

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 22nd day of April 1931.

State of Tennessee
Vs. Violation Age Consent.
Hooper Daniel

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawfull men of Humphreys County, to wit: Jeff Page, Boss Fortner, H.H.Himby, A.A.Arnold, Jim Patrick, M.J.Brennon, E.W.Sykes, M.M.Anderson, Bob Hughey, W.J.Curtis, John Pearl and N.L.Williams, who, being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, Does Birch and George Wyatt, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment of unlawfully having carnal knowledge of a female over the age of twelve years and under the age of twenty-one years who was not a lewd, bawd or kept female at the time and before the act of carnal knowledge, as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at three years in the Penitentiary. Whereupon the defendant gave notice of a motion for a New Trial and this cause is past pending the filing and hearing of said motion.

Court then adjourned untill tomorrow morning at 9 O'Clock.

J.D. Morton, Judge.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 23rd day of April 1931.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THR HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE ETC.
State of Tennessee
Vs. Violation Age Consent.
Hooper Daniel

In this cause comes again the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, when the motion for a New Trial, heretofore filed in this cause, came on to be heard by the Court, and which motion is as follows:

State of Tennessee
Vs. In Circuit Court Waverly Tennessee.
Hooper Daniel

Comes the defendant in person, and by attorney, and moves the Court for a new Trial in this cause upon the following grounds.

First

Because the verdict of the Jury is against the weight of the evidence which preponderates in favor of the defendant.

Second

Because the weight of the evidence is against the verdict of the Jury, and in favor of the innocence of the defendant. J.R.Morris, Atty. Filed April 24, 1931. L.C. Bohanan, Clerk. And the same being heard by the Court it is in all things over-ruled. To which action of the Court the Defendant excepts.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged by the Court, that for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant be confined in the State Penitentiary at Nashville, Tennessee, at hard labor for a period of not less than three years nor more than three years and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

Thereupon the defendant moved in arrest of judgment, which motion is likewise over-ruled by the Court and to which action of the Court the defendant excepts.

Thereupon the defendant prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court at Nashville, Tennessee, which appeal is granted and the defendant is allowed thirty days in which to prepare and file his bill of exception. The defendant will execute an appearance bond, as required by law, in the sum of Two Thousand Dollars for his appearance before the Supreme Court at Nashville and in the event/his failure he will be held in the custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County to await the orders of the Court.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 23 day of April 1931

This day the Grand Jury came into open Court in a body and presents the following indictments and presentments.
 One against John Wilson Carrying a pistol which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of the Circuit Court, A.D. 1931. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the county of Humphreys and State aforesaid, present that John Wilson heretofore, unlawfully carried a pistol, the same not being an army or navy pistol carried openly in the hand, to the evil example of all others in like case offending the peace and dignity of the State.
 W. C. Howell, attorney General Pro Tem.

April Term 1931 THE STATE Vs. John Wilson Carrying a Pistol, Subpoena for the State Mrs. M. Austin, Rhudolph Waggoner, S. B. Brigham, Ruth Wright and Amie Fuller, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, J.C. Gunn, D. C. Vaden, M.L. Moran, E.C. Watkins, W.H. Crockett, J.M. Reece J. T. Warden, R.S. Warren, J.H. Smith, C. P. Burchard and W.L. Cude, A. M. Cumming, W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro Tem.

One against Cleve Goodman Drunkness which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, 1931.

The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and the State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Cleve Goodman heretofore, to wit, on the 17 day of April 1931 in said County and State, and on divers other days and times, both before and since said date was then and there unlawfully, openly, publicly and notoriously drunk, in a public place to the evil example of all other likewise offending, and against the peace and dignity of the State.

April Term, 1931 The State Vs. Cleve Goodman Drunkness, Subpoena for the State D.H. Thomas and Porter Legan, W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro. Tem. A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel foreman of Grand Jury, J.C. Gunn, M.L. Moran, E.C. Watkins, W.H. Crockett, J.M. Reece, J.T. Warden, R.S. Warren, J.H. Smith, C. P. Burchard, W.L. Cude, A.M. Cumming and D.C. Vaden.

One against Basil Florence Carrying a Pistol Which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of the Circuit Court, A.D. 1931. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Basil Florence heretofore, to wit, on the 19th day of January 1931, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully carried a pistol, the same not being an army or navy pistol carried openly in the hand, to the evil example of all others in like case offending, and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro Tem. April Term 1931 The State Vs. Basil Florence carrying a pistol Subpoena for the State, Maudie Durham, John Scarlett, Norman Greenwell, Soldie Curtis and Mrs. Bob Rainwater W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro Tem A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, J.C. Gunn, D.C. Vaden, M.L. Moran, E.C. Watkins, W.H. Crockett, J.M. Reece, J.T. Warden, R.S. Warren, J.H. Smith, C.P. Burchard, W.L. Cude and A.M. Cumming.

One against Henry Jackson Fish Law Which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931.

The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid present that Henry Jackson heretofore, to wit, on the 22nd. day of March 1931 in said county and state, unlawfully did catch or take fish from the running streams of said county by means or use of nets placed in streams of said county contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state. W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro Tem April Term 1931 The State Vs. Henry Jackson Fish Law Subpoena for the State Buster Peebles and Sam Sickerson W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro Tem. R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury J.C. Gunn, D.C. Vaden, M.L. Moran, E.C. Watkins, W.H. Crockett, J.M. Reece, J.T. Warden, R.S. Warren, J.H. Smith, C.P. Burchard, W.L. Cude and A.M. Cumming

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term, 23rd. day of April 1931

One against Bryant Davidson Drunkness which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, 1931. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Bryant Davidson heretofore, to wit, on the 22 day of March 1931, in said County and State, and on divers other days and times, both before and since said date was then and there unlawfully, openly, publicly, and notoriously drunk, in a public place to the evil example of all other likewise offending, and against the peace and dignity of the State.

April Term 1931 The State Vs. Bryant Davidson Drunkness Subpoena for the State W.H. May, Ernest May and Herman Fisher, W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro- Tem.

A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman of Grand Jury, J.C. Gunn, M.L. Moran, E.C. Watkins, W.H. Crockett, J.M. Reece, J.T. Warden, R.S. Warren, J.H. Smith, C.P. Burchard, W. L. Cude, A.M. Cumming and D.C. Vaden.

REPORT OF GRAND JURY.

We, the members of the Grand Jury at the April term 1931 of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, beg leave to submit the following report to your Honor.

We have diligently inquired and true presentment made of all offenses given us in charge by your Honor or otherwise brought to our knowledge.

We have examined the County Jail and Poor House and find the prisoners and inmates well fed and cared for, However we find that roof of Poor House is in need of attention, same leaking and creating unsatisfactory conditions to inmates and the condition of clothing of inmates need attention, the same appearing to be neglected.

The jail needs attention in floors and windows. We have examined all bonds required to be examined by us and find them properly executed and good and solvent for the secured amounts thereof, and now having completed our labors, we respectfully ask to be discharged for the term. R.H. McKeel, Foreman, E.C. Watkins, J.C. Gunn, J.T. Warden, M.L. Moran, A.M. Cumming, R.S. Warren, D.C. Vaden, W.L. Cude, J.M. Reece, W.H. Crockett, J.H. Smith and C.P. Burchard.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Driving Drunk.

Isaac Crockett)

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads, not guilty, Thereupon to try the issue joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Jeff Page, L. Robertson, H.H. Himby, Boss Fortner, W.O. Simpson, M.J. Brennan, E.W. Sykes, Charley McMurray, John Milam, Jim Patrick and John Pearl, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile on the public highways of said state and county as charged in the indictment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant be confined in the Jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee, at Waverly for a period of thirty days and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue. Whereupon the defendant gave notice of a motion for a New Trial and this cause is passed pending the filing and hearing of said motion.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 23 day of April 1931.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Jimmie Phelps

Motion to retax costs

Mis.D.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Albert Waynicks

Motion to retax costs

Drunkenness

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Floyd Mix

Bad Check

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, and the defendant in person and by attorney, when on recommendation of the Attorney General a nolleprosequi is entered in this case upon the defendant paying or securing costs. Thence came into open Court G.E. Smith, John Perkins and J.S. Mathews and entered their names as sureties. It is so adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant and his sureties pay all of said costs of this cause to the Clerk of this court for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Ray Merrideth et al)

Abduction.

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill it is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendants be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Hosie Chappel

Age Consent.

In this cause came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and upon motion it is ordered by the Court that the Cause be held over until the next term of Court to be investigated by the Grand Jury, it appearing the witnesses for the State are unable to attend at the present term. It is ordered that the defendant be held on his present bond to await the action of the Grand Jury at next term of Circuit Court.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 23rd day of April 1931.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Herschell Cooley

B.D.

