

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December term 11th. day of December 1928

Court met pursuant to adjournment, present and presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Judge etc. The following cases Alias Capias was ordered issued for the several defendant. State vs Jim Webb, Drunkenness, State vs. Jim Webb, Larceny, State Cleman Black, Drunkenness, State vs Jim Webb, Larceny, State vs. Jack Forest B.D. State vs. Meland James B.D. State Nelson Murphree, Larceny, State vs. Booker T. Smith Age consent, State vs Bob Tatum, Larceny State vs. Britton Townsend, Larceny, State vs, Britton Townsend Larceny. State vs Lloyd Box, Drunkenness State vs, John Clark Drunkenness. State vs. Meland James, Mis,d, State vs W.N. Jones Mis,d State vs George Mosley, Drunkenness State vs. Layon Mathews, Drunkenness, State vs. Lewis Phy, Mis,d State vs. Lewis Phy, B.D. State vs. Lewis Phy, Drunkenness, State Etel Smith Drunkenness, State vs Tobe Wright.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Will Hooper) Mis,d

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charged. Whereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall shall pay a fine of twenty five dollars together with all the costs and be confined in the county jail for a period of thirty days, and in the vent of his failure to pay or secure said fine and costs he will be further confined in the county jail until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Elmer Hooper) Age consent

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and by agreement this case is continued until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Clifford Bell) Breach of the peace.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charged, Whereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the costs, and in the event of failure to pay or secure all of said fine and cost he will be further confined in the county jail or workhouse until he pay secure all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Jim Webb et al,) Sci. Fa.

This case is continued until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Elgie Clayde) Drunkenness

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant and plead guilty as charged, Therupon the court assessee the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the costs for which let execution issue. then came into open court S. H. Mayberry Tom Maybery and D.N. Wright, and entered thier names as sureties for all of said fine and costs.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant and his sureties all of said fine and cost for which let execution issue.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December term Circuit court 11 day of December 1928

State of Tennessee
vs.
Vernon Smith) Drunkenness,

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged whereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the cost, then came into open court the defendant and paid to the clerk of this court all of said fine and costs.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court tha the defendant be dismissed, and go hence without day

The following cases were continued on agreement to plead guilty at the next term of this Court. State vs Williw Breeden, ~~State vs Williw Breeden, State vs Sugg Herbison Drunkenness~~ Drunkenness Joe Hicks Drunkenness, State vs. Jim Hicks Drunkenness State vs Sugg Herbison Drunkenness State vs. Sugg Herbison Drunkenness State vs George Mallard, Mis,d State vs George Mallard Drunkenness, State vs Clvde Mayberry, Drunkenness.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Jimmie Dunn) M. Liquor.

This case is continued by the State on account of the illness of W.B. William.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Ira Turner,) Mis,d

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads guilty as charged. Therupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Dave Stewart, Lem Rushton, Oscar Triplett, J.W. Patterson, Grover Bass I.H. Crockett, Carroll Curtis W.H. Allard, W.E. Joshlin W.D. Patterson, J.N. Page, Alfred Fortner, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court, upon thier oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged, and fix and assess his punishment at thirty days in jail.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the county jail for a period of thirty days, and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said costs he will be further confined in the county jail or work house until he pay secure or workout all of said cost. The defendant is prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of twelve months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Will Voluntine col.) Driving an automobile while drunk.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person who being duly charged and arraigned, on said bill of indictment pleads guilty as charged. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Dave Stewart Lem Rushton, Oscar Triplett, J.W. Patterson Grover Bass, I.H. Crockett, Carroll Curtis, W.H. Allard, W.D. Patterson, J.N. Page, and Alfred Fortner. W.E. Joshlin. after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the court, upon thier oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged, and fix and assess his punishment at thirty days in jail.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December term 11th. day of December 1928

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the county jail for a period of thirty days and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said costs he will be further confined in the county jail until he pay secure or work out all of said costs. The defendant is prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of ~~three~~ months, and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

xxState of Tennessee

State of Tennessee
vs.
Hack Bell) B.D.

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to assess the defendants punishment came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Dave Stewart, Lem Rushton, Oscar Triplett, J.W. Patterson, Grover Bass, I.H. Crockett, Carroll Curtis, W.H. Ballard, W.D. Patterson, J.N. Page, W.E. Joshlin, and Alfred Fortner

who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess his fine at one hundred dollars. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of one hundred dollars and the cost of this case for all of which let execution issue, and the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the county jail until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Gee Craft,) B.D.

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty. Thereupon to assess the defendants punishment came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tenn, to wit: Dave Stewart, Lem Rushton, Oscar Triplett, J.W. Patterson, Grover Bass, I.H. Crockett, Carroll Curtis, W.H. Ballard, W.E. Joshlin, W.D. Patterson, J.N. Page, and Alfred Fortner, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess his fine at One Hundred dollars.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of one hundred dollars and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. Then came the defendant into open court and paid to the clerk of this court Ninety two dollars, and then came into open court Mrs. J.F. Fowlkes, and entered her name as surety for all the balance of said fine and costs.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the state of Tennessee recover of the defendant and his surety all the balance of said fine and cost for which let execution issue

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County December term 11th, day of December 1928,

State of Tennessee,
vs.
John Chronister et al,
John Striger) B.D.

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to assess the defendants punishment came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Dave Stewart, Lem Rushton, Oscar Triplett, J.W. Patterson, Grover Bass, Carroll Curtis, W.H. Ballard, W.E. Joshlin, W.D. Patterson, J.N. Page, and Alfred Fortner. I.H. Crockett, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their oath do say that they find the defendants guilty as charged in the indictment and assess their fines at one hundred dollars each.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendants (John Chronister and John Striger, pay or secure a fine of one hundred dollars each and the cost of this cause for all of which let execution issue, and in the event of their failure to pay or secure all of said fines and cost they will be confined in the county jail or work house until they pay secure or work out all of said fines and costs.

State of Tennessee,
vs.
O.S. Davis) B.D.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and defendant in person who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to assess the defendants punishment came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Dave Stewart, Lem Rushton, Oscar Triplett, J.W. Patterson, Grover Bass, I.H. Crockett, Carroll Curtis, W.H. Ballard, W.E. Joshlin, W.D. Patterson, J.N. Page and Alfred Fortner, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess his punishment fine at One hundred dollars.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of one hundred dollars and the costs of this case for all of which let execution issue.

Then came into open court the defendant and paid to the clerk of this court all said fine and costs,

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day..

State of Tennessee
vs.
Dallas Jackson) Larceny

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person and upon the recommendation of the Attorney General a nolleprosequi is entered in the cause upon the defendant securing or paying the costs, then came into open court the defendant and paid to the clerk of this court all of said cost,

it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)
 vs.) B.D.
 Jim Spicer)

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant is person,, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty. Thereupon to ~~xxx~~ assess the defendants punishment came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys county, to wit: Dave Steffert Lem Rushton, Oscar Triplett, J.W. Patterson, Grover Bass, I.H. Crockett, Carroll Curtis, W.H. Ballard, W.E. Joshlin, W.D. Patterson, J.N. Page and Alfred Fortner. who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment as assess his fine at one hundred dollars. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred dollars and the costs of this case for all of which let execution issue. and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and cost he will be confined in the county jail until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee)
 vs.) B.D.
 Belle Spicer col.)

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to assess the defendants punishment came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Dave Stewart Lem Rushton, Oscar Triplett, J.W. Patterson, Grover Bass, I.H. Crockett, Carroll Curtis, W.H. Ballard, W.E. Joshlin, W.D. Patterson J.N. Page, Alfred Fortner, who being duly ~~charged~~ elected tried and sworn according to law after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess her fine at One Hundred dollars.

It is therefore ordered adjudged, and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay a fine fine of One Hundred dollars and the costs of this cause for all of which let execution issue. and the event of her failure to pay or secure all of said fine and she will be confined in the county jail until she pay secure or workout all of said fine and costs.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 o'clock.

[Signature] Judge

Court met pursuant to adjournment, present and presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Norton Judge etc.

This day the Grand Jury came into open court in a body and present the following indictments and presentments.

One against Briney Ingram. Larceny which indictment is in the words and figures following to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County Dec Term of Circuit Court, A. D. 1928 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys and state aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Briney Ingram, heretofore to wit, on the 5th. day of Nov. 1928 in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did steal, take and carry away one pistol of the value of twenty dollars, the property of J.E. Sullivan of said County, then and there being found contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State.

Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Briney Ingram of said County, on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and county aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did receive buy conceal, and aid in concealing one pistol of the value of twenty Dollars, the property of J.E. Sullivan of said county, before then feloniously stolen, taken and carried away. by some one to the Grand Jury unknown, he the said Briney Ingram then and there knowing the said pistol to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away by the said Briney Ingram intending then and there fraudulently to deprive the the owner thereof, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the state.

Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General

Dec. Term 1928. THE STATE vs. Briney Ingram Larceny. J.E. Sullivan Prosecutor.

Subpoena for the State J.E. Sullivan, W.W. Hickman Joe Traylor. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, December Term 1928, R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General, A.TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Tick Bell and Gilbert Buchanan Mis,d Subpoena for the State W.A. "afternoon and Homer "hance"

One against Paul Crowell Robert Shelton and Minnie Shelton. Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures following to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County. Dec. Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1928, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys, and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Paul Crowell, Robert Shelton and Minnie Shelton of said county, heretofore to wit, on the 5th. day of Dec. 1928, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did steal, take and carry away, 11 cans of beans bucket lard, one dress 1 pr, pants and some meat ael of the value of six dollars, the property of Roy Bryson, of said County, then and there being found contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the state,

Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Paul Crowell, Robert Shelton, and Minnie Shelton of said county, on the day and year

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December Term 12th. day of December 1928

11CAV

aforsaid, in thr County aforsaid, unlawfully and feloniously did receive, buy, conceal and aid in concealing 11 cans beans, 1 basket lard, one dress one pr pants, and some meat all of the of the vau of six Dollars, the property of Roy Bryson of said County, before then feloniously stolen, taken and carried away by some one the the Grand Jury unknown, they the said Paul Crowell, Robt. Shelton, and Minel Shelton then and there knowing the said artickels aforsaid to have been, feloniously stolen taken and carried away, and they the said Paul Crowell Robt. Shelton and Minnie Shelton intendin then and there fraudulently to deprive the the owner thereof, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State

Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General

Dec. Term, 1928 THE STATE vs. Paul Crowell et, al, Larceny Roay Bry son Prosecutor.
subpoena for the state Roy Bryson, Sherman Sanders, Lillie May Shelton Walter Harris A.B. Bryant, witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury Dec Term 1928
R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General, A TRUE BILL R.E. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury

State of Tennessee
vs. B.D.xix
Will Voluntine

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Therupon to assess the defendants punishment came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys county Tenn, to wit Dave Smith, Lem Rushton, Oscar Triplett, Grover Bass I.H. Crockett, Carroll Curtis W.H. Ballard W.E. Joshlin, W.D. Patterson, J.N. Page and Alfred Fortner J.W.Patterson who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their oath do say that they find defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of one hundred dollars, It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of one hundred dollars and the costs of this cause for all of which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the county jail or work house until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee,
vs. B.D.
J.W. Elliott

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to assess the defendants punishment came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tenn., to wit. Dave Stewart, Lem Rushton Oscar Triplett, J.W. Patterson, Grover Bass I.H. Crockett, Carroll Curtis, W.H. Ballard, W.E. Joshlin, W.D. Patterson J.N. Page, and Alfred Fortner who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue. and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the county jail or work house until he pay secure or workout all of said fine and costs.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December term December 12th 1928

State of Tennessee
vs.
Willie Morgan

In this case came b the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads guilty as charged. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Dave Steawart, Lem Rushton, Oscar Triplett, J.W. Patterson, Grover Bass I.H. Crockett Carroll Curtis, W.H. Ballard, W.E. Joshlin, W.D. Patterson, J.N. Page and Alfred Fortner after hearing all the proof argument of counsel, and the charge of the court upon thier oath do say that they find the defendant ^{guilty} charged, and fix and assess his punishment at thirty days in jail.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by jury the defendant be confined in the county jail for a peroid of thirty day, and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said costs he will be further confined in the county jail or work house until he pay secure or work out all of said costs.

The defendant is prohibited from driving an automobile for a peroid of twelve months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a peroid of four moths

State of Tennessee
vs. B.D.
J.E. Noles

In this cause the defendants pleads guilty, and the case is continued

State of Tennessee
vs. B.D.
Cecil Plant

In this case the defendant detained in the insane asylum, and this case is continued until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee
vs. B.D.
Ed Thornton
State of Tennessee
vs. Mis,d
Ed Thornton

The two above styled case are continued upon the appl ication of

State

State of Tennessee
vs. B.D.
A.A. Taylor

This case continued, and the defendnat agrees to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee
vs. Bad Check
Van Odson

Continued on agreement that the case will nollod at the next term of this court, upon the payment of cost,

State of Tennessee
vs.
J.W. Taylor

this case is continued until next term by State,

State of Tennessee)
vs.) Mis.d
Tick Bell and Gil)
Buchanan)

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and defendants in person, and by attorneys, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty Therupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tenn. to wit. Dave Stewart, W.E. Joshlin, I.H. Crockett, J.W. Patterson, Carroll Curtis, Alfred Fortner, W.D. Patterson, Oscar Triplett, Grover Bass, Lem Rushton, W.H. Ballard and J.N. Page who being elected tried and sworn according to law after hearing all the proof argument of counsel, and the charge of the court upon oath do say that they are unable to agree upon a verdict in this case, and a new trial was entered in this cause, and the case is continued until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee)
vs.) Lewdness
Hautty Darm et al)

Continued by both defendants on agreement to plead guilty at next term.

State of Tennessee)
vs.) B.D.
Laura Voluntin col)

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court, that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of possession in liquor, and the said defendant was arrested and entered into bond with C.L. Tinnell and W.W. Hickman as her sureties which bond is in the words, and figures as follows.

