

11CAV

Court met pursuant to adjournment present and presiding the Hon. J.D. W. Foren Judge. etc.

This day the Grand Jury came into open court in a body and present the following indictments and presentments.

- One against John Chronister and John Stringer B.D. Subpoena for the State Lewis Phyl, and J.W. Winstead.
- One against Hack Bell B.D. Subpoena for the State T.D. Story, J.L. Smith Monroe Woods.
- One against Bell Spicer B.D. Subpoena for the State J.L. Smith T.D. Story, D.B. McCann.
- One against O.S. Davis B.D. Subpoena for the State J.L. Smith, T.D. Story, D.B. McCann. Vernon Brewer.

One against Oze Craft, B.D. Subpoena for the State J.L. Smith, T.D. Story, Len Stanfield Louis Stanfield, and Spicer Simpson.

One against Will Voluntine Mis.d. Subpoena for the state J.L. Smith, D.B. McCann, Albert Binkley, Chas Haygood.

One against Will Voluntine and Laura Voluntine B.D. Subpoena for the state J.L. Smith, D.B. McCann, and T.D. Story.

One against Ira Turner Mis.d. Subpoena for the State, J.L. Smith Vernon Brewer, T.D. Story,

One against J.W. Taylor B.D. Subpoena for the state Dalton Stewart, Farmer Stewart, Hartford Stewart.

One against J.W. Elliott, B.D. Subpoena for the state T.D. Story, D.B. McCann.

One against Jim Spicer B.D. subpoena for the state J.L. Smith, T.D. Story, and D.B. McCann.

One against F.F. Thomas, B.D. Subpoena for the state, J.L. Smith T.D. Story, Len Stanfield.

One against Fred Harvey Tippling Subpoena for the state Richard Stewart, D.B. McCann, Sam Scott, E.L. Harris.

State of Tennessee vs. Walt Beriber B.D. In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true bill

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be discharged.

State of Tennessee vs. Dalton Stewart Housebreaking and larceny In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true bill

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be dismissed.

One against Goe Bell and Jno. Murray and Owen Ingram, which indictment is in the words and figures following to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County Aug. Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1928 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid present that Goe Bell, Jno. Murray and Owen Ingram of said County, heretofore to wit, on the 28th, day of June 1928 in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did steal, take and carry away four fannn five dollar bill and fifty five dollars in silver money in denominations to the grand jury unknown all good and lawful money of the United state coin all of the value of seventy five dollars, the property of Ernest Miller of said county, then and there being found contrary to the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State. Jno. Bowman Attorney General. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further

present that the said Goe Bell, Jno. Murray and Owen Ingram of said county, on the day aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did receive buy conceal and aid in concealin four five dollr bills and fifty five dollars in silver money in denominations a to the Grand Jurors unknown all good and lawful money of the United States and all of the value of seventy five dollars, property of Ernest Miller of said county, before then feloniously stolen taken, and carried away by someone to the Grand Jury unknown, they the said Goe Bell, Jno. Murray, and Owen Ingram, then and there knowing the said money aforesaid to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, and they the said Goe Bell, Jno. Muray, and Owen Ungr am intending then and there fraudulently to deprive the owner thereof, contrary to the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General.

Aug. Term 1928 THE STATE Vs. Goe Bell et al, Larceny Ernest Miller Prosecutor Subphena for the State Ernest Miller, J.L. Smith J.E. Handies Casey "ence, Clarence Reece witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, Aug. Term 1928 P.J. Fuqua Foreman Grand Jury Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General A TRUE BILL P.J. Fuqua Foreman Grand Jury

One against Bryan Emery Wife desertion, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows. State of Tennessee, Humphreys County Aug. Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1928

The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys, and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Bryan Emery heretofore, to wit, on the 1st. day of April 1928 in said County, and state, unlawfully, will fully and without good cause, did neglect or fail to provide for his wife, Mae Emery according to his means contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state. Jno. B. Bowman, Attorney General. Aug. Term, 1928 THE STATE vs. Bryan Emery Wife D. Mrs. Mae Emery Prosecutor subpoena for the state Mrs. Mae. Emery, Lizzie Featress Lenard Hooper, A.M. Cummings Hubert Luffman. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury, Aug. Term, 1928, P.J. Fuqua Foreman Grand Jury, Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. A TRUE BILL P.J. Fuqua Foreman Attorney General.

One against E.E. Smith Forgery, which indictment is in the words and figures following to wit: State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, Aug. Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1928

The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys, and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that E.E. Smith heretofore to wit, on the 10th. day of May 1928, in said County, and State, unlawfully, fraudulently and feloniously, made a certain instrument in writing, purporting to be a check on the Citizens Bank of Waverly, Tenn., dated May 10th. 1928, and signed by W.A. Duncan, which instrument is in words and figures as follows Waverly, Tenn., 10, 1928, No. - The Citizens Bank of Waverly, pay to the order of E.E. Smith \$6.00 Six Dollars Dollars, for work W.A. Duncan and endorsed E. Eugene Smith, with intent to defraud him, the said W.A. Duncan, and to the prejudice of the right of him said W.A. Duncan, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said E.E. Smith, on the day and year aforesaid, in the state and county aforesaid, unlawfully knowingly, frauduleltly, feloniously and with intent to defraud the Citizens Bank of Waverly Tenn, did offer to the said Citizens Bank a certain forged instru ment in writing, purporting to be a check for six dollars on the said Citizens Bank, dated May 10, 1928, and signed by W.A. Duncan, which instrument is in words and figures as follows, Waverly, Tenn., May 10, 1928, No; - The Citizens Bank of Waverly, pay to the order of E.E. Smith \$6.00 six dollars, for work W.A. Duncan, and endorsed E. Eugene smith, the said instrument being a forgery, and the said E.E. Smith at the time, knowing the same to a forgery, inrending to

defraud the said Citizens bank, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General.

Aug. Term, 1922, THE STATE vs. E.E. Smith Forgery A.P. McMurray Prosecutor, subpoena for the state A.P. McMurray W.A. Duncan, witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury Aug. Term 1922, P.J. Fuqua, Foreman Grand Jury. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. A TRUE BILL P.J. Fuqua Foreman Grand Jury

One against W.M. Baker, James Averitt, and Grady Stewart, which indictment is in the words and figures as follows. State of Tennessee, Humphreys County. Aug. Term of Circuit Court A.D. 1922, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and state aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that W.M. Baker, James Averitt, and Grady Stewart, heretofore, to wit, on the 10th, day of April 1922, in said County and State Unlawfully, willfully and maliciously set fire to and burned the store building of Clark Mack, of the value of two thousand Dollars, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid further present, that the said W.M. Baker and James Averitt, on the day and year aforesaid, in the state and county aforesaid unlawfully, feloniously, willfully and maliciously, did incite, move and procure, said counsel and hire the said Grady Stewart, the said felony in manner and form as aforesaid, to do and commit, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. And Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present, that the W.M. Baker, James Averitt, and Grady Stewart, on the day and year aforesaid, in the state and county aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully and maliciously, did set fire to the store building of Clark, Mack, of the value of two thousand dollars, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the state.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, further present the said W.M. Baker, and James Averitt, on the day and year aforesaid, in the state and county aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously, willfully and maliciously did incite, move and procure said counsel and hire the said Grady Stewart, the felony in manner and form as aforesaid, to do and commit, contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. Aug. Term 1922, THE STATE vs. W.M. Baker et al. W.C. Mack Prosecutor, subpoena for the state Clark Mack, Scott Shunks, Joe Traylor, Henry Bone J.L. Smith, G.D. Smith, Len Stanfield, witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury Aug. Term 1922, P.J. Fuqua Foreman Grand Jury. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. A TRUE BILL P.J. Fuqua Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Dalton Jackson, Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figure as follows, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, Aug. Term of the Circuit Court, A.D. 1922, The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys and state aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid present that Dalton Jackson of said county heretofore to wit, on the 4th, day of May 1922, in the County Aforesaid unlawfully and feloniously did steal take and carry away thirteen dollars good and lawful money of the United states in denomination to the Grand Jury unknown of the value of thirteen dollars, the property of Sam Hickerson of said county, then and there being found contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the state. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. Aug. Term, 1922, THE STATE vs. Dallas Jackson, Larceny Sam Hickerson Prosecutor subpoena for the state Sam Hickerson Ames Dreaden Mrs. Amos Dreaden. witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury August Term 1922, P.J. Fuqua, Foreman Grand Jury, Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. A TRUE BILL, P.J. Fuqua Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Tad Morris Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures following to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, Aug. Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1922,

The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly, elected, empaneled sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the county and state aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, present that Tad Morris heretofore to wit, on the 20th, day of May 1922, in said County, and State, unlawfully and feloniously and steal take and carry away, one Ford Automobile of the value of two hundred and fifty dollars the property of Jim Thomas then and there being found contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid, further present that the said Tad Morris on the day and year aforesaid in the state and county aforesaid unlawfully and feloniously did take the Automobile belonging to the said Jim Thomas, without the consent of the said Jim Thomas, the owner of said Automobile contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. Aug. Term 1922 THE STATE vs. Tad Morris, Larceny etc. Jim Thomas Prosecutor, subpoena for the state Jim Thomas Edward Bradley Jno. Higgins Joe Reeves witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury Aug. Term 1922, P.J. Fuqua Foreman Grand Jury. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General, A TRUE BILL P.J. Fuqua Foreman

State of Tennessee
vs. Official drunkenness
Jim Reece

In this cause comes the attorney general for the state and the defendant in person, and by attorney, who being duly arraigned and charged on said presentment pleads guilty to official drunkenness.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that for said offense, the defendant pay or secure a fine of one dollar and the costs of this cause for which execution may issue, it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed, by the court that the defendant be and he is hereby removed from his office of Justice of the Peace of said County aforesaid and he is forever disqualified from holding office under the laws and the constitution of this state.

