W.J.Black )

٧s.

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

C.B.Pewett

This case was heard before the Honorable J.D.G.Morton, Judge, upon the motion of the Defendant Pewett to set aside the judgment previously taken against him by default and for a new trial, and after due consideration of said motion and exhibits thereto and the whole record in the case the Court is of the opinion that said motion is well and and should be and is sustained and said judgment by default is set aside and a new trial is granted the defendant, on Friday December the 12th., 1930, the Court so orders.

Waverly Motor Company)

Vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

J.A.Turner

JUDGMENT

In this case came the plaintiff and defendant and their attorneys, and a jury, empanelled and sworn to try the issues joined; and the introduction of all the proof in the case, and argument of counsel, the court of its own motion directed a virdict in favor of the plaintiff for the amount of his debt sued on with interest from November 29th, 1926; and upon said directions by the court, the frendered a virdict in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant for the aus \$124.15, principal debt and interest togather with all the costs of the case.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant them sum of \$124.15, principal and systemath, together with all the costs of the case, for which execution may issue.

RABARKXHXBARAK.

EH.

AXMXBMHXHKHXHXXXX.

**医国工工作色质能压力 医克里克氏 医克里克氏 医克里克氏 医克里克氏 医** 

Court then adjourned untill tomorrow morning at 9 O'clock

JUJO Dondon Judge

Minutes Circuit Court. Humphreys County, December Term 10th, day of December 1930.

COURT MET PERSUART TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HOW, JOD, G.MRTON JUDGE ETC. Robert H. Baker. )

Vs.

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennensee.

J.W.Burgess et al.

On this day December the 11th., 1930 came the parties and their attorneys and a jury impaneled and sworn to try the issue joined in said case and after hearing all of the proof introduced both for the plaintiff and defendant argument of counsel and the charge of the court the jury returned a virdict in favor of the defendant and fixed the damages to the property appropriated for State Highway purposes, in favor of the defendant in the sum of \$ together with all the cost against Sumphreys County, State of Tennessee. It is therefore decreed and adjudged by the court that the defendant J.W.Burgeas and Mrs.Margrett Hatcher are jointly due the sum of \$ and the cost of the case as damaged caused by the taking of the strip of Jand described in the petition in this case by the State Highway Department for highway purposes,

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that all the right title and interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendants W.W.Rurges and Mrs.Margrett Hatcher be and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the defendant J.W.Burges and Mrs. Margaret Hatcher, and each of them and be and is vested in the department of Highway and Public Works of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the department of Highway and Public works of the State of Tennessee and for Public purposes.

The land herein condemned for Eighway purposes is located in the 3rd. Civil District of Humphreys County Tennessee, on Hurricase creek east of McEwen, Tennessee, and described as follows:

A strip of land from station 282-76 to station 316-91, 100' in width, extending 50' on each side of the center line of said proposed road; said strip of land being 3351' in length and containing 7,892 acres, be the same more or less.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendants J.W.Burgess and Mrs.Margaget Hatcher jointly have and recover of the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee for Highway purposes the sum of with interest from date of judgment togather with all costs of this case and for the collection of same such other necessary and proper steps and process may issue.

Vs.

J.I.Ridings

Tannessee Riectrict Power Co.

This cause came on to be heard this Dec. 10th, 1930 by the Hon.J.D.G.Morton Judge with out the intervention of a jury and after hearing the pleading, the witnesses and argument of counsel the Gourt doth find that the defendant is entitled to recover of the plaintiff the sum of \$77.00 and interest from July 1st. 1929 and all costs of this cause for which execution may issue.

W.D.Patterson

Vs.

Tennessee Electric Power Co.

This cause came on to be heard this Dec.10th,1930 by the Hon.

J.D.G.Morton Judge with out the intervention of a juny and after hearing the pleading, the
witnesses and argument of counsel the Court doth find that the defendant is entitled to recover of the plaintiff the sum of \$77.25 and interest from July 1st.1929 and all costs of this
cause for which execution may issue.

Jewel Belcher

Vs.

In The Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

Lewis Belcher In this cause on motion of complainant and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant Lewis Belcher has been regularly brought before the court by non-resident publication properly had and made and up to this Friday the 5th. day of the term of this court? the defendant has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this case. and within the time required by law; it is ordered that as to the defendant Lewis Belcher the petition be taken for confessed and the case set of r hearing exparte.

and the case coming on futher to be heard before the court upon the petition of the complainant, the order proconfesso and the oral testimony of witnesses produced in open court when it appeared to the court that the petition and the defendant Married in the state of Alabama where they lived for a very short time and that the petitioner at the suggestion of the defendant came back to her father's and mother's home in Humphreys County. Tennessee. the defendant also coming to Humphreys County where he stayed in the home of petitioner's father and mother for about ten(10) days, during all of which time he furnished the petitioner no means of support and made no arrangments for her care. The defendent then told the petitioner that he was going awayito Alabama to get work and would send her money for her support and for to pay expenses to come to him, all of which he failed to do, and as a matter of fact the mother of petitioner had to send her means to come back to her hom from the state of Alabama whenshe did come.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the bobds of matrimonv now subsisting between the complainant and the defendant be and are absolutely dissolved and that the petitioner be restored to all the rights and privileges of a single person and that her maiden name Jewel Chambers be restored to her and that the defendant pay the cost of this case for which execution may issue.

Court then adjourned untill tomorrow morning at 9 0'clock,

12000 Judge

Court met persuant to adjournment, present and presiding the Hon.J.D.G.Morton Judge etc.
G.A.Poplin
Va. Circuit Court Waverly, Tennessee.
Nixon & Phillips Construction Co., Comes the plaintiff by attorneys and moves the court
to be permitted to take a non-suit in this case, which motion is allowed and the case
is dismissed at the plaintiff's cost.
Ruth M. Stephenson
Vs. Petition for Divorce.
J.A.Stephenson In this case it is ordered dismissed by the plaintiff and plaintiff
will pay the costs , for which execution may issue.
Hubert Hedge by Next Friend,
Vs. Circuit Court Waverly, Tennessee.
Ike Johnson et al.
Came the parties in person and by their attorneys, and also

a turw of good and lawful men to wit: George Hughey, Doss Thompson, Alse Woods, J.W.Milam George Smith, Monroe Woods, Thomas Bigham, Charlie Smith, J.S. Westbrooks, W.R. Spann, N.C.Curtis, and C.T.Hatcher who being elected tried, and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined, and at the termination of all the proof introduced by the plaintiff on the trial of the case the defendant moved the court to direct a verdict in favor of the defendant; and after due consideration of said motion so made, the court ordered the tury? and directed it to return a wirdict in favor of the defendant. It is therefore considered by the court and ordered that the defendants recover of the plaintiff all of the costs in sug said case for all of which execution may issue.

Rubert Hedge, by next friend, In the Circuit Court W.L. Hedge, Vs. Ike Johnson, etcux.

Inthis cause comes the plaintiff by Counsil, and moves the Court for a New trial, and for grounds of his Motion, says:

The Court erred in sustaining the motion by defendant's counsel, for a directed verdict

- a. The facts developed in Plaintiff's proof showed a latent animosity on behalf of defends dants, and against the plaintiff, and which animosity manifested itself in divers wavs:
- b. The facts as developed by Plaintiff in his proof showed that the defendant, Ike Johnson, and his wife, had held secret conferences and conversations with Lurhea Hedge, wife of Plaintiff, and which for reasons best known to themselves were kept from plaintiff. and he denied all information relative thereto; during and after these conferences the attitude of the plaintiff toward the plaintiff was cold, reticent and indifferent.
- 2. The facts developed by plaintiff in his proof in chief showed that the defendant, The Johnson, had taken the law into his own hands that he attempted to make a scape-goat of the sheriff, and attempted to shift responsibility. Failing in this he took the law into his own hands.
- d. The facts developed by the plaintiff show that the defendant Ike Johnson designed a permanent separation of the husband and wife . There were no limitations or qualification

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December Term, 18th, day of December 1930.

of his purpose to take the wife, his daughter, home for a spacific purpose, or for a limited period, and he so intended he would not have taken plaintiff's household and kitchen effects, two carloads. Under these circumstances it was not reasonable to expect the plaintiff that he would seek out or approach his wife, while in the home and unmeer the dominion and controll of the defendant.

Circumstances required if defendants are to be credited with any good intentions, that they should seek out plaintiff, give some explanation of their unheard of conduct, and that they should insist that he visit his wife and child inthoir home, and when the purpose were accomplished, if such purposes, were innocent, they should if actuated by good intentions have tendered the wife back to Plaintiff.

It to therefore respectfully submitted that the Court erred in quataining said, otton, and the Plaintiff should be given a new trial of his action.

This December 11th, 1930.

Howard E.Brown

Ray Stewart

Counsel for Plaintiff.

Which motion the Court is pleased to, and doeb, overrule, and this action of the Court the plaintiff by counsel notes expeption, pray an appeal to the Court of Eppeals at Nash-ville, which is granted, and plaintiff is allowedthirty days from the adjournment of this Court, within which time to file his bill of exceptions, make bond for cost, or take the oath prescribed for poor persons.

\*\*GRANTAX SHEET NASH SHEET N

AnhrxRannaran i

Cincuit Cours Xeerby X X Serves of X

Axexbuse

GtygnitxGenrtxatxWarerixxXBHNBBRBB.

negarata arnas pena)

This a shark and a shark a shark and a shark and a shark a sha

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 O'clock.

My Morton Judge.

BURNESSEE WHEN WHEN S STREET AND STREET SHEET STREET STREE 1600xx dillex strength visioners show white shooter shot the more recently very send of the strength shot . Schoenmother ythrace relocator valuatios recently schoenersofter schoeners starter representation and the supplemental schoenersofter sensitive results resu A STATE OF THE PROPERTY THE PROPERTY SALES serimanty society (restricty famor sheerity shifts militakwashy kasar misasadirashisakh kinisakuashisakan kasaashis famor masaasak kabina and and and an animality a falce and an animal and animal and an animal and an animal and animal and animal and animal animal and animal anima ered min wholeseemone a structurally shows restautely and a subsectionable exemples a subsection of the subsection of th turayir/kurasiy 4.4 asi/y 2000sty 2000su miasir muo yrawa yomaso kuras, kasidan xrasiasix kaikn nikanalinoknok ings angles and and angles and the sound appearable and the control of the contro eries ber der eine erieste kanner ertet des fanse kæned konfr mêreende kon van en ekste kon kiket kon steure k SEDESTISKE ADVINGERE VERRE MERKENDERS MERKENDER MERKE VERRENDER VERRENDER AUCH VERRENDER VERRENDER VERRENDER V erdy edy rhendring y bedy ringy ed th kat kater entak drignikat drignikatik kasatak kasatak esatak ed ka kasatag s snonody somnoddy, dnededdedd 14 enolle delly i edader m..., eur syddigolde imaeneeus addi dein seen sees edeel B

Abuk xbirse winnessense z institutente respectation and a x to a second section of the content of the conten ing a standarding and the same of the same and the same and the same and the same of the same and the same an un lambu ku sand sha bur lomak siliki snamaka, xbur, sacamusk x sand ahd sha sanasak ki na sang saak sag saga baner al ser ener e esedex el molestr l'imente investit l'espatement alses alpes el baseie l'imper de l'alle e is ede for estargance of the all and a second for a second for the second for the all seconds and a second for the formal for the formal forma

Vs. - A Trong To Circult Court Waverly, Tennessee.

Came The plaintiff and the defendant and also a jury of good and lawful men to with Goo. Hughey, J. W. Milam, Also Woods, Tom Pullen, E. Winters, W.T. Cannon, Thomas Bleham, Bill Patterson, J.S. Westbrooks, W.R. Spann, N.C. Curtis, G.T. Hatcher, who being elected fried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined, do say they find the issues in favor of the defendant W.R. Daniel. It is therefore considered by the court and adjutged that the plaintiff pay all the costs of this case both before the Justice of the Peace from whose ludgment and appeal was had and all the cost in this court for which execution may issue.

W.C.Pace

Vs.

Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

John W. Anderson)

John Pattersond

This case was heard by His Honor J.D.G.Morton, Judge with out the intervention of a jury, and after hearing the proof the Court is of openion that the defendant John W.Anderson is not indebted to the plaintiff W.C.Pace on the account sued on in this case. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the court that said suit be and is dismissed at the cost of the plaintiff W.C.Pace and his surety on his cost bond before the Magistrate, and that the cost of the appeals in said case will also be paid by the plaintiff W.C.Pace and his surety on his appeal bond to wit; F.L.Parnell, W.W.Pace, and J.A.Chance, and for all of which execution may issue.

Court then adjourned until Monday morning at 9 0'clock,

Domason Judgo.

Court Met persuant to adjournment present and presiding the mon. J.D. 4 Norton Judge Stot.

This day the Grand Jury came into open Court and presents the following indictments and presentments.

One against A.D.Carnell, assault with intent to commit murder, State of Tennessee, Mumphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D.1930. The Grand Jurgers for the State of Tennessee, elected, empanneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid presents that A.D.Carnell of said County, hemetofore, to wit, on the 25th., day of Eugust 1930, with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully, felloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and moliciously, did make an assault upon the body of one T.G.Fergusoff with a/pistol with the unlawful and felonous intent, then and there, him, the said T.G.Ferguson unlawfully, felonously, willfully deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill, and upon him to commit the Corime and felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and dignity of the State.

W.C.Howell, Assistant Attorney General.

December Term, 1936, THE STATE VS.A.D.Carnell Assault with intent to commit murder in the 'first degree. T.G.Ferguson Prosecutor. Subpoens for the State:T.G.Ferguson, W.R.Spann, J.L.Smith, Jewell Ferguson, Jack Spencer, Titnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, December Term, 1930 R.H.MCKeel Foreman Grand Jury. W.C.Howell Assistant Attorney General. A true bill. R.E.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

ORSKEGGIBERKESESERREEFERKESERREEFERKESERREEKERREEFERKERFERKERFERKERREEFERKERFERKE

one Against Melvin Michaeltemph to Commit a Felomy, which indictment is in the words and figures reliaving to with State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1930. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aftermaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Medicin Hicks heretofore, to wit, on the 15th., day of August 1930, in said County and Statemounlawfully and feloniously did attempt in day time to felonausly and forcibly break and enter the smokehouse of one D.C.Balthrop, of said county, with intent to commit a felony, to wit, a larceny, with intent to feloniously take, steel and carry away the goods and chattels of the said D.C.Balthrop, then and there to be had and found in said smokehouse, contrary to the statuate and against the peace and dignity of the state. W.C.Howell Assistant Attorney General.