In this case the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person who being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: H.H. Himby, D.M. Owens, E.W. Sykes, Bob Hughey, Jeff Page, M.J. Brennan, Moodie Anderson, John Pearl, John Miley, Boss Fortner, Frank James, Jim Patrick who being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined according to law, who, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant Herschell Cooley go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Harris Johnson

A. & B.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person who being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Jeff Page, M.M. Anderson, H.H. Himby, Boss Fortner, Jim Patrick, M.J. Brennan, E.W. Sykes, Charlie McMurray, Bob Hughey, John Miley, Frank James and John Pearl who being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined according to law, who, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant pay a fine of Ten & No/100 Dollars together with all the costs of this cause. And in event of his failure ^{to pay same said fine with} he shall be confined in the county Jail or Work House until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs for which let execution issue.

Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

J. J. Menden Clerk.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE ETC.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Driving Drunk.

Isaac Crockett

In this cause comes again the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, when the motion for a New Trial, heretofore filed in this cause, came on to be heard by the Court and which motion is as follows:

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

Isaac Crockett

Comes the defendant in person and by attorney, and moves the court for a new trial in the case for the following reasons:-

1st.

Because the preponderance of the evidence is against the verdict of the Jury, and in favor of the innocence of the defendant.

2nd.

Because the Court erred in not allowing counsel for the defendant to argue to the Jury that the defendant could not be convicted unless it was found by the Jury that he drove an automobile while under the influence of intoxicating liquor upon a public road or highway in the State of Tennessee.

3rd.

Because the Court erred telling counsel for the defendant during the argument, and in the presence of the Jury, that the statute condemning driving an automobile in the State of Tennessee while under the influence of intoxicating liquor was applicable in the case. Wherefore the defendant prays the Court to set aside the verdict of the Jury, and grant him a new trial in this cause. And the same being heard by the Court it is in all things over-ruled. To which action of the Court in over-ruling said motion the defendant excepts. Thereupon the defendant moved in arrest of judgment, which motion is likewise over-ruled by the Court and to which action of the Court the defendant excepts. Thereupon the defendant prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court at Nashville, Tennessee, which appeal is granted and the defendant is allowed thirty days in which to prepare and file his bill of exceptions. The defendant will execute an appearance bond in the sum of Two Hundred & fifty dollars, as required by law, for his appearance before the Supreme Court at Nashville and in the event of his failure he will be held in the custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County to await the order of the Court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Obstructing Road.

Silas Hatcher

In this cause the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person, who, being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Jeff Page, M.M. Anderson, K.L. Exem, Boss Fortner, Jim Patrick, J.W. Anderson, E.W. Sykes, Charlie McMurray, Bob Hughey, John Milem, J.E. Parker and John Pearl, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined according to law, who, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant Silas Hatcher go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Violation of fish law.

Ike Baker

In this cause the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person, who, being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, To wit: Jeff Page, M.M. Anderson, H.H. Himby, Boss Fortner, Jim Patrick, M.J. Breanon, E.W. Sykes, Charlie McMurray, Bob Hughey, John Milem, Frank James and John Pearl, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined according to law, who, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant Ike Baker go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Bad Check.

L.B. Osborne

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and pleads guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall be confined in the County Jail for a period of Ten days and pay all the costs of this cause,

that It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court the defendant L.B. Osborne be confined in the County Jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee, for a period of Ten days and shall pay all the costs of this cause, and in event of his failure to pay cost he shall remain in the County Jail or work house until he pay, secure or work out all of said costs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Bad Check.

L.B. Osborne

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and pleads guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall be confined in the County Jail for a period of Ten days and pay all the costs of this cause.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant L.B. Osborne be confined in the County Jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee, for a period of Ten days and shall pay all the costs of this cause, and in event of his failure to pay cost he shall remain in the County Jail or work house until he pay, secure or work out all of said costs.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 O'clock.

J.D.G. Morton, Judge.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON, JUDGE ETC.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Larceny.
 Dallas Jackson et al }

In this cause the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a Jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: M.M. Anderson, H.M. Vaden, William Rye, Bob Hughey, C.A. McMurray, Jim Thompson, Will Easley, D.M. Owens, Wess Cathey, E.B. Maden, Geo. Johnson and John Inghram, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined according to law, who, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendants Dallas Jackson and Bub Lasheur go hence without day.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Possessing a Still or part of Still.
 Don McCloud et al }

In this cause came the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a Jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Jeff Page, W.O. Simpson, H.H. Himby, Boss Fortner, Allen Bone, M.J. Brennan, E.W. Sykes, Spencer Qualls, Monroe Holland, John Milem, Jim Patrick and John Pearl, who, being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they cannot agree upon a verdict in this case.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that a mistrial be entered in this case, and the jury be discharged, and the case continued until the next term of court.

This day came into open court Mrs. T.C. Bryant and presents and reads in open court, her account against the State of Tennessee, for boarding the Jurors in case of State of Tennessee Vs. Ike Baker \$7.00, which is allowed by the Court, and ordered paid out of the Treasury of the State, of Tennessee, and that the Clerk of this Court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

This day came into open Court, Mrs. Minnie Pavo and presents and reads in open court, her account against the State of Tennessee, for boarding the Jurors in case of State of Tennessee Vs. Hooper Daniel \$21.00, which is allowed by the Court, and ordered paid out of the Treasury of the State, of Tennessee, and that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

This day came into open court, Mrs. J.F. Fowlkes and presents and reads in open court, her account against the State of Tennessee, for boarding the Jurors in case of State of Tennessee Vs. Dallas Jackson et al \$7.00, which is allowed by the court, and ordered paid out of the Treasury of the State, of Tennessee, and that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Motion to retax cost
 A. & B.
 M.L. Moran }

In this case the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the Court, from the return of sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Motion to retax costs.
 Attempt of H.B.
 Melvin Hicks }

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant, for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and paid out of the State Treasury, and the clerk of this Court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Motion to retax Costs.
 Simple Assault
 Rogers Wilborn }

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Motion to retax Costs
 B.D.
 Amond Elazier }

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Motion to retax costs
 Simple Assault
 Macel Matlock }

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Motion to retax costs
Eugene Ethridge Profane Language

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Motion to retax costs
Neal Inmon Driving Drunk.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court, from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Motion to retax costs.
Walter Miller E.D.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Motion to retax costs.
George Allen A. & B.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Motion to retax costs.
Melvin Hicks Drunkeness.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Motion to retax costs.
Floyd Matlock A. & B.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Motion to retax costs.
Goad Wright B.D.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Motion to retax costs.
Henry Danesworth H. B. & Larceny.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and paid out of the State Treasury, and the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the comptroller for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Motion to retax costs.
Kit Baugus et al A. & B.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Motion to retax costs.
J.W. Elliot Drunkeness.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Motion to retax costs.
Roy Hughey Public Profanity.
In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Motion to retax costs
Roy Hughey Resisting Arrest
In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Motion to retax costs
Roy Hughey Drunkenness
In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Motion to retax costs
Marshall Sanders Drunkenness
In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs. Motion to retax costs
James H. Monsuet A. & B.
In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

SHERIFF'S BOARD BILL FOR BOARDING PRISONERS CHARGED WITH FELONIES.

This day came Walter McNeil, Sheriff and Jailor for Humphreys County, Tennessee, in open Court and presents and reads his board bill against the State of Tennessee, for boarding prisoners charged with felonies.

State of Tennessee Vs. Scott Shanks, Murder	Dec. 11, 1930 to March 3, 1931	82 days	\$61.50, 2 turn keys \$2.00, =	\$63.50
State of Tennessee Vs. Dalis Jackson xxxxi Petit Larceny	Dec. 31, 1930 to March 14, 1931.	74 days	\$55.50, 2 T.K. \$2.00,	\$57.50
State of Tennessee Vs. Bub Lashur, Petit Larceny	Dec. 31, 1931. Jan. 2, 1931	3 days	\$2.25, 2 T.K. \$2.00,	4.25
State of Tennessee Vs. Claud Shanks, Murder	Feb. 21, 1931 to March 3, 1931	11 days	\$8.25, 2 T.K. \$2.00,	10.25
State of Tennessee Vs. Boyd Raymer, H.B. & Larceny	March 30, 1931 to Apr. 4, 1931.	6 days	\$4.50, 2 T.K. \$2.00,	6.50
State of Tennessee Vs. Allen Raymer H.B. & Larceny	March 30, 1931 to Apr. 2, 1931	4 days	\$3.00, 2 T.K. \$2.00,	5.00
State of Tennessee Vs. Ray Merrideth, Abduction	Feb. 4, 1931 to Feb. 9, 1931	6 days	\$4.50, 2 T.K. \$2.00,	6.50
State of Tennessee Vs. Hooper Daniel, Age Consent	Apr. 22, 1931 to Apr. 23, 1931.	2 days	\$1.50, 2 T.K. \$2.00,	3.50
State of Tennessee Vs. Eugene Tibbs, H.B. & Larceny	Apr. 23, 1931 to Apr. 24, 1931.	2 days	\$1.50 2 T.K. \$2.00,	3.50
State of Tennessee Vs. C.A. McCaully, Bad Check	March 3, 1931 to March 7, 1931.	5 days	\$3.75, 2 T.K. \$2.00	5.75
State of Tennessee Vs. Henry Danesworth, H.B. & Larceny	Dec. 11, 1930 to Dec. 15, 1930.	4 days	\$3.00, 2 T.K. \$2.00,	5.00
State of Tennessee Vs. Henry Danesworth, H.B. & Larceny	Nov. 21, 1930 to Dec. 11, 1930.	25 days		18.75 \$141.50

Ollie B. Miller)
Vs. In the Circuit Court of Humphreys
County, Tennessee.
Virgil Miller)

DECREE FOR DIVORCE.