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, We, Laura Voluntine agree to pay the State of Tennessee Five Hundred \$500 dollars unless the said Laura Voluntin appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of said county, and from term to term until the case is finally disposed of to answer for the offense of possessing whisky, and does not depart the court without leave witness our hands, this the 9th. day of June 1928 Laura Voluntine C.L. Tinnell W.W. Hickman Approved G.D. Smith D.D. June 15, 1928,

And the defendant Laura Voluntine being solemnly called to come into open court and answer the State of Tennessee upon a charge of possession in liquor, came not but made default and the said C.L. Tinnell and W.W. Hickman, were also called to come into open court and bring with them the body of the said Laura Voluntine according to the tenor and effect of thier said bond came not but made default neither came the defendant Laura Voluntine nor her said sureties but made default.

It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant Laura Voluntine C.L. Tinnell and W.W. Hickman for their said default, do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee, the sum of five hundred dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bond.

It is further ordered by the court that Sci. Fa. issue to the said defendant and her sureties requiring them to appear at the next term of this court and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final. And further that ALIAS CAPIAS issue for the defendant.

Court then adjourned until to morrow morning -at 9:00 o'clock,

[Signature] Judge.

State of Tennessee)
vs.) Drunkenness
E.G. Collier)

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty. Therupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and law ful men of Humphreys County to wit Dave Stewart, W.E. Joshlin I.H. Crockett, L. Stockard, Carroll Curtis, Alfred Fortner, J.N. Page, W.H. Ballard, Lem Rushton, Grover Bass, Oscar Triplett and W.C. Osborn, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon thier oath do say that they find the def ndns not guilty as charged.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)
vs.)
W.M. Baker) Willfully procuring a person to set fire to a Building in McEwen.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court that this defendant was indicted at a former term of the court for the offense of willfully procuring a person to set fire to a building in McEwen, and the said defendant was arrested and entered into bond with Jno. R. Baker and L.C. Robertson as his sureties which bond is in the words and figures as follows to wit:

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County. We W.M. Baker and Jno. R. Baker and L.C. Robertson agree to pay the State of Tennessee, Twenty Five Hundred and No/100 Dollars unless the said ~~Baker~~ W.M. Baker appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, to be held at the court house in the town of Waverly, on the 2nd. Monday in August 1928 on Tuesday of said term, to answer the state of Tennessee for the offense of wilfully procuring a person to set fire to a building in McEwen and do not depart the court without leave

W.M. Baker Principal
John R. Baker Surety
L.C. Robertson Surety

Approved

J.L. Smith Sheriff

This 24th day of April 1928,

And the defendant W.M. Baker being called to come into open court and answer the State of Tennessee upon a charge of wilfully procuring a person to set fire to a building in McEwen. came not but made default and the said Jno. R. Baker, L.C. Robertson were also solemnly called to come into open court and bring with them the body of the said W.M. Baker according to the tenor and effect of their said bond came not but made default neither came the defendant W.M. Baker nor his said sureties but made default.

It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant W.M. Baker and Jno. R. Baker L.C. Robertson, for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee the said sum of twenty five hundred and No/100 Dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bond.

And it is further ordered by the court that Sci. Fa. be issued to the said defendant and his said sureties requiring them to appear at the next term of this court and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final. and further that ALIAS CAPIAS issue for the defendant.

State of Tennessee
vs.
John Worley

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of possessing liquor, and the said defendant was arrested and entered into bond with J.F. Trolinger, Mrs. T.C. Bryant as his sureties which bond is in the words and figures as follows, to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, We, John Worley, agree to pay the State of Tennessee \$500.00 Five hundred Dollars unless the said John Worley appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of Humphreys, to be held at the court house in the town of Waverly on the 2nd. Monday in Dec. 1928, on Tuesday of said term, to answer the State of Tennessee, for the offense of possessing liquor, and do not depart the court without leave.

John Worley Principal
J.F. Trolinger Surety
Mrs. T.C. Bryant Surety
T.C. Bryant Surety

Approved
Walter McMillan Sheriff

This 17th. day of Oct. 1928.

And the defendant John Worley being solemnly called to come into open court and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of possessing liquor, came not but made default, and the said J.F. Trolinger, Mrs. T.C. Bryant and T.C. Bryant were also called to come into court and bring with them the body of the said John Worley, according to the tenor and effect of their said bond came not but made default neither came the defendant John Worley nor his said sureties but made default.

It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant John Worley and J.F. Trolinger Mrs. T.C. Bryant and T.C. Bryant, for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the state of Tennessee, said sum of Five Hundred Dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bond.

And it is further ordered by the court that Sci. Fa. be issued to the said defendant and his sureties requiring them to appear at the next term of this court and, and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final, and further that ALIAS CAPIAS issue for the defendant.

Mims, Cowen and Mims
vs.
J.A. Tomlinson

In the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, Tenn, December term, 1928

This cause was settled out of court whereby it was agreed that the plaintiff are agreed to and shall have the live stock involved in the suit and that they pay the costs of the case, which agreement is made the judgment of the court. execution may issue against plaintiffs and their sureties on replevin bond, for said costs,

State of Tennessee

J.D. Hobb
vs.
J.P. Burkett et al.

In the Circuit court of Humphreys County, Tenn. December term, 1928

In this case on motion of plaintiff the former order condemning the lands described therein and directing the issuance of venditioni exponas is revived and the clerk is hereby directed to issue and place in the hands of the Sheriff such venditioni exponas.

State of Tennessee
vs.
E.E. Smith

Forgery

In this case comes the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty to an attempt to commit a felony. Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tenn. to wit: Dave Stewart, Lem Hughton, Oscar Triplett, J.W. Patterson, Grover Bass, I.H. Crockett, Carroll Curtis, W.H. Hallard, W.E. Hoshlin, W.D. Patterson, J.N. Page, and Alfred Fortner, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of an attempt to commit a felony, to wit: forgery and assess his punishment at sixty day in jail.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the county jail or work house for a period of sixty day and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure the same, he will be further confined until the same is paid secured or worked out.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Robert Shelton
Paul Shelton
Minnie Shelton

Larceny

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State, and the defendants in person and by attorney, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, plead guilty to petite larceny.

Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Dave Stewart, Lem Hughton, Oscar Triplett, J.W. Patterson, Grover Bass, I.H. Crockett, Carroll Curtis, W.H. Hallard, W.E. Hoshlin, W.D. Patterson, J.N. Page, and Alfred Fortner, who being duly elected tried and sworn, according to law after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendants guilty of Petite Larceny as charged and assess the punishment of each at thirty days in jail.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury each of the defendants be confined in the County Jail or workhouse for a period of thirty days, and that they pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of their failure to pay or secure said cost they will be further confined until they same is paid secured or worked out, each of said defendants are also rendered infamous, disqualified to exercise the election franchise or give evidence in any of the courts of this state.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Bryan Emery

Wife desertion,

In this case on motion of the Attorney General, and on the recommendation of the defendants' wife the defendant is removed from jail on condition that he support his wife, and child, and this cause is retained in court for such orders as may be necessary, in the event defendants fail to do so.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9.00 o'clock

[Signature] Judge.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December term 14th. day of December 1924

Court met pursuant to adjournment present and presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Judge etc.

J.A. Tomlinson
vs. Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.
Tap Mims et al, }

This cause came on to be heard by the court when it appeared to the court, that the case had been settled, and the title to the property replevied in said cause declared to be in the plaintiff, and that the plaintiff, and his surety ~~J.A. Tomlinson~~ on his costs bond, will pay the cost, for which let execution issue.

Macel W. "am."
vs. In Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee
Dorsey Ham }

In this case the complainant moved the court for and order pro-confesso, and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant Dorsey Ham, has been regularly brought into court by service of process to answer the petition filed in this case, and that the said defendant has failed to appear and make defense to the petition within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that, as to the defendant Dorsey Ham, the petition be taken as confessed, set for hearing exparte.

The case came on further to be heard and was heard by the court, upon the whole record in the case, the petition the order proconfesso, heretofore taken against the Defendant, and the oral testimony/taken in open court.

And it satisfactorily appeared to the Court from the proof that the defendant was guilty of such cruel and inhuman treatment, or conduct, toward the petitioner as rendered it unsafe and improper for her to cohabit with him and be under his dominion and control. That the defendant had offered such indignities to her person as to render her condition intolerable and thereby force her to withdraw from him, and that the petitioner gave the defendant no cause or just excuse for his conduct toward her.

It is therefore order, adjudged and decreed by the court that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the petitioner and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that the complainant be vested with all the rights and privileges of an unmarried person, the defendant will pay the costs of this cause for which execution will issue

Dutch Forester
vs. Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.,
Jewell G. Forester }

In this cause the complainant moved the court, for judgement Pro confesso and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant Jewell G. Forester, has been regularly brought into court by service of process to answer complainant's bill, and that the said defendant has failed to appear and make defense to said bill within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that, as to the defendant, Jewell G. Forester, the complainant's bill be taken for confessed, and the cause set for hearing exparte.

This cause came on further to be heard, and was heard, by the court, upon the whole record in the cause, the complainant's bill, the proconfesso heretofore taken against the defendant, and oral testimony of witnesses examined in open court.

And it satisfactorily appeared to the court from the proof, that the facts charged in the bill are true, that the defendant had wilfully deserted the complainant, without a reasonable cause, for more than two whole years before the filing of the bill, as charged, and that the complainant gave the defendant no cause or ^{just} excuse for said misconduct, as charged, and has condoned the same,

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December term 14th. day of December 1924

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that the complainant be vested with all the rights on an unmarried person.

The complainant will pay the costs of this cause for which execution will issue

H.S. Hensley
vs. Circuit court, Waverly, Tennessee.
Alice Hensley }

In this cause the complainant moved the court for judgment pro-confesso, and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant Alice Hensley, has been regularly brought into court, by publication duly made to answer the complainant's bill, and that the said

Alice Hensley has failed to appear and make defense to said bill within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that, as to the defendant Alice Hensley complainant's bill be taken as confessed, and the cause set for hearing exparte

This cause came on further to be heard, and was heard, by the court, upon the whole record in the cause, the complainant's bill, the pro confesso heretofore entered against the defendant and the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open court.

and it satisfactorily appeared to the court from the proof that the facts charged in the bill are true, that the defendant had wilfully deserted the complainant, without a reasonable cause, for more than two whole years before the filing of the bill, as charged and the complainant gave the defendant no cause or just excuse for said misconduct, as charged, and has not condoned the same

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved and that the complainant be vested with all rights of an unmarried person. The complainant will pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs. Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee.
A.C. Bumpas et al, }

This cause came on to be heard and was heard by the Court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner of Highways and public works, the answer of defendant A.C. Bumpas, and an order pro confesso heretofore taken against the defendant, Humphreys,

and the report of the jury of view, which is in writing, and dated August 24, 1924, which report has been on file more than five days before the convening of the Court, and is unexcepted to, and is as follows:

REPORT OF JURY.

" We, the undersigned jury of View, having been regularly summons by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn and charged by him according to law, viewed and inquired, and assessed damages, done to the property of the hereinafter mentioned land owners, and beg to report as follows:

we went upon the land of all the land owners whose names appear in the writ under which we were summoned by the sheriff and whose names appear hereinafter in this report, and viewed the land that has been condemned by Harry S. Berry Com. of Highways and public Works of the State of Tennessee, and inquired, heard proff, and assesses the damages done to each of the herein after owners occasioned by said condemnation by said state highway Commissioner. Upon information we find that Harry S. Berry, Com. of State Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, is complainant, in separate cases against each of the hereinafter named defendants, and this report is made to apply to each of the said cases in which the same Berry is complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are named defendants

as heretofore stated, we went upon the lands condemned in each of said and her inafter mentioned cases, viewed, inquired, heard, proof, but no argument of counsel, and assessed damages to each defendant as hereinafter to be reported, and set off by metes and bounds, the land required along highways No. 1 of the State of Tennessee, according to the land required by the petition filed in each case in which we here report, which description, upon information is found on the bottom of page 3 of each petition in which the said Harry Berry is complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are defendants, all of which are in filed in the Circuit Court, to each of which description, reference is here made.

/ We report the amounts assessed as damages done each of the following land owners, and defendants in said cases, as follows:

The amounts assessed to each defendant follows, the name of each land owner and defendant, and the complainant's Harry S. Berry, Commissioner, name is not printed, or written with each defendant in the following list of settlement:

A.C. Bumpas	-----1.79 acres-----	\$20.00
	Incidental	\$230.00
		\$250.00

This the 25th. day of August, 1928,

Respectfully submitted,

J.H. Pearl
G.G. Gould,
J.L. Carroll
John W. Daniel
R.L. Mulliniks
Jury of view

and the said report is in all things confirmed by the court.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that all the right, title interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendant, A.C. Bumpas be and the same is condemned and the title thereto divested out of the defendant, A.C. Bumpas and vested in the Department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the department of Highways and public Works of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highways purposes is located in the 2nd Civil District of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek west of Waverly, and is described as follows.

" A strip of land 1135 feet in length, containing 1.79 acres, more or less,

A strip of land from station 237 / 50 to station 243 / 00, 66 feet wide, extending 33 feet on each side of center line.

A strip of land from station 243 / 00 to station 248 / 00, 66 feet wide extending 40 feet on each side of center line.

A strip of land 248 / 00 to station 248 / 00, 66 feet wide extending 33 feet on each side of center, 33 feet wide.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the defendant A.C. Bumpas have and recover of the defendant (complainant) Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages of lands herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee, for highway purposes the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250.00) with interest from date of judgment together with all the costs of this cause for which execution or other necessary and proper process may issue.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs.

W.N. Westbrook et, al, (Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard, and was heard by the Court, upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner of Highways and public works, the answer of defendant W.N. Westbrooks, and an order pro- confesso, heretofore taken against the defendants, Humphreys County, and the report of the Jury of View, which is writting, and dated August 25, 1928, which report has been on file more than five days before the convening of the court, and is unexcepted to, and is as follows:

Report of Jury of view.

" We, the undersigned Jury of view, having been regularly summons by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn and charged by him according to law, viewed, inquired, and assessed damages done to the property of the hereinafter mentioned land owners, and beg to report as follows:

We, went upon the land of all the land owners, whose names appear in the writ under which we were summoned by the said sheriff, and whose names appear hereinafter in the report, and viewed the land that has been condemned by Harry S. Berry Com. of Highways and public Works of the State of Tennessee, and inquired, heard proof, and assessed the damages done to each of the hereinafter named property owners occasioned by said condemnation by said ^{State} Highway Commissioner.