In the event the defendant fails to pay or secure said fine and cost, he will be confined in the County, Jail or work house, until the same is paid, secured or worked out.

State of Tennessee
vs. Drunkenness
Jim Reece

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charged, Thereupon the court assess the penalty, and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars with all the costs, for which let execution issue. then came into open court Dorsey Crockett, and J.W. Innell and enter their Names as Sureties, for all of said fine and costs.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the state of Tennessee recover of the defendant and his sureties all of said fine and costs, for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee
vs. Assault to commit murder.
Tad Morris

In this cause comes the attorney General for the state and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty to an assault to commit voluntary manslaughter on J.C. Unaway. Therefore to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tenn. to wit. Frank Larkins Walter Bettie, J.W. Horner, W.E. Thomas, E.J. Work, Goe.

Johnson J.A. Adams, W.C. Davis, C.A. Summers, J.M. Petty J.D. Parker, J.T. Littleton, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their do say that they find the defendant guilty of an assault to commit voluntary manslaughter as charged in the indictment, and fix his punishment one year in the state Penitentiary.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the state Penitentiary at Nashville Tenn. at Hard labor for an indeterminate period of time of time of not less than one year nor more than one year and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

This sentence to be served concurrently with other sentences imposed at this Court.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Tad Morris
Assault to commit murder.

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, when being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty to an assault to commit voluntary manslaughter on Duncan Story. Therefore to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tenn. to wit: Frank Larkins, Walter Bettie, J.R. Horner, W.E. Thomas, E.J. Work, Goe. Johnson J.A. Adams, W.C. Davis, C.A. Summers, J.M. Petty, J.D. Parker, J.T. Littleton, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of an assault to commit voluntary manslaughter as charged in the indictment and fix his punishment at one year in the state Penitentiary.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the State Penitentiary at Nashville Tenn. at Hard labor for an indeterminate period of time of not less than one year nor more than one year, and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

This sentence to be served concurrently with other sentences imposed at this Court.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Tad Morris
Larceny

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty to taking the automobile of Jim Thomas, the owner and without his consent as charged in the indictment. Therefore to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tenn. to wit: Frank Larkins, Walter Bettie, J.R. Horner, W.E. Thomas, E.J. Work, Goe. Johnson, J.A. Adams, W.C. Davis, C.A. Summers, J.M. Petty, J.D. Parker, J.T. Littleton, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of taking the automobile of another without the owners consent as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his punishment at a maximum term of ten years in the State Penitentiary. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the State Penitentiary at Nashville Tenn. at Hard labor for an indeterminate period of time of not less than three years nor more than ^{ten} years and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

The following cases alias Capiases were ordered issued for the defendants.

State vs. Webb, Drunkenness. State vs Jim Webb, Mis.d. State vs. Cleman Black Drunkenness. State vs. Jim Webb, Larceny, State vs. John Abbott. B.D. State vs Jack Forest, B.D. State vs. Meland James B.D. State vs. Nelson Murphree, State vs. Booker T. Smith Age consent State vs. Bob Tatum, State vs. Britton Townsesnd, State vs Britton Towns Bend Larceny. The following cases were ordered by the court to be placed upon the Dropped docket.

G.H. Gellespie Mis.D. Staks

The following cases were continued by the court upon the agreement of the defendants to plead guilty at the next term of this court State Jim Webb, et.al, Sci.Fa. State vs. Vernon Smith, Drunkenness, State vs. Jack Bell, B.D. State vs. Oee Craft, B.D. State vs. John Chronister, et.al, B.D. State vs. O.S. Davis, B.D. State vs Dallas Jackson, Larceny. State vs Jim Spicer, B.D. State vs. Will Spicer B.D. State vs. Ira Turner, Mis.d, State vs. Will Voluntine B.D.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Will Voluntine and
Laura Voluntine
This case is continued as to Laura Voluntine, and continued on a plea of guilty as to Will Voluntine.

State of Tennessee
vs.
J.W. Elliott
This is continued upon the agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Fred Harvey col
Tippling

This case is continued by the court upon the agreement of the defendant to plead guilty at the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Clyde Mayberry
Disturbing worship.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged, Whereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of twenty dollars together with all the costs, and the event of his failure to pay or secure all of said fine and costs he will be confined in the county jail or work house until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs

State of Tennessee
vs.
Clifford Bell
Breach of peace.

This case is continued by agreement that plead guilty at the next term of court, xxxxx

State of Tennessee
vs.
Felix Wicks
Carrying a razor

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged. Thereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of fifty dollars together with all the cost, then came into open court W.H. Jones and entered his name as surety for all of said fine and costs.

It is the therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the state of Tennessee recover of the defendant and his surety all of said fine and costs for which let execution issue

State of Tennessee
vs. B.D.
Burt Wills

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Frank Larkins, Walter Bettie, J.R. Horner, W.E. Thomas, E.J. Work, Goe Johnson, J.A. Adams, W.C. Davis, C.A. Summers, J.M. Petty J.D. Parker, and J.T. Littleton, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel, and charged of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment, and fix a fine of one hundred dollars, and the costs of this cause,

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that, for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of one hundred dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, thence came into open court the defendant and paid to the Clerk of this court all of the ~~said~~ fine and costs in this case,

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
vs. B.D.
Hugh Capps and Clyde Mayberry

In this case came the Attorney for the State and the defendants in person, and upon motion of the attorney general a nolleprosequi is entered in this cause upon the defendant paying or securing the costs in this case, and in the event of their failure to pay or secure said costs they will be confined in the county jail or work house until they pay secure or work out said fine and costs

State of Tennessee
vs. M.D.
Ezra Potter

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads guilty as charged, Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Frank Larkins, Walter Bettie, J.R. Horner, W.E. Thomas, E.J. Work, Goe Johnson, J.A. Adams, W.C. Davis, C.A. Summers, J.M. Petty, J.D. Parker, and J.T. Littleton, who after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged, and fix and assess his punishment at thirty days in jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the county jail for a period of thirty days, and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said costs he will be further confined in the county jail until he pay secure or work out all of said costs. The defendant is prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of twelve months, and in event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

State of Tennessee
vs. B.D.
D. Spencer

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned, on said indictment, pleads guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit, Frank Larkins, Walter Bettie, J.R. Horner, W.E. Thomas, E.J. Work, Goe. Johnson, J.A. Adams, W.C. Davis, C.A. Summers, J.M. Petty J.D. Parker, and J.T. Littleton. who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment, and fix and assess his fine at the sum of one hundred.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that, for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure the same he will be confined in the county workhouse until the same is paid, secured or worked out.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 o'clock.

J. H. ... Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment, Present and presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Morton Judge, etc.

State of Tennessee
vs.) Larceny.
Goe, Bell and)
Jno, Murray)

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendants in person and by attorneys, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty to Petite Larceny.

There upon to try the issues joined came a Jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, Tenn. to wit: Frank Larkins, Walter Pettie, J.R. Horner, W.E. Thomas, E.J. Work, Goe, Johnson, J.A. Adams, W.C. Davis C.A. Summers, J.M. Petty J.D. Barker, and J.T. Littleton who being duly elected, empanned and sworn according to law after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their oath do say that they find the defendants guilty of Petite Larceny, and fix and assess the punishment of each at a maximum term of one year in the State Penitentiary.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury each of said defendants be confined in the in the State Penitentiary at Nashville Tenn, at hard labor for an indeterminate period of time of not less than one year nor more than one year, and that they pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

each of said defendants are rendered infamous, disqualified to exercise the election franchise hold office or give evidence in any of the courts of this State.

State of Tennessee
vs.) Age consent
Elmer Hooper)

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person and by attorneys, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads not guilty.

Thereupon to try the is was joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County county, Tenn, to wit: W.A. Duncan, Albert Crockett, J.R. Horner, W.O. Thompson, J.A. Adams J.T. Littleton, H.E. Shannon, Allen Bone Frank Larkins, W.E. Thomas, Goe, Johnson, Walter Pettie, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, and there not being time to complete the case said jury was respite until to morrow morning at 8 o'clock, and they retired in charge of their sworn officers, Duncan Story and Frank Brown who had been previously been legally sworn to attend them and who had them in charge.

Court then adjourned until to morrow morning at 8:00 o'clock.

J.D.G. Morton
Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment, present and presiding the Hon. J.D. G. Morton Judge etc. This day the Grand Jury Came into open court in a body and present the following presentments and indictments.

One against Sugg Harbison, Drunkenness. subpoena for the state Grady Stringer, Jame Bramlett, Moroe Baker,

One against Arthur Drunkenness. subpoena for the state Jno Collier, B.O. Pegrim D.M. Cooper.

One against Clyde Mayberry drunkenness, subpoena for the state C.L. Petty Mrs. Mary Thomas O.E. Thomas, Denton Bone.

One against Tobe Wright drunkenness. subpoena for the state B.T. Puckett, Jeff Fields, Cliff Hooper

One against W.N. Jones Mis. d subpoena for the State W.L. Cude, E.O. Collier.

One against Lewis Phy, drunkenness subpoena for the state Howard Deck, Merial Martin, Elvis Chambers, D.O. Thompson, J.W. Winstead.

One against Goe, Mosley drunkenness, R.D. Crockett M.H. Rushing Fred Gray, Ganes Baker.