This cause came on to be heard upon motion of the complaint for order of pro confesso and it appearing to the Court that due publication has been made for the defendant as required by law, and the defendant failed to plead or answer.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that complainant's bill be taken for confessed as to the defendant and the case set for hearing exparte.

This cause came on further to be heard by the Court upon the original bill and the oral testimony introduced in open court, from all of which it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the allegations made in said bill have been fully sustained, that is, that the defendant has abandoned complainant, turned her out of doors, and neglected and refused to provide for her, that he is an habitual drunkard, contracting the same since marriage. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony now subsisting between complainant and defendant be forever and perpetually dissolved and

for nothing held, and that complainant Ollie B. Miller be granted and absolute divorce from the defendant Virgil Miller, and be restored to all the rights and privileges of an unmarried woman, and her maiden name Ollie B. Young be restored to her, the defendant will pay all the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

E.P. Lowery
Vs.
J.M. Clements &
City of McKwen

Circuit Court,

The plaintiff moved the Court for permission to take a nonsuit, which motion was granted, preduice, and the plaintiff taxed with the costs.

Court then adjourned until court in course.

J.D.G. Morton, Judge.

CAPTION AUGUST TERM CIRCUIT COURT A.D. 1931.

State of Tennessee)
Humphreys County)

Be it remembered that a Circuit Court was opened and held in and for the County of Humphreys at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly Tennessee on the 10th. day of August it being the 2nd Monday of said month, and the One Thousand Nine Hundred and Thirtyfirst year of our Lord, and the One Hundred and Fifty^{fourth} year of American Independence.

Present and Presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Morton Judge of the 9th Judicial District of the State of Tennessee.

Court was opened in due form of law by Walter McNeil, Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him was returned into open Court a writ of Venire Facias, showing that the following named persons were appointed by the County Court, at its July Term 1931, to appear and to serve as Jurors at this the present term of this Court to wit: Enlo Turner, Tom Fortner, Horace Carter, Howard Bell, C.L. Petty, E.W. Nix, Binkley Crockett, Pierce Hooper, Jno. Tinnel, Fred Prichard, R.D. Bruce, R.L. Tummins, Clarence Baker, V.Y. Rogers, Doss Hudson, L.O. Morgan, John May, Willie Franklin, R.C. Davis, Walter H. Jones, J.C. Wright, Doss Stewart, J.N. Duncan & W.T. Warren.

And it appearing to the Court that the above named parties were regularly summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and that all of said parties summoned appeared and answered said summon ~~appeared~~

And out of said Jurors so summoned the following were selected, as required by law, as Grand Jurymen, to wit: Clarence Baker, V.Y. Rogers, R.C. Davis, Doss Stewart, R.D. Bruce, W.T. Warren, John May, Horace Carter, John Tennell, C.L. Petty, E.W. Nix, J.N. Duncan, & R.H. McNeil having been appointed Foreman of the Grand Jury at a former term of this court, the said Grand Jury is in all things as the law directs having been duly elected, tried sworn and charged by the Court according to law, retired to their room in charge of R.F. Ingram Deputy Sheriff of Humphreys County, sworn according to law to attend them in considering indictments and presentments.

And out of the remaining number of said jurors so summoned, the following were excused from jury service, by the Court, to wit: Tom Fortner, Pierce Hooper and Iuloe Turner.

And the following named persons were summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and qualified as regular jurors in the stead of the above named excused jurors to wit:

R.L. Petty, C.H. Bramlett & R.L. Parker.

And it is further ordered by the Court that the sheriff summon six additional jurors to serve as members of the regular jury at this term of the court and the sheriff summoned J.D. Parker, W.E. McCollum, J.M. Madden, E.S. Ellis, Tom Danesworth, & E.W. Cullum.

W.C. Howell appointed Attorney General, Pro Tempore

Whereas, Hon. John B. Bowman, Attorney General for the ninth Judicial Circuit, of the State of Tennessee, is sick and on that account unable to attend the present term of the Court to perform his duties as such Attorney General and on account has failed to attend and prosecute according to law; Therefore, I, J.D.G. Morton, Judge of said Judicial Circuit by virtue of the power vested in me, by the Constitution and laws of the State of Tennessee do hereby appoint W.C. Howell, a regularly licensed attorney of the State of Tennessee engaged in the active practice of his profession in said state, and who possesses all the qualification requires by law as Attorney General, Pro Tempore, for and during this term of Circuit Court for and in the place of the said John B. Bowman, Attorney General, and

with all the powers and duties conferred upon him by law by virtue of this appointment. This appointment of the said W.C.Howell as such Attorney General, Pro Tempore is for the Aug. Term, 1931, of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, Tennessee. This the 10th., day of August 1931.

J.D.G.Morton.
Circuit Court Judge.

State of Tennessee
Humphreys County

I, W.C.Howell, do solemnly swear that I will perform with fidelity the duties of the office of the Attorney General, Pro Tempore of the Ninth Judicial Circuit for the Circuit Court held for Humphreys County, Tennessee, in Waverly at the August Term 1931, to which I have been appointed by the Hon. J.D.G.Morton, Circuit Court Judge of said Court, and that I will support the Constitution of the United States of America and the Constitution of the State of Tennessee.

I further swear that I have not, directly or indirectly, given, accepted or knowingly carried a challenge in writing or otherwise to any person, being a citizen of the State, since the adoption of the Constitution of 1853 or aided or abetted therein, and that I will not, during my continuance in office, be guilty of either of these acts.

Witness my hand, this the Tenth, day of August 1931.

W.C.Howell

Sworn to and subscribed to before me,
this the 10th., day of August 1931.

L.C.Bobanan, Circuit Court Clerk.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9'oclock

J.D.G.Morton Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment present and presiding the Hon.J.D.G.Morton, Judge etc.

State of Tennessee)
Vs.) Driving Drunk.
Elvis Collier)

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty, Thereupon to try the issue joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; L.O.Morgan, E.W.Cullum, C.H.Bramlett, John R.Anderson Tom Dancesworth, Walter E.Jones, W.E.McCollum, R.L.Tummins, R.L.Petty, Howard Bell, Willie Franklin and Fred Prichard, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of driving an automobile in Humphreys County, Tennessee, while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, as charged in the indictment. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant be confined in the Jail of Humphreys County, Tennessee, for a period of Thirty days and pay the costs of this cause, and in event of his failure to pay the costs of this cause, he shall be confined in the county Jail or Work House until he pay, secure or workout all of said fine and costs. Thereupon the defendant gave notice of a motion for a new trial and this cause is passed pending the filing and hearing of said motion.

J. A. Tomlinson)
Vs.) Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.
Neal Weatherapoon)

This cause came on to be heard and was heard by the Court, wit out the intervention of a jury. on this day, when it appeared to the Court from the testimony of the plaintiff that the debt created in the conditional sales contract in question in this cause was extinguished and paid by the act of the plaintiff himself in that he took the pair of mules mentioned in the contract, and without the permission of the conditional owner of said mules kept said mules for some time, and later sold them without ever complying with the law relative to the sale of property repossessed under the conditional sales contract. The Plaintiff and his sureties on his cost bondswill pay all the costs of the cause for which execution may issue.

V. Dutton)
Vs.) In Circuit Court at Waverly.
H. H. Ross &)
J. R. Ross)

This cause came on to be heard this Aug. 10th., 1931, before J.D.G. Morton Judge of the Judicial Circuit of the State of Tennessee, without the intervention of a Jury after the hearing of all the proof in the case, it appearing to the Court that the plaintiff is intitled to a judgment of \$101.00 and all cost against the defendant J. R. Ross, but as the defendant H. H. Ross and his interest in the case, that is dismissed from all libilities. It is therefore orderde. adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendant J. R. Ross the sum of \$101.00 and all cost in the case, for which an execution will issue.

This day the Grand Jury came into open court in a body and presents the following indictments and presentments.