Upon information we find that Harry S. Berry, Com. of State Highways and public works of the State of Tenn. is Complainant, in separate cases against each of the hereinafter named defendants, and this report is made to apply to each of the said cases, in which the said Berry is Complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are named defendants.

As heretofore stated, we went upon the land condemned in each of said and hereinafter mentioned cases, viewed, inquired, heard proof, but no argument of counsel, and assessed damages to each defendant as hereinafter to be reported, and set off by metes and bounds, the land required along Highway No. 1, of the State of Tennessee, according to the land required by the petition filed in each case in which we here report, which description, upon information is found on the bottom of page 3 of each petition in which the said Berry is Complainant and each of the hereinafter named land owners are defendants, all of which are filed in the Circuit Court, to each of which, description reference is here made.

We report the amounts assessed as damages done each of the following land owners, and defendants in said cases, as follows, The amounts assessed to each defendant follows the name of each land owner and defendant, and the Complainant's Harry S. Berry, Commissioner, named is not printed or written with each defendant. in the following list of settlements:

W.N. Westbrook	-----	\$150.00
----------------	-------	----------

This the 25th. day of August 1928. Respectfully submitted.

J.H. Pearl
G.G. Gould
J.L. Carroll
John W. Daniel
R.L. Mulliniks
Jury of View.

And the said report is in all things confirmed, by the Court, . It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court, that all the right, title and interest in the strip ~~of land~~ or parcel of land herein described, of the defendant W.N. Westbrook, be and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the defendant W.N. Westbrook and vested in the Department of Highways and public works, of the State of Tennessee, and ~~for~~ for the use and benefit of ~~the~~ department of Highways and public works of the State of Tenn., and for public purposes.

Minutes Circuit, Court , Humphreys County, December term 14th. day of December 1928.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd. Civil District of Humphreys County, Tenn. on Trace Creek west of Waverly, and is described as follows:
Beginning at a point 25' at right angles from and to the north of station 11 / 64, which is in the property line between, S.N. Hurt and W.N. West brook, thence along a line paraleled to and 25' from center of survey, which bearing in S. 82 degrees 30' W. a distance of 635' to station 18 / 00, thence on a line 25' from and parallel to center line, which bearing is S. 80 degrees W. a distance of 780' to station 26 / 70, which is a property line, thence along this line a distance of 25' to center line of survey, thence along center line of survey, to station 11 / 65, thence to point of beginning, The above tract of land contains 241 acres, more or less.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant W.N. West Brook have and recover of the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages, for the land herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee for Highway purposes, the sum of One Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$150.00) with interest from date of judgment, together with all the costs of the cause, for which execution or other necessary may issue.

Harry S. Berry com.

vs) Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.,
American Trust Company et al,)

This cause came on to be heard and was heard, by the Court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner, of Highways and public works, the answer of defendant, American Trust Co. and an order pro confesso, heretofore taken against the defendant, Humphreys County, and the report of the jury of view, which is in writting, and dated August 25, 1928 which report has been filed more than five days before the convening of the Court, and is unexcepted to, and is as follows

REPORT OF JURY OF VIEW.

" we the undersigned jury of view, having been regularly summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County,, and duly sworn and charged by him according to law, inquired and assessed damages done to the property of the hereinafter mentioned land owners, and beg to report as follows: We went upon the land of all the land owners, whose names appear in the writ and under which we were summoned by the said sheriff and whose names appear hereinafter in this report, and viewed the land that has been condemned, by Harry S. Berry, Com. of Highways and public Works of the State of Tennessee, and inquired, heard proof, and assessed the damages done to each of the hereinafter named property owners, occasioned by said condemnation by said State Highway Commissioner.

Upon information we find that Harry S. Berry, Com. of State Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, is Complainant in separate cases against each of the hereinafter named defendants, and this report is made to apply to each of the said cases, in which the said Harry S. Berry is Complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners, are named defendants.

as hereinbefore stated, we went upon the land condemned in each of said hereinafter mentioned cases, viewed, inquired, heard proof, but no argument of counsel and assessed damages to each defendant as hereinafter to be reported, and set off by metes and bounds, the land required along Highway No. 1 of the State of Tenn., according to the land required by the petition filed in each case in which we were report, which description upon information is found on the bottom of page 3 of each petition in which the said Harry S. Berry is Complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners, are defendants, all of which are filed in the Circuit Court, to each of which descriptions reference is here made.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County. December term 14th. day of December 1928

we report the amounts assessed as damages done each of the following land owners, and defendants in said cases, as follows:-

The amounts assessed to each defendant follows the name of each land owner and defendant, and the complainant's Harry S. Berry Commissioner, name is not printed or written with each defendant in the following list of settlements:-

American Trust Co. - - - - -	2.62 acres	\$25.00
Incidental		\$80.00

This August 25, 1928,

Respectfully submitted

J.H. Earl
G.G. Gould
J.L. Carroll
John W. Daniel
R.L. Mulliniks
Jury of View.

And the said report is in all things confirmed by the Court. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that all the right, title, and interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendant American Trust Co. be and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the defendant, American Trust Co., and vested in the Department of Highways and public works.

of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd. Civil District of Humphreys County; Tennessee, on Trace Creek west of Waverly and is described as follows.

" A Strip of land from station 344 / 50 to station 344 / 50, 130 feet wide, extending 40 feet on left and 80 feet on right of center line.

A strip of land from station 344 / 50 to station 344 / 00, 100 feet wide, extending 40 feet on left and 60 feet on right of center line

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant, American Trust Co. recover of the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee for Highway purposes, the sum of fifty dollars (\$50.00) with interest from the date of judgment, together with all costs of this cause, for which execution or other necessary and proper process may issue

Harry S. Berry, Com.

vs.) Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee
L. Stockard et al)

This cause came on to be heard and was heard, by the court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, commissioner of Highways and public works, the answer of defendant, L. Stockard, and an order pro confesso, heretofore taken against the defendant Humphreys County, and the report of the jury of view, which in writting, and dated August, 25, 1928, which report has been on file more than five days before the convening of the court, and is unexcepted to, and is as follows:

REPORT OF JURY OF VIEW.

" we the undersigned jury of view, having been regularly summons by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn and charged by him according to law, inquired, and assessed damages done to the property of the hereinafter mentioned land owners, and beg to report as follows:

We went upon the land of all the land owners whose names appear in the writ under which we were summoned by the said Sheriff and whose names appear hereinafter in the report, and viewed the land that has been condemned by Harry S. Berry, Com. of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and inquired, heard proof, and assessed the damages done to each of the hereinafter named property owners occasioned by said condemnation by said State Highway

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December term 14th, day of December 1928

Commissioner.

Upon information we find that Harry S. Berry, Com. of State Highways and public works of the State of Tenn., is Complainant in separate cases against each of the hereinafter named defendants, and this report is made to apply to each of the said cases, in which the said Berry is Complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are named defendants.

As heretofore stated, we went upon the land condemned in each of said and hereinafter named cases, viewed, and inquired, heard proof, but no argument of counsel and assessed damages to each defendant as hereinafter to be reported and set off by metes and bounds, the land required along Highway No. 1, of the state of Tenn., according to the land required by the petition filed in each case in which we here report, which description, upon information is found on the bottom of page 9 of each petition in which the said Berry is complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are defendants, all of which in the Circuit Court, to each of which, reference is here made.

We report the amounts assessed as damages done each of the following land owners, in said cases as follows.

The amounts assessed to each defendant follows, the names of each land owner and defendant, and the Complainant's Harry S. Berry, Commissioner, name is not printed or written with each defendant in the following list of settlements:-

L. Stockard	- 2.47 acres -	- \$100.00
	Incidentals	- \$150.00
		- \$250.00

This the 25th. day of August, 1928

Respectfully Submitted.

J.M. H. Pearl.

G.G. Gould

J.L. Carroll

John W. Daniel

R.L. Mulliniks

Jury of View.

And the said report is in all things confirmed by the Court. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that all the right, title and interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendant L.L. Stockard be and the same is condemned and the title thereto, divested out of the defendant, L.L. Stockard, and vested in the Department of Highways and public Works of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the department of Highways and public Works, of the state of Tennessee, and for public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd. Civil District of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek west of Waverly, and is described as follows:

" A Strip of land to be 160 feet in length, containing 2.49 acres, more or less, and more particularly described as follows.

A. Strip of land from station 601, to station 618, 10, 66 feet wide extending 32 feet on each side of center line.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant L. Stockard, have and recover of the defendant, Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee for highway purposes, the sum of Two Hundred and

Fifty Dollars (\$250.00, with interest from date of judgment together with all of the costs of this cause, for which execution or other necessary and proper process may issue.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December term 14th, day of December 1928

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs.

M.M. McCaleb et al.,) Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard and was heard by the Court, upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner of Highways and public works, the answer of defendant M.M. McCaleb, and an order Pro confesso, heretofore taken against the defendant, Humphreys County, and the report of the Jury of View, which is in writing, and dated August 24, 1928, which report has been on file more than five days before the convening of the Court, and is accepted to, and is as follows.

REPORT OF JURY. OF VIEW.

" We, the undersigned Jury of view, having been regularly, summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn and charged by him according to law, viewed, inquired and assessed damages done to the property of the hereinafter mentioned land owners, and beg to report as follows:

We went upon the land of all the land owners whose names appear in the writ under which we were summoned by the said Sheriff and whose names appear hereinafter in this report; and viewed the land that has been condemned by Harry S. Berry, Com. of Highways and public Works of the State of Tennessee, and inquired, heard proof, as assessed the damages done to each of the hereinafter named property owners occasioned by said condemnation by said State Highway Commissioner.

Upon information we find that Harry S. Berry Com. of State Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, is complainant, in separate cases against each of the hereinafter named defendants, and this report, is made to apply to each of the said cases, in which the said Berry is complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are named, defendants.

As heretofore stated, we went upon the land condemned in each of said and hereinafter mentioned cases, viewed, inquired, heard proof, but no argument of counsel, and assessed damages to each defendant, as hereinafter to be reported, and set off by metes and bounds, the land required along Highway No. 1, of the State of Tennessee, according to the land required by the petition filed in each case in which we here report, which description, upon information is found on the bottom of page 3 of each petition in which the said Harry S. Berry is Complainant and each of the hereinafter named land owners are defendants all of which are in the Circuit Court, to each of which description reference is here made.

We report the amounts assessed as damages done each of the following land owner, and defendants, in said cases as follows:-

The amounts assessed to each defendant follows the name of each land owner and defendant, and the complainant's Harry S. Berry, Commissioner, name is not printed or written with each defendant in the following list of settlements:-

M.M. McCaleb	- 8.20 acres -	- \$247.50
	Incidentals	- \$187.50
		- \$435.00

This the 25th. day of August 1928.

Respectfully submitted.

J.M. Pearl

G.G. Gould

J.L. Carroll,

John W. Daniel

R.L. Mulliniks

Jury View

And the said report is in all things confirmed by the Court, It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that all the right, title and interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendant M.M. McCaleb, be and the same is condemned and title thereto divested out of the defendant, M.M. McCaleb, and vested in the Department of Highways and public works, of the State of Tennessee, and for use and benefit of the department of Highways and public works, of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd. Civil District of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek, west of Waverly, and is described as follows:

"A strip, of land to be 230 1/2 feet in length, containing 8.29 acres, more or less, and more particularly described as follows.

A Strip of land from station 440 / 45 to station 462 / 00, 200 feet wide, extending 100 feet on each side of center line.

A strip of land from station 462 / 00 to station 469 / 50 66 feet wide, extending 33 feet on each side of center line.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant M.M. McCaleb, have and recover of the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee, for highway purposes, the sum of Four Hundred and Thirty Five Dollars, (\$435.00), with interest from the date of Judgment, together with all costs of this cause, for which execution, or other necessary and proper process may issue.

Harry S. Berry, Com.
vs.
Jess Bowen et al,
Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,

This cause came on to be heard and was heard by the Court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner of Highways and public works, the answer of defendant, Jess Bowen, and an order pro confesso, heretofore taken against the defendant Humphreys County, and the report of the jury of view, which is in writing, and dated August 25, 1928, which report has been on file more than five whole days before the convening of the Court, and is unexcepted to, and is as follows:-

REPORT OF JURY OF VIEW

"We, the undersigned jury of view, having been regularly summons by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn and charged by him according to law, viewed, inquired, and assessed damages done to the property of the hereinafter mentioned land owners, and beg to report as follows:-

We went upon the land of all the land owners whose names appear in the writ under which we were summoned by the said Sheriff and whose names hereafter in the report, and viewed the land that has been condemned by Harry S. Berry, Com. of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and inquired, heard proof, and assessed the damages done to each of the hereinafter named property owners occasioned by said condemnation by said State Highway Commissioner.

Upon information we find that Harry S. Berry, Com. of State Highway and public works, of the State of Tennessee, is Complainant in separate cases against each of the hereinafter named defendants; and this report is made to apply to each of the said cases, in which the said Berry is Complainant, and each of the hereinafter land owners are named defendants.

As heretofore stated, we went upon the land condemned in each of said and hereinafter mentioned cases, viewed, inquired, heard proof, but no argument of counsel, and assessed charges to each defendant as hereinafter to be reported, and set off by metes and bounds, the land required along Highway No. 1, of the State of Tennessee according to the land required by the petition filed in each case in which we here report, which description, upon information is found on the bottom of page 3 of each petition in which the said Berry is complainant, and each of hereinafter named land owners are Defendants, all of which are filed in the Circuit Court to each of which description reference is here made.

We report the amounts assessed as damages done each of the following land owners, and defendants in said cases, as follows:-

The amounts assessed to each defendant follows, the name of each land owner and defendant, and the complainant Harry S. Berry, Commissioner, name is not printed or written with each defendant in the following list of settlements:-

Jess Bowen	----- 3.41 acres -----	\$741.00
	Incidental -----	400.00
		\$750.00

This the 25th. day of August, 1928,

Respectfully Submitted,

J.B. Earl
G.G. Gould,
J.L. Carroll, John W. Daniel
John W. Daniel
R.L. Mulliniks.
Jury of view.