One against Estel Smith Carrying a pistol, subpoena for the state Joe Traylor, Carl H eadrick Wm. R. Hall.

One against John Clark drunkenness, subpoena for the state Ernest Morrison Mary Terry

One against Joe Hicks, drunkenness, subpoena for the state J.A. Young H.E. Estes.

One against Hauty Barm^{Carrie Barm} drunkenness, subpoena for the state J.M. Spence Willie Mai Barm.

One against Loyd Box, Drunkenness, subpoena for the state Joe Traylor, Carl Headrick and Wm, R. Hall,

One against Goe, Mallard Drunkenness, subpoena for the state D.M. Cooper V.R. Collier, C.J. Johnson Jno, Collier Mrs. Lula Collier, Mrs. Pearl Fortner.

One against Jim Hicks Drunkenness, subpoena for the state J.A. Young H.E. Estes.

One against Leyon Mathews Drunkenness, subpoena for the state Goe Stringer, Mrs. Goe. Stringer Monroe Baker.

One against Sugg Harbison Drunkenness, subpoena for the state Mrs. Eha Tipps Prnett Kelly

One against Lewis Phy R.D. subpoena for the state J.W. Winstead, Howard Deck, Merial Martin Elvis Chambers, Albert Gunn, Day Dodson, D.O. Thompson

One against Willie Breeden Drunkenness, subpoena for the State J.A. Young H.D. Estes.

One against Leland James Mis, d, Subpoena for state J.W. Winstead, W.D. Patterson F. Brown

One against Goe, Mallard Mis, d, Subpoena for the state D.M. Cooper V.R. Collier, C.J. Johnson Jno, Collier Mrs. Lula Collier Mrs. Pearl Fortner,

One against Lewis Phy Mis, d, subpoena for the state J.W. Winstead, Howard Deck, Merial Martin, D. O. Thompson, Elvis Chambers Day Dodson.

State of Tennessee
vs.) Age consent
Chas Buchanan)

In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true bill It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

11CAY

One against Tick Bell and Gib. Buchanan Mis, d, which indictment is in the words and figures following to wit, State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, Aug. Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1928. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid present that Tick Bell and Gib. Buchanan heretofore, to wit, on the 20th. day of May 1928, in said County and State, unlawfully trespassed on the lands of Mrs. Smith in the old fourth Civil District of said state and county aforesaid by cutting down and destroying valuable timber thereon, exceeding fifty cents in value, with a view to convert the same to his own use contrary to the statute and against the peace and dignity of the State.

Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General Aug. Term 1928, THE STATE vs. Tick Bell et al. Mis, d, Dr. J. Smith Prosecutor, subpoena for the state Dr. J. Smith, y. J. Patterson Homer chance W. Patterson witnesses sworn by on this indictment before the Grand Jury Aug. Term 1928. P.J. Fuqua Foreman Grand Jury. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General A TRUE BILL P.J. Fuqua Foreman Grand Jury.

One against Van Dodson Bad check, which indictment is in the words and figures following to wit, State of Tennessee Humphreys County, Aug. Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1928. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the county of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid present that Van Dodson heretofore, to wit, on the 8th. day of Nov. 1927, in said county and state, unlawfully did obtain from Gray Cavender, with fraudulently intent two dogs of the value of twenty dollars by means of a check of which he the said Van Dodson was the drawer, on the First National Bank of Linden, Tenn. for the sum of twenty dollars which said check was presented to the drawee, said bank and not paid and was not paid by the drawer, the said Van Dodson, after three days notice in writing, having been mailed to said Van Dodson last known address contrary to the statute, and against the peace and dignity of the state

Jno. Bowman Attorney General Aug. Term, 1928, The State vs. Van Dodson Bad Check, Gray Cavender Prosecutor, subpoena for the state Gray Cavender Jim Sherrrel Crockett Bone Walter Woods, Dee Woods, witnesses sworn by on this indictment before the Grand Jury Aug. Term 1928. P.J. Fuqua Foreman Grand Jury Jno. Bowman Attorney General A TRUE BILL, P.J. Fuqua Foreman Grand Jury.

GRAND JURY REPORT

We, the members of the Grand Jury at the Aug. term of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, beg leave to submit the following report to your Honor. We have faithfully performed all duties required of us, and have nothing further to bring to the attention of the Court, and now having completed our labors, we respectfully ask to be discharged for the term P.J. Fuqua, J.T. Anderson, J.L. Hickman, W.C. McCollum, Allen Murphree, Horner Webb, H.D. Estes, Henry Williams Eugene Johnson J.F. Rachell, George Ross W.W. Matlin George Pickard.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Elmer Hooper

In this cause comes again the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and by attorneys when the heretofore selected and sworn in this cause to wit W.A. Duncan, Albert Cockett J.R. Horner, W.O. Simpson J.A. Adams, J.T. Littleton J.E. Shannon, Allen Bone Frank Larking W.E. Thomas, Goe Johnson, Walter Betty, having returned into open court in charge of their sworn officers Duncan Story and Frank Brown, and having resumed the consideration of this cause after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their oath do say that they are unable to agree and a new trial is entered in this cause, and the case continued until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Tom Smith and
Harry Smith

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendants in person and by attorneys, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, plead not guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tenn. to wit: J.D. Parker, J.M. Petty, W.N. Wes. Cook, W.C. Davis, F.A. Warren, C.A. Summers, B. Lowery, M.M. McCaleb, E.F. Woods W.D. Forester, and E.J. Work who being duly elected and sworn according to law after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their oath do say that they find each of the defendants guilty of petit larceny and assess Tom Smith's punishment at 60 days in jail and Harris Smith's at 30 days in jail.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by jury then defendant Tom Smith be confined in the County jail for a period of sixty days and Harris Smith be confined in the county jail for a period of thirty days and that they pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of their failure to pay or secure they will be further confined in the county jail or work house until the same is paid secured or worked out.

Each of said defendants are rendered infamous disqualified to exercise the election franchise hold office or give evidence in any of the courts of this state

Mrs. William Spann,
vs.
H.W. Hooper F.A. Evans
W.R. Spann, and W.W. Napier
doing business as the Everready
Motor Company and A.W. Lucas
Mrs. A.W. Lucas, and Dr. J.R.
Fleming

In the Circuit Court of Humphreys County at
Savely, Tennessee.

In this cause the matter in controversy having been compromised and settled out of court, it is ordered by the court that the cause be, and the same is, dismissed and the defendants pay the costs, for which execution will issue

A.P. Attotson Jr.
Lahey & Lasey
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Shannon and Tubb
Webb & Sear Stockett & Keble
Attorneys for Defendants

H.G. Spann
vs.

A.W. Lucas, Mrs. A.W. Lucas
Dr. J.A. Fleming

In the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, Tenn, at
Waverly Tenn

In this cause the matters in controversy having been
compromised and settled out of Court, it is ordered by the Court that the cause be, and the
same is dismissed, and that the defendants pay the costs for which execution will issue.

A.P. Atterson Jr.
Lackey and Lash
Attorneys for plaintiff

Shannon & Tubb,
Eble, Seay, Stuckall and Heble
Attorneys for defendants

John Hooper, Admr.

vs.

Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis Ry.

This cause was this day regularly reached for trial
on the call of the docket, when the plaintiff asked permission of the court to take a
non-suit, which permission was granted by the court and non-suit is granted in this cause
and the case dismissed without prejudice.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff pay all the
costs of this cause, for which, let execution issue.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 o'clock.

Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment, present and presiding the Hon. J.D. Morton, Judge etc.

State of Tennessee

vs.

Tippling

Fred Harvey col

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in
person, and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads
guilty. Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys
County, Tenn. wit: Frank Larkins, Walter Bettie, J.R. Horner, W.E. Thomas, E.J. Work, Geo.
Johnson, J.A. Adams, W.C. Davis, C.A. Summers, J.M. Petty, J.D. Parker, and J.T. Littleton
who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof,
argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath say do say that they
find the defendant guilty of tippling as charged in the indictment, and fix and assess
his fine at One Hundred Dollars and all the costs of this cause, and that he be confined
in the County Jail or work house for a period of thirty days.

It is therefore ordered adjudged, and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found
by the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of one hundred dollars and the cost of
this cause for which let execution issue, and that he be confined in the county jail or
work house, for a period of thirty days, and in the event of his failure to pay or
secure said fine and costs he will be further confined in the county jail or work house
until he pay secure or workout all of said fine and cost.

State of Tennessee

vs.

E.D.

F.F. Thomas

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant
in person, pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys
County Tenn. to wit: Frank Larkins, Walter Bettie, J.R. Horner, W.E. Thomas, E.J. Work,
Geo. Johnson, J.A. Adams, W.C. Davis, C.A. Summers, J.M. Petty, J.D. Parker and J.T.
Littleton. who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all
the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that
they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the indict-
ment and fix and assess his fine at the sum of one hundred dollars.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by
the jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of one hundred dollars and the costs of this
cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure
the same he will be confined in the County Jail or work house until the same is paid,
secured or worked out.

State of Tennessee

vs.

E.D.

Tad Morris PhilPlant

W.H. Plant & Ed Dreaden

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defend-
ants in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads Guilty
Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County
Tenn., Frank Larkins, Walter Bettie, J.R. Horner, W.E. Thomas, E.J. Work, Geo. Johnson,
J.A. Adams, W.C. Davis, C.A. Summers, J.M. Petty, J.D. Parker and J.T. Littleton

who, being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant W.H. Plant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his fine at the sum of One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered adjudged, and decreed by the Court that for the offense as found by the jury, the defendant W.H. Plant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred Dollars and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. Thereupon came the defendant W.H. Plant and his sureties, Frank Plant Marion Plant and Mrs. M.F. Plant and acknowledged them selves bound for said fine and cost for all of which let execution issue. and the case is nolle as to Tad Morris Phil Plant and Ed Drenden.