Pecember Term, 1930, The State Vs. Melvin Hicks, attempt to commit a relenv, D.C.Malthrop
Projection, Subpoens for the State: B.C.Balthrop and Boss Balthrop, Witnesses sworn by meron this
Indictment before the Grand Jury December Term 1930, R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.
W.C.Howell Assistant Attorney General. A TRUE BILL. R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.
One Floyd Nix, Bad Check which indictment is in the words and figures following to wit;
State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court A.D..1930.
The Grand Jurrors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged
to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and Skate aforesaid, upon their oath
aforesaid, presents that Floyd Nix heretofore, to wit, on the 21ct, day of July 1930, in
said County and State, unlawfully and willfully did obtain from R.T.Crowell, Fraudulant
intent Ten Bollars by means of a check of which he the said Floyd Nix, was the drawer on
Farmerse Nerchants Bank of Waverly, Tennessee, for the sum of Ten Bollars, which said check
was presented to the drawee, said bank, and not paid and was not paid by the drawer after
seven days notice in writing having been malled to said Floyd Nix at his last known address,
contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state.

Bad Chesk Ec. Crowell, Prosecutor or SEPORNA FOR THE STATE VS. FLOTD RIK.

Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury Dec. Term, 1990 R.H.McKeel
Foreman Grand Jury. W.C.Howell Assistant Attorney General. A TRUE BILL R.H.McKeel Foreman

One Against A.D.Carnell, Carrying A Pistol, Subpoens for the State W.R.Spann, Jack Spencer,

One against Roger Wilbern, assault with intent to commit murder, which indictment is in the words and figures following to wit: "tate of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court. A.D. 1930. The Grand Jurges for the State of Tennessee, elected, empanneled sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon tgeir oath aforesaid presents that Roger Wilbern of said County, heretofore, to wit on the 4th. day of October 1930, with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully felloniously, wilfully, deliberately, premeditately, and maliciously, did make an assault upo the body of one Pat Webb with a certain pocket knife with the unlawful and felonious intent then and there, him, the said Pat Webb unlawfully, feloniuosly, wilfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill, and upon him to commit the crime and felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General. December Term. 1930. The State Vs. Roger Wilbern Assault with intent to commit murde in the "irst degree, Pat Webb Prosecutor, Subnoena for the State: Pat Webb . Will Walker. Theodocia Spicer and Hugh Gardener, Witnesses sworn by on this indictment before the Grait Jury, December Term, 1930 R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General, A TRUE BILL, R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Juny. One against Claud Warren, felory. Subpoena for the State: C.B. Laws and McKinly Long. One against Henry Danesworth H.B. and Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures following to wit; State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1930. The Grad Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empareled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforegaid, upon their oath afore said, present that Henry Danesworth heretofore, to wit, on the 11th., day of November 1930, in said County and Saate, unlawfully, feloniously, and forcibly did break and enter the business house of one Levi Crowell, of said county, with intent to commit a felony, to wit, a larceny.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their cath aforesaid, futher present: that the said Henry Danesworth, on the day and year aforesaid, in the state and county aforesaid, unlawfully, and feloniously did take, steal, and carry away! one pair men shoes "Ball Band" brand: one pair men shoes "Diamond Brand" Peter's shoes; one coat; one pair cordurov trousers one sheep lined coat all of the value of Twenty Dollars, and of the goods and chattels of the said Levi Crowell and W.R. Waggoner, with intent to deprive them, the said Levi Crowell and w.R. Waggoner, the true owners - thereof and convert the same to his own use, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do futher present that the said Henry Danesworth of said county, on the day and year aforesaid, in the county aforesaid. unlawfully and feloniously did receive, buy, conceal, and aid in concealing one pair men shoes "Rall Band" brand: one pair men shoes "Diamond Brand" Peter's shoes; one coat; one pair cordurey trousers; one sheep lined coat all of the value of Twenty Dollars, the property of Levi Crowell and W.R. Waggoner of said county, before then feloniously stolen, taken and carried away by some one, to the Grand Jury unknown, he the said Henry Danesworth then and there knowing the said property to have been feloniously stolen , taken and carried away. and he the said Henry Danesworth intending then and there fraudulently to deprive the owner

thereof, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state.

W.C. Howell Assistant, Attorney General.

December Temm, 1930, The State Vs. Henry Danesworth, H.B. and Larceny, Levi Crowell Prosecutor Subpoena for the State; Levi Crowell, J.C. Thomas, Austin Sanders and Roy Pingston, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term, 1930 R.H.

McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

State of Tennessee

Vs. Molicious Mischief.

J. T. Sanders

In this cause the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true bill.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant discharged, and so hense without day.

SHERIFF'S BOARD BILL FOR BOARDING PRISONERS CHARGED WITH FELANIES.

This day came Walter MoNeil Sheriff and Jailer for Humphreys County, Tennessee, in open Court and presents and reads his board bill against the State of Tennessee, for boarding prisoners charged with fellonies

Aug. 11th.,1930 to Dec.15th.,1930, 127 days at 75¢ per day = \$95.25 State of Tennessee Ns. Henry Danesworth H.B. and Larceny, Nov.21, 1930 to

Dec. 15, 1930, 25 days at 75¢ per day =

State of Tennessee Vs. Scott Shanks Murder Apr. 8th., 1930, April 14th., 1930.

\$18.75

Waverly Motor Co.

J.A. Turner

Ph ti > Circuit Court of Humphreys county, Tenn/

MOTION\_FOR\_A\_NEW\_TRIAL\_\_\_\_

The defendant moves the court for a most trial, and in support of his motion assigns the fill following errors of law committed against him on the trial of this cause:

In this cause at the conclusion of the argument for the defendant in the cause the court of its own motion directed the jury to return a variet in favor of the plaintiff for \$100.

with interest and the costs of the cause

This was error in the court because the contract sued on by the plaintiff as shown by the plaintiff itself showed that in the contract the plaintiff was to render certain personal services to or for the defendant. These services plaintiff admitted it had not rendered and the reason assigned therefor was a disputed question of facts that the sourt couls not pass on the services to be rendered by the plaintiff to the defendant was to bring a second hame bodge touring car from Modwen to its place of business at Waverly, Tenn. and tighten it up, and shine it up and put it in condition to sell and to use its entire force in making every effort to sell the car within six months from the date of the contract sued on.

The only reason assigned by the plaintiff for not proforming this service was that said Dodge touring car had no batteries on it which was a disputed question of fact, which could only be passed upon by the jury.

11.

--

Before the plaintiff could recover on the contract sued on in which it was to render personal services to the defendant it must show as a condition precedent that it had performed the services page dupon, or a good and sufficient legal excuse for its failure to do so. Itshowed no legal excuse in this case for not performing the services required of it in said contract as above shown and it was error in the court to direct a verdict in its favor.

111

In the contract sued on the plaintiff connot ignore the provisions of said contract in favoring the defendant and refuse to perform them or to perform what was required of it in the contract and compel the defendant to perform his part of the contract in its entirety.

Canintiff's right of recovery depends upon the terms of the contract of the contract sued on and it must make out its case by showing its compliance and performance of everything required of it in the contract.

Courts will only enforce contracts in their entirety or in other words it will only enforce be the whole contract and not a part of it, to do otherwise would be for the court to distroy contracts rather than to enforce compliance of the parties to the terms of the contract.

J.F.Shannon
J.B.Tubb

Attys. for Deft.

J.I.Ridings

Ve.

In Circuit Court Waverly, Tennessee.

Tennessee Electric

MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.

Comes the defendant Tennessee Electric' Power Company by its attorneys and move the court for new trial in the above style case, : 4 for grounds of said motion says:

-

Because the plaintiff failed to a low by the preponderance of the evidence that he had any contract with the defendant for the electric light poles sued for in this case, and in fact the proof shows that on contractural relationship existed between the plaintiff and the defendant

TT

Because the contract made by the Tennessee Electrict Power Co., which was in writing and made part hereof exhibit "1" discloses that the party with whom: said contract was made by the defendant Tennessee Power Company was an independent contractor and in no wayor otherwise connected with the Tennessee Electrick Power Company otherw than as disclosed in said contract exhibit "1" to his motion.

III.

Because the weight of the testimony or evidence in the case is against the conclusions of the court in pronouncing fudgment against the defendant, and is in favor of the defendant.

ΙV

Because the court was mistaken in basing a conclusion that before the defendants issued voucher or check to the defendant Wallace that the Tennessee Electric Power Co. had noticed that Ridings had not been paid, this conclusion no doubt being reached from a letter dated August the 14th. 1929 from Stevens & Wood Engineers and Constructors, which letter was written in reply to a letter that Mr.Ridings had written to bithis Engineering concern. The testimony of Mr. Bartlett and Mr. Satelwhite showing conclusively that Stevens & Wood were only Engineers and not a part of the Tennessee Electric Power Co. but a corporation separate and apart from the Tennessee Electric Power Company.

v,

Because the letter introduced in evidence written by the Defendant Tennessee Electric
Power Co., dated August 27,1929(in reply to a letter dated August 23, and written by
W.D.Patterson about this transaction was all after the voucher or check in payment of Wallace
for the poles in question which letter enclosing check and the check itself was dated the

Minutes Circuit Court Humpbreys County, December Term 16th, Day of December 1930.

16th. of August 1929, hense the defendant Tennessee Slectric Power Co., had no notice prior to the issuance of its check to Wallace that Ridings and Patterson had not been paid.

The Court after hearing argument of counsel in motion for a new trial it pleased to overrule same. State of Tennessee)

vs.

Resisting an officer.

B.O. Pigrim

In this cause comes the assitant Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphrevs County to wit: Bob Hughey, W.M.Rolins, Dave Adams, George Hughey, W.O.Simpson, M.C. Ridings, H.M. Allison, John Ingram, J.W. Milem, Duncan Story, F.B. Baggett, Roy Ingram, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to the and, and after hearing part of the proof and-not having time to complete the case, said jury was respited by the Court until tomorrow morning at 9 O'clock.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Marton Judge,

Court met persuing to adjournment present and presiding the Mon. J.D.G. Morton Judge etc

vs. ) Wolicious Mischeif

W.A. Lawson

State of Tennessee

In this case came the assisent Attorney General for the State and defendants in phusgm and by attorneys, who being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: A.V. Anderson, Doss Thompson, Alse Woods, Tom Pullen, Albert Binkley, J.H. Collier, Thomas Righam, Jessie Fuqua, J.S. Westbrooks, W.R. Spann, N.C. Curtis, and G.T. Hatcher, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof regument of counsel, and the charge the court upon their cath do say that they cannot agree upon a verdict in this case.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that a mistrial be entered in this case, and the tury be discharged, and the case continued until next term of this court

State of Tennesseek

Resisting an Officer

B.O. Pigram

VS.

In this cause comes again the Assistent Attorney Ceneral For the state

and the defendant in person and bycattorney, when the jury heretofore selected and sworn in thes cause to wit: Bob, Hughey W.M. Rol...., Dave Adams, George Hughey, W.O. Simpson, M.C. Ridings, H.M.Allison, John Ingram, A.W. Milem, Duncan Story, F.P. Dargett, and Roy Ingram, after hearing the remaineer of the proof argument of counsel, and the charge of the courtupupon their oaths do say they find the defendant guilty as charged, in said hill of indictment. Thereupon they assess the punishment and say he shall pay a fine of \$10.00 with together/all the cost of this cause, thence came into open court Mrs. B.O. Piguem and Walter Smith and entered their names as surety for all of said fine and cost. It is therefore ordered adudged and decreed by the court that the State of Tempson recover of the

defendant and his surety all of said fine and cost for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

vs. 1 B.D.

Walter Miller

In this case came the assistent Afterney General for the State and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged, thereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine One hundred dollars together all the cost, and the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the county fail or work house until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee!

. !

Ammond .. Elazier

In this case came the assistent Attorney General for the State and the and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged, thereupon the Court assess the penalty and say shall pay a fine of One hundred dollars together with all the costs, and the event of his failure to pay or secures all of said fine and costs he sail in the county fail or work house until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and cost.

Minutes Circuit Court , Humphreys County, December Term 16th, Day December

W.J. Black)

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

vs. C.E.Pewett)

This cause was this day heard by the court, without the inter vention of a jury upon the whole record and testimony offer by both plaintiff and defendent, and it appeared to the court that the plaintiff has possession of the property involved in the suit: it is therefore ordered by the court that the plaintiff retain the property, two tires and two tubes, as his own, and the defendant, C.E.Pewett, will pay all the costs of the cause. for which execution may issue.

State of Tennessee)

VS. B.D.

Good Wright

In this case came the Assistent Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged, thereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of One hundred dollars together with all the costs, and the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the county jail or work house until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

Vq

Assault to commit Murder

Kit Baugus, Vernie Murre. and Laddie Jarred

In this cause cimes the Assistant Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment plead not ruilty.

Thereupon to ry the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphrets County, Johnnessee, to wit, Geo. Hughey, Chesley Vaden, Dave Adams, Morris Ridings, H.M.A. lison, Oscar Wallace, Thomas Bigham, Jessie Fuqua, J.S. Westbrooks, J.J. Bradly N.C.Curtis, and W.M.Roblins, who being duly exected, tried and sworn, according to law and being in charge of their sworn officers, 9.F. Ingram and Geo. Wyatt who had been previously legally sworn to attend them, and the proof not being completed said jury was respited by the Court until tomorrow morning at nine O'clock and said tury retired in charge of their sworn officers aforesaid

State of Tennessee!

Driving car while Drunk

Neal Inmon .

