco tax law.

J.O.Baugus
In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill it is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State Of Tennessee)
Vs. Incest.
Its Spence

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill it is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. A & B. with knife.
William Baker

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill it is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Drunkenness.
P.B.Worley

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill it is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Mis.d.
Willie Breeden

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill it is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Larceny.
Louie Allen

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill it is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Bad Check.
Charlie Boyd

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill it is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. B.D.
W.H.Stokes

This case is continued by the defendant on agreement to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Felony
Vergil Donahue

This case is ordered by the Court to be continued to next term.

State of Tennessee

VS.

Driving Drunk

T.S. Holmes

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro tem for the State and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to wit; Elmer Morsett, Buck Dotson, Dave Cullum, Lee Sharp, Will Norman, D.B. Dancasworth, H.L. Rogers, Paul Reese, J.H.T. Cotham, Neal Dancasworth, Dave Merideth & W.R. Webb, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Thirty days in jail and also a fine of Ten Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of Ten Dollars and will serve a term of Thirty days in Jail in Waverly Humphreys County Tennessee, and will pay the cost of this case. It is further ordered by the Court, that the jail sentence be suspended until the next term of this Court. It is also further ordered by the Court, that the defendant be prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of six months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months. Thence came into open court the defendant T.S. Holmes and paid to the clerk of this court all of said fine and costs.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9.00 O'Clock.

J. M. M. Judge

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT & PRESIDING THE HON. J. D. G. MORTON, JUDGE ETC.

State of Tennessee

VS.

H.B. / Larceny

Clyde Anderson et al

This case is continued on application of the State.

State of Tennessee

VS.

Sci. Fa.

Clyde Anderson et al

In this case came the defendant in their own proper person, and the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, when the case came on to be and was heard by the Court upon the Sci. Fa. return of the Sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendant, and the motion of the defendant to set aside the forfeituer entered against them, when the Court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set aside forfeituer entered at the December Term 1931 of the Court, aside, but adjudged the cost of the forfeituer against defendants.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the forfeituer taken and entered against defendant be and the same is set aside at defendant's cost, and that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant all the cost accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeituer for all of which let execution issue.

THE GRAND JURY CAME INTO OPEN COURT IN A BODY AND PRESENTS THE FOLLOWING INDICTMENTS AND PRESENTMENTS.

One against Fred Wills, Age Consent, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term, of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid present that Fred Wills heretofore, to wit, on the 19th day of September 1931 in said County and State, unlawfully, feloniously and carnally knew Ida Crowell, a female, over the age of twelve years and under the age of twenty one years, the said Fred Wills and Ida Crowell not occupying the relation of husband and wife, at the time of such carnal knowledge, and the said Ida Crowell not being at the time and before said carnal knowledge, a bawd, lewd or kept female, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tenn. W.C. Howell, Attorney General Pro Tem April Term, 1932, THE STATE Vs. Fred Wills Age consent Callie Crowell Prosecutor Subpoena for the State Callie Crowell, Ida Crowell, Claud Gatlin, Ben Franklin, Vance Franklin & Andrew Hood Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury April Term, 1932 R.H. McKel Foreman Grand Jury. W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro tem A TRUE BILL R.H. McKel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Richard Raulston, B.D. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee Humphreys County, April Term, of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932.

The Grand Jurors For the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid; upon their oath aforesaid, present that Richard Raulston heretofore, to wit, on the 23rd day of November 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said Richard Raulston on the day and year aforesaid in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully did transport from one point to another in this State, intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State Of Tennessee.

One against R.J. Bowman, bad check, subpoena for the State; T.M. Wilson.

April Term, 1932 THE STATE VS. Richard Raulston B.D. Subpoena for the State D.A. Burch, Esq. J. McReeves & Trent Westbrooks, W.C. Howell, Attorney General Pro tem A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Of Grand Jury, W.W. Elswick John Shesby, J.F. Trotter, D.H. Wright, R.H. Grice, R.A. Ellison, W.C. Pace, W.H. McCaully, Geo. Brown, Oda Pinkston, Walter Hedge & H.P. Phebus.

One against W.H. Stokes, Assault with intent to commit in the first degree, which indictment is in the words and signifies as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1932 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that W.H. Stokes of said County, heretofore, to wit, on the 27th day of December 1931 with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and maliciously, did make an assault upon the body of one J.C. Richardson with a certain knife with the unlawful and felonious intent, then and there, him, the said J.C. Richardson unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill and upon him to commit the crime and felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and a dignity of the State of Tennessee. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, April Term, 1932 THE STATE VS. W.H. Stokes, Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree, J.C. Richardson, Prosecutor, SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: J.C. Richardson, Dan Smith & John Smith, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, April Term, 1932 R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

State of Tennessee
Vs. Sci Fa.
Jack Wright & Chas. Norman
This case is continued until the the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee
Vs. H.B. & Larceny.
Banks Wright
In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; Neal Danesworth, Dave Cullum, Lee Sharp, Gilbert Davis, W.R. Webb, J.H. Cotham, Paul Reese, John Miley, D.B. Danesworth, Elmer Marsett, Dave Merideth & H.L. Rogers, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issues joined according to law, who, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant, Banks Wright go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
Vs. Lewdness.
Daniel Hooper & Leocy Keel
In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute the case no further. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
Vs. Carrying a Pistol.
Grady Turner
This case is continued by the defendant until the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee
Vs. B.D.
Grady Turner
This case is continued by the defendant until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee
Vs. Driving drunk.
Gilbert Shaw
In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute the case no further. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
Vs. Larceny.
B.P. Turner et al.
This case is continued by the defendant until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee
Vs. Driving drunk.
Ed Rhegnese
This case is continued by the defendant until the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee
Vs. Driving drunk.
P.B. Worley
In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute the case no further. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
Vs. A. & B. with intent to kill.
Jesse Beeles
In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; Neal Danesworth, John Miley, W.R. Webb, J.D. Lytton, J.H. Collier, J.H.T. Cotham, Paul Reese, W.W. Norman, Gilbert Davis, Elmer Marsett, Dave Merideth & H.L. Rogers, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issues joined according to law, who, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant, Jesse Beeles be discharged and go hence without day.