And the said report is in all things confirmed by the court. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that all the right, title and interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendant, Jess Bowen, be, and the same is condemned and the title thereto divested out of the defendant Jess Bowen, and vested in the Department of Highways and public works, of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes. The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd. Civil District of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek west of Waverly, and is described as follows:-

"A strip of land to be 224 1/2 ft. in length, containing 3.41 acres, more or less, and particularly described as follows:

A strip of land from station 700 / 00 to station 750 / 50 66 ft. wide, extending 33 ft. on each side of center line"

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant Jess Bowen have and recover of the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee for highway purposes the sum of Seven Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$750.00), with interest from date of Judgment, together with all cost of this cause, for which execution or other necessary and proper process may issue.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.
Mrs. S.C. Plant et al, (Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee,

This cause came on to be heard and was heard, by the Court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner of Highways and public works, the answer of the defendant, Mrs. S.C. Plant, and an order Pro confesso taken against the defendant, Humphreys County, and the report of the jury of view, which is in writing, and dated August 25th. 1928, which report has been on file more than five days before the convening of the Court, and is unexcepted to, and is as follows:

REPORT OF JURY OF VIEW.

"We, the undersigned jury of view, having been regularly summons by the Sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, and duly sworn and charged by him according to law, viewed, inquired, and assessed damages done to the property of the hereinafter mentioned land owners, and beg to report as follows:

We went upon the land of all the land owners whose names appear in the writ under which we were summoned by said sheriff and whose names hereinafter in this report, and viewed the

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December term 14th. day of December 1928

land that has been condemned by Harry S. Berry, Com. of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and inquired, heard proof, and assessed the done to each of the hereinafter named property owners occasioned by said condemnation by said Highway commissioner.

Upon information we find that Harry S. Berry, Com. of State Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, is complainant, in separate cases against each of the hereinafter named defendants, and this report is made to apply to each of the said cases, in which, the said Berry is complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are named defendants.

As heretofore stated, we went upon the land condemned in each of said and hereinafter mentioned cases, viewed, inquired, heard proof but no argument of counsel, and assessed damages to each defendant as hereinafter to be reported, and set off by metes and bounds, the land required along Highway No. 1, of the State of Tennessee, according to the land required by the petition filed in each case in which we here report, which description, upon information is found on the bottom of page 3, of each petition in which the said Berry is complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are defendants, all of which are filed in the Circuit Court, to each of which descriptions reference is here made.

We report the amounts assessed as damages, done each of the following land owners, and defendants in said cases, as follows:

The amounts assessed to each defendant follows the name of each landowner and defendant, and the complainant's Harry S. Berry. Commissioner list of settlements:-

Mrs. S.C. Plant	7 1/2 acres	\$355.00
	Incidentals	\$44.00
		\$1000.00

This the 25th. day of August, 1928.

Respectfully submitted.

J.H. Pearl
G.G. Gould
J.L. Carroll
John W. Daniel
R.L. Mulliniks
Jury of View

And the said report is in all things confirmed by the Court.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court, that all the right, title and interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendant, Mrs. S.C. Plant, be and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the defendant, Mrs. S.C. Plant, and vested in the Department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes. The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd. Civil District of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek west of Waverly, and described as follows:

"A strip to be 3615 feet in length, containing 7.54 acres, more or less, and more particularly described as follows:

A, strip of land from station 358 + 00 to station 368 + 00, 100 feet wide and extending 40 on the left and 60 feet on the right of center line.

A strip of land from station 368 + 00 to station 380 + 00, 110 feet wide, extending 50 feet on left and 60 feet, on right of center line.

A. strip of land from station 380 + 00 to station 370 + 00, 90 feet wide, extending 40 feet on right and 50 feet on left of center line.

A, strip of land from station 370 + 50 to station 372 + 00, 66 feet wide, extending 33 feet on both sides of center line.

Minutes Circuit, Humphreys County December 14th, day of December 1928

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant Mrs. S.C. Plant, have and recover of the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee, for highway purposes, the sum of One thousand dollars (\$1000.00) with interest from date of judgment, together with costs of this cause, for which execution, or other necessary and proper process may issue.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.
H.D. Hasty et al, (Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee,

This cause came on to heard and was heard, by the Court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, commissioner of Highways and public works, the answer of defendant H.D. Hasty, and an order proconfesso, heretofore taken against the defendant, Humphreys County, and the report of the jury of view, which is in writing, and dated August 25, 1928, which report has been on file more than five days before the convening of the court, and is unaccepted to, and is as follows:-

THE REPORT OF JURY OF VIEW.

We, the undersigned jury of view, having been regularly summons by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn and charged by him according to law, viewed, and assessed damages done to the property of the hereinafter mentioned land owners and beg leave to report as follows:-

we went upon the land of all the land owners whose names appear in the writ under which we were summoned by the said Sheriff and whose names appear hereinafter in the report and viewed the land which has been condemned by Harry S. Berry, Commissioner of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and inquired, heard proof and assessed damages done to each of the hereinafter named property owners occasioned by said condemnation by said State Highway Commissioner.

Upon information we find that Harry S. Berry Com. of State Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, is complainant in separate cases against each of the hereinafter named defendants, and this report is made to apply to each of the said cases, in which the said Berry is Complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are named defendants.

As heretofore stated, we went upon the land condemned in each of said hereinafter mentioned cases, viewed, inquired, heard proof, but no argument of counsel and assessed damages to each defendant as hereinafter to be reported, and set off by metes and bounds, the land required along Highway No. 1 of the State of Tennessee, according to the land required by the petition filed in each case in which we here report, which description, upon information is found on the bottom of page 3 of each petition in which the said Harry Berry is complainant, and each land owners are defendants, all of which are filed in the Circuit Court, to each of which description reference is here made.

we report the amounts assessed as damages done each of the following land owners, and defendants in said cases, as follows, THE amounts assessed to each defendant follows the name of each land owner and defendant, and the complainant Harry S. Berry, Commissioner, named is not printed or written with each defendant in the following list of settlements:-

H.D. Hasty	3.14 acres	\$845.00
	Incidentals	\$245.00
		\$1090.00

This the 25, day of August, 1928. Respectfully submitted.

J.H. Pearl
G.G. Gould
J.L. Carroll
John W. Daniel
R.L. Mulliniks
Jury of View.

And the said report is in all things confirmed by the Court.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that all the right, title

and interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendant H.D. Hasty be and the same is condemned, and the title ~~thereto~~ divested out of the defendant H.D. Hasty and vested in the Department of highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd, Civil District of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek, west of Waverly, and is described as follows:-

"A strip of land to be 1197 feet in length, and containing 1.93 acres more or less, and more particularly described as follows

A strip of land from station 284 / 98 to station 291 / 00 68 feet wide extending 33 feet on each side of center line.

A strip of land from station 291 / 00 to station 298 / 08 75 feet wide, extending 35 feet on the right and 40 feet on the left of center line."

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant H.D. Hasty have and recover of the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee for the land herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee for Highway purposes, the sum of Three Hundred and Thirty dollars (\$330.00), with interest from date of judgment, together with all costs of this cause, for which execution or other necessary and proper process may issue.

Harry S. Berry Commissioner

vs. Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

J.L. Byrn et al.

This cause came on to be heard and was heard by the Court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner of Highways and public works, the answer of defendant J.L. Byrn, and an order pro confesso heretofore taken against the defendant, Humphreys County, and report of the Jury of view, which is in writing and dated August 25, 1928, which report has been on file more than five days before the convening of the court, and is unexcepted to, and is as follows

REPORT OF JURY OF VIEW

"We the undersigned jury of view, having been regularly summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn and charged by him according to law, viewed, inquired, and assessed damages done to the property of the hereinafter mentioned land owners and beg to report as follows:-

We went upon the land of all the land owners, whose names appear in the writ under which we were summoned by the said Sheriff, and whose names appear hereinafter in the report, and viewed the land that has been condemned by Harry S. Berry, Com. of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and inquired, heard, proof and assessed the damages done each of the hereinafter named property owners occasioned by said condemnation by said State Highway Commissioner.

Upon information we find that Harry S. Berry, Com. of State Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, is Complainant, in separate cases against each of the hereinafter named, defendants, and this report is made to apply to each of the said ~~defendants~~ cases in which the said Berry is complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are named defendants

As heretofore stated, we went upon the land condemned in each of said and hereinafter mentioned cases, viewed inquired, heard proof, but no argument of counsel and assessed damages to each defendant as hereinafter reported, and set off by metes and bounds, the land required along Highway No. 1, of the State of Tenn., according to the land required by the petition filed in each case in which we here report, which description, upon information is found on the bottom of page 3 of each petition in which the said Harry S. Berry is Complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are defendants, all of which are filed in the Circuit Court, to each of which descriptions reference is here made.

We report the amounts assessed as damages done each of the following land owners, and defendants, in said cases, as follows:-

The amounts assessed to each defendant follows, the name of each land owner and defendant, and the complainant Harry S. Berry Commissioner, name is not printed or written with each defendant in the following list of settlement:-

J.L. Byrn	1.92 acres	\$40.00
	incidental	\$100.00
		\$200.00

This the 25th. day of August, 1928.

Respectfully Submitted,

J.H. Pearl
G.G. Gould
J.L. Carroll
John W. Daniel,
R.L. Mullins
Jury of view.

And the said report is in all things confirmed by the court. It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court, that as to the right, title, and interest in the strip or parcel of land herein after described of the defendant J.L. Byrn be, and the same is condemned, and the title ~~thereto~~ divested out of the defendant J.L. Byrn and vested in the Department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee and for public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd, Civil District of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek west of Waverly, and is described as follows:-

A strip of land to be 2087 feet in length and containing 3.12 acres, more or less and more particularly described as follows:

A strip of land from station 296 / 98 to station 317 / 88, 68 feet wide, extending 33 feet on each side of center line.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that that the defendant J.L. Byrn, have and recover of the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee, for highway purposes, the sum of Two Hundred Dollars (\$200.00), with interest from date of judgment, together with all cost of this cause, for which execution or other necessary and proper process may issue.

E.T. & W. Crowell

vs.
E.W. Curtis James
Sand Gravel Co.,

It being agreed by and between the parties here to this case is dismissed, and all cost having been paid before the call of the docket,

This order agreed to this Dec. 5 1928.

James Sand & Gravel Co.
Per A.P. Ottarson Jr.
E.T. Crowell.

Harry S. Berry, Com.
vs.
Guy and Earl Bumpas, et al,
Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee,

This cause came on to be heard and was heard by the court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, commissioner of Highways and public works, the answer of defendants Guy and Earl Bumpas, and an order pro confesso heretofore taken against the defendant, Humphreys County, and the report of the jury of view, which is in writing, and dated August 24, 1902, which report has been on file more than five days before the convening of the court, and is unexcepted to, and is as follows:

REPORT OF JURY OF VIEW.

"We the undersigned jury of view, having been regularly summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn and charged by him according to law, viewed, inquired, and assessed damages done to the property of the hereinafter mentioned land owners, and beg to report as follows:-

We went upon the land of all the land owners whose names appear in the writ under which we were summoned by the said Sheriff and whose name appear hereinafter in this report, and viewed the land that has been condemned by Harry S. Berry, Com. of Highways and public works, of the State of Tennessee, and inquired, heard proof, and assessed the damages done to each of the hereinafter named property owners occasioned by said condemnation by said State Highway commissioner.

Upon information we find that Harry S. Berry, Com. of State Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, is Complainant in separate case against each of the hereinafter named defendants, and the report is made to apply to each of the said cases, in which the said Berry is Complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are named defendants.

As heretofore stated, we went upon the land condemned in each of said and hereinafter mentioned cases, viewed, inquired, heard proof, but no argument of Counsel and assessed damages to each defendant as hereinafter to be reported, and set off by metes and bounds, the land required required along Highway No. 1 of the State of Tennessee, according to law required by the petition filed in each case in which we here report, which description, upon information is found on the bottom of page 3 of each petition in which the said Berry is Complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are defendants, all of which are filed in the Circuit Court, to each of which description reference is here made.

We report the amounts assessed as damages done each of the following land owners and defendants in said cases as follows:-

The amounts assessed to each defendant follows the name of each land owner and defendant, and the complainant Harry S. Berry in the following list of settlements:-

Guy & Earl Bumpas	----- 2.0 acres -----	\$30.00
	Incidentals	\$70.00
		\$100.00

This the 24th. day of August, 1902.

Respectfully submitted.

J.B. Pearl
G.G. Gould
J.L. Carroll
John W. Daniel
A.L. Mulliniks
Jury of View

And the said report is in all things confirmed by the Court.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court, that all the right, title and interest in the strip of parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendants Guy, & Earl Bumpas, be, and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the defendants Guy and Earl Bumpas, and vested in the department of highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd. Civil District of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek west of Waverly, and is described as follows:-

"A strip of land to be 1888 feet in length, containing 2.0 acres more or less, and more particularly described as follows:

A. Strip of land from station 248 + 85 to station 255 + 70, 66 feet wide, extending 73 feet on each side of center line.

A. strip of land from station 255 + 70 to station 262 + 70, 106 feet wide extending 73 feet on left and 73 feet on right of center line.

B A strip of land from station 255 + 70 to station 267 + 70, 66 feet wide, extending 33 feet on each side of center line.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court that the defendant, Guy and Earl Bumpas, have and recover of the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee, for highway purposes the sum of One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00) with interest from date of judgment, together with all cost of this cause, for which execution or other necessary and proper process may issue.

State of Tennessee
vs.
George Bell et al, Z House Breakin and larceny,

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the Court, from the return of the Sheriff, upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court, against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this cause suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the of this suit or any part thereof So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accruing upon the part of the State, be allowed and paid out of the Treasury of the State, and that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Ed Morris) Larceny

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court, from the return of the Sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of court, against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of State, be allowed and paid out of the Treasury of the state, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Tad Morris) Assault to commit murder in the first degree.
Motion to relax costs

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court, from the return of an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court, ~~xxxxx~~ against the estate of the of the defendant for the cost of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that that the cost accrued upon the part of the State, be allowed and paid out of the Treasury of the state, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
vs.) Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree
Tad Morris) Motion to relax cost

In this cause came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court, from the return of the Sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the part accrued upon the part of the state, be allowed and paid out of the State Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

SHERIFF'S BOARD BILL FOR BOARDING PRISONERS CHARGED WITH FELONIES.