Age Consent
One against Hosie Chapple, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1931
The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Hosie Chapple heretofore, to wit, on the 4th day of July 1930, in said County and State, unlawfully and carnally knew Myrtle Forest, a female, over the age of Twelve years and under the age of Twenty One years, the said Hosie Chapple and Myrtle Forest not occupying the relation of husband and wife, at the time of such carnal knowledge, and the said Myrtle Forest not being, at the time and before said carnal knowledge; a bard, lewd or kept female, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell Attorney General, Pro Tem, August Term, 1931 The State Vs. Hosie Chappell, Age Consent, Lon Forest, Prosecutor, Subpoena for the State: Lon Forest, George Wyatt, J.C. Thomas and Jim Devony, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury August Term, 1931, R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury. W.C. Howell, Attorney-General, Pro Tem, A True Bill. R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against J.A. (Jack) Bramlett, B.D., which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that J.A. (Jack) Bramlett heretofore, to wit, on the 18th day of July 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further presents that the said J.A. (Jack) Bramlett on the day and year aforesaid in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully did transport from one point to another in the state, intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State, August Term, 1931 The State Vs. J.A. (Jack) Bramlett, B.D., Subpoena for the State, D.B. McCann, J.S. Westbrook, T.R. Westbrook and Esq. J.M. Reeves. W.C. Howell, Attorney-General, Pro Tem. A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, John May, R.D. Bruce, V.Y. Rogers, D.B. Stewart, J.W. Tamm, C.L. Petty, H.L. Carter, R.C. Davis, W.T. Warren, C.H. Baker, E.W. Nix, J.N. Duncan.

One against D.T. Gould, Driving Drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that D.T. Gould heretofore, to wit, on the 19th day of June 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the public highways of said State and County while under the influence of intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell, Attorney-General, Pro Tem, August Term, 1931, The State Vs. D.T. Gould, Driving Drunk, Subpoena for the State: George Pace, J.S. Westbrook, Bill Mathis, Sam Scott, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury August Term, 1931, R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney-General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL, R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against George Mallard, H.B. & Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that George Mallard heretofore, to wit, on the 12th day of June 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully, feloniously and forcibly did break and enter the garage of one Franklin Gibbons in the night time with intent to feloniously take, steal and carry away the goods and chattels of the said Franklin Gibbons then and there to be had and found in said garage, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell, Attorney-General, Pro Tem, August Term, 1931, The State Vs. George Mallard, H.B. & Larceny, Franklin Gibbons, Prosecutor, Subpoena for the State: Franklin Gibbons, T.R. Westbrook, John Williams, Esq. J.M. Reeves, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury August Term, 1931, R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney-General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL, R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Vole Tubbs and Nelse Ingram, B.D., which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Vole Tubbs and Nelson Ingram heretofore, to wit, on the 9th day of June 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further presents that the said Vole Tubbs and Nelson Ingram on the day and year aforesaid in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully did transport from one point to another in this State, intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State, August Term, 1931, The State Vs. Vole Tubbs and Nelson Ingram, B.D., Subpoena for the State, J.C. Thomas, W.R. Spann, J.S. Westbrook, Walter McNeil, W.C. Howell, Attorney-General, Pro Tem & TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, John May, R.D. Bruce, V.Y. Rogers, D.B. Stewart, J.W. Tamm, C.L. Petty, H.L. Carter, R.C. Davis, W.T. Warren, E.W. Nix, C.H. Baker, J.N. Duncan.

One against Luther Daniel, Assault with intent to commit murder, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Luther Daniel of said County, heretofore, to wit, on the 12th day of July 1931 with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and maliciously, did make an assault upon the body of one Jesse Anderson with a certain knife with the unlawful and felonious intent, then and there, him, the said Jesse Anderson unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill and upon him to commit the crime and felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell, Attorney-General, Pro Tem, August Term, 1931 The State Vs. Luther Daniel, Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree, Jesse Anderson, Prosecutor. Subpoena for the State: Jesse Anderson, Robert Wells, Esq. J.M. Reeves, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, August Term, 1931, R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney-General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Will Holt, B.D., Subpoena for the State Tom Harris, Vernon Pendergrass and T.B. Pendergrass.
 against
 One/Grady Berryman, Driving Drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows,
 to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1931
 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and state aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid present that Grady Berryman heretofore, to wit, on the 25th. day of April 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an Automobile on the public highways of said state and county while under the influence of intoxicating liquor contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state. W. C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, August Term, 1931 The State Vs. Grady Berryman Driving Drunk, Subpoena for the State: A. H. Parnell, W. C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, R. H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, John May, R. D. Bruce, V. Y. Rogers, D. B. Stewart, J. W. Tinnel, C. L. Petty, H. L. Carter, R. C. Davis, W. T. Warren, C. H. Baker, E. W. Wix, J. N. Duncan.

is
 One against Clarence Felts, Driving Drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Clarence Felts heretofore to wit, on the 28th. day of June 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the public highways of said state and county while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state. W. C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, August Term, 1931 THE STATE Vs. Clarence Felts Driving Drunk, Subpoena for the State: Tom Harris, George Pace, A. H. Parnell, Esq. J. M. Reeves, Traylor Harris, W. C. Howell Attorney General, Pro Tem, R. H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, John May, R. D. Bruce, V. Y. Rogers D. B. Stewart, J. W. Tinnel, C. L. Petty, H. L. Carter, R. C. Davis, W. T. Warren, E. W. Wix, C. H. Baker, J. N. Duncan.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } A. & B. with pistol

C. W. Daniel }
 In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill
 it is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee }
 Vs. } Carrying a Pistol
 C. W. Daniel }

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill
 it is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

A. H. Little V

Vs.

Parks & Journey

A. H. Little

Vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

Parks & Journey

This cause came on to be heard and was heard by the Court, without the intervention of a jury, when it appeared to the Court at the end of the plaintiff's testimony that the plaintiff had failed to discharge the legal burden placed upon him, in that he failed to make out his case; and the Court was pleased to dismiss the plaintiff's suit, and tax the plaintiff and his sureties on his appeal bond, A. H. Little, J. H. Little with all the cost of the cause for which execution may issue.
 To which action of the Court, the plaintiff excepts, and gave notice of a motion for a new trial at this term of Court.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Carrying a Pistol

Walter Miller

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the state, and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charged in the indictment, thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Fifty Dollars together with all the costs, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the county jail or work house until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

E. L. Martin

Vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

E. A. Potter

This cause came on to be heard and was heard this day by the Court, without the intervention of a jury, when it appeared to the Court, after hearing the testimony offered in the cause, that the note sued on should be credited with \$50.00 leaving a balance due plaintiff on said note of \$25.00 the Court therefore ordered judgment against the defendant and in favor of the plaintiff for twenty-five Dollars which may have and recover of the defendant, and all the costs in the cause for which execution may issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Sci Fa.

Walter Miller

In this case came the defendant in their own proper person, and the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, when the case came on to be heard was heard by the Court upon the Sci. Fa. return of the Sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendant, and the motion of the defendant to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the Court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set aside forfeiture entered at the December Term 1930 of the court, aside but adjudges the cost of the forfeiture against defendants.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the Forfeiture taken and entered against defendant be and the same is set aside at defendant cost, and that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant all the cost accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeiture for all of which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Drunkness

Walter Miller

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the state, and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charged in the indictment, thereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the costs, and the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the county jail or work house until he pay or secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Sol. Fa.

Walter Miller

In this case came the defendant in person, and the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, when the case came on to be and was heard by the Court upon the Sol. Fa. return of the Sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendant, and the motion of the defendant to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the Court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set aside forfeiture entered at the December Term 1930 of the court, aside, but adjudges the cost of the forfeiture against defendants.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the forfeiture taken and entered against defendant be and the same is set aside at defendant cost, and that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant all the cost accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeiture for all of which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Drunkness

Glyde Mayberry

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged, in the indictment thereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the costs, and the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the county jail or work house until he pay or secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Drunkness

Sam Little

In this case the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State and the Defendant in person who being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment plead not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawfull men of Humphreys County, to wit: L.C. Morgan, J.D. Parker, C.H. Bramlett, Willie Franklin, J.C. Wright, Binkley Crockett, Dowd Hudson, R.L. Tummins, R.L. Petty, Walter H. Jones, R.L. Parker and Fred Priehard who, being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined according to law, who, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say, they find the defendant ^{not} guilty. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant Sam Little go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Drunkness.

Heally Inmon et al

In this case came the Attorney-General, Pro Tem, for the State, and the defendants in person and plead guilty as charged, in the indictment thereupon the Court assess the penalty and say they shall pay a fine of Five Dollars each, together with all the costs and in the event of their failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs they will be confined in the County jail or workhouse until they pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Drunkness.

Walter Burch

In this case came the Attorney-General, Pro tem, for the State, and the defendant in person and pleads guilty as charged, in the indictment thereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the costs, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the County jail or work house until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Mis. d.

Roy Dacus

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that this case be placed upon the Retired Docket.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Mis. D.

Leon Stribler

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that this case be placed upon the Retired Docket.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Profanity.

Fate Ethridge et al

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendants, Fate Ethridge and Walter Ethridge.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Resisting arrest.

Fate Ethridge et al

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendants, Fate Ethridge and Walter Ethridge.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Age Consent.

Tom Gunn

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant, Tom Gunn.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Age Consent.