Court then adjourned until to morrow morning at 8.30 o'clock

J. M. ... Judge

Court met pursuant to adjournment present and presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Moorton, Judge etc.
W.E. Winstead }
vs. } Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.,
A.E. Dowdy }

This case was this day tried by a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: W.O. Simpson, Albert Crockett, J.F. Woods Goe. Johnson, Walter Bettie, J.D. Parker, J.M. Petty J.R. Horner, W.C. Davis, W.E. Thomas J.T. Littleton and E.A. Marres upon the whole record in the cause, the proof, argument of counsel for both sides, and upon the charge of the court, whereupon the jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff as damages in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) dollars, together with all the costs in the case for which judgment for the sum of \$100.00, together with all the costs the plaintiff will recover from the defendant, and for which execution may issue. The Court so orders, adjudges and decrees.

Mrs. Carrie Hart Russell }
and Miss Lucy Hart }
vs. } In the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, Tennessee
J.A. Tomlinson and }
Mrs. J.A. Tomlinson }

Came the parties in person and represented by Counsel and a Jury of twelve true and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: J.D. Parker, J.M. Petty, J.R. Horner, W.C. Davis, W.E. Thomas, J.T. Littleton, C.A. Summers, E.J. Work, Frank Larkins J.A. Adams, Goe. ~~xxxxxx~~ Johnson Walter Bettie having been empaneled and sworn to try the issues joined heard the cause upon the entire record, argument of Counsel, testimony of witnesses and upon the charge of the Court. The said Jury having considered of the matter involved returned a verdict for the plaintiffs and against the defendants, in the sum of Seven Hundred Twenty Five Dollars and 27/100 \$725.00.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants the sum of \$725.00 and all costs of the cause for all of which execution may issue.

Harry S. Berry Com. }
vs. } Pro - confesso
J.A. Robertson et al, } Circuit Court, Waverly-Tennessee.

In this cause on motion of complainant's solicitor, and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant, Humphreys County, is duly in court by service of subpoena, and that it has failed to appear and make defense to the petition in this cause within the time required by law, it is ordered that the petition be taken as confessed by it, the said Humphreys County, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

Harry S. Berry, Com. }
vs. }
J.A. Robertson et al } Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard and was heard by the court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner of Highways and Public Works, the answer of the defendant, J.A. Robertson, and the order proconfesso, taken against the defendant Humphreys County, and the report of the jury of view, which is in writing and dated June, 28th, 1928 which report has been filed more than five days before the convening of the court, and is unexpected to, and is as follows:

Report of the jury of view
We the undersigned jury of view, summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn by said sheriff, and charged by him with charge of the judge of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, began our work on the Bumpas land on the 25th day of June, 1928, and continued from day to day until all the damages done to the land of the defendants names in the order under which we were summoned by the Sheriff, was assessed. The

property assess being ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ land condemned by the Commissioner of State Highways of the State of Tennessee, and owned by the herein after named defendants, "We went upon the land of the hereinafter named defendants, and land owners, views, inquired and assessed damages done to the respective property of each of the following defendants according to the instructions given us by the Circuit Court Judge by written charge through the sheriff of this county"

"We respectfully submit the following assessments as damages done the following named lands owners, the amount following the name of each defendant.

J.A. Robertson -----\$500.00

This the 28th. day of June, 1928

J.H. Pearl
John W. Daniel
J.L. Carroll
G.G. Gould
R.L. Mulliniks,

And said report is in all things confirmed by the court, It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that all right, title and interest in the strip of parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendant, J.A. Robertson, be and the same is condemned and the title thereto divested out of the defendant, J.A. Robertson, and vested in the Department of Highways and Public Works of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the Department of Highways and public Works of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd. Civil district of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek, west of Waverly and within its corporate limits, and described as follows:-

"A strip of land to be 141 feet in length, containing 07 acres more or less, and more particularly described as follows, A strip of land from station 751 / 36 to station 753 / 400 20 feet wide 33 feet on left of center line"

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant J.A. Robertson have and recover of Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee for highway purposes, the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars together with all the costs of the cause for which execution, or other necessary and proper process may issue.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs. J.B. Webb et al }
Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn

In this cause on motion of the Complainant's solicitor, and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant, Humphreys County is duly in court by service of subpoena, and that it has failed to appear and make defense to the petition in the cause within the time required by law, it is ordered that the petition be taken as confessed by it, the said Humphreys County, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs. J.B. Webb et al }
Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard, and was heard by the court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner of Highways and Public Works, the answer of the defendant, J.B. Webb, and the order pro confesso heretofore taken against the defendant, Humphreys County, and the report of the jury of view, which is in writing, and dated June 28th, 1928 which report has been on file for more than five days before the convening of the court, and is unexcepted to, and is as follows:-

Report of Jury of view

"We, the undersigned jury of view, summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn by said sheriff, and charged by him with charge from the Judge of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, began our work on the Bumpas land on the 25th. day of June 1928, and continued from day to day until all damage done to the land of the defendants named in the order under which we were summoned by the sheriff, was assessed. The property assessed being land condemned by the commissioner of State Highway of the State of Tennessee, and owned by the hereinafter named defendants.

"We went upon the land of the hereinafter named defendants, and land owners, viewed, inquired, and assessed damages done to the respective property of each of the following defendants according to the instructions given us by the circuit Court Judge, by written charge through the sheriff of this county"

We respectfully submit the following assessments as damages done to the following named land owners and defendants, the amount follows the name of each defendant.

J.B. Webb ----- 1.31 acres ----- \$130.00
Incidentals ----- 25.00 ----- \$25.00
----- \$155.00

This the 28th. day of June, 1928, Respectfully submitted

J.H. Pearl
John W. Daniel
J.L. Carroll
G.G. Gould
R.L. Mulliniks.

And said report is in all things confirmed by the court. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that all the right, title and interest in the strip or parcel of land herein after described of the defendant J.B. Webb, be, and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the defendant, J.B. Webb, and vested in the Department of Highways and Public Works, of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of Highways and public Works of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd. civil district of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek west of Waverly, and is described as follows:-

"A strip of land to be 843 feet in length, containing 0.31 acres, more or less, and more particularly described as follows: A strip of land from station 700 / 87 to station 718 / 40, 66 feet wide, extending 33 feet on each side of center line"

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant, J.B. Webb, have and recover of the defendant, Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee, for Highway purposes, the sum of One Hundred Fifty Five (\$155.00) Dollars, together with all the costs of this cause, for which execution, or any other necessary and proper process may issue.

Harry S. Berry Com. }
vs. Mrs. Jessie Webb Cowen et al, }
Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.,

In this cause on motion of complainant's solicitor, and it duly appearing to the Court, that the defendant, Humphreys County, is duly in court by service of subpoena, and that it has failed to appear and make defense to the petition in this cause within the time required by law, it is ordered that the petition be taken as confessed by it the said Humphreys County, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 18th. day of August 1928.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

Mrs. Jessie Webb Cowen, et al,

This cause came on to be heard, and was heard by the Court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner of Highways and Public Works and answer of the defendant, Mrs. Jessie Webb, Cowen, and the order pro confesso heretofore taken against the defendant Humphreys County, and the report of the jury of view, which is in writing, and dated June 28th, 1928, which report has been on file for more than five days before the convening of the court, and is unexcepted to, and is as follows:-

Report of jury of view,

"We, the undersigned jury of view, summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn by said sheriff, and charged by him with the charge from the judge of Circuit Court for Humphreys County, began our work on the Bumpas land on the 25th. day of June, 1928, and continued from day to day until all the damage done to the land of the defendants named in the order under which we were summoned by the sheriff was assessed. The property assessed being land condemned by the Commissioner of State Highways of the State of Tennessee, and owned by the hereinafter named defendants:-

"We went upon the land of the hereinafter named defendants and laid, owners, viewed and assessed damages done to the respective property of each of the following defendants according to the instruction given us by the Circuit Court Judge by written Charge through the sheriff of this County.

"We, respectfully submit the following assessment as damages done to the following named land, owners and defendants, the amount follows the name of each defendant

Mrs. Jessie Webb Cowen-----	33 acres -----	\$33.00
	Incidental	\$33.00
		\$66.00

This the 28th. day of June, 1928,

J.H. Pearl
John W. Daniel
J.L. Carroll
G.G. Gould
R.L. Mulliniks

The said report is in all things confirmed by the court. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that all the right, title and interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendant, Mrs. Jessie Webb, Cowen, be and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the defendant Mrs. Jessie Webb Cowen, and vested in the Department of Highways and public works, of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the Department of Highways and public Works, of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes:-

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd. civil district of Humphreys County, Tennessee, west of Waverly on trace Creek, and described as follows:-

A strip of land to be 212 feet in length containing .32 acres more or less, and more particularly described as follows:

A Strip of land from station 718 + 50 to station 720 + 60, 64 feet wide extending 33 feet on each side of center line

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant Mrs. Jessie Webb, Cowen, have and recover of Humphreys County, Tennessee, as damages for the land hereinafter condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee, for highway purposes, the sum sixty six (\$66.00) dollars together with all ~~charges~~ cost of this cause, for which let execution, or other necessary and proper process, may issue,

11CAY

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 18th, 1928

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee.