This day came into open court Walter McNeil Sheriff and Jaider and present and read in open court his board bill for boarding prisoners charged with felonies:

State vs Grady Stewart	Sept. 1, 1928 to Dec. 10 1928	100 days	\$75.00
" Eugene Smith	" " " " " "	100 days	\$75.00
" Bill Hopper	" " " " " "	6 " 6	\$450.00
			total \$1125.00

Which amount is allowed by the court, and that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
vs.) B.D.
J.P. Welch) Motion to relax costs

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to Court, from the return of the Sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this Court, against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the part accrued upon the part of State, be allowed and paid out of the Treasury of Humphreys County, and that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
vs.) B.D.
Fred Wright) Motion to relax costs,

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court, from the return of the Sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court, against the estate of the defendant, for the cost of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent, unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So, it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the part accrued upon the part of the state, be allowed and order red paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the county Judge for payment as the law directs

State of Tennessee)
vs.) B.D.
Fred Harvey) Motion to relax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court, from the return of the Sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court, against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that that part accrued upon the part of the State, be allowed and ordered paid out of the Treasury of Humphreys County, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
vs.) Larceny
Tom Smith et, al) Motion to relax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General, for the State, and it appearing to the court, from the return of the Sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court, against the estate of the defendant, for the costs of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the part accrued upon the part of the State, be allowed and order red paid out of the Treasury of the County of Humphreys, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December Term 14th. day of December 1928

State of Tennessee

vs. B.D.
D. Spencer) Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court, from the return of the sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court, against estate of the defendant, for the costs of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent, unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So, it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the State, be allowed, and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

vs. Drunkenness,
Claud Cooper col) Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court, from a Mitimus issued ~~saxkix~~ by the J.P. to the Sheriff of Humphreys County, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this case or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered adjudged, and decreed by the court that the cost accrued upon the part of the State, be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

vs. Drunkenness
Ed. Morris) Motion to retax cost.

In the case came the attorney general for the State, and it appearing to the Court, from a Mitimus issued by the Sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this case, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the county Treasury, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

vs. Drunkenness
William E. Atlock) Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court, from a Mitimus issued by the J.P. to the Sheriff, of Humphreys County, Tennessee that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the County Treasury, and that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge, for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

vs. Assault and battery
Cecil Plant) Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court, from a Mitimus issued by the J.P. to the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed, and ordered paid out of the county Treasury, and that Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December Term 14th. day of December 1928

State of Tennessee

vs. Disorderly house
John Young)

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the Court, from a Mitimus issued by the J.P. to the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, that the defendant is wholly insolvent unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the county Treasury, and that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee

vs. Drunkenness
Will Stewart) Motion to retax cost

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court, from a Mitimus issued by the J.P. to the Sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, that the defendant is wholly insolvent, unable to pay the costs of this case or any part thereof.

So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accrued upon the part of the State be allowed and ordered paid out of the Treasury of Humphreys County, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. J.B. Cagle et al,) Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

This case came on to be heard and was heard, by the Court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner of Highways and public Works, the answer of defendant J.B. Cagle, and an order proconfesso, heretofore taken against the defendant, Humphreys County, and the report of the jury of view which is in writing, and dated August 28, 1928, which report has been on file more than five days before the convening of the Court, and is unexpected to, and is as follows:-

REPORT OF JURY OF VIEW

We the undersigned jury of view, having been regularly summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn and charged by him according to law, viewed, inquired and assessed damages done to the hereinafter mentioned land owners, and beg to report as follows:-

We went upon the land of all the land owners whose names appear in the writ which we were summoned by the Sheriff and whose names appear hereinafter in this report, and viewed the land that has been condemned by Harry S. Berry, Com. of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and inquired, heard proof and assessed the damages done to each of the hereinafter named property owners occasioned by said condemnation by said state Highway Commissioner.

Upon information we find that Harry S. Berry Com. of State Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, is complainant in separate cases against each of the hereinafter named defendants, and this report is made to apply to each of the cases, in which the said Berry is complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are named defendants, as heretofore stated, we went upon the land condemned in each of the said and hereinafter mentioned cases, viewed, inquired

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December term 14th. day of December 1928

heard proof, but no argument of counsel, and assessed damages to each defendant as hereinafter to be reported, and set off by metes and bounds, the land required along Highway No. 1, of the State of Tenn., according to the land required by the petition filed in each case in which we here report, which description, upon information is found on the bottom of page 3 of each petition in which the said Berry Complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are defendants, all of which are filed in the Circuit Court to each of which descriptions reference is here made.

we report the amounts assessed as damages done each of the following land owners, and defendants, in said cases, as follows:-

The amounts assessed to each of defendants follows, the name of each land owner and defendant, and the complainant's Harry S. Berry Commissioner, name as not printed or written with each defendant in the following list of settlement:-

J.B. Cagle	2 1/2 acres	\$50.00
	incidental	210.00
		<u>\$260.00</u>

This the 25th. day of August, 1928, respectfully submitted

J.H. Pearl
G.G. Gould
J.L. Carroll
John W. Daniel
R.L. Mulliniks
Jury of view.

And said report is in all things confirmed by the court. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that all the right, title and interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendant J.B. Cagle, be and the same is condemned, and the title thereto, divested out of the defendant, J.B. Cagle, and vested in the Department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for use and benefit of the department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd Civil District of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek west of Waverly, and is described as follows:

A strip of land to be 1273 feet in length, containing 1.00 acre more or less, and more particularly described as follows:

A strip of land from station 267 + 73 to station 274 + 98, 66 feet wide, extending 33 feet on each side of center line.

A strip of land from station 278 + 88 to station 284 + 98, 66 ft. wide extending 33 feet on each side of center line.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant J.B. Cagle have and recover of the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee, for highway purposes, the sum of Two Hundred and sixty dollars (\$260.00), with interest from date of judgment, together with all costs of this cause, for which execution or other necessary and proper process may issue

11CAV

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December term 14th day of December 1928

Harry S. Berry Com.)
vs.) Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee,
B.P. McNabb et al,)

This cause came on to heard and was heard, by the Court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner of Highways and public works, the answer defendant B.P. McNabb, and an order pro confesso taken against the defendant, Humphreys County, and the report of the jury of view, which is in writing, and dated August 25, 1928, which report has been on file more than five days before the convening of the court, and is unexcepted to, and is as follows:-

REPORT OF JURY OF VIEW.

We, the undersigned jury of view, having been regularly summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn and charged by him according to law, viewed, inquired, and assessed damages done to the property of the hereinafter mentioned land owners, and hereby report as follows:-

We went upon the land of all the land owners, whose names appear in the writ under which we were summoned by the said Sheriff and whose names appear hereinafter in this report, and viewed the land that has been condemned, by Harry S. Berry, Com. of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and inquired, heard proof and assessed the damages, done to the hereinafter named property owners occasioned by said condemnation by said State, Highway Commissioner.

Upon information we find that Harry S. Berry Com. of state Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, is complainant, in separate cases against each of the hereinafter named defendants, and this report is made to apply to each of the said cases, in which the said Berry is complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are named defendants. As hereinafter stated, we went upon the land in each of said hereinafter mentioned cases, viewed, inquired, heard proof, but no argument of counsel, and assessed damages to each defendant as hereinafter to be reported, and set off by metes and bounds, the land required along Highway No. 1, of the State of Tenn., according to the land required by the petition filed in each case in which we here report, which description, upon information is found on the bottom of page 3 of each petition in which the said Berry is complainant and of the hereinafter named land owners are defendants, all of which are filed in the Circuit Court to each of which descriptions reference is here made.

We report the amounts assessed as damages done of each of the following land owners, and defendants in said cases as follows:-

The amounts assessed to each defendants follows the name of each land owners and defendants and the Complainant's Harry S. Berry, Commissioner name is not printed, or written, with each defendant in the following list of settlements:-

B.P. McNabb,	4.62-acres	\$225.00
	incidental	115.00
		<u>\$340.00</u>

This the 25th. day of August, 1928

Respectfully submitted.

J.H. Pearl
G.G. Gould
J.L. Carroll
John W. Daniel
R.L. Mulliniks
Jury of View.

And the said report is in all things confirmed by the court. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that all the right, title and interest in the strip of land or parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendant, B.P. McNabb, be and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the defendant, B.P. McNabb, and vested in the Department of highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd, Civil District, of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek west of Waverly, and is described as follows:-

" A. strip of land to be 1740 feet in length, containing 4.40 acres, more or less, and more particularly described as follows:-

A strip of land from station 469 / 50 to station 472 / 00 66 feet wide, extending 33 feet on each side of center line.

A strip of land from station 472 / 00 to station 480 / 00 133 feet wide extending 33 feet on right, and 100 feet on the left of center line.

A strip of land from station 480 / 00 to station 487 / 30 108 feet wide, extending 75 feet on right and 75 feet on left of center line.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the defendant, B.P. McNabb, have and recover of the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages ^{for the land} herein condemned and taken over by the State of Tennessee, for highway purposes, the sum of Three Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$350.00), with interest from date of judgment, together with all costs of this cause, for which execution, or other necessary process may issue.

Garry S. Berry Com.
vs. W.R. Box et al,) Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard, and was heard by the Court, upon petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner, of highways and public works, the answer of defendant, W.R. Box, and an order, pro confesso, heretofore taken against the defendant Humphreys County, and report of the Jury of view, which is in writing, and dated August 25, 1928, which report has been on file more than five days before the convening of the court, and is unexcepted to, and is as follows:

Report of Jury of view.

"We, the undersigned Jury of View, having been regularly summons by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn and charged by law, viewed, inquired, and assessed damages done to the property of the hereinafter mentioned land owners, and beg to report as follows:

We went upon the land of all the land owners whose names appear in the writ under which we were summoned by the said Sheriff and whose names appear hereinafter in the report, and viewed the land that has been condemned by Harry S. Berry, Com. of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee and inquired, heard proof, and assessed the damage done to each of the hereinafter named property owners, occasioned by said condemnation of said State Highway Commissioner.

Upon information we find that Harry S. Berry, Com. of State Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, is complainant, in separate cases against each of the hereinafter named defendants, and this report is made to apply to each of the said cases, in which the said Berry is Complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are named.

defendants.

As heretofore stated, we went upon the land condemned in each of the following hereinafter mentioned cases, Viewed, inquired, heard proof, but no argument of counsel and assessed damages to each defendant as hereinafter to be reported and set off by metes and bounds the land required along Highway No. 1, of the State of Tenn. according to the land required by the petition filed in each case on which we here report, which description, upon information is found on the bottom of page 3 of each petition in which the said Berry is Complainant, each of the hereinafter named land owners are defendants, all of which are filed in the Circuit Court, to each of which descriptions, reference is here made.

We report the amounts assessed as damages done each of the followings land owners, and defendants assessed to each defendant follows the name of each land owner and defendant, and the complainant's Harry S. Berry, commissioner, name is not printed or written with each defendant in the following list of settlements:-

W.R. Box	-10.40	\$217.50
Incidentals		\$414.00

This the 25th. day of August, 1928.

Respectfully submitted.

J.H. Pearl
G.G. Gould
J. Carroll,
John W. Dingle
R.L. Mulliniks
Jury of View.

And the said report is in all things confirmed by the Court. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that all the right, title and interest in the strip of parcel of land hereinafter described of the the defendant, W.R. Box be, and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the defendant, W.R. Box, and vested in the Department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the department of highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd, Civil District of Humphreys, County, Tenn. on Trace Creek west of Waverly, and is described as follows:-

" A strip of land to be 5630 feet in length, containing 10.40 acres, more or less and particularly described as follows.

A strip of land from station 390 / 15 to station 391 / 00, 120 feet wide, extending 60 feet on each side of center line.

A. strip of land from station 391 / 00 to station 397 / 00 90 feet wide extending 45 feet on each side of center of center line.

A strip of land from station 397 / 00 to station 398 / 00, 950 feet wide, extending 40 feet on right and 40 feet on left of center line.

A strip of land from station 398 / 00 to station 406 / 00 100 feet wide, extending 40 feet on right and 50 feet on left side of center line.

A. strip of land from station 409 / 00 to station 424 / 00 80 feet wide, extending 40 feet on each side of center line

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the defendant W.R. Box. have and recover of the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned, and taken by the State of Tennessee, for highway purposes, the sum of Four Hundred and Thirty five dollars (\$435.00), with interest from date of judgment, together with all cost of the cause, for which execution or other necessary and proper process may issue.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.) Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee,
Will Ridings et al,)

This cause came to be heard and was heard by the court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner, of Highways and public works, the answer of defendant Will Ridings, and an order pro confesso, heretofore taken against the defendant, Humphreys County and the report of the jury of view, which is in writing, and dated August 25, 1928, which report has been on file more than five days before the convening of the Court, and is unexcepted to, and is as follows:

REPORT OF JURY OF VIEW.

We, the undersigned Jury of view, having been regularly summons by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn and charged by him according to law, viewed, inquired, and assessed damages done to the property of the hereinafter mentioned land owners, and beg to report as follows.

We, vent upon the land of all the land owners whose names appear in the writ under which we were summoned by the said Sheriff and whose names appear hereinafter in this report; and viewed the land that has been condemned by Harry S. Berry, Com. of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and inquired, heard proof, and assessed damages done to each of the hereinafter named property owners occasioned by said condemnation by said State Highway Commissioner.

Upon information we find that Harry S. Berry Com. of State Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee is Complainant in separate cases against of the hereinafter named defendants and this report is made to apply to each of the said cases, in which the said Berry is Complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are named defendants.

As heretofore stated, we vents upon the land condemned in each of the said and hereinafter mentioned cases, viewed, inquired, heard proof, but no argument of counsel, and assessed damage to each defendant, as hereinafter to be reported, and set off by metes and bounds, the land required along Highway No. 1 of the State of Tennessee, according, to the land required by the petition filed in each case, in which we here report; which description, upon information is found on the bottom of page 3 of each petition in which the said Berry is Complainant, and each of the hereinafter named land owners are defendants, all of which are filed in the Circuit Court. to each of which descriptions, reference is here made.