Patterson Murphree

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. C.W.

Lester Davis

the defendant.

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. A. & B. with intent to commit murder.

Britton Townsend

the defendant.

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Carrying a Pistol.

John Wilson

the defendant.

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Fish law.

Henry Jackson

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

In this case comes the Attorney-General, Pro Tem, and states to the court that he desires to prosecute the case no further.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Drunkenness.

Grady Goodman

the defendant.

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Carrying a Pistol.

Basel Florence

This case is continued on agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court, \$50.00.

This case is continued on agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court, \$50.00.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Drunkenness.

Bryant Davidson

In this case comes the Attorney-General, Pro Tem, for the State, and the defendant in person, and pleads guilty as charged in the indictment, thereupon the Court assesses the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the costs, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the county jail or work house until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs, for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Drunkenness.

Elvis Collier

In this case comes the Attorney-General, Pro Tem, for the State, and the defendant in person, and pleads guilty as charged in the indictment, thereupon the Court assesses the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the costs, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the county jail or work house until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs, for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Will Holt

In this case comes the Attorney-General, Pro Tem, for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to assess the defendant a punishment came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; R.L. Petty, C.H. Bramlett, R.L. Parker, Binkley Crockett, R.L. Tummins, L.O. Morgan, Howard Bell, Fred Prichard, Walter H. Jones, Willie Franklin, J.C. Wright and Doss Hudson, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty, as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the county jail of ~~workhouse~~ until he pay, secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Driving Drunk.

Grady Berryman

This case is continued on agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Driving Drunk.

Clarence Felts

This case is continued on agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Charlie Kooens

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

J. G. Manton -----, Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment present and presiding the Hon. J. D. G. Morton, Judge etc.

State of Tennessee)

Vscent Cutting and Ruining Timber.

Vincent Buchanan
& Newt Buchanan

In this case came the Attorney-General, Pro Tem, for the State, and the defendant in person and by attorney, it is therefore through the recommendation of the defendant's attorney that the indictment is quashed.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendants Vincent & Newt Buchanan go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. H.B. & Larceny.

Boyd Raymer, Paul Mannon
~~Raymer, Allen Raymer~~

In this case came the Attorney-General, Pro Tem, for the State, and the defendants in person and by attorney, when on recommendation of the Attorney-General a nolleprosequi is entered in this case.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendants be discharged and go hence with out day.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. H.B. & Larceny.

Eugene Tibbs

In this case came the Attorney-General, Pro Tem, for the State, and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; R.L. Petty, C.H. Bramlett, R.L. Parker, Binkley Crockett, R.L. Tummins, L.O. Morgan, Howard Bell, Fred Prichard, Walter H. Jones, Willie Franklin, J.C. Wright and Doss Hudson, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law and being in charge of their sworn officer R.F. Ingram, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof the Court is of the opinion that the proof shows the defendant to be under sixteen years of age and for that reason the case is ordered by the Court to be transferred to the Juvenile court of Humphreys County, Tennessee, for disposition in that court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. R.D.

Howard Shanks

This case is continued on agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court, \$100.00.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Jack Bramlett

This case is continued on agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Driving Drunk.

D.T. Gould

This case is continued on application of the Defendant.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. H.B. & Larceny.

George Mallard

In this case comes the Attorney-General, Pro Tem, for the State, and is the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit; R.L. Petty, C.H. Bramlett, R.L. Parker, Binkley Crockett, R.L. Tummins, L.O. Morgan, Howard Bell, Fred Prichard, Walter H. Jones, Willie Franklin, J.C. Wright and Doss Hudson, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officer R.F. Ingram, Deputy Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, who, had previously been legally sworn to attend them, and after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of House Breaking as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at three years in the Penitentiary.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant be confined in the State Penitentiary at Nashville, Tennessee, at hard labor for a maximum period of not less than three years nor more than three years, and it is further ordered, that he be rendered infamous and disqualified to give evidence, or exercise the elective franchise, or hold any office under the State, and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8:30 O'Clock,

J. D. G. Morton, Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment present and presiding the Hon. J. D. G. Morton Judge etc.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Age Consent

Howie Chapple

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro-Tem for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: L.O. Morgan, E.W. Cullum, John Miley, Willie Franklin, Jesse Rice, Binkley Crockett, Does Hudson, W.S. Shanks, E.S. Ellis, W.E. McCollum, H.B. Bell and Tom Danesworth, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they cannot agree upon a verdict in this case. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that a mistrial be entered in this case, and the jury be discharged, and the case continued until the next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Nelse Ingram

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro-Tem for the State and defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: R.L. Petty, C.H. Bramlett, R.L. Parker, Binkley Crockett, R.L. Tummins, L.O. Morgan, H.B. Bell, Fred Prichard, Walter H. Jones, Willie Franklin, J.C. Wright and Does Hudson, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at Sixty days in Jail together with a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of One Hundred Dollars and will serve a term of Sixty days in Jail in Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee, said jail sentence being suspended during good behavior. The defendant will pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. B.D.

Vole Tubbs

In this cause came the Attorney General, Pro-Tem, for the State and the defendant in person, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Jim Thompson, J.D. Parker, C.H. Bramlett, R.L. Petty, J.C. Wright, Sam May, Bob Hughey, Walter H. Jones, R.L. Parker, R.L. Tummins, J.M. Madden and Fred Prichard, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issues joined according to law, who, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant Vole Tubbs go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

Vs. Assault and Battery with intent to Commit Murder.

Luther Daniel

In this cause came the Attorney General, Pro-Tem, for the State and the defendant in person, and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Jim Thompson, J.D. Parker, C.H. Bramlett, Bob Hughey, J.C. Wright, Fred Prichard, J.L. Carroll, Walter H. Jones, R.L. Petty, R.L. Tummins, J.M. Madden and R.L. Parker, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, who, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say they find the defendant ^{not} guilty.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant Luther Daniel go hence without day.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'Clock.

J. D. G. Morton, Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment present and presiding the Hon. J. D. G. Morton, Judge etc. etc. This day the Grand Jury came into open court in a body and presents the following indictments and presentments.

One against Gilbert Buchanan, H.B. & Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows; to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term, of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Gilbert Buchanan, heretofore, to wit, on the 25th day of April 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully, feloniously, and forcibly did break and enter the business house of one J.W. Anderson, of said County, with intent to commit a Felony, to wit, a Larceny. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further presents that the said Gilbert Buchanan, on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did take, steal, and carry away four pair men shoes, eight mens shirts, one pair leather leggins, one pair pants, two ties, supporters, seven pocket knives, twenty five cans Prince Albert tobacco, fifty bags of R.J.R. and Country Gentleman tobacco, all of the value of seventy five dollars, with intent to deprive him, the said J.W. Anderson, the true owner thereof and convert the same to their own use, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro-Tem, August Term, 1931 THE STATE VS. GILBERT BUCHANAN, H.B. & Larceny, J.W. Anderson, prosecutor, SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: J.W. Anderson, J.W. Daniel, D.B. McCann, J.S. Westbrooks, R.F. Ingram and Nealy Harden, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury August Term, 1931 R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro-Tem, A TRUE BILL, R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Vincent Buchanan and Dave Buchanan, Cutting timber, subpoena for the State, John W. Anderson, Jim Frank Crafton, Esq. J.R. Anderson, W.J. Gates and Roy Buchanan.

One against Isaac Crockett, driving drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows: to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Isaac Crockett, heretofore, to wit, on the 17th day of April 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the Public Highways of said State and County while under the influence of intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of State., W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro-Tem, August Term, 1931 THE STATE VS. ISAAC CROCKETT, Driving Drunk, SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: R.L. Harris, W.R. Spann, Joe Traynor and Jim Westbrooks, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro-Tem, R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, John May, R.D. Bruce, V.T. Rogers, D.B. Stewart, J.W. Tinnel, G.L. Petty, H.L. Carter, R.C. Davis, W.T. Warren, C.H. Baker, E.W. Nix and J.N. Duncan,

One against Dock Mays, Assault with intent to Commit Murder in the second Degree, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows; to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, August Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Dock Mays of said County, heretofore, to wit, on the 13th day of February 1931 with ^{force} arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and maliciously, did make an assault upon the body of one Homer D. Batton with a certain Automobile with the unlawful intent, then and there, him, the said Homer D. Batton unlawfully, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill and upon him to commit the crime and

felony of murder in the second degree, against the peace and dignity of the State, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro-Tem, August Term, 1931 THE STATE VS. DOCK MAYS, Assault with intent to commit murder in the second degree, Homer D. Batton, Prosecutor, SUBPOENA FOR THE STATE: Homer Batton, George Wofford and John Henry Wofford, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, August Term, 1931 R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro-Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury. One against Clyde Mayberry, Profane Language, subpoena for the State, Jim Wyles, Hoyt Thomas and Nealy Harden.

One against Mitchell Cathey, Disturbing Worahip, subpoena for the State, Guy McMillon, Maloum Frasee and Charlie Ellison.