Inthis case comes the Assistant Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person and pleads guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall be confined in the County jail for a period of Thirty days and pay all costs of this cause, and in event of said failure to pay or secure said costs, he will be confined in the County fail untill he pay secure or work out all of said costs.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Mint on Judge,

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December Term 17th, day of December 1930

COURT MET PERSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THE HON. J. P.G. MORTONETEC State of Tennessee

VS.

Assault to Commit Murder

Kit Baugus, Vernie Murrell

In this cause comes again the Assistant Attorney Ceneral for the

State, and the defendants in person and by the Attorney, when the jury heretofore selected and sworn in this cause, to wit: George Hughey, Chesley Vaden, Dave Adams, Morris, Ridings, H.M. Allison, Oscar Wallace, Thomas Bigham, Jessie Fuqua. J.S. Westbrooks, J.J. Bradlev. N.C. Curtis, H.K. Rollins having returned into open court in charge of their sworn officers, R.F. Ingram, and George Wyatt and having resumed the consideration of this cause, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find each of the defendant guilty of an assault with intent to commit voluntary manslaughter as charged in the indictment, and assess and fix the punishment of each at one year in the penitentiory. Whereupon each of the defendants gave notice of a motion for a new trial and the cause is passed pending the filing and hearing of said motion, and the time for the hearing of said motion is fixed for Thursday December 18, 1930, and the defendants are required to execute bond in the sum of One Thousand Dollars each pending said motion and in event of failure to execute said bond defendants will be remanded to fail in Humphreys County lennessee, pending said motion for a new trial.

This day the Grand Jury came into open court in a body, and present the following indictments

One against William Anderson and Jess Anderson Cutting and Ruining Timber, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit : State of Tennessee. Humphreys County. December Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1930. The Grand Aurrors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that William Anderson and Tess Anderson heretofore, to wit, on the 12th, day of November 1930 in said County and State, unlawfully trespassed on the lands of V.L. Jackson in the second civil district of said state and county aforesaid, by cutting down and destroying valuable timber thereon, exceeding fifty cents in value, with a view to convert the same to their own use, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state, W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General.

December Term, 1930 The State Vs. William Anderson and Jess Anderson cutting and rubhing timber, V.L. Jackson prosecuter subpecta for the State V.L. Jackson, Oce Cullom, Rudolph Moore, W.D. Wright, witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term 1930 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney Ceneral. A true bill w H McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Lawrence Gorden, Drunkness Subpoena for the State H.B. Fisher, Wrs. ".E. Fisher. One against Clyde Mayberry, Drunkness Subpoena for the State Esq. J.R. Anderson, Jess Pone. Henry Jackson.

One against Grover Evington Drunkness, Subpoena for the State, Willy Marresett, J.M.C. Voung. One against Sam Little Druhkness Subpoena for the State, Dr. W.W.Sladden, Neal Pace, W.A.

One against Bill Lane Drunkness Subpoena for the State Esq. T.O. Simpson, Roy Pingston,

One against Nealy Inman and Walter Baker, Drunkness Subpoena for the State W.R. Spann. Johnnie Horner, C.E. Page.

One against Walter Burch, Drunkness Subpoena for the State Dr. W.W. Slavden, and Sam Scott.

One against Patterson Murphy age consent which indictment is in words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1930. The Grand Jurrors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to enquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their with aforesaid, present that Patterson Murphy heretofore, to wit, on the 17th. day of November 1929, in said County and State, unlawfully and feloniously did carnally know Delilah McClure a female over the age of twelve years and under the age of twenty one years, the said Patterson Murphy and Delilah McClure not occupying the relation of husband and wife at the time of such carnall knowledge, and the said Delilah McClure not being at the time and before and before the carnal knowledge a bawd, lewd, or kept female contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state, W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General. December Term 1930 The State vs. Patterson age consent A.L. McClure prosecutor. Subpoena for the State A.L. McClure, Delilah McClure, and Dr.W.W. Slavden. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term 1930 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Banks Wright tippling which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of the Circuit Court. A.D. 1930 duly elected.

The Grand Jurrors for the State of Tennessee,/empaneled,sworn,and charged to inquire for the County of Humphress and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Banks Wright heretofore, tableit: on the 16th. day of November 1930. in the State and county aforesaid, then and there unlawfully did sell and tipple spirituous, malt, vinous, and intoxicathad ing liquors to one John Buchanan and/not at that time, nor prior thereto, obtained a license to sell such liquors in such quantities, contrary to the statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State. And the GrandJurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said Banks Wright on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesaid, then and there unlawfully did sell and tipple spirituous, malt, vinous, and incomicating liquors as a beverage ta one John Buchanan within four miles of a schoolhouse, where school is kept, known as Free schoolhouse, located in the 5th, Civil District of said County, and not within the limits of an incorporated town, contrary to the statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dign:ty of the State. December Term 1930 The State Vs. Banks Wright, Tippling, Subpoena for the State John Buchanan W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General R.F.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, J.L. Hickman, John Petty, J.R. Moore, R.C. Wheeler, J.T.Owens, R.W. Allison, Jas.R. Weaterspoon, E. Johnsom, T.W. Coleman, B.C. Pruett, M.M. Scholds, Charley Trotter ...

as follows to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1930. The Grand Jueors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged tol inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Silas Hatcher heretofore, to wit, on the 15th, day of May, 1930, in said County and State and continuing since said time, in the state and county aforesaid, unlawfully obstructed a neighborhood road that has been used as a road by the public for a period of more than twenty years as a road to school, to church, to mill and to burying places, leading from old McRwen and Waverly road to Highway No.1, by cutting trees therein and erecting gates across same thereby rendering the same impassable, contrary to the statutes and against the peace and dignity of the state. W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General. December Term, 1930 The State Vs. Silas Hatcher Obstructing Road, Subpoena for the State: Joe King, Harley Stringer, and Dee Lohorn, W.C. Howell Assistant attorney General. R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury J.L.Hickman, John, Petty, J.R.Mcore,

R.C. Wheeler, J.T. Owens, R.W. Allison, Jas. R. Weatherspoon, E.Johnson, T.W. Coleman, E.L. Pruett, M.M. Scholes, and Charley Trotter.

One against Eugene McLemurry Driving Drunk, Subpeens for the State George Pece, Joe Translor, and John Kilburne, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term, 1930 R.B. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General A TRUE BILL R.B. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against like Baker Violating Fish Laws, which indictment isnin the words and figures as follows to wit, cast 9172 \$10.00 to \$25.00 imprisonment discrectionary. State of Tennessee Humohrevs County, December Term of Circuit Court.A.D. 1930. The Grand Jurors gor the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and the State a ocesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Ike Baker heretofore, to wit, on the 20th, day of September 1930, in said County and State, unlawfully and feloniously did capture, kill and wound fish in the stream of said state and count by means of explosives thrown in said stream in said state and county by defendant contrary to the statutes and against the peace and dignity of thedstate. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Ike Baker of Said county, onnthe day and year aforesaid, in the county aforesaid unlawfully did catch and take fish from running streams by means or device, same not being batted book and line or trotline. contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state. W.C. Howell Assistan Attorney General. December Term, 1930, The State Vs. Ike Baker Violating Fish Laws Subnoens for the State Harry Wallace, Bud Barr, John Ruck Stewart / Jim Stewart, W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General, R.H. McKeel Foreman G and Jury J.L. Hickman, J.R. Moore R.C. Wheeler. J.T.Cwens, R.W.Anderson, Jas. R., Weatherspoon, E. Johnson, T.W. Coleman, E.I. Pruett, M.V. Sholes and Charley Trotter.

One against George L. Harrison Carring a Pistol, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of the Circuit Court, A.D. 1930. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the county of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that George L.Harrison heretofore to wit, on the 21st. day of September 1930, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully carried a pistor, the same not being an army or navy pistol carried openly in the hand, to the evil example of all others in like case offending and against the peace and dignity of the State, W.C.Howell Assistant Attorney General.

December Term, 1930, The State VS. George L Harrison Carring a Pistol Subpoena for the State: J.C.Thomas and George Wyatt, W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General. A TRUE RILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury J.L.Hickman, John Petty, J.R.Moore, R.C.Wheeler, J.T.Owens, R.W.Allison, Jas.R. Weatherspoon, E. Johnson, T.W.Coleman, E.L. Pruett, M.M.Sholes and Charley Trotter.

One against Flodic Mededith B.D. which indictment is in the words and Figures as follows to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, Decemb er Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1930.

The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and the State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Flodic Mededith heretofore, to wit, on the 8th. day of May 1930, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of tha State. December Term 1930 The State VS. Flodic Meredith B.D. Subpoena for the State, D.B. McCann, Walter McNeil, Tom Harris, and Tom Ferguson A. TRUE BILL

W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General. A.H. McKeel Foreman of Grand Jury J.L.Hickman,
John Petty, J.R.Moore, R.C. Wheeler, J.T.Owens, R.W.Allison, Jas. R. Weatherspoon, S. Johnson T.W.Coleman, B.L.Pruett, M.M.Sholes and Charley Trotter.

One against Henry Danesworth Carring a Pistel which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court,

A.D. 1930 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the county of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid present that Henry Danesworth heretofore, to wit, on the 21st. day of November 1930, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully carried a pistel the same not being an army or navy pistel carried openly in the hand, to the evil example of all others in like case offending, and against the peace and dignity of the State W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General.

December Term 1930. The State VS. Henry Danesworth Carrying a Pistel. Subpoena for the State Hubert Curtis Carral Curtis W.C.Howell Assistant Attorney General. A TRUE BILL

R.H. McKESI Foreman Grand Jury J.L.Hickman, John Petty, J.R. Moore R.C. Wheeler, J.T.Owens, R.W. Allison Jas. R. Weatherspoon, E.Johnson, T.W.Coleman, E.L. Pruett, M.M. Sholes and Char-

One against Jesse Wright Tippling, which wis in the words and figures as follows to wit,

State of Tennessee Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1930,

The Grand Jurges for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and Charged to
inquire for the County of Humphreys and State afforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present:
that Jesse Wright heretofore, to wit, on the 22nd., day of November 1030, in the State and
County aforesaid, then and there unlawfumly did sell and tipple spirituous, malt, vinous,
and intoxicating liquors to one John Buchanan and and not, at that time, nor prior thereto,
obtained a ilcense so sell such liquors in duch quantities, contrary to the statutes in such
cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State.

And the Grand Surger sportsaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said Jesse Fright on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesaid, then and there an awfully did sell and tipple spirituous, malt, vinous, and intoxacating liquors as a beverage to one John Buchanan within four miles 62 a schoolhouse, where school is kept, known as Free schoolhouse, located in the 5th Civil District of said County, and not within the limits of an incorporated town, contrary to the statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State. December Term 1970, The State VS. Jesse Wright Tippling, Subpoena for the State John Buchanan W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General. N.H. McKeel goreman Grand Jury J.L. Wickman, John Petty, J.R. Moore, R.C. Wheeler, J.T. Owens, R.W. Allison, Jas.R. Weatherspoon, E. Johnson, T.W. Coleman, E.L. Pruett, M.M. Sholes and Charley Trooter.

One against St. Patrick Webb Profanity. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D.. 1930. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that St. Patrick Webb heretofore, to wit, on the 4th, day of October 1930 in said County and State, in a public place, and in the presence and hearing of divers good citizens of the State then and there being, unlawfully did utter, publish, speak and say the following gross, scandalous, profane and blasphemour language, to wit, God Dam, etc., to the disturbance of the public peace, to the great scandal and common nuisance of all good citizens then and there being as aforesaid to the manifest corruntion of mublic morals, to the evil example of all like offenders, and against the peace and dignity of the State, W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General, December Term, 1930 The State Vs. St. Patrick Webb Profane Language. Joe Traylor Prosecutor. Subpoena for the State: Joe Traylor, Will Walker, Roger Willams, Mrs. Marris Johnson, and Susie Hall, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictmen before the Grand Jury, December Term, 1930 R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C.HOwell Assistant Attorney General, A TRUE BILL R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury One against Vincent Buchanan and Newt Buchanan Cutting and Ruining Timber State 66 Tennessee Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1930. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Vincent Buchanan and Newt Buchanan heretofore, to wit, on the day of 1930, in said County and State, unlawfully trespassed on the lands of John W. Anderson in the fifth civil district of said state and county aforesaid, by cutting down and destroying valuable it her thereon, exceeding fifty cents in value, with a view to convert the same to their own use. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, futher present that the said Vincent Buchanan and Newt Buchanan, and on divers other days, did unlawfully, knowingly, willfully, and wantonly cut down and destroy valuable timber on the lands of the said John W. Anderson, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state. W.C. Howell, Assistant Attorney General, December Term, 1930 She State Vs. Vincent Buchanan and sewt Puchanan Cutting and Ruining Timber, John W. Anderson, Prosecutor, Subpoena for the State John W. Anderson. Will Hooper, RSO, J.R. Anderson and Roy Buchanan, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term, 1930 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. W.C. Howell. Assistant Attorney General. A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. which indictment is in the words and figures asfollows
One against Joe Fields Driving Drunk/ State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, December Term of Circuit Court. A.D. 1930. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreysh and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Joe Fields heretoftre, to wit, on the 1st. day of June 1930, in said County and State, unlawfully dididrive an automobile on the highways of said state and county, while under the influence of intoxecating liquor contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state. W.C. Howell, Assistant Attorney General. December Term, 1930. The State Vs. Joe Fields Driving Drunk, Subpoena for the State Dr. W.W. Slayden, Neal Pace, and W.A. Buchanan, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury December Term, 1930 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell Assistant Attorney General, A TRUE BILL R.H. MCKEEL Foreman Grand Jury,

We, the members of the Grand Jury at the December term 1930 of the Circuit of Humphreys

We have diligently inquired and true presentment made of all offenses given us in charge by
Your Honor or otherwise brought to our knowledge.

We have examined the County Jail and Poor House and find the prisoners and inmates well fed and cared for. But feel that the building of some of the inmates should be repared.