We report the amounts assessed as damages done each of the following land owners.

and reach defendant in said case, as follows

The amounts to each defendant follows the name of each land owner and defendant, and the Complainants' name Harry S. Berry, Commissioner, name is not printed or written with each defendant in the following list of settlements:-

W.R. Ridings	- 1.75 acres	- \$35.00
	incidentals	\$115.00
		\$150.00

This the 25th. day of August 1928.

Respectfully Submitted.

J.H. Pearl,
G.G. Gould
J.L. Carroll
John W. Daniel,
R.L. Mulliniks,
JURY OF VIEW.

and the said report is in all things confirmed by the court. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that all the right, title and interest in the strip ~~of~~ parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendant, W.B. Ridings be and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the defendant, W.B. Ridings, and vested in the Department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd. Civil District of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek west of Waverly and is described as follows:

A strip of land to be 1145 feet in length containing 1.73 acres more or less, and more particularly described as follows:

A Strip of land from station 523 / 40 to station 534 / 85 66 feet wide, extending 33 feet on each side of center line

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant W.B. Ridings have and recover of the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee, for highway purposes, the sum of One Hundred and Fifty (\$150.00) Dollars, with interest from date of judgment, together with all costs of this cause, for which execution, or other necessary and proper process may issue.

State of Tennessee

vs.)
G.H. Gillespie)

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charged. Thereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of twenty five together with all the costs, and ~~the~~ for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and cost he will be confined in the county jail or workhouse until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs

State of Tennessee

vs.)
G.H. Gillespie et al)

Come the defendants in their own proper person, and the Attorney General for the State, when this case came on to be and was heard by the Court upon the Scire Facias return of the Sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendants, and on motion of defendant to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the Court after hearing and fully understanding considering the same is pleased to and does set said forfeiture entered at a former term of this court aside, but adjudges the cost of the forfeiture against defendants.

It is therefore considered by the court that the forfeiture taken and entered against defendants be and the same is set aside at defendants, all the cost accruing by reason of the taking setting aside said forfeiture, for all of which execution may issue

State of Tennessee
vs.
Will Hooper et al.
FORFEITURE ON BOND.

Case the defendants in their own proper person and the Attorney General for the State, when this case came on to be and was heard by the Court upon the *scire facias* return of the Sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendants, and the motion of defendants to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the Court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set said forfeiture entered at the August 1928 term of the Court aside, but adjudges the cost of the forfeiture against defendants,

It is therefore considered by the court that the forfeiture taken and entered against defendants be and the same is set aside at defendants cost, and that the State of Tennessee, *prover* of the defendants, all the cost accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeiture, for all of which execution will issue.

National Union, Fire Insurance Company.
vs.
T.O. Simpson

This cause came on to heard, and was heard, by the court without the intervention of a jury, upon the whole record in the cause, and the exhibits produced therein, that the defendant entered into a written contract with the complainant by which the defendant was to write policies of insurance for complainant under such term and conditions as set forth in said contract, and it further appeared to the court from the proof, that the contract exhibited in this cause by complainant was not the same contract as signed by defendant at the beginning of his work with complainant, but that the contract signed by defendant made no material difference in the issued of the suit, it further appeared to the court that the defendant worked under the said contract with the plaintiff for about one year, and procured considerable amount of insurance for said plaintiff, that the plaintiff became dissatisfied with the territory in which defendant worked, for some reason not known to the court, and discharged the defendant on May 13th, 1927, but plaintiff entered into an oral agreement with plaintiff whereby defendant was to rewrite all business written by defendant for plaintiff company and the insurance company and the plaintiff, to rewrite said insurance written by defendant for plaintiff, before plaintiff would cancel the policies written by defendant in plaintiff company. It further appeared to the court that the defendant rewrote considerable of said business into said continental insurance Co. but on the 18th. of November, 1927, the plaintiff arbitrarily canceled all policies written by defendant for plaintiff, and at that time not rewritten into the said Continental Fire Insurance Co. and charged the defendant back with the portion of the unearned commission, it further appeared to the court that the defendant was diligent under the written contract, and the oral agreement after the discharge of defendant, and that plaintiff arbitrarily breached the oral agreement before date

of expiration. It further appeared to the court that the defendant in rewriting the business into the Continental Fire Insurance Co. paid back cash to the policy holders and unearned premiums on policies in the plaintiff company, more money than plaintiff sues for in this cause.

And the court is of the opinion that from the proof, considering the diligence of the defendant, the lack of cause for the discharge of defendant, and the breach of oral agreement with defendant after discharge of defendant, the plaintiff cannot maintain this suit, and the court therefore gives judgment, and orders the plaintiff or sureties, *for the* ~~to pay the cost~~, on bond to pay the cost, for which execution will issue. The court so orders, adjudge and decrees.

Elsie Woods Dreaden
vs.
Neely Dreaden)
Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

This cause came on to be heard by the court, and was heard, upon motion of complainant, and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant Neely Dreaden, has been duly brought into to court by service of summons, and that he has failed to appear and make defense to complainant's bill according to the rules of the court, it is therefore, ordered by the court that the bill be taken as confessed as to the said defendant, and set for hearing and to him ex parte.

And this cause came on further to be heard, and was heard by the court, upon the whole record in the cause including the order pro confesso heretofore taken against the defendant and the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open court, when it satisfactorily appeared to the court from the proof that the facts charged in the petition are true, that the defendant has wilfully deserted the petitioner without reasonable cause, and has refused, failed and neglected to provide for her, and her child as charged in the petition.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the petitioner and the defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and that the petitioner be rested with all the rights of an unmarried woman, and that she be given the absolute custody and control of her baby girl, Betty Joline Dreaden born to her during her marriage with defendant.

It further appeared to the court that as to the matter of alimony, or support asked for in the petition, compromise agreement has been entered into by the petitioner and the defendant, settling said question, which agreement is in writing, and is as follows:-

Elsie Woods Dreaden
vs.
Neely Dreaden)
in Circuit Court Waverly, Tennessee.

In this case it agreed by the petitioner, Elsie Woods Dreaden, and the defendant Neely Dreaden, that the matter of alimony sought in the petition filed in the case, is compromised and settled and will be made a part of the decree for divorce as follows:- The defendant Neely Dreaden is to pay to the Clerk of this Court FIFTEEN DOLLARS (\$15.00) each month said payments to begin February 1st 1928, and continue for a period of twelve (12) years from the date of the first payment February 1st, 1928, for the support of the baby girl, named Betty Joline Dreaden, born to the marriage of the petitioner and the defendant, and should said child die within the twelve year period, said FIFTEEN DOLLARS (\$15.00) monthly payments will be made and paid into Court for the partial support of the petitioner, PROVIDED she remains a widow, for the unexpired term of twelve years, after the death of said child, and such payments if made shall be in full settlement and satisfaction of any claim for alimony by the petitioner, Elsie Woods Dreaden against the defendant Neely Dreaden, and for any claim for support of said child.

This December 28th, 1928.
Elsie Woods Dreaden
By Morris & Simpson Atty.
Neely Dreaden.

which agreement as to alimony or support for the petitioner and said child is ratified and confirmed, and made part of the ~~xxxxxxx~~ decree of this court.

It is ~~further~~ ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant Neely Braden pay the cost of this cause, for which execution may issue.

J.P. Cowen and Co.

Vs.) Condemnation

Roy Hays)

J.B. Bell Justice of the Peace of Humphreys County, filed herein Court the following papers to wit:-

Note

\$85.75 Waverly, Tenn. 6- -25" 192.

One day after date we or either of us, promise to pay to the order of, J.P. Cowen & Company the sum of sixty five and 75/100 ~~--- Dollars~~ for value received. ~~in~~ ~~-----~~ the said this day sold me, the title to which by express agreement is retained by and shall remain in, J.P. Cowen & Co. until this note is paid in full. Both makers and endorser to this note severally and jointly waive demand notice of non-payment and protest. And we and each of us both makers and endorser hereby authorize J.P. Cowen or C.W. Cowen or either of them at any time the above note becomes due to go before any court of record or any Justice of the Peace having jurisdiction thereof, in the State of Tennessee, and confess judgment thereof against us in favor of J.P. Cowen & Co. their representatives or assigns for the said amount with interest and cost in accordance with the provisions of Sections 470a, 470a, and 4707 Code of Tennessee, Shannon's Edition 1906. The taking of judgment on this note shall waive or release the title so retained to above property etc, and in the event there should be any litigation in the collection of this note, both makers and endorser agree to pay 10 percent attorney's fees to be included in the judgment,

Roy Hays
Roy Hays

P.O. Waverly Witness

Our No. "akerville Rout.

Judgment

Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee. 8---- 21" 1928. ~~xxxxxxx~~ By virtue of authority contained in this note, I, J.P. Cowen the within named attorney in fact for the maker Roy Hays do hereby confess judgment against the said Roy Hays and in favor of said J.P. Cowen & Co. the present holder and owner of the note for \$85.75 and also an amount ~~xx~~, making a total of \$86.40 and all cost of this case, for ~~which~~ ^{all of} execution may issue.
J.P. Cowen.

Execution

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, To any lawful Officer to ~~xxx~~ execute and return. You are hereby commanded, that of the goods and chattles lands and tenements of Roy Hays, you cause to be made the sum of Sixty Six ~~2~~ & 40/100 (\$86.40) Dollars and cost of suit to satisfy a judgment which J.P. Cowen & Co. obtained before me (J.B. Bell) Justice of the Peace on the 21, day of Aug. 1928, against the said Roy Hays, and such moneys, when collected pay to the said J.P. Cowen and Co. Given under my hand and seal, this 24 day of Aug' 1928
J.B. Bell Justice of the Peace.

LEVY

Search made by me and no personal property of the defendant to be found in my county, I ~~levy~~ this execution upon all the right, title interest, claim, and demand that the defendant has in a tract of land situated in the 3th Civil District of Humphreys County, Tennessee, bounded and described as follows.

Beginning on McAdoo and Hassell's north east corner running thence north 860 ft. to a stake, thence west 300 ft. to a stake, thence south 860 ft. to a stake east ³⁰⁰ ft. to the beginning containing 5 acres more or less, and being the same tract of land conveyed to Annie Hayes by Elizabeth Reeves deed to which is of record in Deed Book No. 35 page 41a in Registers' Office of Humphreys County Tennessee.

This August 24th. 1928, at 10:00 A.M. D.B. McCann Deputy Sheriff, Humphreys County, Tennessee.

And on motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered by the court that said lands so levied upon be sold by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, to satisfy the aforesaid judgment of J.B. Bell in favor of J.P. Cowen & Co. and also the cost of this proceedings.

Kittie Rains Daniel

vs.) In Circuit Court at Waverly Humphreys County.
C.W. Daniel)

This cause came on to be heard, and was heard by the ^{Court} and after hearing the proof, the court declines to grant a divorce in this cause, and taxed the plaintiff with all the cost for which let execution issue.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs.) ~~xxxxxx~~ Condemnation
Jno. T. Tate et al)

Among the parties, and also a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Dave Stewart J.N. Page, D.C. Vaden, Carroll, Curtis I.H. Crockett Alfred Fortner, J.M. Petty Oscar

Triplet, Grover Bass, J.W. Patterson, W.W. Ballard, and W.E. Joshlin who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, the truth to speak upon the issue joined, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court upon their oath do say that they ~~fix~~ are unable to agree upon a verdict in this case.

It is therefore considered by the court that the jury be discharged, and that this cause be retained on the docket by the clerk for retrial at some future term of this court.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs.) Condemnation
Mrs. Allen Jones et al,)

This cause is continued and set for Thursday of next term of this Court.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs.) Condemnation
C.C. Cagle and J.A. Slayden et, al,)

This cause is continued, and set for Thursday of next term of this Court.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs.) Condemnation.
No. A. Moore et al)

This cause is continued and set for Thursday of the next term of this Court.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs.) Condemnation
W.M. Morgan et al)

This cause is continued and set for Thursday of next term of this Court.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.)
Hugh McCan et, al,) Condemnation

This cause is continued and set for Thursday of next term of this Court.

J.W. Byrn
vs.)
E.L. Chance)

The death of the plaintiff, having been duly proved, by consent of the parties, and on motion the said case is revived in the name of J.W. Byrn Administrator and Miss Carrie Byrn Administratrix.

State of Tennessee
vs.)
Landers Watson M.F.)
Brandaw, W.T. Pope)
and L.A. Tune) E.D.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the Court, that the defendants ~~were indicted~~ at a former term of this court, for the offense of possessing intoxicating liquors, and the said defendants was arrested and entered into bonds as to W.T. Pope and L.A. Tune with W.O. Pope and Jno. S. Powell as sureties for W.T. Pope and S.D. Tune and Mrs. S.D. Tune as sureties for L.A. Tune which bonds are in the words and figures following to wit:

Bond as to W.T. Pope. State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, We, W.T. Pope agree to pay to the State of Tennessee, five hundred - - - Dollars unless the said W.T. Pope appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly, on the second Monday in December 1928, on Tuesday of said term, to answer the state of Tennessee, for the offense of possessing whisky, and do not depart the court without leave

W.T. Pope Principal,
W.O. Pope Surety
Jno. S. Powell Surety

Approved by J.L. Webb, Sheriff of Carroll County GA.
Approved Walter McNeil Sheriff.

This 17, day of Oct. 1928,

Bond as to L.A. Tune. State of Tennessee, Humphreys County. We, L.A. Tune agree to pay to the State of Tennessee, Five hundred (\$500.00) Dollars unless the said L.A. Tune, appear at the next term of the Circuit Court, of Humphreys County, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly, on the 2 Monday in Dec. 1928, on 2 day of said term, to answer the State of Tennessee, for the offense of possessing whisky, and do not depart the court without leave

L.A. Tune Principal
S.D. Tune Surety
Mrs. S.D. Tune Surety

Approved J.L. Smith, Sheriff
This 18th day of Sept, 1928

And the defendants W.T. Pope and L.A. Tune being solemnly called to come into open court and answer the State of Tennessee, for the offense of possessing intoxicating liquors came not but made default, and the W.O. Pope and Jno. S. Powell and S.D. Tune and Mrs. S.D. Tune were also called to come into court and bring with them the bodies of the said W.T. Pope and L.A. Tune, according to the tenor and effect of their said bonds, came not but made default, neither came the defendants W.T. Pope and L.A. Tune, nor their said sureties but made default.