T.W. Crawford et al,

This cause coming on this day to be heard, before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause, but especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed the 28th. day of June 1928, and the exception of the defendant, T.W. Crawford, to said report of counsel having been heard, and the premises having been understood by the Court is pleased to sustain said exceptions, and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a writ of inquiry issue by the Clerk of this Court to the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, who after giving proper notice will summons a jury of view according to law to ascertain and report to this court the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause, The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com,

vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

Jess Bowen, et al,

This cause coming on this day to be heard, before the Honorable J.D.G. Morton Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly, Tenn. upon the whole record in the cause, but especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed the 28th. day of June, 1928 and the exceptions of the defendant, Jess Bowen, to said report, and argument of counsel having been heard, and the premises understood by the court, the court is pleased to sustain said exception, and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a writ of inquiry issue by the clerk of this court to the sheriff of Humphreys County, who after giving proper notice will summon a jury according to law, to ascertain and report to this court the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause, the court so orders.

Harry S. Berry, Com

vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

F.C. Cagle & J.A. Slayden

This cause coming on this day to be heard, before the Honorable J.D.G. Morton Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause, and especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed the 28th. day of June, 1928, and the exceptions of the defendants F.C. Cagle & J.A. Slayden, to said report, and argument of counsel having been heard, and the premises understood by the court, the court is pleased to sustain said exceptions, and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a new writ of inquiry issue by the clerk of this court to the sheriff of Humphreys County, who after giving proper notice will summon a jury according to law ascertain and report to this court the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause. The court so orders

Harry S. Berry Com,

vs.

Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,

John T. Tate

This cause coming on this day to be heard, before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly Tennessee, upon the report of the jury of view, filed the 28th. day of June 1928, and the exceptions of the defendants John Tate, to said report, and argument of counsel having been heard, and the premises having been understood by the Court, is pleased to sustain said exceptions, and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the Court that a writ of inquiry issue by the Clerk of this Court to the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee. who after giving proper notice will summons a jury of view according to law to ascertain and report to this Court the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause. The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.
L. Parnell et al () Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee.

This cause coming on this day to be heard, before the Hon. J.D. G. Morton Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause, but especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed the 28th, day of June 1928, and the exceptions of the defendant L. Parnell, to said report, and argument of counsel having been heard, and the preises having been understood by the Court, the Court is pleased to sustain said exceptions, and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a writ of inquiry issue by the Clerk of this court to the sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, who after giving proper notice will summons a jury of view according to law to ascertain and report the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause. The court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.
L. Stockard et al () Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,

This cause coming on this day to be heard, before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause, but especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed the 28th, day of June 1928, and the exceptions of the defendant, L. Stockard to said report, and argument of counsel having been heard, and the preises having been understood by the court, the is pleased to sustain said exceptions and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a writ of inquiry issue by the Clerk of this court to the Sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, who after giving proper notice will summons a jury of view according to law to ascertain and report to this court the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause. The court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.
American Trust Co, et al. () Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

This cause coming on this day to be heard, before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly, Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause, and especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed the 28th, day of June 1928, and the exceptions of the defendant American Trust Co, to said report, and argument of counsel having been heard and the premises understood by the court, the court is pleased to sustain said exceptions, and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a writ of inquiry issue by the clerk of this court to the sheriff of Humphreys County, who after giving proper notice will summons a jury according to law, to ascertain and report to this court the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause, The court so orders. adjudges and decrees.

Harry S. Berry Com,
vs,
W.N. Westbrooks () Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.

This cause coming this day to be heard, before the Hon' J.D.G. Morton Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly, Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause, and especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed the 28th, day of June, 1928, and the exceptions of the defendant W.N. Westbrook, to said report, and argument having been heard, and ther premises understood by the court, the court is pleas to sustain siad exceptions, and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a new writ of inquiry issue by the clerk of this court to the sheriff of Humphreys County, who, after giving proper notice will, sum on a jury according to law, to ascertain and report to this court the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause, The court so orders Adjudges and decrees.

Harry S. Berry, Com.
vs. () Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,
J.B. Eagle et al ()

This cause coming on this day to be heard before the Hon, J.D.G. Morton Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly Tennessee, upon the whole record in this cause, but especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed 28th, day of June 1928, and the exceptions of the defendant J.B. Eagle, to said report, and argument of counsel, and preises being understood by the court, the court is pleased to sustain said exceptions and doth allow the same, and it is orderd by the court that a writ of inquiry issue by the clerk of this Court to the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, who after giving proper notice will summon a jury of view according to law to ascertain and report to this Court damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause, The court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com
vs. () Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,
J.L. Byrn et al ()

This cause coming on this day to be heard, before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause, but especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed 28th, day of June 1928, and the exceptions of the defendnat J.L. Byrn, to said report and argument of counsel, and the premises having been understood by the court, the court is pleased to sustain said exceptions and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a writ of inquiry issue by the clerk of this court to the sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, who after giving proper notice will summons a jury of view, according to law, to ascertain and report to this court, the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause, The court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com. () Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee
vs. ()
W.R. Box et al, ()

This cause coming on to be heard, before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause, but especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed 28th, day of June 1928, and the exceptions of the defendant W.R. Box, to said report, and argument of counsel, and the premises having been understood by the court, is pleased to sustain said exceptions and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a writ of inquiry issue by the Clerk of this court to the sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, who after giving proper notice will summons a jury of view, according to law, to ascertain and report to this court, the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause, The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com. () Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee
vs. ()
H.D. Hasty et al. ()

This cause coming on to be heard, before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause, but especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed 28th, day of June 1928, and the exceptions of the defendnat H.D. Hasty, to said report and argument of counsel, and the preises having been understood by the court, the court is pleased to sustain said exceptions and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a writ of inquiry issue by the clerk of this court to the sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, who after giving proper notice will summons a jury of view, according to law, to ascertain and report to this court, the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause. The Court so orders.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 18th. day of August 1928

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. } Circuit, Court, Waverly, Tennessee.
Mrs. S.C. Plant et al, (

This cause coming on this day to be heard, before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause but especially upon the report of the jury of view filed 28th. day of June 1928, and the exceptions of the defendant Mrs. S.C. Plant, to said report and argument of counsel, and the premises having been understood by the court, the court is pleased to sustain said exceptions, and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the Court that a writ of inquiry issue by the Clerk of this Court to the Sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, who after giving proper notice will summons a jury of view, according to law, to ascertain and report to this Court, the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause.

The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. } Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,
Gilbert Davis et al, (

This cause coming on to be heard, before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly, Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause, and especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed the 28th. day of June 1928, and the exceptions of the defendant Gilbert Davis, to said report, and argument of counsel having been heard, and the premises understood by the court, the court is pleased to sustain said exceptions and doth allow the same it is ordered by the court that a new writ of inquiry issue by the clerk of this court to the sheriff of Humphreys County, who after giving proper notice, will summons a jury according to law, to ascertain and report to this court the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause, The court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. } Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.,
W.M. Morgan et al, (

This cause coming on to be heard, before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly, Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause, and especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed the 28th. day of June 1928 and the exceptions of the defendant W.M. Morgan, to said report, and argument of counsel having been heard, and the premises understood by the court, the court is pleased to sustain said exceptions, and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a new writ of inquiry issue by the clerk of this court to the sheriff of Humphreys County, who after giving proper notice will summons a jury according to law, to ascertain and report to the court the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause, The court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. } Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee,
John A. Moore et al, (

This cause coming on this day to be heard, before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly, Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause, and especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed the 28th. day of June 1928, and the exceptions of the defendant John A. Moore, to said report, and argument of counsel having been heard, and the premises understood by the court, the court is pleased to sustained said exceptions, and doth allow the same. It is ordered by the court that a new writ of inquiry issue by the clerk of this court to the sheriff of Humphreys County, who, after giving proper notice will summons a jury according to law, to ascertain and report to this court the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause. The court so orders.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 18th. day of August 1928

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. } Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee
Guy and Earl Bumpas et al, (

This cause coming on this day to be heard, before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause, but especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed 28th. day of June 1928, and the exceptions of the defendants Guy and Earl Bumpas, to said report, and argument of counsel, and the premises being understood by the court, the court is pleased to sustain said exceptions and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a writ of inquiry issue by the Clerk of this court to the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, who after giving proper notice will summons a jury of view, according to law to ascertain and report to this Court, the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause. The court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. } Circuit, Court, Waverly, Tenn.,
Hugh McCann et al, (

This cause coming on this day to be heard, before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly Tennessee, upon the whole record in this cause, and especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed, the 28th. day of June, 1928, and the exceptions of the defendant Hugh, McCann, to said report, and argument of counsel having been heard, and the premises understood by the court, the court is pleased to sustain said exceptions, and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a new writ of inquiry issue by the clerk of this court to the sheriff of Humphreys County, who, after giving proper notice, will summons a jury according to law, to ascertain and report to this court the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause. The court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. } Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee,
M.M. McCaleb et al, (

This cause coming on this day to be heard before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly, Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause, but especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed 28th. day of June 1928 and the exceptions of the defendant M.M. McCaleb, to said report, and argument of counsel, and the premises being understood by the Court, the Court is pleased to sustain said exceptions and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a writ of inquiry issue by the Clerk to the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, who after giving proper notice will summons a jury of view, according to law to ascertain and report to this the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause. The court so orders.