We have examined all bonds required to be examined by us and find them properly executed and good and solvent for the several amounts thereof except one or two guardman bonds should be strengthon and now having completed our labors, we respectfully ask to be discharged for the term. M.M. Sholes, E.L. Prüett, C.W. Trotter, J.T. Owens, E. Johnson, R.W. Alfison, Jas. R. Weatherspoon, J.R. Noore, John Petty, J.L. Hickman, T.W. Celeman, R.C. Wheeler and R.H. McKeel Foremab.

Court then adjourned until tomorows morning at 9'o'clock.

Judge.

notion.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December Term, 18th. December 1930

	State of Tennessee	ourt met	t presuant	to adjour	rnmentpre	sent and	i presi		Hon. J	
1	vs.	Assault	t to Commi	t Murder.						
	Kit Baugas, Vernie Murrell) and Laddie Jarred	In this c	cause come	s the Ass	istant At	torney	General	for the	State	
	and the defendant in person in this cause comes on to b								re fil	eđ
	State of Tennessee	Cii	rcuit Cour	t. Waverl	y Tenn.					
	Kit Baugus, Lattie Jared) and Vernie Murrell									

Comes the defendants in this cause, by attorney and moves the Court for new trial, for the following reasons and causes:

-1-

That the evidence in thescause was not sufficient to warrant the verdict.

-2-

That the defendants Murrell and Jared were some three or four miles from the seene of the crime at the time it was committed as was shown by the proof.

That the verdict of the jury was not in accordance with the instructions of the Court: that the penalty inflicted was excessive, that the whole case was based upon circumstantial and accumulative evidence that there was no direct proof against the defendants Murrell and Jared in the record of this case.

And the same being heard by the cour and the court being of the opinion that said motion is well taken and same is granted and the defendants are granted a new trial.

State of Tennessee )
VS. | Assault to Commit Murder. |
Kit Baugas, Vernic Murrell)
and Laddie Jared

In this cause comes the Assistant Attorney General for the state s and the defendance in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment plead guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a Jury of good and lawfulimen of Numphreys Count.

Tennessee, George Hughey, Doss Thompson, Alse Woods, Tom Pullen, Albert Binkley, J.H.Collier, Thomas Bigham, Jessie Fuqua, J.S. Westbrooks, W.R.Spann, N.C. Curtis and G.T. Matcher, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law and being in charge of their sworn officers, R.F. Ingram and George WYATT, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find each of the defendants guilty of an simple assault as charged in the indictment and assess and fix the punishment of Kit Baugas at four months confinement in the Jail at Waverly in Humphreys County, Tennessee, and assess and fix the punishment of Vernie Murrell at three months confinement in jail at Waverly in Humphreys County, Tennessee, and a fine of fifty dollars, and assess and fix the punishment of Laddie Jarred at three months confinement in jail in Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee, and fine of fifty dollars, and that each defendant be required to pay their proportionate part of the cost of this cause.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December Term, 18th, day of December 1930

State of Tennessee)

Larceny

Don McCloud

Assistant In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in

person who being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment plead not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: George Hughev, Doss Thompson, E.B. Madden, Tom Pullen, Albert Binkaev, J.H. Collier, Thomas Bigham, Jessie Fuqua, J.S. Westbrooks, W.R. Spann, N.C. Curtis and G.T. Hatcher who being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined according at law who after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon oath do say they find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant Don McCloud go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

VS.

VS.

Resisting an Officer

Paul Wright

In this case came the Assistant Attorney General, for the state, and it . appearing to the court, that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of resisting an officer and the said defendant, was arrested and entered into bon? with J.M. Leece, P.S.Mavberry as his sureties, which hond is in the words and figures as following to wil: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, we, Paul Wright agree to pay to the State of Tennessee, Five Hundred and No/100 dollars unless the said Paul Wright appear at the hank term of the circuit court of Humphreys county, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly, on 3rd, Monday in December 1930, of said term to answer the state of Tennessee, for the offense of resis ing an officer and do not depart the court without leave.

> Paul Wright Principal J.M. Beece Surety P.S. Mayberry Surety

Approved

-----Sheriff

and the defendant Paul Wright being sommely called to come into open aftert, and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of resisting an officer came not but made default and said J.M. Reece and P.S. Mayberry were also called to come into open court and bring with them the body of the said, Paul Wright according to tenor and effect of their said bond, came not but made appault, neither came the defendant Paul Wright nor his said surties but rade default It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant Paul Wright and J.M. Reece and P.S. Mayberry for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee, the said sum of five hundred dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said honds and it's further ordered by the court Sci. Fa. be issued to the said defendant and his said sureties requiring them to appear at the next term of this court, and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not made final. And further that Alias Capias be issue for the defendant.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December Term 18th, day of December 1930

State of Tennespee!

VS.

Neal Winters et all In this case came the Assistant Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person who being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment plead not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of "umphrevs County to wit: J.A. Curtis, Doss Thompson, Alse Woods, Tom Pullen, Albert Pinkley, J.F.Collier T.D. Story, W.H. McCaully, J.H.T. Gottham, W.R. Spann, Albert Crockett and M.B. Pewett who being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined according to law who after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their asth do say they find the defendant snot guilty. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendants Neal Winters et al go hence without day.

State of Tennessee!

VS.

VS

Carrying a Razor

R.D.

Shelt Davis

In this cause upon motion of the Assistant Attorney General this case is dismissed upon the defendant pay the costs.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the state of Tennessee recover of the defendant the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, And in the event of his failure to pay or secure he will be confined in the County fail until he pay or secure all of said fine at costs,

State to Tennesseel

Thomas F. Plant

In this case came the Assistant Attorney General, for the state, and it appearing to the court, that this defendant was indicted at a former form of this court for the offence of meanining and the said defendant, was arrested and entered into bond with H.T. Rushing and G.H. Spann as his supeties, which bond is in the words and figures following to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, we, Thomas F. Plant agree to pay to the State of Tennessee, Five Hundred Dollars unless the said Thomas F. Plant appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly, on 3rd. Monday in December 1930, of said term to answer the State of Tennesse for the offense of reministration and the not depart the court without leave.

> Thomas F. Plant Principal H.T.Rushing Sureties G.H. Spann

Approved

----Sheriff

This---- day of----- 1930 by---- Peputy. And the defendant Thomas F. Plant being solmnely called to come into open court, and answer

the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of B.D. came not but made default and said F.T.Rushing and G.H. Spann were also called to come into open court and bring with them the body of the said Thomas F. Plant according to tenor and effect of their said bond, came not but made default, neither came the defendant Thomas F. Plant nor his said Sureties but made default It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant Thomas F. Plant and H.T.Rushing and G.H. Spann for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee, the said sum of Five Hundred Dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds and it is further ordered by the court Sci. Fa.be issued to the defendant and his said sureties require -ing them to appear at the next term of this court, and show cause if any they have why this judgement should not be made final, and further that Alias Capias be issue for the defendant

State of Tennessee

Hoytt Burgess

VS.

In this case comes Assistant Attorney General, and the state to the cour that he desires to prosecutes this case no further.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the coutr that the defendant be discharged and so hence without day

State of Tennessee

Resisting Arrest VS.

Rugene Ethridge etal

In this cause a nolleprosequie is antended as to defendant Eugene Ethertdge and it is ordered by the Court that he be held under his present bond to await the action of the Grand Jury at April Term Circuit Court for Humphreys County, Tennessee.

State of Tennessee

VS.

Profane Language

Eugene Ethridge

in this cause comes the Assistant Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guity.

Thereupon to thy the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphrevs County, to wit: George Hughey, E. Winters, Alse Woods, Tom Pullen Albert Benkley, J.H.Collier, Thomas Bigham, Jessie Fuq a, Jess Robertson, W.R. Spann, H.F. Browning and G.T. Hatcher who being dury elected tried aworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of coursel, and the charge of the court upon their oathsdo say they find the defendant suilty as charged, in said bill of indictment. Thereupon they assess the punishment and say he shall pay a fine of \$5.00 together with all the cost of this cause, and in the event of this failure to pay or secure all of said fine-and costs he will be confined in the county fail or work house until he pay secure or work out all said fines and cost.

State of Tennesiee! vs.

Wilfully and Molisciously Trading Property did not belong to him

Claude Warren

In this case came the Assistant Attorney General, and statesto the court

that he desires to prosecutes this case no further.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed byththe court that the defendant be discharged hense and go/without day.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9'oclock.

Domint Juan

## Winnies Circuit Court. Humphreys County, December Term 19th, day of December 1930

Court met persuant to adjournment present and presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Morton

Assault with intent to commit murder in first degree

A.D. Carnell

State of Tennessee)

In this cause comes the Assistant Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Fumphrevs County. Tennessee, to wit: J.B.Long, Doss Thompson, Fred Marrs, Charlie Brown, W.A. Curtis, Thomas Bigham, George Pickard, Walter Wood, Dee Woods, Dock Forster, Tke Johnson and S.E. Purt who being duly elected, tried and sworn, according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers D.A. Burch and J.C. Thomas who had been previously legally sworn to attend them. and the proof being completed, argument of counsel heard and charged of court given but not having time to consider of their verdict said jury was respided bby the court until tomorrow morning at nine o'clock and said jury retired in charge of their sworn officers aforesaid.

State of Tennessee

VS.

Carrying a pistol

A.D.Carnell

In this case came the Assistant Attorney General protem for the state, and defendant in person, and by attorney and plead guilty as charged, Thereupon the court assess the penalty, and say he shall pay a fine of fifty dollars together with all the cost then came into open court the defendant and paid the clerk of this court all of said fine and cost.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that the defendant be lischarged and go hence without day.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9'o clock

30 morting Judge

Court met persuant to adjourmment present and presiding the Hon. J.D.G.Morton Judge

State of Tennessee) VS.

Assault to commit murder in first degree

A.D. Carnell

In this cause comes again the Assistant Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, when the Jury heretofore selected and sworn in this cause to wit: J.B.Long. Doss Thompson, Fred Marrs, Charlie Brown, W.A. Curtis, Thomas Bigham, George Pickard, Walter Wood, Dee Woods, Dock Forster, Ike Johnson, and S.E. Hurt having beturned into open court in charge of their sworn officers D.A.Burch and J.C.Th.as and having resummed the consideration of this cause, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of simple assumest as charged in the indictment, and assess and fix his punishment, at a finesof Seventy-five dollars.

State of Tennessee)

Burglary VS.

Henry Danesworth

pleads not guilty.

In this cause comes the Assistanth Attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County. Tennessee, to wit: George Hughey, George Pickard, Alse Woods, Tom Pullen, Albert Binkley. Thomas Bigham, Jessie Fuqua, J.S. Westbrooks, W.R.SPann, Fred Marrs, and A.L. Jones who being duly elected, tried and sworn, according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers D.A.Burch who had been previously legally sworn to attend them and having heard the proof in the cause, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of burgary as charged in the indictment, and assess and fix his punishment at three years, in the penitentiory,

It is therefore ordered by the court that for the offense of burglary, as charged in the indictment, that the defendant be confined in the state penitentiory at Nashville Tennessee, at hard laboy for an indeterminate peroid of not less than one year nor more than three years, and that he pay the costs and execution may issue for same.

CIRCUAT COURT, HUMPHREYS COUNTY, DECEMBER TERM 1930.

On motion it is ordered by the court, all the attorneys, officers and others present at the time of the making of said motion, concurring, that the court, the members of the bar, officers and those present at the time, do express their regrets at the inability of Gen. )hn P. Powman to be present at the court, and that they all are grateful for the recovery or said Gen. Bowman To the present time, and express their hopes and wishes that the said Gen. Bowman will continue to improve in health and that he may soon be able to be with the court, at the sessions, and that all may have his pleasant assocations soon restored. It was further ordered that.all present at the time of said motion concurring, that said Gen. Bowman may have a merry Christmas a happy new year for himself and family and that good health a prosperous future and a happy old age may be his It was further ordered that this order be spread upon the Minutes of the court and a copy be transmitted to Gen. Bowman; that W.C. Howell, C.W. Turner and J.F. Shannon are appointed a committee to draw up this order. This December 20th, 1930

C.W. Turner										
J.F. Shannon			•	•	•	•		•	•	
W.C. Howell	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	

This day came into open Court Mrs. T.C. Bryant and present and read in open court. her account against the State of Tennessee, for boarding the juries in cases of State of Tennessee. ssee VS. EstuBagus and et al \$28.06. Neal Winters and et al \$21.00. A.D. Carnell \$28.06 and the total is \$77.00 which is allowed by the court, and ordered paid out of the Treasury of the State, of Tennessee, and that the Clerk of this court makeout and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee VQ

Motion to retax cost Assault and Battery

Floyd Matlock

In this case came the Assistant Attorney General, for the State, and it appearing to the court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him hy the clerk fo this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defe ndant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof so it is therefore, ordered adjudged, and decreed by the court that the cost acrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court makeout and certify the same the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Motion to retax cost

Larcenv

Robert Mofield

VS.

In this case came the Assistant Attorney General for the state, and it appearing to the court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him hy the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof so it is therefore ordered adjudged, and decreed by the court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court makeout and certify the same Athe County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

Motion to retax cost

Manufactoring Liquor

Royd Slaughter Walter Bradley

In this case came the Assistant Attorney General, for the state , and it

appearing to the court from the retun of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part theref, So it is therfore, ordered adjudged, and decreed by the court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the state be allowed and oredered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the cleri of this Court makeout and certify the same the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee Motion to retax cost VS.