One against Hosie Chappell and Buster Peebles, Drunkeness, subpoena for the State, Henry Jackson, Mrs. Nealy Dreaden and Doll Curtis.

One against Tom Wright, Violation Stock Law, subpoena for the State, Henry Jackson.

Mrs. Mennie Jackson, Mrs. Nealy Dreaden, Nealy Dreaden and Roy Dreaden.

One against Tom Welch, Assault & Battery, subpoena for the State, Roy Pinkston, D.C. Bolthrop, R.F. Ingram and T.R. Westbrooks.

State of Tennessee)

vs.

Violation Fish Law.

D.F. Cagle

In this case the Grand jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill it is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

vs.

Cutting Timber

Dave Buchanan

In this cause came the Attorney General, Pro-Tem, and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute the case no futher.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

vs.

Cutting Timber

Vincent Buchanan

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro-Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit, L.O. Morgan, J.D. Parker, C.H. Bramlett, Willie Franklin, J.C. Wright, Tom Danesworth, Deas Hudson, W.H. Jones. R.L. Petty, R.L. Tummins, J.M. Madden and R.L. Parker, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they cannot agree upon a verdict in this case.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that a mistrial be entered in this case, and the jury be discharged, and the case continued until the next term of this court.

Report of the Grand Jury.

We, the members of the Grand Jury at the August Term 1931 of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County beg leave to submit the following report to Your Honor. We have diligently inquired and true presentment made of all offenses given us in charge by your Honor or otherwise brought to our knowledge. We have examined the County Jail and Poor House and find the prisoners and inmates well fed and cared for. We have examined all bonds required to be examined by us and find them properly executed and good and solvent for the several amounts thereof and now having completed our labors, we respectfully ask to be discharged for the term. R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, J.N. Duncan, Horace Carter, J.W. Tinnel, V.T. Rogers, W.T. Warren, E.W. Nix, R.C. Davis, J.C. May, C.H. Baker, D.B. Stewart, R.D. Bruce and C.L. Petty.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

H.B. & Larceny

Gilbert Buchanan

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro-Tem, for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit, R.L. Petty, C.H. Bramlett, R.L. Oaker, Binkley Crockett, R.L. Tummins, L.O. Morgan, Howard Bell, Fred Prichard, Walter H. Jones, Willie Franklin, J.D. Wright and Doss Hudson, who, being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law and being in charge of their sworn officer R.F. Ingram, Deputy Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, who, had previously been legally sworn to attend them, and after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of Attempt to Commit a Larceny, as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at one year in the Penitentiary.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that, for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant be confined in the State Penitentiary at Nashville, Tennessee, at hard labor for a maximum period of not less than one year nor more than one year, and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

Edith Roberts Brown)

Vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

Walter Brown

In this cause, on motion of the complainant, and it duly appeared to the Court that the defendant, Walter Brown, has been regularly served with subpoena to answer the complainant's bill, and that he has failed to appear and make defense to said bill, within the time required by law, it is ordered that, as to him, the complainant's bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

And thereupon, this cause came on further to be heard and was heard by the Court upon the whole record in the cause, including the bill of the complainant, and the pro confesso heretofore taken and entered against the defendant, and the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open court. And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from the proof that the facts charged in the bill are true, that the defendant wilfully deserted the complainant, without a reasonable cause, and failed and refused to provide for her and her two children, and turned them out of doors; and that the defendant treated the complainant so cruel and inhuman as to make her condition intolerable and forced her to withdraw from him; and that the complainant is a chaste woman, and gave the defendant no cause or just excuse for his said misconduct, and complainant has not condoned the same. It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and the complainant be vested with all the rights of an unmarried woman; and that the maiden name, Edith Roberts, be restored to her. The exclusive custody Maribelle Brown and Barbara Jean Brown, the infant children of the parties, is committed to the complainant, free from any interference from the defendant, and the defendant is hereby prohibited from molesting the complainant and said children in any manner, or interfering with them in any manner.

This cause will be taken from the docket, but will be retained in Court for the enforcement of this decree, and for further orders relative to the children, if necessary, or other matters in the cause. The defendant will pay the costs of this cause for which execution will issue.

Martha Hodge Pruett

Vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

William Howard (Pete) Pruett)

This cause came on to be heard this day before the Honorable J. D. G. Morton, Judge, when, on motion of the complainant, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant, William Howard (Pete) Pruett, has been regularly served with subpoena to answer the complainant's bill, and that he has failed to appear and make defense to the said bill within the time required by law; it is, therefore, ordered that as to the defendant the bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

And thereupon, the cause came on further to be heard, and was heard by the Court upon the whole record in the cause, including the bill, and the judgment pro confesso heretofore entered against the defendant, and the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open court. And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from the proof that the facts charged in the bill are true; that the defendant wilfully deserted the complainant, without a reasonable cause, as charged; and turned complainant out of doors, and failed and refused to provide the necessities of life for her, according to his means; that the complainant gave the defendant no just cause for his misconduct, and has not condoned such misconduct.

It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that the complainant be vested with all the rights of an unmarried woman; and that her maiden name, Martha Hodge, be restored to her. The defendant will pay the costs of this cause, for which execution may issue.

R.A. Powles)

Vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

Mack Raney

In this Cause the costs of the appeal is hereby taxed against Mack Raney, and case dismissed, for the want of prosecution, for which let execution issue.

Fannie P. Sadler)

Vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

Foster P. Sadler

In this cause upon motion of the defendant the attachment be and the same is hereby dismissed by the Court, and the case continued until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Forfeiture

Charlie Koontz)

In this cause on motion of the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State it appearing to the Court that a forfeiture was taken against the defendant and his Bondsmen Dr. J.L. Edwards and Geo. W. Mukes at August term of this court 1930, and a Scire Facias ordered and issued and it appearing to the Court that said Scire Facias was legally issued and served on said sureties Dr. J.L. Edwards and Geo. W. Mukes requiring them to appear at December term of this court 1930 and show cause why final judgment should not be taken on said forfeiture of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars and case being continued from time to time until this the August term of this court 1931 and said parties failing to appear and show cause as aforesaid said forfeiture of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars is made final and it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the State of Tennessee recover for the use and benefit of Humphreys County, of said sureties Dr. J.L. Edwards and Geo. W. Mukes the sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars and the costs of this forfeiture for all of which let execution issue.

Court then adjourned until Monday morning at 9 O'CLOCK

J. S. G. Morton Judge.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J. S. G. MORTON JUDGE ETC.

State of Tennessee)

Motion to retax costs.

VS.

Bad Check.

L.B. Osborne

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Motion to retax costs.

VS.

Bad Check.

L.B. Osborne

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Motion to retax costs.

VS.

Drunkness

Lawrence Gorden

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 O'Clock

J. S. G. Morton Judge.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 18th. day of August 1931

COURT MET PRESUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON JUDGE ETC.

State of Tennessee)
VS. Motion to retax costs.
A. & B.
Harris Johnson

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
VS. Motion to retax costs
Drunkness
Sugg Herbison

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
VS. Motion to retax costs
Hoboing.
L.L. Sniper

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
VS. Motion to retax costs
Hoboing.
J.H. Hill

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 O'Clock.

J.D.G. Morton, Judge.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 19th. day of August 1931

COURT MET PRESUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON JUDGE ETC.

State of Tennessee)
VS. Motion to retax costs
Drunkness
Will Stewart

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof, So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
VS. Motion to retax costs
Hoboing
Will Young

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
VS. Motion to retax costs
Hoboing
C.J. Stewart

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
VS. Motion to retax costs
Hoboing
G.W. Mays

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
VS. MOTION TO RETAX COSTS.
DRUNKNESS.

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Motion to retax costs

Hobbing

Ras Lucas

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Motion to retax costs

Gaming

Ras Lucas

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Motion to retax costs

Drunkness

Ose Craft

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Motion to retax costs

A.&B.

Vole Tubbs

In this case came Attorney General Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Motion to retax costs

Hobbing

Tom Danaby

In this case came the Attorney General Pro Tem for the state, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the Law directs.

11111111

State of Tennessee)

VS.

In Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

Elvis Collier

In this cause after the verdict of the jury, the defendant filed his motion for a new trial in the cause, which motion is in the words and figures as follows:-

MOTION NEW TRIAL

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

Elvis Collier

The defendant, Elvis Collier, comes and moves the Court for a new trial in this cause upon the following grounds:-

First

Because the proof offered in the cause preponderates against the verdict of the jury, and in favor of the innocence of the defendant.

Second

Because the evidence introduced in the cause is not sufficient to sustain a conviction of the charge as contained in the indictment in this cause.

Third

Because there is no proof in the record to indicate that the defendant was under the influence of intoxicating liquor, or anything that contained an intoxicant.

Fourth

Because the Court erred in instructing the jury that if the defendant drank a bottle of brew, and the brew made him sick, and this sickness caused him to be in such a condition that he did not have full control of his faculties while driving his automobile, he would still be guilty, as charged in the indictment.