Harry S. Berry, Com.

vs. } Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee,
A.C. Bumpas et, al, (

This cause coming on to be heard, before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly Tennessee, upon the whole record in the cause, and especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed the 28th. day of June, 1928 and the exceptions of the defendant A.C. Bumpas, to said report, and argument having been heard, and the premises understood by the court, the court is pleased to sustain said exceptions, and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a new writ of inquiry issue by the clerk of this court to the sheriff, of Humphreys County, who after giving proper notice will summons a jury according to law, to ascertain and report to this court the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in this cause, The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.)
B.P. McNabb et al.) Circuit court, Waverly, Tennessee

This cause coming on this day to be heard, before the Hon. J.D.G. Morton Circuit Judge, sitting at Waverly Tennessee, upon the whole record in this cause but especially upon the report of the jury of view, filed 28th day of June 1928, and the exceptions of the defendant B.P. McNabb, to said report, and argument of counsel, and the preises ^{being} understood by the court, the court is pleased to sustain said exceptions and doth allow the same, and it is ordered by the court that a writ of inquiry issue by the clerk of this court to the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, who after giving proper notice will summons a jury of view according to law to ascertain, and report to this Court the damages occasioned by the taking of the land for public use in said case. The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry, Com.
vs.)
W.N. Westbrook, et al.) Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee

Proconfesso

In this cause on motion of the complainant's counsel, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, is duly in court by service of process, and that the said Humphreys County Tennessee has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this cause against it and others, within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken for confessed as to Humphreys County Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing exparte. The court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.)
Jean Morgan et al.) Proconfesso. In Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee,

In this cause on motion of the complainant's counsel, and it duly appearing to court that the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, is duly in court by service of subpoena and that it has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this cause within the time required by law, it is ordered that the petition be taken as confessed by it, the said Humphreys County, and the cause set for hearing exparte.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.)
T.O. Crawford et al.) Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,

Proconfesso

In this cause on motion of complainant's counsel, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant Humphreys County is duly in court by service of subpoena, and that it has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this cause against it, and others in the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken for confessed as against Humphreys County, Tenn, and that the cause be set for hearing exparte. The court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.)
W.N. Morgan et al.) Proconfesso. Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,

In this cause on motion of the Complainant's counsel, and it duly appearing to the that the defendant Humphreys County Tennessee, is duly in court by service of process, and that the said Humphreys County Tennessee, has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this cause against it and other, within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken for confessed as to Humphreys County Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing exparte. The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.)
Jno. A. Moore et al.) Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,

In this cause on motion of Complainant's, counsel, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant Humphreys County Tennessee, is duly in court by service of process, and that said Humphreys County, has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed against it and others in said cause, within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the said petition be taken for confessed as to Humphreys County Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing exparte.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.)
A.C. Bumpas et al.) Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,

In this cause on motion of the Complainant's Counsel, and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant Humphreys County Tennessee, is duly in court by service of process, and that said Humphreys County, has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed against it and others in said cause within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the said petition be taken for confessed as to Humphreys County Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing exparte. The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.)
F.C. Cagle and J.A. Slayden et al.) Circuit court, Waverly Tennessee,

In this cause on motion of Complainant's Counsel, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, is duly in court by service of process, and that said Humphreys County has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed against it and others in said cause within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the said petition be taken for confessed as to Humphreys County Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing exparte. The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.)
American Trust Co. et al.) Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee

In this cause on motion of Complainant's Counsel, and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant Humphreys County Tennessee, is duly in court by service of process, and that said Humphreys County has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed against it and others in said cause within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the said petition be taken for confessed as to Humphreys County Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing exparte. The court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.)
G.E. Bumpas et al.) Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,

In this cause on motion of Complainant's Counsel, and it duly appearing to the Court, that the defendant Humphreys County, Tennessee, is duly in court by service of subpoena and that the said Humphreys County Tennessee, has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this cause against it and others, within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken for confessed as Humphreys County, Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing exparte. The Court so orders.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 18th. day of August 1928,

11CAV

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. (Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee.
L. Parnell et al)

In this cause on motion of Complainant's counsel, and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant Humphreys is duly in court by service of subpoena, and that it has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this cause against it, and others in the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken for confessed as against Humphreys County Tenn. and that the cause be set for hearing exparte. The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. (Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee.
Gilbert Davis et al,)

In this cause on motion of the Complainant's Counsel, and it duly appearing to court that the defendant Humphreys County Tennessee, is duly in court by service of process, and that the said Humphreys County, Tennessee has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this cause against it and other, within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken for confessed as to Humphreys County Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing exparte. The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. (Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee.
M.M. McCaleb et al,)

In this cause on motion of Complainant's Counsel, and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant Humphreys County Tennessee, is duly in court by service of subpoena and that the said Humphreys County Tennessee has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this cause against it and others, within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken for confessed as to Humphreys County, Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing exparte. The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. (Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,
B.P. McNabb et al)

In this cause on motion of Complainant's counsel, and it duly appearing to the court, that the defendant Humphreys County Tennessee, is duly in court by service of subpoena and that the said Humphreys County Tennessee, has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this cause against it and others, within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken for confessed as to Humphreys County Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing exparte. The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. (Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,
J.B. Cagle et al)

In this cause upon motion of the Complainant's Counsel, and it duly appearing to the Court, that the defendant Humphreys County Tennessee, is duly in court by service of subpoena and that the said Humphreys County Tennessee has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this cause against it and others, within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken for confessed as to Humphreys County Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing exparte. The Court so orders.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 18th. day of August 1928,

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. (Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee
J.L. Byrn et al,)

In this cause upon motion of the Complainant's counsel, and it duly appearing to the court, that the defendant Humphreys County Tennessee, is duly in court by service of subpoena, and that it has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this case against it and others, within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken as confessed as to Humphreys County Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing exparte as to it. The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. (Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,
Mrs. S.C. Plant)

In this cause on motion of the Complainant's counsel, and it duly appearing to the Court, that the defendant Humphreys County Tennessee, is duly in court by service of subpoena, and that it has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this case against it and others, within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken as confessed as to Humphreys County Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing exparte as to it. The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. (Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,
H.D. Hasty et al.)

In this cause on motion of the Complainant's Counsel, and it duly appearing to the court, that the defendant Humphreys County Tennessee, is duly in court by service of subpoena and that it has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this case against it and others, within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken as confessed as to Humphreys County Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing exparte as to it. The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. (Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,
W.R. Box, et al,)

In this cause on motion of Complainant's counsel, and it duly appearing to the Court, that the defendant Humphreys County Tennessee, is duly in court by service of subpoena, and that it has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this case against it and others, within the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken for confessed as to Humphreys County Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing exparte as to it. The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. (Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,
Jno. T. Tate et al)

In this cause on motion of the complainant's counsel, and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant Humphreys County Tennessee, is duly in Court by service of Subpoena, and that has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this cause against it, and others, in the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken for confessed as against Humphreys County, Tenn. and that the cause be set for hearing exparte. The Court So orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs. (Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee,
L. Stockard et al)

In this cause on motion of Complainant's counsel, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant Humphreys County is duly in court by service of subpoena, and that ~~it has failed~~ to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this cause against it

and others in the time required by law, it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken for confessed as against Humphreys County, Tenn., and that the cause be set for hearing ex parte. The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.
Circuit Court, "Waverly Tennessee"
Hugh McCann et al)

In this cause on motion of the Complainant & Counsel, and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant Humphreys County Tennessee, is duly in Court by service of process, and that the said Humphreys County Tennessee, has failed to appear and make defense to the petition filed in this cause against it and others, within the time required by law it is therefore ordered that the petition be taken for confessed as to Humphreys County Tennessee, and the cause set for hearing ex parte. The Court so orders.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.
Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.,
W.H. McAdoo et al)

In this cause on motion of complainant's solicitor, and it duly appearing to the Court that the defendant Humphreys County is duly in court by service of subpoena and that it has failed to and make defense to the petition in this cause within the time required by law, it is ordered that the petition be taken as confessed by it, said Humphreys County, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.
Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.
W.H. McAdoo et al)

This cause came on to be heard and was heard by the court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner of Highways and Public Works, the answer of the defendant W.H. McAdoo, and the order proconfesso, heretofore taken against the defendant, Humphreys County, and the report of the jury of view, which is in writing, and dated June 28th, 1928, which report has been in on file for more than five days before the convening of the court, and is unexcepted to, and is as follows:-

Report of the jury of view.

"We the undersigned jury of view, summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn by the Sheriff, and charged by him charge from the judge of the circuit court for Humphreys County, began our work on the Bumpas land on the 25th. day of June, 1928, and continued from day to day until all the damage done to the land of the defendants named in the order under which we were summoned by the sheriff was assessed. The property assessed being land condemned by the commissioner of the State Highways of the State of Tennessee, and owned by the hereinafter named defendants

We then went upon the land of the hereinafter named defendants and land owners, viewed, and assessed damages done to the respective property of each of the following defendants according to the instructions given us by the Circuit Court Judge by written charge through the sheriff of this county,

We respectfully submit the following assessments damages done to the following named land owners and defendants, the amount follows the name of each defendant.

W.H. McAdoo ----- -000000/
This the 28th, day of June, 1928 Respectfully submitted
J.H. Peral
John W. Daniel
J.L. Carroll
G.G. Gould
R.L. Mulliniks

And said report is in all things confirmed by the court. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that all the right title and interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described in the defendant W.H. McAdoo, be and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divided out of the defendant, W.H. McAdoo, and vested in the Department of Highways and Public Works of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the Department of Highways and Public Works of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd. civil district of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and is described as follows:-

"A strip of land to be 100 feet in length, and containing 6/100 of an acre more or less from station 754 1/2 to station 758 1/2 on 25 feet wide and extending 33 feet on right of center line and fully shown on plat exhibited to the petition and attached thereto.