Theodocia Spicer In this case came the Assistant Attorney General, for the state, and it

appearing to the court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof, So it is therefore, ordered adjudged, and decreed by the court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court makeout and certify the same the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December Term 20th, day State of Tennessee) Motion to retax cost VS. Assault and Battery George Allen In this case came the Assistant Attorney General, for the state, and it appearing to the court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against then estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof So it is therefore, ordered adjudged, and decreed by the court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clear of this court makeout and certify the same the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennessee VS Motton to retay cost Marcel Matlock Simple Assault In this case came the Assistant Attorney General, for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof, So it is therefore ordered adjudged, and decreed by the court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and/the clerk of this Court makeout and certify the same the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennessee Motion to retax cost Agsault Fred Spencer In this case came the Assistant Attorney General, for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the Cyerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is whoily insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereo? .. So it is therefore, ordered adjudged, and decreed by the court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the state/be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennesseed) Motion to retax cost VS. Jack · Spencer In this case came the Assistant Attorney General, for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the clergof this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof,. So itis therefore ordered adjudged, and decreed by the court, that the cost acrued upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court makeout and certify the same the County Judge for payment as the law directs. State of Tennessee Motion to retax cost VS. Public Drunkness Melvin Hicks In this case came the Assistant Attorney General, for the state and it appearing to the court from the return of the Shariff, upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs ofthis suit, or any part thereof. So it is

therefore, ordered adjudged, and decreed by the court, that the costnavened upon the part of the state be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court

makeout and certify the same the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

· ( ·	
State of Tennessee	
VS. Mu	ırder
Luther Rhodes & ) Mo	tion to retax cost
In t	his case came the Assistant Attorney General, for the state, and it
appearing to the court f	rom the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the
clerk of this court agai	inst the estate of the defendant, for the costs of this suit, that the
defendant is wholly inso	olvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or anv part thereof,. So
it is therefore ordered	adjudged, and decreed by the court that the cost acrued upon the part
of the state be allowed	and paid out of the State Treasury, and that the Clerk of this court
make out and certify the	same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.
v	N N
State of Tennessee	Age Consent
vs.	Motion to retax cost
William Howard Pruett)	n this case came the Assistant Attorney General, for the state, and it
appearing to the court i	from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the
clerk of this court aga:	inst the estate of the defendant, for the costs of this suit, that the
defendant is wholly inse	olvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So it
is therefore, ordered as	djudged, and decreed by the court that the cost acrued upon the part
of the state be allowed	and paid out of the State Treasury, and the clerk of this court make
out and certify the same	e to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs
h aik alkarkenakenar akenak a Popa arkar akarkenar akenak a	x ) } } Chronith Volunt x Vhawnth x Tombesses
KS.	CRICULES COMERS A CHEMICAL SAN A CONTROL SANS
Br xRx wherevery xx xx xX x H	
18.798.7 z. <del>i/Q.rotx/agranx</del>	) Philis ubuse nomes all the a shoundoor, name is unlikeliked as another that the otherwise states is a second of the all the states in the second of the se
planted very de 18, x x kertede	Beauty upon spires spires seems and a seems and a spire is switch on spires and a spire such shock and sho
FF WAR	where the street country which the whole the work from one of the street when the

VS. | Malicious Mischief.

Ollie Burgess et al)

In this cause comes the Assistant Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney and it appearing to the Court from the statement of the Assistant Attorney General made in open Court that the prosecutor, Mrs. Emma Pruett, failed to appear and prosecute said cause. The case is therefore dismissed and the prosecutor is the

taxed with thecost of said cause which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

State of Tennessee

Assault with intent to Commit Murder.

Roger Wilbern

In this cause comes the Assistant Attorney General for the State and defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly chargedvand arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty to simple assault.

Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: George Hughey, Doss Thompson, Alse Woods, Tom Pullen, Albert Binkley, J.H. Collier, Thomas Bigham, Jessie Fugua, J.S. Westbrooks, W.R. Spann, N.C. Curtis and G.T. Hatcher who, being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, D. B. McCann and Walter McNeal, who had/been legally sworn to attend them and the proof being completed argument of counsel and the charge of the Court given, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of simple assault as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at six months in fail together with a fine of \$15. 00.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be required to pay a fine of \$15,00 and will serve a term of six months in fail in Mayerly, Humphreys County, Tennessee, said Jail sentence being suspended during good behavior. The defendant will pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessie

Attempt of H. B. VS.

Melvin Hicks In th is cause comes the Assistant Attorney General for the State and defendant in person and by Attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty to attempt to commit a felony.

Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphrevs County. to wit: George Hughey, Doss Thompson, Als Yoods, Tom Pullen, Albert Binkley, J.H. Collier. Thomas Bigham, Jessie Fuqua, J.S. Westbrooks, W.R. Spann, N.C. Curtis and G.T. Hatcher, who, being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, D. B. NcCann and Walter Mc Neal, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them and the proof being completed argument of counsel and the charge of the Court given, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of attempt to commit a feleny as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his puneshment at six months in fail together with all the costs .

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the Jury the defendant be required to serve a term of six months in jail in Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee, said jail sentence being suspended during good behavior. The defendant will pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee)

Driving car while Drunk.

W. E. Mcelmurry )In this case comes the Assistant Attorney General for the state, and the defendant in person and pleads guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shallxpayx be confined in the County jail for a period of thirty days and pay all the costs of this cause, and in event of said failure to pay or secure said costs, he will be confined in the County jail until he payaor work out all of said costs

Willie Dotson νs. Circuit Court Waverly Tennessee Roy Pingston et al

In this cause on motion of plaintiff, the cause is dismissed and Rurie L. Roach, who appealed from the magistrates judgment and M. J. Brennon & Mrs. Violet B. Roach, sureties on his anneal bond, will now all the combs of this cause, for which execution may issue. The court so orders, adjudges and decrees.

W.J. Hooper

Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee. Vs.

B.T. Pucket I

In this Cause on motion of the defendant the case is dismissed and defendant will pay the costs of this cause, for which execution may issue.

Robert H. Raker

In Circuit Court. Waverly Tennessee. Va.

C.C.Bagwell

On this day Dec., 12th, 1930, came the parties and their attorneys, and a jury impropeled and sworn of twelve good and lawful men, to try the issue joined in said case and after hearing all the proof introduction both for the plaintiff and the defendant argument of course, and the charge of the court the fury returned a verdict in favor of the defendant. In two judgements, and fixed the damage to the property, appropriated for the state highway purposes, in favor c' the defendant, in the sum of \$200.75 and \$80.00 for incidental damages by reason of such construction, against Humphreys County, State of Tennessee. It is therefore decreed and adjudged by the court, that the defendant, C.C.Bagwell, is due the sum of \$150.75 and cost except his own witnesses in the case. by damage by the taking of the strip of land described in the petition in this case by the State Highway Department, and interest from July 1st, 1929 at 8%.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that all the rights, title and interest in the strip of land hereinafter described of the defendant, C.C.Bacwell be and the same is condimed and the title thereto divested out of the defendant. C.C. Bagwell and be and is vested in the department, of highways and public works of the State of Tennessee and for the use and benefit of the department, of highways and public works and for sublic purposes. The land herein condimend for highway purposes is located in the 3rd. Civil Dist., of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Hurricane Creek east of McEwen, Tennessee, and described as follows; A strip of land from station 338/75 to station 352/45-100 in width. extending 50 Ft., on each side of the center line of said proposed Road, all of said strip of land containing 4.035 acres, being the same more or less.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant, C.C. Bagwell, have and recover of the defendant, Humphrevs County, Tennessee, a damage for the land herein condimned and take taken for State Highway purposes, the sum of \$150.75 togather with all cost except for the defendant, C.C.Bagwell's own witnesses and for the collection of same, and such other necessory and proper steps and process may issue

3141 E T.

Robert H. Baker, Com.)

In the Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

This case cameup for hearing on December 11,1930 and it appearing to the Court that the defendant, Ed Lehman, had received from Humphreys County the sum of Twenty Five Dollars in full payment for the strip of land taken by the state for highway purposes and hereafter described and for all damages incident thereto.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that all the rights title and interest to said strip of land, hereafter described be and the same is condemned and the saile thereto divested out of the defendant, Ed Lehman, and be and is hereby vested in in the Dep't of Highways and Public Works of the state of Tennessee for the use and henefit of said department and other public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 3rd.@ivil District of Humphreys County Tennessee on the waters of Hurricane creek, and is described as follows.

A strip of land from station317/30 to station 324/50,1000 in width, extending 50' on each side of the center line of said proposed road: and a strip of land from station 324/50, to station 385/20,110' in width extending 60' on the right and 50 on the left of center line of said proposed road: said strips of land containing 1.798 acres, be the same more or less.

Waverly Motor Co.

J.A.furter

In Circuit Court of Pumphreys County, Tennessee, December Term 1930.

. The Court after hearing argument of counsel in motion for a new trial is pleased to overrule  $\$ ame.

COURT ADJOURNED UNTILL COURT IN COMRSE.

DOO and

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 20th day of April 1931.

CAPTOOK APRIL TERM CIRCIUT COURT A.B. 1931.

State of Tennessee

Humphreys County

Be it remimbered that a Circuit Court was opened and held in and for
the County of Humphreys at the Court House in the town of Waverly, Tennessee, on the 90th
day of April it being the 3rd Monday en said month, and the One Thousand Nine Hundred and
Thirtyfirst year of our Lord, and the One Hundred and Pifty Humberea of American Independence.

Present and presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Morton Judge of the 9th Judicial District of the State
of Tennessee.

Court was opened in due form of law by Walter McNeil, sheriff of Humphrevs County, Tennessee, and by him was returned into open Court a writ of Venire Facias, showing that the following named persons were appointed by the County Court, at its April Term 1941, to appear and to serve as Jurrors at this the present term of this court to wit: J.H.Smith, E.W.Svies, Vill Pry, Tom Warden, Marton Moran, Rob Warren, H.H.Hemby, Moody Anderson, Charley McMurry, W.L.Cude, M.J.Brennon, Cowen Watkins, Alix May, A.M.Commins, John Glynn, D.C.Vaden, Jim Gunh, Jeff Page, Will Potter, W.H.Willhite, Charlie Burchard, Vill Crockett, J.M.Reece, D.M.Owens.

and it appearing to the Court that the above named parties were regularly summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and that all of said parties so summoned appeared and answered said summon except Charley McMurry which the Court assessed a fine of Twenty Five and No/100--Dollers for failing to appear.

And out of said jurrors so summoned the following were selected, as required by law, as Grand Juryman, to wit: Cowen Watkins, Will Crockett, J.M.Reecs, M.L.Woran, Charlie Rurchard, R.S.Warren, A.M.Commins, Jim Gunn, D.C.Vaden, J.H.Smith, Tom Warden, W.L.Cu 3, and R.H. ackeel having been appointed Foreman of the Grand Jury at a former term of this court, the said Grand Jury is in all things as the law directs having been duly elected, tried sworn and charged by the court according to law, retired to their room in charge J.R.Travior Deputy Sheriff of Humphreys County, sworn according to law to attend them in considering indictments and presentments.

And out of the remaining number of said jurrors so summond, the following were excused from jury service, by the court, to wit: Will Potter, W.H.Willhite, Will Frv and John Glynn.

And the following named persons were summoned by the Sheriff of Humphrevs County, and qualified as regular jurrors in the stead of the above named excused jurrors to wit:

John Pearl, Boss Fortner, Frank James, Jim Patric.

W.C. HOWELL APPOINTED ATTORNEY GENERAL PRO TEMPORE.

WHERRAS, Hon. John B.Bowman , Attorney General for the Minth Judicial Circuit, of the State of Tennessee, is sick and on that account unable to attend the present term of the Court to perform his duties as such Attorney General and on that account has failed to attend and prosecute according to law; therefore, I, J.D.G.Morton, Judge of said Judicial Circuit, by virtue of the power vested in me, by the Constitution and laws of the State of Tennessee, do hereby appoint W.C.Howell, a regularly licensed attorney of the State of Tennessee engaged in the active practise of his profession in said State, and who possesses all the quallifications required by law, as Attorney General Pro Tempore, for and during this term of Circuit Court for and in the place of the said John B.Bowman, Attorney General, and with all the powers and duties conferred upon him by law by virtue of this appointment.

This appointment of the said W.C. Howell as such Attorney General Pro Tempore is for the April Ter, 1931, of the Circuit Court for Humphreys, County, Tennessee.

This the 20th., day of April 1931.

J.D.G.Morton, Judge.

State of Tennessee Humphreys County.

I, W.C.Howell, do solenly swear that I will perform with fidelity the duties of the office Attorney General Pro Tempore of the Ninth Judicial Circuit for the Circuit Court held for Humphreys County, Tennessee, in Waverly at the April Term 1931, to which I have been appointed by the Hon. J.D.G.Morton, Circuit Court Judge of said Court, and that I will support the Constitution of the United States of America and the Constitution of the State of Tennessee.

I futher swear that I have not, directly or indirectly, given, accepted or knowingly carried a challenge in writing or otherwise to any person, being a citizen of the State, since the adoption of the Constitution in 1853 or aided or abetted therein, and that I will not, during my continuance in office, be guilty of either of the acts.

Witness my hand, this the 20th., day of April 1931.

W.C.Howell.

Sworn to and subscribed to before me, this the 20th., day of April 1931.

L.C.Bohanan, Circuit Court Clerk.

K.L.Pruett

J.H.Berryman et al

This cause came on to be heard and was heard this April 20th.,1931 by the Hon.J.D.G.Korton without the intervention of a jury and the Court after hearing the proof of the PlaintiPf 1. of the openion that the Plaintiff had failed to make out his case and gave judgment for the Defendant for costs for which execution may issue.

P.D.Robertson, Recever for the McKwen Bank.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

R.P.Jernigan & R.W.Jernigan.

This day came T.R.Meadow and exhibited a note before the Court executed by R.P. Jernigan and R.N. Jernigan to the McRwen Bank, and now due, with a balance due on principal of \$234.70, and \$10.56 due in interest, and \$24.53 due in attorney fees according to the terms of said note; and also a power of attorney, as authorized by statute in such cases, and proved the same.

Whereupon, the said T.R.Meadow confessed judgment upon said note for the sum of \$23..70 principal, and \$10.56 interest, and \$24.53 attorney fees, The Court therefore orders, and decrees that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants the total sum of \$269.79, in which sum is included the sum of \$24.53 attorney fees for Mack C.Simpson and J.R.Morris who appeared as attorneys for the plaintiff, with interest from date of judgment, and all the costs: of this cause for which execution may issue.

D.D.Robertson Rec. ) for the McRwen Bank.)

Vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

W.C.Brawner, and Brawner & Oliver.