Fifth

Because the indictment in this cause is drawn under an Act of the Legislature, Chapter 87 of the Acts of the General Assembly of Tennessee for the year 1929, which Act has been declared unconstitutional in its entirety by the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee; the said indictment charging that the defendant "did drive an automobile in the State and County aforesaid, while under the influence of intoxicating liquors." While the law only condemns the driving of an automobile upon the public highways of the State of Tennessee. Which motion the Court was pleased to over-rule; and to this action of the Court the defendant excepts. Whereupon the defendant filed his motion in arrest of judgment, which motion is in the words and figures as follows:-

State of Tennessee)

VS.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

Elvis Collier

MOTION IN ARREST OF JUDGMENT

The defendant, Elvis Collier, in this cause comes and moves the Court that judgment on the verdict of the jury, sentencing the defendant to jail for 30 days and adjudging the costs of the cause against him, be arrested upon the following grounds:-

First

Because the indictment or presentment in this case is drawn under an Act of the Legislature the same being Chapter No. 87 of the Acts of the General Assembly of Tennessee for the year 1929, which has been declared unconstitutional; the said indictment charging that the defendant "did drive an automobile in the State and County aforesaid, while under the influence of intoxicating liquors," when the valid existing law in the State of Tennessee at the time offense was committed, condemned the driving of an automobile on the public highway in the State of Tennessee while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, or an intoxicant. Which motion the Court was also pleased to over-rule and to which action of the Court the defendant excepts in over-ruling his motion in arrest of judgment, as well as his motion for a new trial heretofore made and over-ruled; and the defendant prays an appeal to the next term of the Supreme Court at Nashville, Tennessee, which prayer for appeal is granted and the defendant is allowed thirty days in which to file his bill of exceptions and other wise perfect his appeal.

Filed this August 19th 1931
L.C. Bohanan, Clerk.

State of Tennessee)
Vs.
Elvis Collier

In the Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

In this cause comes the defendant by counsel and moves the Court that the thirty day jail sentence pronounced upon the defendant, after the verdict of the jury in this cause at the present term of the court, be suspended until the next term of the court for the following reason:-

That the defendant, Elvis Collier, is a man about 60 years of age, and is of a highly nervous temperament, and that because of his nervous condition, and other disabilities, and perhaps other reasons, on Saturday after his said conviction on Wednesday of the present term of Court, the said defendant, Elvis Collier, attempted to commit suicide by slashing both of his wrists with a razor, and putting a great gash in his throat near his jugular vein, which act was attempted in a secluded spot in the woods lot back of his home when no one was present, and when found he had lost much blood, and was almost at death's door, and is now confined at his home in a critical condition.

And it appearing to the Court that the facts stated in the defendant's motion are true, the Court is pleased to allow said motion, and suspend the execution of thirty day jail sentence imposed upon the defendant in this cause until the next term of this court.

Filed this August 19, 1931, L.C. Bohanan Clerk,

In Re: J.A. Tomlinson, Petition Restoration.

This matter came on to be heard and was heard by his Honor J.D.G. Morton, Judge of the Circuit Court at Waverly Tennessee, on this Wednesday the 19th day of August 1931, upon the petition, and testimony of witnesses in open Court, and it appeared to the Court from the petition and from the proof, from all of which it appeared to the Court, that the petitioner at a former term of this Court, was convicted and sentenced to a term in the Penitentiary at Nashville Tennessee, and thereafter to wit, - on March 4th 1930, a complete pardon was granted him by his excellency Henry H. Horton, Governor.

And it further appeared to the Court from the petition and the proof, that since the pardon was granted to the petitioner, J.A. Tomlinson, he has been a citizen of Humphreys County Tennessee residing at Waverly. That he has so conducted himself that he is entitled to be restored to his good citizenship; he is therefore declared to be a person of good character, respectability, honesty and veracity, and so recognized by his neighbors. The Court so orders adjudge and decrees.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

A.C. Dronie

Motion to retax costs.

Gaming.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

John Durham

Motion to retax costs

Hobbing

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Alvin Marsh

Motion to retax costs.

A. & B.

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Bill Rollins

Motion to retax costs.

Drunkenness

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Oliver Marable

Motion to retax costs.

Drunkenness

In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that, the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs.

Motion to retax costs.

Gaming

A.C.Dronie
In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it the appearing to Court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
Vs.

Motion to retax costs.

Gaming

Sudue Rolston et al
In this case came the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

This day came into open court Mrs. T.C. Bryant and presents and reads in open court, her account against the State of Tennessee, for boarding the Jurors in case of State of Tennessee Vs. Hosié Chappell \$7.00, which is allowed by the Court, and ordered paid out of the Treasury of the State, of Tennessee, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

SHERIFF'S BOARD BILL FOR BOARDING PRISONERS CHARGED WITH FELONIES.

This day came J.B. Westbrooks Deputy Sheriff and Jailor for Humphreys County, Tennessee, in open Court and presents and reads his board bill against the State of Tennessee, for boarding prisoners charged with felonies.

State of Tennessee Vs. Bill Jackson, House Breaking & Larceny, Apr. 26, 1931 to Apr. 30, 1931	
5 days \$3.75, 2 turnkeys \$2.00, -----	\$5.75
State of Tennessee Vs. Gilbert Buchanan, H.B. & Larceny, Apr. 29, 1931 to Aug. 15, 1931	
109 days -----	\$81.75
State of Tennessee Vs. Frank Bell, H.B. & Larceny, June 22, 1931 to June 23, 1931	
2 days \$1.50, 2 T.K. \$2.00, -----	3.50
State of Tennessee Vs. Geo. Mallard, H.B. & Larceny, Aug. 12, 1931 to Aug. 16, 1931	
4 days \$5.00, -----	5.00
	\$94.00

Court then adjourned until Saturday Week at 9:00 O'clock.

_____, Judge.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J.D.G. MORTON JUDGE ETC.

Neal Weatherpoon Admr.,
Vs.

In the Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

M.B. Nickell et al.

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that the hearing of the motion for a new trial, be and the same is continued until the regular December term of Court 1931, the Court so orders, upon its own motion.

Court then adjourned until Court in course.

_____, Judge.

DECEMBER.
CAPTION ~~ANNUAL~~ TERM CIRCUIT COURT A.D. 1931.

State of Tennessee)
Humphreys County)

Be it remembered that a Circuit Court was opened and held in and for the County of Humphreys at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly, Tennessee, on the 14th, day of December it being the 2nd Monday of said month, and the One Thousand Nine Hundred and Thirty-first year of our Lord, and the One Hundred and Fiftyfifth year of American Independance. Present and Presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Judge of the Ninth Judicial District of the State of Tennessee.

Court was opened in due form of law by Walter McNeil, sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and by him was returned into open Court a writ of Venire Facias, showing that the following named persons were appointed by the County Court, at its October Term 1931, to appear and to serve as Jurors at this the present term of this Court to wit: Bob Davis, L.J. Parker, Carl Mallard, Tom Thompson, Sam Bigham, Dick Bryant, C.J. Blessing, R.P. Tribue, H.N. Sykes, J.P. Moore, Bob Tummins, Tom Williams, Ollie Brown, Charlie Taylor, Jim Kiley, Hiram Daniel, Walter Pruet, Hugh Cannon, Tom Pickard, J.W. Fuqua, Osby Potter, Jesse Murphree, Albert Guthrey & J.J. Pace. And it appearing to the Court that the above named parties were regularly summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and that all of said parties so summoned appeared and answered said summon.

And out of said Jurors so summoned the following were selected, as required by law, as Grand Jurymen, to wit: Tom Thompson, Tom Williams, Jim Kiley, Jesse Murphree, L.J. Parker, C.J. Blessing, Osby Potter, J.P. Moore, J.W. Fuqua, Carl Mallard, Hiram Daniel, and H.N. Sykes & R.H. McKee having been appointed Foreman of the Grand Jury at a former term of this Court, the said Grand Jury is in all things as the law directs having been duly elected, tried sworn and charged by the Court according to law, retired to their room in charge of J.R. Traylor Deputy Sheriff of Humphreys County, sworn according to law to attend them in considering indictments and presentments.

And out of the remaining number of said jurors so summoned the following were excused from jury service, by the Court, to wit: Bob Tummins & R.P. Tribue.

And the following named persons were summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and qualified as regular jurors in the stead of the above named excused jurors, to wit: Charlie Allison & Lawrence Cullum.

And it is further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff summon six additional jurors to serve as members of the regular jury at this term of this court and the sheriff summoned G.C. Hatcher, A.A. Allison, J.W. Curtis, Elmer Swayney, Duncan Story & Nath Collier.

W.C. HOWELL APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL, PRO TEM.