It is therefore ordered adjudged, and decreed by the court that the defendant, W.H. McAdoo, have and recover of Humphreys County Tennessee as damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the the State of Tennessee for highways purposes, the sum of Nine Hundred (\$900.00) Dollars, together with all the costs of this cause, for which execution, or other necessary and proper process, may issue.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.
Circuit Court.
William Crockett) Waverly, Tenn.,

In this cause on motion of the Complainant's solicitor, and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant Humphreys County, is duly in court by service of subpoena and that it has failed to appear and make defense to the petition in this case within the time required by law, it is ordered that the petition be taken as confessed by it, the said Humphreys County, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

Harry S. Berry Com.
vs.
Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee,
William Crockett et al.)

This cause came on to be heard and was heard by the court upon the petition of Harry, S. Berry, Commissioner of Highways and public works, the answer of the defendant William Crockett, and the order proconfesso heretofore taken against the defendant Humphreys County, and the report of the jury of view, which is in writing, and dated June 28th, 1928, which report has been filed for more than five days before the convening of the court, and is unexcepted to to, as follows:-

Report of the jury of view.

"We, the undersigned jury of view, summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, and duly sworn by said sheriff, and charged by him with charge from the Judge of the circuit court for Humphreys County, began our work on the Bumpas, land on the 25th. day of June, 1928 and continued from day to day until all the damage done to the land of the defendants named in the order under which we were summoned by the sheriff, was assessed. The property assessed being land condemned by the Commissioner of State Highways of the State of Tennessee, and owned by hereinafter named defendants,

"We, went upon the land of the hereinafter named defendants and land owners, viewed, inquired and assessed damages done to the respective property of each of the following defendants according to the instructions given us by the circuit Judge by written charge through the sheriff of this county.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 18th. day of August 1928.

" We respectfully submit the following assessments as damages done to the following land owners and defendants, the amount follows the name of each defendant "

William Crockett (J.C. Watson)	.50 acres-----	\$50.00
	Incidental	-----
		\$50.00
		\$100.00

This June the 28th. day of June 1928,

Respectfully submitted

J.H. Pearl
John W. Daniel
J.L. Carroll,
G.G. Gould,
R.L. Mulliniks.

The said report is in all things confirmed by the court. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that all the right, title and interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendant William Crockett, and J.C. Watson, be and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the defendant William Crockett and J.C. Watson, and vested in the Department of Highways and Public Works of the State of Tennessee and for the use and benefit of the Department of Highways and Public Works of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes.

The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd. civil district of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek, west of Waverly, and is described as follows:-

" A strip of land to be 329 feet in length, containing .50 acres, more or less, and more particularly described as follows, A strip of land from station 720 + 62, to station 724 + 01 66 feet wide, extending 33 feet on each side to center line"

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant William Crockett (J.C. Watson), have and recover of Humphreys County,, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee for highway purposes, the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars together with all the cost of this cause, for which let execution or other necessary and proper process may issue

Harry S. Berry, Com.

vs.
W.A. Nolen et al) Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

In this cause on motion of complainant's solicitors, and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant, Humphreys County, is duly in court by service of subpoena, and that it has failed to appear and make defense to the petition in this cause within the time required by law, it is ordered that the petition be taken as confessed by it the said Humphreys County, and the cause set for hearing ex parte

Harry S. Berry, Com.

vs.
W.A. Nolen et al) Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

This cause came to be heard and was heard by the court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry Commissioner of Highways and Public Works, the Answer of the defendant, W.A. Nolen, and the order proconfesso heretofore taken against the defendant, Humphreys County, and the report of the jury of view, which is in writing, and dated June 28th 1928, which report has been on file for more than five days before the convening of the court, and is unaccepted to and is as follows:-

Report of the jury of view.

"We, the undersigned jury of view, summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County,, and duly sworn by said sheriff, and charged by him with charge from the Judge of the Circuit Court for Humphreys County, began our work on the Bumpas land on the 28th. day of June, 1928, and continued from day to day until all the damage done to the land of the defendants named in the order under which we were summoned by the sheriff, was assessed, The property assessed being land condemned by the Commissioner of State Highways of the State of Tennessee, and owned by hereinafter named defendants

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 18th. day of August 1928,

" We went upon the land of the hereinafter named defendants and land owners, viewed inquired and assessed damages done to the respective property of each of the following defendants according to the instructions given us by Circuit Court Judge by written charge through the sheriff of this county,

We respectfully submit the following assessments as damages done to the following named owners and defendants, the amount follows the name of each defendant,

W.A. Nolen -----	\$100.00
------------------	----------

This June the 28th, 1928,

Respectfully submitted
J.H. Pearl
John W. Daniel
J.L. Carroll
G.G. Gould
R.L. Mulliniks

And said report is in all things confirmed by the court, It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court, that all right, title and interest in the strip or parcel of land hereinafter described of the defendant W.A. Nolen, be and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the defendant W.A. Nolen, and vested in the Department of highways and Public Works of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the department of highways and public, works of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes, The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd. civil district of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on Trace Creek, west of Waverly, and described as follows:

" A strip of land to be 424 feet in length, containing .20 acres more or less, and more particularly described as follows:

A, strip of land from station 750 + 00 to station 754 + 00, 20 feet wide, extending 33 feet on right of center line"

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant, W.A. Nolen have and recover of Humphreys County, Tennessee as damages for the land herein condemned, and taken by the State of Tennessee for Highway purposes, the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, together with all the costs of this cause, for which execution, or other necessary and proper process may issue

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs.
Charley Chilton et al,) Circuit Court, Waverly Tennessee.

In this cause on motion of the complainant's solicitors, and it duly appearing to the court that the defendant, Humphreys County, is duly in court by service of subpoena and that it has failed to appear and make defense to the petition in this cause within the time required by law, it is ordered that the petition be taken as confessed by it, the said Humphreys County, and the cause set for hearing ex parte.

Harry S. Berry Com.

vs.
Charles Chilton et al,) Circuit Court, Waverly, Tennessee.

This cause came to be heard and was heard by the court upon the petition of Harry S. Berry, Commissioner of Highways and Public Works, the answer of the defendant Charles Chilton, and the order proconfesso heretofore taken against the defendant, Humphreys County,, and the report of the jury of view, which is in writing, and dated June 28th, which has been on file for more than five days before the convening of the Court, and is unaccepted to, and is as follows:

Report of Jury of view,

"We the undersigned jury of view, summoned by the sheriff of Humphreys County, duly sworn by said sheriff, and charged by him with charge from the Judge of Circuit Court for Humphreys County, began our work on the Bumpas lands on the 28th. day of June, 1928, and

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 18th, day of August 1928

continued from day to day until all the damage done to the land of the defendants named in the order under which we were summoned by the sheriff was assessed. The property assessed being land condemned by the Commissioner of the State of Tennessee, and owned by the hereinafter named defendants.

"We went upon the land of the hereinafter named defendants and land owners, viewed, inquired and assessed damages done to the respective property of each of the following defendants according to the instructions given us by the Circuit Court Judge by written Charge through the sheriff of this county.

"We respectfully submit the following assessments as damages done to the following named land owners and defendant, the amount follows the name of each defendant:

Charles Chilton ----- \$200.00

This the 28th, day of June, 1928

Respectfully submitted

J.H. Pearl
John W. Daniel
J.L. Carroll
G.G. Gould
R.L. Mulligan

And said report is in all things confirmed by the court, It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed, by the court, that all the right, title and interest in the strip of land hereinafter described of the defendant Charles Chilton, be, and the same is condemned, and the title thereto divested out of the defendant, Charles Chilton, and vested in the Department of Highways and Public Works of the State of Tennessee, and for the use and benefit of the Department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, and for public purposes, The land herein condemned for highway purposes is located in the 2nd. civil district of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on the west side of Waverly, on the edge of it Corporate limits, and is described as follows: -

"A strip of land to be 50 feet in length, containing .04 acres, more or less, and more particularly described as follows: a strip of land 750' x 50' to station 751' x 89, 20 feet wide extending 33 feet on left side of center line"

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant, Charles Chilton, have and recover of Humphreys, County, Tennessee, as damages for the land herein and condemned and taken by the State of Tennessee, for Highway purposes, the sum of Two Hundred Dollars together with all the costs of this cause, for which execution, or other necessary and proper process may issue.

State of Tennessee

vs. E.D.

Fred Wright

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issues joined, came a Jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tenn, to wit: Frank Perkins, Walter Pettie, J.R. Horner, W.E. Thomas, E.J. Work, Goe. Johnson, J.A. Adams, W.C. Davis, C.A. Summers, J.M. Petty, J.D. Parker, and J.T. Littleton

who after being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment and fix and assess his fine at the sum of One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the Jury, the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred and the cost of this cause for which let which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure the same he will be confined in the County Jail or workhouse until the same is paid secured, or worked out.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 18th, day of August 1928

Claim form No. 30, 8.10 - 10-1500

Settlement and petition-for death cases.