It is therefore considered by the court that the defendants W.T. Pope and L.A. Tune, and W.O. Pope Jno. S. Powell and S.D. Tune and Mrs. S.D. Tune for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee the said sum of Five Hundred Dollars each according to their said bonds,

And, it is further ordered by the court that Sci Fa be issued to said defendants, and their said surties requiring them to appear at the next term of this court and show cause why this judgment should not be made final,

And further that ALIAS CAPIAS issue for each of the defendants and the case is continued as to Landers Watson and M.F. Brandaw,

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.)
L. Parnell et al) Condemnation

This case is continued and set for Thursday of next term of this court

J.W. Dadd
vs.) Appealed J.P. Writ of replevin
Tom Tubb)

This case is continued by Court, and set for Monday of next term.

W.A. Lawson
vs.)
Sam Burnham)

This case is continued by court and set for Monday of next term of this court,

F.A. Jones
vs.)
J.P. Houseman &) Plea of debts on note
Mrs M.E. Houseman)

This case is continued by court and set for Monday of next term of this court.

J.J. Teas
vs.) Plea of debt on account
Stanley Hassell)

This case is continued by court and set for Monday of next term, of this court.

W.J. Cates
vs.)
A.H. Little) Plea of debt

This case is continued by court and set for Monday of next term of this court

W.R. Miller
vs.)
T.C. Miller) Damage

In this cause, on motion of the defendant is allowed thirty days in which to plead answer or demure to the plaintiff's declaration, and the cause is continued until next term of this court.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.) (Condemnation
T.C. Crawford et al)
George Williams)

In this cause it is ordered by the court that the defendant pay the cost of the attendance of his witnesses at this term of the court for which execution may issue And the cause is continued until the next term of this court.

L.G. Palk
vs.
Beatrice Palk) Petition for divorce.

This cause came on to be heard this 14th. day of December 1929 on the original bill and order pro confesso, and upon the oral proof offered by the complainant in open court And it appearing to the court that the residence of the parties is out of the Humphreys Court and this court having no jurisdiction of the cause, the cause is therefore dismissed at the cost of the complainant,

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that hte bill of the complainat be dismissed and that the complainant pay all the cost of the proceedings for which execution may issue.

Court then adjourned until court in course.

[Signature] Judge

Caption April Term Circuit Court A.D. 1929

State of Tennessee)
Humphreys County.)

Be it remembered that a Circuit Court was opened and held in and for County of Humphreys, at the court house in the town of Waverly, Tennessee, on the 15th. day of April, it being the Third Monday in said month, and the One Thousand Nine Hundred and Twenty ninth year of our Lord, and the One Hundred and fifty third year of American Independence.

Present and presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Judge of the Ninth Judicial Circuit of the State of Tennessee.

Court was opened in due form of law by Walter McNeil Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee and by him was returned into open court a writ of Venire Facias showing that the following named person were appointed by the County Court at its April term 1929, to appear and to serve as jurors at this the present term of this court to wit: R.L. Davis, Henry Johnson Joe Cullum, Howard Bell Moody Collier, W.N. McCrary, A.J. Barnett, W.H. McCaully, J.E. Parker Spicer Simpson, Henry Long, K.D. Gynn, Hickman Roberson, Barnett Peeler, G.W. Few, J.C. Fuqua, J.E. McCannless, W.T. Cannon, T.K. Simpson, Walk Roberts Wood Owens, W.H. Crockett, Dave Smith, and Will Scott, and it appearing to the court that the above named parties were regularly summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and said jurors so summoned appeared and answered said summons except. Joe Cullum, A.J. Barnett, J.E. McCannless W.T. Cannon, W.N. McCrary, T.K. Simpson, W.H. Crockett, K.D. Gynn, who were excused by the court for various causes, and H.L. Rogers J.E. Pentress, E.W. Swaney, C.H. Bramlett, S.E. Hurt W.T. Patterson, W.S. Miller, and G.W. Durham were appointed by the court by the court to fill said vacancies, so appearing and out said jurors so summons andn appearing were drawn a Grand Jury to wit: Will Scott, J.C. Fuqua, J.E. Parker, Spicer Simpson, Henry Long H.B. Bell, W.D. Smith, Barnett Peeler Wood Owens, A.E. Roberson, G.W. Few, Moody Collier, and R.H. McKeel having been Appointed Foreman of the Grand Jury at a former term of this court, the said Grand Jury is in all things as the law directs, having been duly elected tried sworn and charged by the court according to law, the said Grand Jury retired to their room, in charge of thier sworn officer to wit Joe, Traylor Deputy Sheriff of Humphreys County, sworn according to law to attend them in considering indictments and presentments. This day the Grand Jury came into open court in a body and present the following indictments and presentments.

One against John Bell, B.D. Subpoena for the State J.R. Traylor Roy Turner, and Jas. F. Fowlk es Jr.

One against L.C. Timberlake B.D. Subpoena for the State D.A. Burch, D.B. McCann, Jno. Tate.

One against Jammie Dun, B.D. Subpoena for the State Joe Traylor Enloe Shannon, Geo. Betty Mrs. J.M. King.

One against J.C. Knight, Carrying E. knux, Subpoe na for the State Dug Capps, Dennis Warren, Robert Wyatt, J.D. Dreaden Carlos Dyer.

One against Walter Miller Carrying a pistol, Subpoena for the State W.L. Moran, Mack Standridge, Claud Stewart, and Jim Stewart.

One against Jim Dun B.D. Subpoena for the State D.B. McCann, Joe Traylor, Walter McNeil, and T.O. Ferguson,

One against Banks Wright, A.B. Subpoena for the State Dallas Jackson, W.M. Jackson, Clayton Curtis, Jimmie Wiles, Chas. Wiles

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April term 15th. day of April 1929

One against Melvin Hicks, which indictment is in the words and figures following,

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County Apr. Term of the Circuit Court, A.D. 1929. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys, and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Melvin Hicks, heretofore to wit, on the 8th. day of April 1929, in said County and State, unlawfully and feloniously, made a certain instrument in writing, purporting to be a check for seven dollars on the Farmers & Merchants Bank of Waverly, Tenn., Dated Apr. 6th. 1929, and signed by Frank Hicks, which instrument is in words and figures as follows, Waverly Tenn. Apr. 6, 1929 No--- Pay to the order of Melvin Hicks \$7.00 Seven and NO/100 dollars, Frank Hicks and endorsed Melvin Hicks, with intent to defraud him the said Melvin Hicks, and to the prejudice of the right of him, the said Frank Hicks, contrary to the Statute and against the peace and dignity of the State.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said Melvin Hicks, on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and county aforesaid, unlawfully, knowingly, fraudulently, feloniously, and with intent to defraud Darrow & Knight, did offer and pass to the said Darrow & Knight aforesaid, a certain forged instrument in writing purporting to be a check for seven dollars, dated Apr. 6, 1929 on the Farmers & Merchants Bank of Waverly, Tenn., signed by Frank Hicks, which instrument is in words and figures as follows, Waverly, Tenn. Apr. 6, 1929, No---- 87-240 Farmers & Merchants, pay to the order of Melvin Hicks \$7.00 seven and NO/100 dollars For--- Frank Hicks, and endorsed, Melvin Hicks, the said instrument being a forgery, and the said Melvin Hicks, at the time knowing the same to be a forgery, intending to defraud the said Darrow & Knight, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said Melvin Hicks, on the day and year aforesaid, in the state and county aforesaid, unlawfully fraudulently, and feloniously did make a counterfeit resemblance and imitation in writing of a certain forged instrument purporting to be a check for seven dollars, dated Apr. 6, 1929 on the Farmers & Merchants Bank, and signed by Frank Hicks, a fictitious person, which instrument is in words and figures as follows. Waverly, Tenn. Apr. 6, 1929 No--- Farmers & Merchants Bank, pay to the order of Melvin Hicks, \$7.00 seven and NO/100 dollars For--- Frank Hicks, and endorsed Melvin Hicks, and the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid present, and say that at the time the said Melvin Hicks so made said counterfeit, resemblance and imitation of said check, there existed no such person as Frank Hicks and the same was at the time, well known to the said Melvin Hicks, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state, Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General Apr. Term, 1929. THE STATE vs. Melvin Hicks, Forgery etc. T.H. Knight Prosecutor, Subpoena for the State T.H. Knight, Bet Hensley Mrs. Put Gwin, R.C. Carnell, witness sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury Apr. Term 1929, R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 15th. day of April 1929.

One against Melvin Hicks Bad Check, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, Apr. Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1929. The Grand Jurors for the state of Tennessee, duly, elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys, and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Melvin Hicks heretofore to wit, on the 5, day of April, 1929, in said county and State, unlawfully, and feloniously did obtain from Hooper Porch Co, with fraudulent intent, one pair slippers, one pair socks, and cash, good and lawful money of the united states, all of the value of five dollars, by means of a check, of which he the said Melvin Hicks was the drawer on the Farmers & Merchants, Bank of Waverly, Tenn., for the sum of five dollars which said check was presented to the drawee, said Bank, and not paid and, was not paid by the drawer, the said Melvin Hicks, after three days notice in writing having been mailed to said Melvin Hicks' last known address, contrary to the Statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General Apr. Term 1929. THE STATE vs. Melvin Hicks, Bad check, Jno. F. Forch Sr. Prosecutor, subpoena for the state Jno. F. Forch, K. Exum, J.D. Lytton, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, Apr. Term 1929. R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Grady Inman and Robert Shelton, Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee Humphreys County, Apr. Term of the Circuit Court, A.D. 1929. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys, and State aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid present that Grady Inman, and Robert Shelton heretofore to wit, on the 11th day of Apr. 1929, in the County aforesaid unlawfully and feloniously, did steal take and carry away 6 hens and 1 rooster of the value of ten dollars, the property of L.T. Baker of said county, then and there being found, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General, Apr. Term 1929. THE STATE vs. Grady Inman et al, Larceny L.T. Baker Prosecutor, subpoena for the state L.T. Baker, J.L. Anderson A.D. Poyner, J.R. Traylor Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, Apr. Term 1929 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

State of Tennessee
vs
J.C. Knight } Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree,

In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true bill.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

F.A. Jones
vs
J.P. Houseman, &
M.E. Houseman } In the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, at Waverly Tennessee, April, term 1929.

On motion of the plaintiff the defendants were solemnly called to come into court and defend this suit, but failed to do so, it is therefore adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendants, the sum of twenty five hundred dollars, the face of said note sued on, and the sum of one hundred and ninety one dollars and 10 cents, interest thereon to date, and also attorneys fee as provided in the face of the said note in the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars making in all the sum of twenty nine and forty one dollars and 10 cents, and also the cost of the cause, for all of which execution will issue

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 15th. day of April 1929.

IN THE MATTER OF COMPENSATION FOR INJURY;

To-- GEORGE HAUSER,

AGAINST- BARNHART MERCANTILE COMPANY, AND- AMERICAN MUTUAL LIABILITY INS. CO. OF BOSTON

Employee

Employer

Insurer.

ORDER APPROVING FINAL SETTLEMENT.

Upon reading the final settlement and joint petition of the parties and upon presentation of the attorneys of the respective parties and the personal presence of the employee in open court, from all of which it appears to the court, that the contract of employment in this case is a Tennessee contract and that the parties are subject to the terms of the Workman's compensation Act of the State of Tennessee, and that the above named employee has accepted \$288.00 in full settlement of all temporary total disability and that this sum is all that the employee is entitled to for temporary total disability and has been paid or given all medical and hospital benefits to which he is entitled under the workman's Compensation Act, of Tennessee from the employer and insurer, and that the parties have agreed that the percentage of permanent partial disability in the employee's left arm is 30% and the employee has accepted the sum of \$925.00 as a commuted lump sum settlement of all claims for permanent partial disability in the employee's left arm, which sum is equal to or greater than the amount due the employee for a 30% permanent partial disability on his left arm when commuted in accord with the workman's Compensation Act, of Tennessee and that the employee has released and forever discharged the said employer and insurer, from any and all claims for compensation, or otherwise that the employee may have against the said employer and insurer as a result of said injury, of January 18, 1928, at the employer's plant at Johnsonville, Tennessee. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said settlement be approved and made final in all respects and that the said employer and insurer will pay the cost of this proceeding for which execution may issue. Dated at Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee, this April 15th. day of April 1929.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 o'clock.

J. M. ... Judge

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County. April Term 16th day of April 1929

Court met pursuant to adjournment present and presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Morton Judge etc.

In the following cases it is ordered by the court that Alias Capases issue for the defendants.
State of Tennessee vs. Jim Webb Drunkenness, State of Tennessee, vs. Jim Webb Larceny,
State of Tennessee, vs. Cleman Black Drunkenness, State of Tennessee, vs. Jim Webb Larceny,
State of Tennessee vs. Jack Forest B.D. State of Tennessee vs. Leland James
State of Tennessee vs. Nelson Murphree, Larceny, State of Tennessee vs. Booker T. Smith Age-consent. State of Tennessee vs. Bob Tatum Larceny, State of Tennessee vs. Britton Townsend Larceny, State of Tennessee, vs. Britton Townsend, State of Tennessee vs. Lloyd Box,
State of Tennessee vs. Lloyd John Clark, State of Tennessee vs. W.N. Jones, Mis.d,
State of Tennessee vs. Leland James, Mis.d, State of Tennessee, vs. George Mosley Drunkenness
State of Tennessee vs. Lewis Phy, Mis.d State of Tennessee vs. Lewis Phy, B.D. State of Tennessee, vs. Lewis Phy Drunkenness, State of Tennessee vs. Ethel Smith. Carrying a pistol,

State of Tennessee

vs.

Elmer Hooper

) Age consent

In this case by consent of State and defendant this case is continued until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee

vs.