Whereas, Hon. John B. Bowman, Attorney General for the Ninth Judicial Circuit, of the State of Tennessee, is sick and that account unable to attend the present term of the Court to perform his duties as such Attorney General and that account has failed to attend and prosecute according to law; Therefore, I, J.D.G. Morton, Judge of said Judicial Circuit, by virtue of the power vested in me, by the constitution and laws of the State of Tennessee, do hereby appoint W.C. Howell, a regularly licensed attorney of the state of Tennessee, engaged in the practice of his profession in said state, and who possesses all the qualifications required by law as Attorney General, Pro Tempore, for and during this term of Circuit Court for and in the place of the said John B. Bowman, Attorney General, and with all the powers and duties conferred upon him by law by virtue of this appointment. This appointment of the said W.C. Howell as such Attorney General, Pro Tempore, is for the December Term 1931, of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, Tennessee, This the 14th day of December 1931.

C.W. Daniel

Vs.

J.O. Rains et al

In the Circuit Court of Humphreys County,
Tennessee, December term, 1931.

On motion of the plaintiff there-for he is allowed sixty days from the adjournment of this term of the Court in which to prepare and file his declaration in the case- so as not to delay the hearing of the case. The defendants will be allowed 15 days after the filing of the declaration in which to prepare and file their ^{defenses} ~~sua~~ thereto. The Clerk will immediately after said declaration is filed notify J.R. Morris Atty. for defendants of the filing of same.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 O'Clock.

John M. Daniel, Judge.

COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J. D. G. MORTON JUDGE, ETC.

This day the Grand Jury came into open Court in a body and presents the following presentments and indictments.

One against Bob Rose and Jesse Mattingly, Grand Larceny which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Bob Rose and Jesse Mattingly heretofore, to wit, on the 26th day of September 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully and feloniously did steal, take and carry away one A model Ford Coupe Automobile, 1931 model, Motor No. 4207134, State license No. 158 783 of Tennessee license, of the value of Five Hundred Dollars, the property of Gilbert Davis of said County, then and there being found, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell, Atty. Gen. Pro Tem. And the Grand Jury aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Bob Rose and Jesse Mattingly of said County, on the day and year aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did receive, buy, conceal and aid in concealing one A Model Ford Coupe Automobile, 1931 model, Motor No. A-4207134, State License No. 158 783 of Tennessee license of the value of Five Hundred Dollars, the property of Gilbert Davis before then feloniously stolen, taken and carried away by some one, to the Grand Jury unknown, they the said Bob Rose and Jesse Mattingly then and there knowing said automobile to have been feloniously stolen, taken, and carried away, and they the said Bob Rose and Jesse Mattingly intending then and there feloniously to deprive the owner thereof, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell Attorney General, Pro Tem, December Term, 1931 The State Vs. Bob Rose & Jesse Mattingly, Gilbert Davis prosecutor, Subpoena for the State. Gilbert Davis, & Dorsey Hedge. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury Dec. Term, 1931, R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Bennie Whitson, Driving Drunk which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Bennie Whitson heretofore, to wit, on the 16th day of November, 1931 in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the public highway of said State and County while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, December Term, 1931, The State Vs. Bennie Whitson, Driving Drunk Subpoena for the State: J.T. Mathis, G.C. Pace & J.S. Westbrook W.C. Attorney General Pro Tem. R.H. McKeel, foreman Grand Jury, H.M. Sykes, J.P. Moore, Tom Thompson, C.J. Blessing, J.L. Murphree, Tom Williams, H.C. Daniel, J.W. Fuqua, J.J. Kiley, J.C. Mallard, T.O. Potter & L.J. Parker.

One against H.E. Baker, B.D. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that H.E. Baker heretofore, to wit, on the 24th day of October 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. December Term, 1931, The State Vs. H.E. Baker, B.D. Subpoena for the State, D.A. Burch & Tom Harris W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, foreman Grand Jury H.M. Sykes, J.P. Moore, Tom Thompson, C.J. Blessing, J.L. Murphree, Tom Williams, H.C. Daniel, J.W. Fuqua, J.J. Kiley, J.C. Mallard, T.O. Potter & L.J. Parker.

One against Clarence Felts, Driving Drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Clarence Felts heretofore, to wit, on the 30th day of August, 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the public highways of said State and County while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state. W.C. Howell Attorney General. Pro Tem. December Term, 1931 The State Vs. Clarence Felts Driving Drunk Subpoena for the State; J.S. Westbrook, Ernest Dunagan, Will Atcherson, Esq. J. McReeves. W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro Tem.

R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. H.M. Sykes, J.P. Moore, Tom Thompson, C. J. Blessing, J.L. Murphree, Tom Williams, H.C. Daniel, J.W. Fuqua, J.J. Kiley, J.C. Mallard, T.O. Potter, L.J. Parker.

One against Clarence Felts, Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree. State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931. The Grand Jurors for the said State of Tennessee, elected empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Clarence Felts of said County, heretofore, to wit, on the 13th day of November 1931 with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately premeditatedly and maliciously, did make an assault upon the body of one Tommie D. Edmondson with a certain stick with the unlawfully and felonious intent, then and there him the said Tommie D. Edmondson unlawfully feloniously willfully deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill and upon him to commit the crime and felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro Tem, December Term 1931 The State Vs. Clarence Felts Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree, Tommie D. Edmondson Prosecutor, Subpoena for the State: Tommie D. Edmondson, F.M. Hurt, Billy Durham, Mrs. Dan Hedge, Willie Shaver Dr. Shelden Jim Gargus John Milam, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, December Term, 1931 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury W.C. Howell Pro Tem A True Bill R. H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Toad Mathis, Carrying a Pistol which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Toad Mathis heretofore, to wit, on the 24th day of August 1931, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully carried a pistol, the same not being an army or navy pistol carried openly in the hand, to the evil example of all others in like case offending, and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, December Term, 1931, The State Vs. Toad Mathis, Carrying a Pistol Subpoena for the State: J.S. Westbrook, D.B. McCann, Walter McNeil & Esq. J. McReeves, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, H.M. Sykes, J.P. Moore, Tom Williams, C.J. Blessing, J.L. Murphree, Tom Thompson H.C. Daniel, J.W. Fuqua, J.J. Kiley, J.C. Mallard, T.O. Potter & L.J. Parker.

One against Jim Moore, B.D. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Jim Moore heretofore, to wit, on the 2nd day of October 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of

the State. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further presents that the said Jim Moore on the day and year aforesaid in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully did transport from one point to another in the State, intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further presents that the said Jim Moore on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesaid unlawfully and feloniously did transport from one point to another within the State, intoxicating liquors in quantity of one gallon or more, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State, December Term, 1931, The State Vs. Jim Moore, R.D. Subpoena for the State; T.R. Westbrooks & Roy Pinkston, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, H.M. Sykes, J.P. Moore, Tom Thompson, C.J. Blessing, J.L. Murphree, Tom Williams, H.C. Daniel, J.W. Fuqua, J.J. Kiley, J.C. Mallard, T.O. Potter & L.J. Parker.

One against John Curtis, Larceny which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that John Curtis heretofore, to wit, on the 26th day of October 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully, fraudulently and feloniously did appropriate to his own use a dog of the value Thirty Five Dollars, the same being the property of R.P. Brown and given into the charge and care of defendant, subject to the immediate orders of the owner, the said R.P. Brown, said appropriation being contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, December Term, 1931, The State Vs. John Curtis, Larceny R.P. Brown Prosecutor, Subpoena for the State: R.P. Brown & Evans Haygood, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury Dec. Term, 1931 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Walter Burch, B.D. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1931, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Walter Burch heretofore, to wit, on the 7th day of September 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State, And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further presents that the said Walter Burch on the day and year aforesaid in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully did transport from one point to another in this State, intoxicating liquors, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State, December Term, 1931 The State Vs. Walter Burch, B.D. Subpoena for the State: T.R. Westbrook, Sam Scott & Esq. J.M. Reeves, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, H.M. Sykes, J.P. Moore, Tom Thompson, C.J. Blessing, J.L. Murphree, Tom Williams, H.C. Daniel, J.W. Fuqua, J.J. Kiley, J.C. Mallard, T.O. Potter & L.J. Parker.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Richard Rowleson B.D.

Richard Rowleson

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill it is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Profanity

Fate Ethridge

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing from the proof introduced in Court that the defendant is dead it is ordered that the case be abated.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Resisting arrest

Fate Ethridge

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem for the State, and it appearing from the proof introduced in Court that the defendant is dead it is ordered that the case be abated.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Profanity

Walter Ethridge

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant, Walter Ethridge.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Resisting Arrest

Walter Ethridge

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant, Walter Ethridge.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B.D.

Tom Gunn

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant, Tom Gunn.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Driving Drunk

Charles Koons

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant, Charles Koons.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Mis.d.

Roy Dacus

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute the case no further.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Age Consent

Patterson Murphree

In this case it is ordered by the Court, that an Alias be issued for the defendant, Patterson Murphree.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

B.D.

Flodie Merrideth

In this Case it is ordered by the Court, That an Alias be issued for the defendant, Flodie Merrideth.