This day came T.R. Meadow and exhibited before the court, the Honorable

J.D.G.Morton, Judge, a note executed by W.C.Brawner, and Brawner & Oliver, a partnership composed of W.C.Brawner and J.C.Oliver, now due, for Nine Hundred (\$900.00) Dollars and interest from Sept. 9th, 1925; and also a power of attorney, as authorized by statute in such cases, and proved the same.

Whereupon the said T.R.Meadow confessed judgment upon said note for the sum of Nine Kundred dollars, principal, and Two Bundred Ninetv Seven Dollars interest, and One Hundred Ninetven Dollars and Seventy Cents attorneys fee for Mack C.Simpsonand J.R.Morris, attorneys of record. The court therefore orders, adjudges and decrees that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants, W.C.Brawner, and Brawner & Oliver, a partnership composed of W.C.Brawner and J.C.Oliver, \$900.00 principal of said note, and \$297.00 interest, and \$119.70 attorney fee for Mack C.Simpson and J.R.Morris, making a total of \$1316.70, with interest from date of this judgment, and all the costs of this cause, for which execution may issue.

D.D.Robertson Rec., for the McZwen Bank.

Vs.

Circuit Court, Wararly, Tennessee.

D.H.May, & Mrs. D.H.May.

This day can a T.R. Weadow and exhibited before the Court, the Hon.

J.D.G.Morton, Judge, a note executed by the defendants, D.B.May and Mirch.M.May on the filay of March, 1928, and now past due, for \$720.00, with a balance due thereon of the principal at this time of \$425.80; and also a power of attorney; as authorized by statute in such cases, and proved the same. Whereupon the said T.R.Meadow confessed judgment upon said note against the said defendants for \$425.80 balance principal, and \$47.27 interest, and \$47.31 attorneys fees for Mack C.Simpson and J.R.Morris, attorneys of record.

The Court, therefore, orders, adjudges and decrees that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants the amounts as confessed, \$425.80 balance of principal, and \$47.27 interest, and \$47.31 attorneys fees, making a total of \$520.28, and all the costs of this cause for which execution may issue.

D.D. Roberson, Rec., ? for the McRwen Bank.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

V. Y. Rogers.

This day came T. R. Meadow and exhibited before the Court, the Hon.

J. D. G. Morton, Judge, a note executed by V. Y. Rogers on the 4th day of Pebruary, 1928,
and now past due, for Fifteen Hundred (\$1500.00) Dollars; and also a power of attorney, as
such
authorized by statute in/cases, and proved the same, Whereupon the said T. R. Neadow confessed judgment upon said note against the defendant for (\$1500.00, principal, and \$234.50
imberest, and \$173.45 attorneys fee for Mack C. Simpson and J. R. Morris, attorneys of record

The Court, therefore, orders, adjudges and decrees that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendant the amount as confessed, \$1500.00 principal, and \$234.50 interest and \$173.485attorneys fees, making a total of \$1907.95, and all the costs of this cause for which execution may issue.

D.D. Robertson Rec. for the McEwen Bank

Circuit Court. Waverly, Tenn.

Rogers & Murphree, V. Y. Rogers, Floyd Murphree.

This day came T.R. Meadow and exhibited before the Court, the Hon.

J.D.G. Morton, Judge, a note, executed by the defendants, Rogers & Murphree, a partnership composed of V.Y. Rogers and Floyd Murphree, and V.Y.Rogers and Floyd Murphree, on February 4th, 1928, and now past due, for Two Thousand (\$2000.00) Dollars; and also a power of attorney, as authorized by statute in such cases, and proved the same. Whereupon the said T. R. Meadow confessed judgment upon the said note against the said defendants for \$2000.00 principal, and \$312.67 interest, and \$231.27 attorneys fees for Mack C. Simpson and J. R.Morris attorneys of record.

The Court, therefore, orders, adjudges and decrees that the plaintiff have an recover of the defendants the amounts as confessed, \$2000.00 principal, and \$312.67 attorneys fees. making a total of \$2543.94, and all the costs of this cause for which execution mag issue.

D. D. Robertson Rec. for the McEwen Bank.

Circuit Court. Waverly. Tennessee.

W. B. Murphose, & Bra Murphree

This day came R. T. Meadow and exhibited before the court, the Hon.

J.D.C. Morten, Judge, a note executed by W. B. Murphree and Era Murphree on Feb. 20, 1928, and now passed due, for One Thousand (\$1000.00) Dollars; and also apower of attorney, as authorized by statute in such cases, and proved the same. Whereupon the said T. R. Meadow passessed judgment upon said note for \$1000.00, principal, and \$137.20 interest, \$113.000

The Court, therefore, orders, adjudges and decrees that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants the amounts as confessed, \$1000.00 principal, and \$137.20 interest, and \$113.92 attorneys fees, making a total of \$1250.72, and all the costs of this cause for which execution may issue.

D, D. Robertson Rec. for the McHwen Bank.

Vs

McEwem Dry Goods & Ready to Wear Store, J. B. Vissdorth. & A. Rosen. Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

This day came T. R. Meadow and exhibited before the

Dry Goods & Ready to Wear Store, and J. B. Vigsdorth and A. Rosen, on January 15, 1927, and now past due, for \$1900.00 with a balance now due on said principal of \$1179.05; and also a power of attorney, as authorized by statute in such cases, and proved the same. Whereupon, the said T.R. Meadow confessed judgement against the said defendants upon said note for \$1179.05 balance principal, and \$165.26 interest, and \$134.43 attorneys free for Mack C. Simpson and J.R. Morris, attorneys of record.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants, the McEwen Dry Goods & Ready to Wear Store, and J.E. Vigsdorth and A.Rôsen, and each of them, the amounts as confessed, \$1179.05 balance principal, and \$165.26 interest, and \$134.43 attorneys fees, making a total of \$1478.74, and all the costs of this cause for which execution may issue.

D. D. Roortson Rec. for the McBwen Bank

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

W. B. Murphree, & Bra Murphree

This day came T. R. Meadow and exhibited before the Court, the Hon.

J. D. 6. Morton, Judge, a mose executed by the defendants W. E. Murphree and Bra Murphree on Feb.20, 1928 now passed due, for \$810.00 with thebalance of principal now due thereupon of \$265.08; and also a power of attorney, as authorized by statute in such cases, and proved the same. Wherupon the said T. R. Meadew confessed judgment upon said note for \$265.08, balance principal, and \$21.34 interest and \$28.64 attorneys fee for Mack C. Simpson and J. R. Morris, attorneys of record.

The Court, therefore, orders, adjudges and decrees that the plaintift have and recover of the defendants the amounts as confessed, the sum \$265.08 balance principal, and \$21.34 interest, and \$28.64 attorneys fees, making a total of \$315.06, and all the costs of this cause for which execution may issue.

D. D. Roertson, Rec. for the McHwen Bank Vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

fees for Mack C. Simpson and J. R. Morris, attorneys of record.

R. P. Jernigan, & R. N. Jernigan

2 7

This day came T. R. Meadow and exhibited before me a note executed by the defendants; R. P.Jernigan and R. N. Jernigan, on the 9th day of Jan., 1928, and now passed due, for Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollar; and also a power of attorney, as authorized by statute in such cases, and proved the same. Whereupon the said T. R. Meadow confeased judgment upon the said note for \$500.00, princ/pal, and \$77.83 interest, and \$57.93 attorneys

The Courtherfore orders, adjudges and decrees that the plaintiff have andrecover of the defendants the amount as confessed, \$500.00 principal, \$77.83 interest, and \$57.78 attorneys fees for Mack C. Simpson and J. R. Morris, making a total of \$835.81, and all the costs of this cause for which execution may issue.

D. D. Robertson Rec. for the McBwen Bank

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

R. P. Jernigan, & R. N. Jernigan

This day came T. R. Meadow and exhibited before the Court, the Hon.

J. D. G. Morton, Judge, a note executed by the defendants on the 9th day of Jan., 1928, and now passed due, for the original sum of \$100.00 and with a balance principal now due on said not of \$14.31; and also a power of attorney, as authorized by statute in such cases, and proved the same. Whereupon the said T. R. Meadow confessed judgment upon the said note for \$14.31, Prin., and \$1.04 interest, and \$5.54 attorneys fee for Mack C. Simpson and J. R. Morris.

The Court therefore orders, adjudges and decrees that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants the amount as confessed, \$14.31 Bal. Prin., and \$1.04 interest and \$1.54 attorneys fee making a total of \$16.89 and all the costes of this cause for which execution may issue.

In the Circuit Testern Union Telegraph Co.	Court of Humphreys County Tennessee.		
8.	жо	5	
Mashville,Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway et al.	Upon application of both the plaintiff and	defendant,	1t 1s
ordered that this case be r	estored to the trial docket and thereupon it	is futher	ordered,

ordered that this case be restored to the trial docket and thereupon it is futher ordered, upon application of the parties and upon admission that the matters in contreversy have been settled, that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed and stricken from the docket on cost of plaintiff.

J.D.G.Morton, Judge.

hbarüpandriutt. Ibizziagrannerinterapehrünuntzinrarbedyrandrpunnntartherfolioningrindiotuuntarandrpunnente, mantar

Court then adjourned untill tomorrow morning at 9:00 o'clock,

Jaman J. Judge.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 21st. day of April 1931.

Court met persuant to adjournment prement and presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Morton Judge etc.

This day the Grand Jury came into open Court in a body and presents the following indictments and presentments.

One against Paul Buchanan, Carrying a Pistol, subpoens for the State D.B.McCann, S.T.Harris and Tom Ferguson.

One against Lester Davis, Carrying a Pistol subpoens for the State C.C. Smith. One against Bugene Tibbs. Paul Mannon/Allen Raymer H.B.& Larceny which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1931 The Grand Jurrors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected. empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of BhapCounty of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, Bugene Tibbs, Paul Mannon, Boyd Raymer and Allen Raymer heretofore, to wit, on the 30th day of March 1931, in said County and Shate, unlawfully, feloniously and forcibly did break and enter the manticn house of one J.R.Pierce. in the day time with intent to commit a felony, to wit, a larceny. And the Grand Jurrors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further presents that the said Rugene Tibbs, Paul Mannon, Boyd Raymer and Ailen Raymer, on the day and year aforesaid, in the States, and County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously did take, steal, and carry away one pair pants, ladies purse, three gold rings, one gold watch bond, one string pearls, one pair shoes, one fountain pin Seven Dollars of good and lawfull money of the United States in one dollar bills, all of the value of twenty five dollars, and of the goods and chattels or the said J.R. Pierce, with intent to deprieve him, the said J.R. Pierce, the true owner thereof and convert the same to the'r own use, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State, W.C. Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem.

April Term, 1931 The State Vs. Eugene Tibbs, Paul Mannon, Boyd Raymer and Allen Raymer U.B. and Larceny, subposns for the State J.R.Pierce, Mrs.J.R.Pierce and Msq.A.G.Scott, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury April Term, 1931 R.H.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury. W.C.Howell Attorney General, Pro Tem a true bill, R.H.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against H.M.Cox B.D. subpoens for the State Geo. Pace and J.McReeves
One against Leiy Taylor Driving Drunk, which indictment is in the words and figures 40 wit;
State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, AprilTerm of Circuit Court, A.D., 1931. The Grand
Jurrors for the State of Tennessee, Duly elected, empaneled, sworm, and charged to inquire
for the body of 5the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid,
presents that Levy Taylor heretofore, to wit, on the 28th day of March 1931, in said County
and State, unlawfully did drive an automobile on the public highways of said State and KN
County while under the influence of intoxicating liquor contrary to the statute and against
the peace and dignity of the State. W.C.Howell, Attorney General Pro Tem.
April Term, 1931. The State Vs. Levy Taylor, Driving Drunk, subpoens for the State;

April Term, 1931 The State Vs. Levy Taylor, Driving Drunk, subpoens for the State;

Joe Traylor, Allen Parnell and Geo. Pace Witness sworn by me on this indictment before
the Grand Jury April Term, 1931 R.H.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, W.C.Howell Attorney
General Pro Tem, A True Bill, R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Levy Taylor B.D. Which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to with State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1931

The Grand Juryors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to enquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Levy Taylor heretofore, to wit, on the 29th day of March, 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully did possess intoxicating liquors contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State.

April Term. 1931 The State Vs. Levy Taylor B.D. subpoena for the State Joe Traylor, Geo. Pace and D.B.McCann. W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro Tem A True Bill R.H.McKetl. Foreman Grand Jury J.C. Gunn. A.M. Cummings. D.C. Vaden, M.L. Moran, E.C. Watkins, W.H. Crockett, J.M.Reece, J.T. Warden, R.S. Warren, J.H. Smith, C.P. Burchard and W.L. Cude. One against Britton Townsend, A.B. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit. State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court. A.D. 1931 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath afore said, presents that Britton Townsend of said County, heretofore, to wit, on the 17th day of January 1931 with force and arms, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully deliberately, premeditately, and maliciously, did make an assault upon the body of one Legter Daviswith a certain knife with the unlawful and feloniousintent, then and there, him, the said Lester Davis unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditately, and of his malice aforethought, to kill and upon him to commit crime and felony of murder in the first degree, against the peace and digmity of the State. W.C. Howell. Attorney General Pro Tem April Term, 1931 The State Vs. Britton Townsend, Lester Davis, Prosecutor, subpoena for the State Lester Davis and C.C. Smith Witnesses by mg on this indictment before the Grand Jury. April Term. 1931 R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. W.C. Howell Attorney General Pro Tem A True Bill, R.H. dckeel Foreman Grand Jury.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphrevs County, April Term. 21st day of April 1931.

One against Leyter Davis, Carrying a Pistol, State of Tennessee, Humphrers County, April ferm of the Circuit Court, A.D.1931 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, agorn, and charged, to inquire for the Scaney of Humphrevs and State & aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Lester Davis heretofore, to wit, on the 17 Lyday of January 1931, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully carried a pistol, the same not being an army or navy pistol carried openly im the hand, to the evil example of all others in like case offending, and against the peace and dignity of the State.

W.C.Howell, Attorney General, Pro Tem April Term, 1931 The State Vs. Lester Davis, Carrying a Pistol, subposing for the State C.C.Smith W.C.Howell Attorney General Pro Tem.