J. W. Taylor

) B.D.

In this case comes the Attorney General and states to the court that he desires to prosecute this case no further.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

vs.

Laura Volentine

) B.D.

In this case comes the Attorney General, and states to the court that he desires to prosecute this case no further.

It is therefore ordered adjudged by the court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

vs.

Tick Bell et al

) This case is continued by the State.

State of Tennessee

vs.

Hauty Barn add
Carrie Barn

) Lewdness.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendants in person, and plead guilty as charged, whereupon the court assess the penalty, and say they shall pay a fine of five dollars each together with all the costs, for which let execution issue, and in the event of their failure to pay or secure said fines and costs they will each be confined in the county jail or workhouse until they pay secure or work out all of said fines and costs.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April term 15th. day of April 1929

One against Melvin Hicks, ~~CRIM~~ Indictments is in the words and figures following,

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County Apr. Term of the Circuit Court, A.D. 1929. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys, and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Melvin Hicks, heretofore to wit, on the 8th, day of April 1929, in said County and State, unlawfully and feloniously, made a certain instrument in writing, purporting to be a check for seven dollars on the Farmers & Merchants Bank of Waverly, Tenn., Dated Apr. 6th. 1929, and signed by Frank Hicks, which instrument is in words and figures as follows, Waverly Tenn. Apr. 6, 1929 No--- Pay to the order of Melvin Hicks \$7.00 Seven and NO/100 dollars, Frank Hicks and endorsed Melvin Hicks, with intent to defraud him the said Melvin Hicks, and to the prejudice of the right of him, the said Frank Hicks, contrary to the Statute and against the peace and dignity of the State.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said Melvin Hicks, on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and county aforesaid, unlawfully, knowingly, fraudulently, feloniously, and with intent to defraud Darrow & Knight, did offer and pass to the said Darrow & Knight aforesaid, a certain forged instrument in writing purporting to be a check for seven dollars, dated Apr. 6, 1929 on the Farmers & Merchants Bank of Waverly, Tenn., signed by Frank Hicks, which instrument is in words and figures as follows, Waverly, Tenn. Apr. 6, 1929, No--- 87-240 Farmers & Merchants, pay to the order of Melvin Hicks \$7.00 seven and NO/100 dollars For--- Frank Hicks, and endorsed, Melvin Hicks,, the said instrument being a forgery, and the said Melvin Hicks, at the time knowing the same to be a forgery, intending to defraud the said Darrow & Knight, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said Melvin Hicks, on the day and year aforesaid, in the state and county aforesaid, unlawfully fraudulently, and feloniously did make a counterfeit resemblance and imitation in writing of a certain forged instrument purporting to be a check for seven dollars, dated Apr. 6, 1929 on the Farmers & Merchants, Bank, and signed by Frank Hicks, a fictitious person, which instrument is in words and figures as follows. Waverly, Tenn. Apr. 6, 1929 No--- Farmers & Merchants Bank, pay to the order of Melvin Hicks, \$7.00 seven and NO/100 dollars For--- Frank Hicks, and endorsed Melvin Hicks, and the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid present, and say that at the time the said Melvin Hicks so made said counterfeit, resemblance and imitation of said check, there existed no such person as Frank Hicks and the same was at the time, well known to the said Melvin Hicks, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state, Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General Apr. Term, 1929, THE STATE vs. Melvin Hicks, Forgery etc. T.H. Knight Prosecutor, Subpoena for the State T.H. Knight, Bet Hensley Mrs. Put Gwin, R.C. Varnell, witness sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury Apr. Term 1929, R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury, Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury

HICAV

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 15th. day of April 1929.

One against Melvin Hicks Bad Check, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, Apr. Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1929. The Grand Jurors for the state of Tennessee, duly, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys, and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Melvin Hicks heretofore to wit, on the 5, day of April, 1929, in said county and State, unlawfully, and feloniously did obtain from Hooper Porch Co, with fraudulent intent, one pair slippers, one pair socks, and cash, good and lawful money of the united states, all of the value of five dollars, by means of a check, of which he the said Melvin Hicks was the drawer on the Farmers & Merchants, Bank of Waverly, Tenn., for the sum of five dollars which said check was presented to the drawee, said Bank, and not paid and, was not paid by the drawer, the said Melvin Hicks, after three days notice in writing having been mailed to said Melvin Hicks' last known address, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General Apr. Term 1929, THE STATE vs. Melvin Hicks, Bad check, Jno. F. Forch Sr. Prosecutor, subpoena for the state Jno. F. Forch, K. Ezum, J.D. Lytton, Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, Apr. Term 1929, R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General, A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel, Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Grady Inman and Robert Shelton, Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows to wit: State of Tennessee Humphreys County, Apr. Term of the Circuit Court, A.D. 1929. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys, and State aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid present that Grady Inman, and Robert Shelton heretofore to wit, on the 11th day of Apr. 1929, in the County aforesaid unlawfully and feloniously, did steal take and carry away 6 hens and 1 rooster of the value of ten dollars, the property of L.T. Baker of said county, then and there being found, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General, Apr. Term 1929 THE STATE vs. Grady Inman et al, Larceny L.T. Baker Prosecutor, subpoena for the state L.T. Baker, J.L. Anderson A.D. Poyner, J.R. Traylor Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, Apr. Term 1929 R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General A TRUE BILL R.H. McKeel Foreman Grand Jury.

State of Tennessee }
vs. } Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree,
J.C. Knight }

In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true bill.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

F.A. Jones }
vs. } In the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, at Waverly Tennessee, April,
J.P. Houseman, & } term 1929.
M.E. Houseman }

On motion of the plaintiff the defendants were solemnly called to come into court and defend this suit, but failed to do so, It is therefore adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendants, the sum of twenty five hundred dollars, the face of said note sued on, and the sum of one hundred and ninety one dollars and 10 cents, interest thereon to date, and also attorneys fee as provided in the face of the said note in the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars making in all the sum of twenty nine and forty one dollars and 10 cents, and also the cost of the cause, for all of which execution will issue

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April Term 15th. day of April 1920.

IN THE MATTER OF COMPENSATION FOR INJURY;

TO-- GEORGE HAUSER,

AGAINST- BARNHART MERCANTILE COMPANY, AND- AMERICAN MUTUAL LIABILITY INS. CO. OF BOSTON

Employee

Employer

Insurer.

ORDER APPROVING FINAL SETTLEMENT.

Upon reading the final settlement and joint petition of the parties and upon presentation of the attorneys of the respective parties and the personal presence of the employee in open court, from all of which it appears to the court, that the contract of employment in this case is a Tennessee contract and that the parties are subject to the terms of the Workman's Compensation Act of the State of Tennessee, and that the above named employee has accepted \$284.00 in full settlement of all temporary total disability and that this sum is all that the employee is entitled to for temporary total disability and has been paid or given all medical and hospital benefits to which he is entitled under the workman's Compensation Act, of Tennessee from the employer and insurer, and that the parties have agreed that the percentage of permanent partial disability in the employee's left arm is 30% and the employee has accepted the sum of \$924.00 as a commuted lump sum settlement of all claims for permanent partial disability in the employee's left arm, which sum is equal to or greater than the amount due the employee for a 30% permanent partial disability on his left arm when commuted in accord with the workman's Compensation Act, of Tennessee and that the employee has released and forever discharged the said employer and insurer, from any and all claims for compensation, or otherwise that the employee may have against the said employer and insurer as a result of said injury, of January 18, 1918, at the employer's plant at Johnsonville, Tennessee. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said settlement be approved and made final in all respects and that the said employer and insurer will pay the cost of this proceeding for which execution may issue. Dated at Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee, this April 15th. day of April 1920.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 o'clock.

J. W. Taylor Judge

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County. April Term 16th day of April 1920

Court met pursuant to adjournment present and presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Norton Judge etc.

In the following cases it is ordered by the court that Alias Capases issue for the defendants.
State of Tennessee vs. Jim Webb Drunkenness, State of Tennessee vs. Jim Webb Larceny,
State of Tennessee vs. Cleman Black Drunkenness, State of Tennessee vs. Jim Webb Larceny,
State of Tennessee vs. Jack Forest B.D. State of Tennessee vs. Leland James
State of Tennessee vs. Nelson Murphree, Larceny, State of Tennessee vs. Booker T. Smith Age-
consent. State of Tennessee vs. Bob Tatum Larceny, State of Tennessee vs. Britton Townsend
Larceny, State of Tennessee vs. Britton Townsend, State of Tennessee vs. Lloyd Box,
State of Tennessee vs. Elmer Hooper, State of Tennessee vs. W.N. Jones, Misd,
State of Tennessee vs. Leland James, Misd, State of Tennessee vs. George Mosley Drunkenness
State of Tennessee vs. Lewis Phy, Misd, State of Tennessee vs. Lewis Phy, B.D. State of Tenna-
see, vs. Lewis Phy Drunkenness, State of Tennessee vs. Ethel Smith. Carrying a pistol,

State of Tennessee

vs.)
Elmer Hooper) Age consent

In this case by consent of State and defendant this case is continued until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee

vs.) B.D.
J. W. Taylor)

In this case comes the Attorney General and states to the court that he desires to prosecute this case no further.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

vs.) B.D.
Laura Voluntine)

In this case comes the Attorney General, and states to the court that he desires to prosecute this case no further.

It is therefore ordered adjudged by the court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

vs.)
Tick Bell et al)

This case is continued by the State.

State of Tennessee

vs.)
Hautty Barn add) Lewdness.
Carrie Barn)

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendants in person, and plead guilty as charged, whereupon the court assess the penalty, and say they shall pay fines of five dollars each together with all the costs, for which let execution issue, and in the event of their failure to pay or secure said fines and costs they will each be confined in the county jail or workhouse until they pay secure or work out all of said fines and costs.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April term 16th, day of April 1920

11CAY

State of Tennessee
vs.
Willie Breeden

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charged whereupon the court assess the penalty, and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the cost, the case into open court the defendant's father Walter Breeden, and paid to the Clerk of this court all of said fine and costs.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
vs. Mis,d,
Van Dodson

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and defendant in person, when upon the recommendation of the Attorney General a nolleprosequi is entered in this case upon the defendant paying the costs, then came into open court the defendant and paid to the Clerk of this court all the cost of this cause.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
vs. Drunkenness
Arthur Gwig

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged, whereupon thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the costs, for which execution will issue, and the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and cost he will be confined in the county jail or workhouse until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
vs. Drunkenness
Joe Hicks

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charged, thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the costs for which let execution issue, then came into open court J.T. Crain and Harve Hicks and entered their name as sureties for all of said fine and costs.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant and his sureties all of said fine and costs costs for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee
vs. Drunkenness,
Jim Hicks

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged, thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the costs, then came into open court Walter Jones paid to the Clerk of this court all of said fine and costs.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant go hence without day.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April term 16th, day of April 1920

State of Tennessee
vs. Drunkenness
Sugg Herbison

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged, thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the costs, and the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and cost he will be confined in the county jail or workhouse until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
vs. Drunkenness,
Sugg Herbison

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged. Thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the costs and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and cost he will be confined in the County Jail or workhouse until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
vs. Mis,d,
George Mallard

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads guilty as charged. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Walk Roberts, Henry Johnson, W. McCauly, R.L. Davis, H.L. Rogers, J.E. Kentress E.W. Swaney, C.H. Bramlett, S.E. Hurt, W.T. Patterson, W.S. Miller and G.W. Durham, who after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the court upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged, and fix and assess his punishment at thirty days in jail.

It is therefore ordered adjudged, and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the county jail for a period of thirty days, and that and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said cost he will be further confined in the county jail or workhouse until he pay secure all of said cost. The defendant is prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of twelve months, and in the event he should do so he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

State of Tennessee
vs. Drunkenness
George Mallard

In the case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charge. Thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the cost, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and cost he will be confined in the county jail or workhouse until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and cost.

State of Tennessee
vs. Drunkenness
Clyde Mayberry

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charged. Thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of ten dollars together with all the costs, then came in to open court and paid to the clerk of this court all of said fine and cost. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court the defendant go hence without day.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April term 16th, day of April 1920

11CAY

State of Tennessee
vs.
Willie Breeden

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charged whereupon the court assess the penalty, and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the cost, the case into open court the defendant's father Walter Breeden, and paid to the Clerk of this court all of said fine and costs.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Van Dodson

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and defendant in person, when upon the recommendation of the Attorney General a nolleprosequi is entered in this case upon the defendant paying the costs, then came into open court the defendant and paid to the Clerk of this court all the cost of this cause.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Arthur Gwig

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged, whereupon thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the costs, for which execution will issue, and the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and cost he will be confined in the county jail or workhouse until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Joe Hicks

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charged, thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the costs for which let execution issue, then came into open court J.T. Crain and Harve Hicks and entered their name as sureties for all of said fine and costs.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant and his sureties all of said fine and costs costs for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Jim Hicks

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged, thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the costs, then came into open court Walter Jones paid to the Clerk of this court all of said fine and costs.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant go hence without day.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, April term 16th, day of April 1920

State of Tennessee
vs.
Sugg Herbison

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged, thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the costs, and the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and cost he will be confined in the county jail or workhouse until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Sugg Herbison

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged. Thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the costs and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and cost he will be confined in the County Jail or workhouse until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
George Mallard

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads guilty as charged. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Walk Roberts, Henry Johnson, W. McCauly, R.L. Davis, H.L. Rogers, J.E. Kentress, E.W. Swaney, C.H. Bramlett, S.E. Hurt, W.T. Patterson, W.S. Miller and G.W. Durham, who after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the court upon their oath do say they find the defendant guilty as charged, and fix and assess his punishment at thirty days in jail.

It is therefore ordered adjudged, and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the county jail for a period of thirty days, and that and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said cost he will be further confined in the county jail or workhouse until he pay secure all of said cost. The defendant is prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of twelve months, and in the event he should do so he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

State of Tennessee
vs.
George Mallard

In the case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charge. Thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the cost, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and cost he will be confined in the county jail or workhouse until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and cost.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Clyde Mayberry

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charged. Thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of ten dollars together with all the costs, then came in to open court and paid to the clerk of this court all of said fine and cost. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court the defendant go hence without day.