A True Bill R. R.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury, J.C.Gunn, A.M.Cummins, D.C.Yaden, M.L.Moran, B.C.Watkins, W.A.Crockett, J.M.Reece, J.T.Worden, R.S.Warren, J.H.Smith,C.P.Burchard and W.L.Cude.

One against Hooper Daniel, Age Consent, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit. State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April of Circuit Court, A.D.,1931 The Grand Jurrors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to might be for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Hooper Daniel heretofore, to wit, on the 25th day of December 1930, in said County and State, unlawfully, feloniounsly, and carnally knew Arbie Guthrie, a female, over the age of twelve years and under the age of twenty one years, the said Hooper Daniel and Arbie Guthrie not occupying the relations of husband and wife, at the time of such carnal knowledge, and the said Arbie Guthrie not being, at the time and before said carnal knowledge, a bawd, lewd or kept female, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C.Howell, Attorney General Pro Tem.

April Term, 1931 The State Vs. Hooper Daniel, Age Consent subpoens for the State:
Lonnie Guthrie, Arbie Guthrie and Ella Guthrie, fitnesses sworn by me on this indictment
before the Grand Jury April Term, 1931 R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. W.C.Howell Attorney
General, Pro Tem. A True Bill R.H.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One Against Don McCloud et al M, Liquor, Stc. which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit, Manufacturing intoxicating liquors and possessing a still, etc.

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1951 The Grand
Jurses for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire
for the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that
Don McCloud and Paul Buchanan heretofore, to wit, on the 19th day of March, 1931 in the
State and County aforesaid, unlawfully did manufacture intoxicating liquors, to wit, whisky,
contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State.

And the Grand Juroes aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their eath aforesaid, further present that the said

Don McCloud and Paul Buchanan, on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesa
said, unlawfully did posess or lave in their controll a still, apparatus, or part thereof,
used or intended to be used for the manufacture of intexicating liquor, to with whiskey,
contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State.

W.C.Howell Attorney General, Pro Tem. No. \_\_\_\_\_\_April Term 1931 The State Vs.Don McCloud and Paul Buchanan T.G.Ferguson Prosecutor, subpoens for the State T.G.Ferguson, D.B.McCann and S.T. Harris W.C.Howell Attorney General, Pro Tem. Witnesses sworn by me to testify before the Grand Jury upon this indictment at April Term, 1931 R.H.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

A True Bill R.H.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Parris Johnson A.&.B. subpoens for the State Magnolia Johnson, Thula Lomax, Melvin Gorden and Amos Bwen.

One against Dallis Jackson and Bus Lascheur, Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court. A.D. 1931 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, empone and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that Dallas Jackson and But Lascheur of wald County, heretofore, to wit, on the 24th day of december 1931, in the County aforedaid, unlawfully and fwitan feloniously did steal, take and carry away one coon hide, one opossum hide and one rat hide of the value of Three and one-half Dollars, the property of S.E.Dickerson of said County. then and there being found, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell Attorney-General Pro-Te and the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do futher present that the said Dallis Jackson and Bub Laschuer of said County, on the day and year aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did receive buy, conceal, and aid in concealing one coon hide, one opossum hide and one rat hide of the value of Three and one-half Dollars, the property of S.E.Dickerson of said County, before then felonously stolen, taken and carried away by some one, to the Grand Jury unknown, they the said Dallas Jackson and Bub Laschuerthawys then and there knowing the said one corn hide, one onossum hide and one rat hide to have been feloniously stolen, taken, and takened away, and they the said Dallas Jackson and Rub Laschuer intending them and there fraudulantly to deprieve the owner thereof, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell Attorney General, Pro Tem. April Term, 1931 The State VS. Dallas Jackson and Bub Laschuer, S.B.Dickerson Prosecutor, subpoena for the State: S.B.Dickerson, L.W.Slayden, Cliff Hooper, Frank James and Buster Peeples Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, April Term, 1931 R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell

Attorney General Pro Tem A True Bill R.H.McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

State of Tennessee)

Driving Drank.

Blwis Collier

Vs.

In this Case came the Attorney General Pro-Tem for the State and the de defendant in person and by attorneys, who being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to-wit: W.L. White, Jeff Page, Boss fortner, Frank James, John Pearl, M.J. Brennon, Bob Hughey, Gilbert Carter, John Milem, H.H. Himby, D.M. Owens and Jim Patrick, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel, and the charge to the Court upon their oath do say that they cannot agree upon a virdict in this case. It is therefore ordered adjudged and degreed by the Court that a mistrial be entered in this case, and the jury be discharged, and the mease continued until next term of this Court.

State of Tennessee

Carrying a Pistol

Walter Miller

In this case came the Assistant Attorney General. Afor the state, and it appearing to the court, that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of carrying a pistol and the defendant was arrested and entered into bond with W. B. Wyatt John M. Miller and W. C. Patterson as his sureties, which bond is in words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys Coumty, we, Walter Miller agree to pay to the State of Tennessee, Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars unless the said Walter Miller appear at the next derm of the circuit court of Humphreys County, to be held at the Courthouse in the Monday
towned Waverly, on 3rd. 48 April 1931, of said term to answer the State of Tennessee, for carrying a Bistol and do not depart the court without leave.

> Walter Willer Principal W. B. Wyatt Surety Jno. M. Miller Surety W. C. Patterson Surety

Approved

-----Sheriff

This-----day of ------Deputy.

And the defendant Walter Miller being solmnely called totoome into open court, and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of carrying a pistol came not but made default and said W. S. Wyatt Jno. M. Miller and W. C. Patterson were also called to come into open court and bring with them the body of said Walter Miller according to tenor and affect of their said bond, came not but made default, neither came the defendant Walter Miller nor his said surites but made default. It is there fore considered by the court that the defendant Walter Miller and W. S. Wyatt, Jno. M. Miller and W. C. Patterson for their said default do forfest and pay unto the State of Tennessee, the said sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds and itis further ordered by the court Sci. Fa. be issued to the defendant and his/sureties requiring them to appear at the next term of this court, and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not made final, And further that Alias Capias he issued for the defendant.

State of Tennessee

Va.

Going Armed.

George L. Harrison

In this cause comes the attorneyhGeneral, Pro Tem for the State and it appearing

from the proof introduced in Court that the defendant is dead it is ordered that the case be abated.

State of Tannagae Vs.

Tippling Jesse Wright

Pro-Tem In this case comes the assemblest Attorney General, and the state to the court that he desires to prosecutes this case no further.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)

Banks Wright.

In this case comes the Attorney General, Pro-Tem and the stateq to the

court that he desires to prosecute this case no further.

Tippling

It is threfore ordered and adjudged decreed by the court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of TennRasee

VR.

Drunkness

Grover Evington

In this case comes the Attorney General, PRO- Temand states to the court

that he desires to prosecute this case no further.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Drunkness

Bill Lane

In this case comes the Attorney General Pro Tem and stateato the court

that he desires to prosecute this case no further.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Violating Tobacco Tax Law

J. D. Bone

In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true-+111

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without Day.

State of Tennessee

Wreckless careless driving

Dock Mays (Col.)

In this case the Grand Jury return and indictment marked not a true bill. he discharged.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant/ge hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Violating Tobacco Tax Law

C. L. Harris

In this case the Grand Jury return and indictment marked not a true bill.

It is therefore ordered by the court that thedefendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Ve-

Abduction

Ray Merrideth et

In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant be

day .

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Fraudulent disposing of property

Ella Stringer In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true bill

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant be dischareged, and go hence without

State of Tennessee

Isaac Crockett

Driving Drunk.

V.

In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true bill

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee!

Drunkness Va.

Sugg Herbison

In this case came the atterner General/for the state and the defendant

in person and plead guilty as charged, thereupon the Court assess the penalty andsay he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the costs, and the event of his failure to pay or secure all offesaid fine and costs he will be confined in the county jail or work house until he pay or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Drunkness

Tom Ferguson

In this case came the Attorney General Pro-Tem for the state and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged, thereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay line of Five Dollars together with all the costs, then came into open court the desendant and paid the clerk of this court all of said fine and cost,

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Drunkness

Lawernce Gorden

this case came the Attorney General Pro- Tem for the state, and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charged, thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the costs, and the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the county jai. or work house until he pay secuer or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Deutnkneak

Nott Harrell In thes case came the Attorney General Pro-Temupon the part of the State and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Five dollars together withall the cost, then came into open court C. H. Bramlet and J.F. Cunninghamb and entered their names as sureites for all of said fine and costs. It is therefore ordered adjudged anddecreed by the court that the State of Tenn. recover of the defendant his sureties all of said fine and cost for which execution may issue.

State of Tennessee

Molicious Mischief

W.A. Lawsen

Vs.

In this case the Attorney General Pro-Tem. for the State and the defends ant in person who being duly charged and arraigned upon said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawfull men of Humphreys County, to wit: E.W.Sykes, M.M.Anderson, John Bradley, Trim Parker, John Perkins, Tommie Meadow, Johnnie Stanford, J.M. Clemonts, Orson Fields, J.J. Bradley, Ernest Curtis and L. Robertson who being duly elected, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined according to law who after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant W.A. Lawson go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Court upon their oath do say they find the defendant not guilty.

Walter Miller

In this case came Attorney General Pro-Tem for the State, and it appearing to the Court, that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of drunkeness and the defendant was arrested and entered into bond with ".R. Wwatt. John M.Miller and W.C.Patterson as his sureties, which bond is in the words and figures as

follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, We, Walter Miller agree to may to the State of Tennessee. Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars unless the said Walter Miller appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of Eumphrey County, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly, on the 3rd. Monday in April 1931, of said term to answ r the State

of Tennessee, for being drunk and do not depart the Court without leave.

Walter Miller, Principal, Surety. W.E.Wratt, Jno.M.Miller, Surety, W.C. Patterson, Surety.

Approved

-----Sheriff

This----day of------193-by-----danuty

And the defendant Walter Miller being solumnly called to come into open Court, and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of Drunkness came not but made default and said W.B. Wtatt, Jno. M. Miller and W.C. Patterson were also called to come into open court and bring with them the body of said Walter Miller according to the tenor and affect of their said bond, came not but made default, neither came the defendant Walter Miller or his said surertees but made default. It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant Walter Miller and W.B. Wyatt, Jno. M. Miller And W.C. Patterson for their said default do forfeit and ray unto the State of Tennessee, the said sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds and it is futher ordered by the court that Sci. Fa. he issued to the defendant and his said sureties requireing them to appear at the next term of this court, and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final. and further that Alias Capias be issued for the defendant.

Court then adjourned until Tomorrow, morning at 9 0'Clock.

Mondon

Court met persuant to adjournment, present and presiding the Hon.J.D.G.Morton, Judge etc.

This day-the Grand Jury came into open Court in a body and presents the following indictments and presentments.

One against L.B.Osborne, bad check, which indictments is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1931. The Grand Jurrors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, presents that L.B.Oshorne heretofore, to wit, on the 9th day of January 1931, in said County and State, unlawfully and feloniously did obtain from Murry Tire Store, a partnership composed of A.J.SAUNDERS, JR., and A.J.SAUNDERS, SR, with fraudulent intent Twenty gallons of gasoline by means of a check of which he the said L.B.Osborne, was the drawer on Forth and First National Bank of Nashville, Tennessee, for the sum of Four Dollars. which said check was presented to the drawer, said bank, and not pard and was not paid by the drawer after seven days notice in writing having been mailed to said L.B.Osborne.s last known address, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C.Howell, Attorney-General Pro-Tem, April Term, 1931 The State Vs. L.B.Osborne; Bad check. A.J. Saunders / prosecutor, subpoens for the State: A.J. Saunders, Jr. A.J. Saunders, Sr. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury April Term, 1931 R.H.McKeel. foreman Grand Jury, W.C. Howell, Attorney-General Pro-Tem A True Bill R.H.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against U.B.Osborne, bad check, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows, to wit. State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, April Term of Circuit Court, A.D., 1931 The Grand Juryors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the Cou ty of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aroresaid, presents that L.B.Osborne heretofore, to wit, on the 8th day of June 1930, in said County and State, unlawfulky and felonicably did obtain from D.J. White with fraudulent intent one Dollar in money and two nights lodging and hoard by means of a check of which he the said L.B.Osborne, was the drawer on Forth and First National Bank, West End Branch of Nashville. Tempessee. For the sum of Nine dollars, which said check was presented to the drawee, said Bank, and not paid and was not paid by the drawer after segmen days notice. in writing haven been mailed to basdlas B. Osborne, s last known address, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. W.C. Howell, Attorney-General Pro-Tem April Term, 1931 THE STATE. Vs. L.B.Osborne, bad check, D.J. White, prosecutor, subpoena for the state: 0.J. White, Mrs.D.J. White and Elmer Oguinn Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury April Term, 1931 R.H.McKeel, Foreman Sfind Jury W.J. Howell. A True Bill R.H.McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

State of Tennessee

Vs.

Cutting and Ruining Timber.

William Anderson et all

In this case came the Attorney General Pro-Tem for the State and the Defendants in person and entered a plea of guilty, as charged in the said bill of indictment thereupon the Court assess the penalty, and say they shall be confined in the County jail for a period of one day, and shall pay all costs of this cause, Thense came into open Court the defendants and paid to the Clerk of this Court all of said costs.

State of Tennessee)

) в.р.

H.M.Cox

Vs.

Pro-Tem

In this Cause/the Attorney General/for the State, and the defendant in person, and pleads guilty, as charged im said bill of indictment. Whereupon the Court assess his penalty say he shall pay a fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this cause.

Thence came the defendant, H.M.Cox, into open Court and paid to the Clerk of this Court all of said fine and costs.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant he discharged and go hence without day.

THE UNION BANK OF MCEWEN, TENNESSEE)

•

CONDEMNATION OF LAND.

J.C. BRANDON, MAGGIB BRANDON AND G.M. COOK.

Jno.W.Knight, a Justice of the Peace for Humphreys

County, Tennessee, filed herewith the following papers and record of the above case.

By Confessed Judgment.

On the following described note. Dated September 20ch, 1929, due and pavable Sixty days after date to the Union Bank, of McEwen, Tenn., Seventy Five No/100 Dollars A.J. Curtis, being authorized to go before a Court of records or any Justice of the Peace having Jurisdiction over the amount and confessed judgment against the makers and in favor of the holder, in accordance with secs. 4705, 4706, and 4707 Code of Tennessee, Shannon's Edition 1896.

Signed J.C. Brandon, Maggie Brandon, G.M. Cook.

Copy of Judgment.

The Union Bank!

J.C.Brandon

G.M.Cook.

Judgment for plaintiff for the amount of \$58.85, and all cost, for which execution may issue.
June 26th, 1936.

T.O.Simpson.J.P.

Judhment br confession of A.J.Curtis, as authorized in the note by the maker. Judgment credited \$8.00.

Magistrates Execution.

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County,

To any lawful officer to be executed and returned:

You are hereby commanded, that of the

goods and chattels, lands and tenements of J.C.Brandon, Maggie Brandon and G.M.Cook, vou sawn cause to be made the sum of (\$58.85) Fifty Sight & 85/100 Dollars and cost of suit, to satisfy a judgment which the Union Bank, of McBwen, Tenn., obtained before T.O.Simpson, Justice of the Peace, on the 26th, day of June 1930, against the said J.C.Brandon, Maggie Brandon and G.M.Cook, and such moneys when collected pay to the said Union Bank. Given under my hand and seal this 1st, day od January 1931.

Jno.W.Knight, Justice of the Peace.

Levy.

I hereby levy this FI FA on two Lots, in the town of Waverly, Tenne, the one fifth (1/5) undivided interest of said Lots belonging to the said J.C.Brandon and Maggie Brandom, heing Nos. 26and 27 in Block D.Lucas land and Lumber Co. addition to the town of Waverly, Tenn., registered in Book No.136, filed with the Circuit Court Clerk, Humphreys County, Tenn.

S.T. Harris Deputy Sheriff.

Filed Jan. 14th, 1931. L.C.Bohanan, Clerk.

And on motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered by the Court, that the land so levied upon be sold by the Sheriff of Humphreys, County, to satisfythe aforesaid judgment of T.O. Simpson, Justice of the Peace, and all cost of this proceedings.

## STATE OF TENNESSEE

To the Honorable Judge of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, Holding and Presiding at Waverly, Tennessee:

Whereas, in our Supreme Court, at Mashville, at its December Term, 1930, it was adjudged and ordered in the cause Claud Shanks Vs. The State appealed to our said Court from said Circuit Court that the same be remanded thereto for further proceedings and final determination thereto therein.

These are, therefore, To require you, the Court as aforesaid, that you proceed with the execution of this Judgment of our said Supreme Court, by such further proceedings in your Court as shall effectuate the objects of this order to remand, and attain the ends of justice. Witness, David S.Lansden, Clerk of our said Court, at office in Nashville, the first Monday of December 1930 David S.Lansden, Clerk.

THE STATE OF TENNESSHE Be it Remembered, That at a Supreme Court of Errors and Appeals, begun and held at the Capitol, in the City of Mashville on the first Monday of December 192--, it being the--- day of December, 192-- when the following proceedings were had, to wit:

Humphreys Criminal

The State

Came the plaintiff --- in error in proper person and by counsel, and also came the Attornev-General on behalf of them State, and this cause was heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of Bumphreys County; and on consideration thereof, the Court is of opinion that there is no reversible error on the record, and that the Judgment of the Court below should be affirmed, and it is accordingly so ordered and adjudged by the Court.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the plaintiff -- in error, for the offense of aiding and abetting voluntary manslaughter be delivered to the Warden of the penitentiary, or his agent, and be by wim conveyed to the penitentiary of the State of Tennessee and there confined at hard labor for a term of not more than 2 years occurrencing on the day of his reception at said penitentiary.

The plaintiff -- in error will pay the costs of the cause accrued in this Court and the Court below, and execution may issue from this Court for the costs of the appeal. A procedency will be issued to the said Circuit Court of Humphreys County directing that Court to proceed with the collection of the cost of the cause accrued therein in the manner provided by law.

The Clerk of this Court will issue a duly certified copy of this indement to the Sheriff of Humphreys County, which will be his authority for delivering the plaintiff -- in error to the Warden or his agent; and walso a duly certified copy hereof to the Warden of the penitentiary who will at once proceed to execute this judgment.

Office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Middle Division of the State of Tennessee.

I, David S.Lansden, Clerk of said Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true,
perfect and complete copy of the judgment of this Court, pronounced at its December Term,

1930, in the case of Claude Shanks Vs. The State, as the same appears of record in my office.

In testimony whereof I have hereto set my hand and affixed the seal of the Court, at office
in the Capitol, at Mashville, on this the 23 day of February 1931, David S.Lansden, Clerk.

## STATE OF TENNESSEE

To the Honorable Judge of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, Holding and Presiding at Waverly, Tennessee:

Thereas, in our Supreme Court, at Bashville, at its December Term, 1930, it was adjudged and ordered in the cause Scott Shanks Ws. The State appealed to our said Court from said Circuit Court that the same be remanded thereto for further proceedings and final determination therein.

These are, Therefore, To require you, the Coust as aforesaid, that you proceed with the execution of this Judgment of our said Supreme Court, by such further proceedings in your Court as shall affectuate the objects of this order to remand, and attain the end of justice. Witness, David S.Lansden, Clerk, of our said Court, at office in Nashville, the first Monday of December, 1930 David S.Lansden, Clerk.

THE STATE OF TEXNESSEE Be it Remembered, That at a Supreme Court of Errors and Appeals, begun and held at the Capitol, in the City of Mashville on the first Monday of December, 192-when the following proceedings were had, to wit:

Scott Shanks

Humphreys Criminal

THE STATE.

Came the plaintiff.— in error in proper person and by counsel, and also came the Attornev-General on behalf of the State, and this cause was heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of Humphreys County; and on consideration thereof, the Court is of copinion that there is no reversible error on the record, and that the fudement of the Court below should be affirmed, and it is accordingly so ordered and adjudged by the Court.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that then plaintiff.— in error, for the offense of murder in the firs degree as charged in the indictment, be delivered to the Warden of the penitentiary, or his agent, and be by him conveyed to the penitentiary of the State of Tennessee and there confined at hard labor for the period of his natural life, commencing on the day of his reception at said penitentiary.

It is further ordered by the Court that the plaintiff— in error be disqualified from holding any office under the State, or ziving evidence in the Courts of the State. The plaintiff— in error will pay the costs of the cause accrued in this Court and the Court below, and execution may issue from this Court for the costs of the appeal. A procedendo will be issued to the said Circuit Court of Humphreys County directing that Court to proceed with the collection of the cost of the cause accrued therein in the manner provided by law.

The Clerk of this Couet will issue a duly certified copy of this judgment to the Sheriff of Humphreys County, which will be his authority for delivering the plaintiff... in error to the Warden or his agent; and also a duly certified copy hereof to the Warden of the penitentiary who will at once proceed to execute this judgment.

OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE MIDDLE DIVISION OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE:

I, David S.Lansden, Clerk, of said Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true,
perfect and complete copy of the judgment of said Court, pronounced at its December Terp,
1930, in the case of Scott Shanks Vs. The State, as the same appears of record in my office.

In testimony whereof I have hereto set my hand and affixed the seal of the Court, at office
in the Capitol, at Nashville, on this the 23 day of February, 1931 David S.Lansden, Clerk.

State of Tennessee

B.D.

Sc1 Fa.

Thos.F.Plant

In this case came the Attorney-General Pro-Tem for the State, and the defendant in person and pleads guilty as charged, whereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of One Hundred Dollars with all the cost, then came into open Court Manion Plant and Mrs. Alma Yates, and entered their names as sureties for all of said fine and costs.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant and his sureties all of said fine and cost for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

Vs. )

Thos.F.Plant

In this case came the defendants in their own proper person, and the Attorney General Pro-Tem for the State, when the case came on to be and was heard by the Court upon the Sci. Fa. return of the Sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendants, and the motion of the defendants to set aside the forfiture entered against them, when the Court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set aside forfiture entered at the December Term 4030 of the court, aside, but adjudges the cost of the forfeiture against defendants.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the forfeiture taken and entered against thus defendants be and the same is set aside at defendants cost, and that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendants all the cost ac using by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeiture for for which let execution issue.

Harry S. Berry, Com;

10.

In Circuit Court, Humphreys County, Tennessee.

Geo.Williams et all

This cause came on to be heard by the Court without the intervention of

a jury, it appearing to the Court that the proper process of law having been served upon the said defendants, and the said defendants did not appear and answer said cause, it is ordered by the plaintiff that the case be and is hereby stricken from the docket and the ground to be paid by the plaintiff. Humphreys County for which execution may issue.

Tom Crawford

Vs.

Telephone Company

In this cause the matter in controversy having been compromised and settled out of court it is ordered by the Court that this cause be dismissed, and the p Paintiff Tom Crawford, will pay the cost of this cause for which execution will issue.

State of Tennessee)

Circuit Court, Humphreys County,

Paul Wright & )
Goad Wright

In the above styled; base; the defendants came; and moved the Court to quash the indictment against these defendants; and to order their dismissel; upon the following motion made by them to wit:

State of Tennessee)

Circuit Court of Humphreys County, ...

Paul Wright & . April term 1931,

Goad Wright

Ve.

Quash the indictment against them and to dismiss them etc. and for grounds of such motion allege:

e chiat, were a town property of the

- 1. Because the indictment does not charge that the officer making or attempting to make the arrest was atting under and by the authority of any legal process in his hands so directing such an attempted arrest of Good Wright, and further because the indictment shows that the offices of resisting an officer washone denounced by the laws of the state of Tennessee, whereas in fact there is no law so denouncing such an act as is charged against these defendants, and that consequently me neither of the defendants have violated any law.
- 2. The indictment charges that defendants resisted one R.F. Ingram, an officer of Humphrevs County in making an arrest, without showing or charging that said R.F. Ingram was such an officer as had the right and power under the law to make any arrest, for aught that appears i in the indictment, said R.F. Ingram might have been a Justice of the Peace, a county court clerk, a circuit court clerk, a Trustee, or might have been holding any other office in the county; nothing appearanging said indictment showing that said Ingram was a Constable, deputy sheriff, or sheriff of said county or any other officer clothed with the authority under the law to make arrests.
- 3. The indictment does not charge any common law offense or attempt to charge the defendants as being guilty of any common law offense ( if there be any such denounced by the common law) Wherefore, the defendants move the court to quash said indictment and to dismiss these defendants.

  J.F.Shannon

Attorney 20r defendants Filed 4/22/31 \$.C.Bohanan, Clerk.
And upon consideration of said motion by the court, the court doth sustain said motion band
dismisses and quashes the indictment and orders that the said defendants go hence etc.
To the action of the court in quashing the indictment etc., the Attorney General excepts
and the State through the Attorney General, prays an appeal to the next Term of Supreme
Court of Tennessee, setting at Nashville, which, appeal the Court grants.

State of Tennessee)

Vs.

Paul Wright stxst )

Sci Fa.

In this case the defendants in their own proper person, and the Attorney and was
General, Pro Tem, for the State, when the case came on to be/heard by the Court upon the SciEa, weturn of the Sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendants, and the motion of the defendants to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the Court.after hearing and fully
considering the same is pleased to and does set aside forfeiture entered at the Def. Term 1930
of the Court, aside, but adjudges

It is therefore considered by the Court that the forfeiture taken and entered against defendants be and the same is set aside, and the defendants go bense etc.

State of Tennessee

Violation-Age Consent.

Hooper Daniel

In this cause comes the Attorney General, Pro Tem, for the Seate and the defendants in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawfull men of Humphreys County, to wit: Jeff Page, Boss Fortner, H.H.Himby, A.A.Arnold, Jim Patrick, M.J.Brennon, E.W.Sykes, M.M.Anderson, Bob Hughey, W.J.Curtis, John Pearl and N.L.Williams, who, being duly elected tried and sworn according to: law, and being in charge of their sworn officers, Does Burch and George Wyatt, who had previously been legally sworn to attend them, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment of unlawfully having carnol knowledge as of a female over the age of twelve years and under the age of twenty-one years who were not a lewd, bawd or kept female at the time and before the act of carnal knowledge, as charged in the indictment and assess and fix his punishment at three years in the Penitentiary. Whereupon the defendant gave notice of a motion for a New Trial and this cause is past pending the filing and hearing of said-motion.

Court then adjourned untill tomorrow morning at 9 0'Clock.

Monton, Judge.

COURT MET PERSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AND PRESIDING THR HON.J.D.G.MORTON, JUNGS ETC.

State of Tennessee

· Violation Age Consent.

Hooper Daniel

In this cause comes again the Attorney General, Pre Tem for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, when the motion for a New Trial, heretofore filed in this cause, came on to be heard by the Court, and which motion is as follows: State of Tennessee)

Vs. In Circuit Court Waverly Tennessee.

Hooper Daniel

Comes the defendant in person, and by attorney, and moves the Court for a new Trial in this camesupon the following grounds.

First

Because the virdict of the Jury is against the weight of the evidence which preponderates in favor of the defendant.

Second

Because the weight of the evidence is against the verdict of the Jury, and in favor of the innocence of the defendant. J.R. Morris, Atty. Filed Ap 11,24,1931. L.C. Bohanan, Clerk. And the same being heard by the Court it is in all things over-ruled. To which action of the Court the defendant excepts.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged by the Court, that for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant be confined in the State Penitentiary at Nashville, Tennessee, at hard labor for a period of not less than three years nor more than three years and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

Thereupon the defendant moved in arrest of judgment, which motion is likewise over-ruled by the Court and to which action of the Court the defendant excepts.

Thereupon the defendant prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court at Nashville, Tennessee, which appeal is granted and the defendant is allowed thirty days in which to prepare and file his bill of exception. The defendant will execute an appearance bond, as required by law, in the sum of Two Thousand Dollars for his appearance before the Supreme Court at of Nashville and in the event/his failure he will be held in the custody of the Sheriff of Humphreys County to await the orders of the Court.