Maryland Casualty Company,

77554 J.Comp.

In the matter of compensation for injury

Typ- Ernest Anderson- ----- Employee X In Circuit Court
against James Sand & Gravel Company Employer Z Humphreys County
and Maryland Casualty Company----- Insurer of Employer Tennessee

Settlement and petition

The undersigned, being the only parties interested in the above entitled matter, hereby petition the court for approval of the following agreement and settlement, and agree and present to the court as follows: That they are subject to the provisions of chapter 123 of the Acts of the General Assembly of the State of Tennessee, for the year 1928, and amendments thereto, that said employee, aged 26 years, residing at Johnsonville Street, City of Johnsonville State of Tennessee, did on May 29th, 1928 at 4 o'clock A.M. sustain injury while employed by said Employer, which injury occurred at Johnsonville, Tenn. and resulted in the death of said employee on May 29th, 1928, that said Employee was receiving at the time of the injury wages at the rate of \$30.00 per week, that Nelson Anderson, father, aged about 74 years, and Molly Anderson, mother, age about 72 years and no others were his dependents at the date of his death who were partially dependent upon him at the time of the injury.

Therefore it is hereby agreed that said dependents are entitled to and shall receive compensation for said injury and death from the Employer, beginning May 20th, --- 1928, at the rate of \$5.00 per week in the aggregate, during dependency, payable as follows: payment of 8 weeks, then weekly until four hundred weeks have been absorbed provided either or both dependents live that long, all subjected to the limitations of said Acts, and the said dependents agree to give proper receipts for each payment made hereunder, the dependents hereby acknowledge that all medical and surgical treatment burial expenses, and benefits given by Section 25 Acts have been furnished. This settlement is substantially in accordance with Section 28, 29 and 30 of said Acts, When all payments hereunder have been made the Employer, shall be and hereby is released from all claims on account of said injury and death under said Act or otherwise. The settlement contains the whole agreement between the parties hereto.

Dated this 16 day of July 1928. Witnessed by Guy Warren, Erny Anderson, Nelson Anderson, Father, Mother dependents of deceased Employee, James Sand & Gravel Company Employer By Thos A. Fry, Maryland Casualty Company Insurer of Employer, By Thos A. Fry, Agr, Nashville Claim Division.

State of Tennessee
County of Humphreys

before me Guy M. Warren, a Notary Public in and for the State and County aforesaid, personally appeared Nelson Anderson, father, and Molly Anderson, Mother of Ernest deceased the within named petitioner with whom I am personally acquainted, and who acknowledged that they executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes therein contained.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and notarial seal at office in Waverly, Tennessee, on this 16th. day of July 1928 Guy M. Warren, Notary Public. My commission expire Jan 10th 1931 Filed this 27th. day of July A.D. 1928, Albert Binkley Clerk of the Circuit Court.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 18th. day of August 1928,

State of Tennessee

vs. } B.D.
J.P. Welch }

In this cause come the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issue joined, came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County Tenn. to wit: Frank Larkins, Walter Bettie, J.R. Horner, W.E. Thomas E.J. Work, Goe Johnson

J.A. Adams W.C. Davis, C.A. Summers J.M. Petty, J.D. Parker, and J.T. Littleton who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charge and fix and assess his fine at the sum of

One Hundred Fifty (\$150.00) Dollars and costs ~~and he is confined in the county jail for a period of thirty days, but said jail sentence is suspended on good behavior.~~

for which let execution issue, and that said defendant be confined in the county jail or work house for a period of thirty days, but said jail sentence is suspended on good behavior.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant all of said fine and costs for which let execution issue and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and costs he will be confined in the county jail or work house until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

vs. } Larceny.
Lucy Davis }

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person, who, being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty.

Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful of Humphreys County to wit Frank Larkins, Walter Bettie, J.R. Horner, W.E. Thomas, E.J. Work, Goe Johnson, J.A. Adams, W.C. Davis, C.A. Summers, J.M. Petty, J.D. Parker, and J.T. Littleton being duly sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find defendant guilty of Pettite Larceny, and fix and assess his punishment at 5 days in the county jail.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by jury the defendant be confined in the county jail for a period of five days, and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secured all of said costs he will be further confined in the county jail, until he pay secure or work out all of said costs.

Said defendant is rendered infamous, disqualified to exercise the election franchise hold office or give evidence in any of the courts of this state.

Settlement agreement
Between Employer and employee under Workmen's Compensation Acts State of Tennessee,

to wit: Hardaway Contracting Company Employer and E.S. Hassell Employee or defendant This agreement, made and entered into this 8th. day of June 1928, by and between E.S. Hassell and Hardaway Contracting Company that for and in consideration of the sum of Six-hundred- Twenty - four and 48/100 - dollars and cents (\$624.48) to the said E.S. Hassell paid by the said Hardaway Contracting Company making in all, with weekly payments already received by the said E.S. Hassell, the total sum of Seven Hundred Twenty Five and 23/100 dollars and cents (\$725.23) in full settlement of compensation under the Workmen's Compensation Act of Tennessee, on account of injury to wit: Loss of left foot resulting to E.S. Hassell on or about the 8th. day of March, 1928, while in the employ of Hardaway contracting Company Johnsonville Tenn. said compensation dating from 13 weeks \$7.75 and 84 1/2 weeks at 85¢ and being in amount, \$725.23/ And it is agreed and understood that all claims for

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County August Term 18th. day of August 1928

compensation for the before mentioned injury under the Workmen's Compensation Act of Tennessee, are this day paid in full, and final settlement is herewith acknowledged. This agreement being subject to review and approval of the Judge of the Circuit Court of the County where the claim for compensation under this Act, is entitled to be made.

It is herewith stated as an essential part of this agreement that its terms and provisions are in full accord with sections 7, 27 and 35 of the Workmen's Act. of Tennessee (and in case of a trustee, Sections 30 of said Act)

Witness our hands this 8th. day of June 1928. Hardaway Contracting Company T.O. Morris Adjuster, E.S. Hassell, Johnsonville Tenn.

State of Tennessee

vs. } B.D.
W.J. Black }

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment, pleads guilty to possessing intoxicating liquor, as charged.

Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Frank Larkins, Walter Bettie, J.R. Horner, W.E. Thomas, E.J. Work, Goe Johnson

J.A. Adams, W.C. Davis, C.A. Summers J.M. Petty, J.D. Parker, and J.T. Littleton, who being duly elected, tried and sworn according to law, after hearing all the proof, argument of

counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oath do say that they find the defendant guilty of possessing intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment, and fix and assess a fine of One Hundred and fifty dollars.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant pay or secure a fine of One Hundred dollars and the costs of this cause for which let execution issue, and that defendant be confined in the county jail, for a period of thirty days, but said jail sentence is suspended upon good behavior.

Thereupon came the defendant and his sureties Henry Bone, W.B. Hand, A.A. Woods, W.C. Hooper and O.L. Lytton and acknowledged themselves bound for said fine and costs for all of which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee

vs. }
Bryan Emery } Circuit Court, Waverly, Tenn.,

In this cause comes the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant, Bryan Emery, who being duly charged and arraigned on said indictment pleads guilty to the charge of failure to support his wife according to his means, whereupon the court after hearing proof is of the opinion and orders and decrees that the defendant, Bryan Emery pay into this court to the clerk thereof, for the use and benefit of his wife Mrs. Mae Emery, the sum of twenty five Dollars per month the first payment of twenty five dollars (\$25.00) to be made September 15th, 1928, and a like sum to be paid on the 15th. day of each month thereafter for 24 months from the date of this order.

It is further ordered by the court that the said Bryan Emery be and is required to secure said sum of \$25.00 each month to be paid, which security shall be given to cover each future month's payment of \$25.00, and he shall pay or secure all the costs of this case, for all of which execution may issue.

It is further ordered by the court that in the event of the failure of the defendant, Bryan Emery, to pay said sum as herein designated, as each payment becomes due and payable, it is ordered that the sheriff re arrest and place him in in the county jail for a period of 11 months and 29 days or until he secures and pays said monthly payments as herein shown, or until further orders of this court.

And the defendant Will Hooper, being solemnly called to come into open court and answer the State of Tennessee, upon a charge of Misdemeanor came not but made default, and the said Allen Murphree, and Tom Bell were also called to come into open court, and bring with them the body of the said Will Hooper, according to the tenor and effect of their said bond came not but made default, neither came the defendant Will Hooper nor his said sureties but made default. It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant Will Hooper, and Allen Murphree, and Tom Bell for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee the said sum of Five hundred dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bond.

And it is further ordered by the court that Sci. Fa. ^{be} issued to the said defendant and his sureties requiring them to appear at the next term of this court and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final. And further that ALIAS CAPIAS issue for the defendant.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Elgie Claud (Drunkenness)

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for the offense of drunkenness,, and the said defendant was arrested and entered into bond with S.A. Forester and R.J. Wilkins as his sureties, which bond is in the words and figures following to wit:

Bond.
State of Tennessee, Humphreys County. We, Elgie Claud, agree to pay to the State of Tennessee Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars unless the said Elgie Claud, appear at the next term of the Circuit Court, of Humphreys County, to be held at the Court house in the town of Waverly on the 3 Monday in April 1928, on Tuesday of said term, to answer the State of Tennessee, for the offense of Public Drunkenness and do not depart the court without leave.

Elgie Claud Principal
S.A. Forester Surety
R.J. Wilkins

Approved

J.J. Smith Sheriff.

This 31 day of May 1928.

And the defendant Elgie Claud, being solemnly called to come into open court and answer the State of Tennessee upon a charge drunkenness came not but made default and the said S.A. Forester, and R.J. Wilkins were also called to come into court and bring with them the body of the said Elgie Claud, according to the tenor and effect of their said bond came not but made default neither came the defendant Elgie Claud, nor his said sureties but made default. It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant Elgie Claud,, S.A. Forester and R.J. Wilkins, for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee the said sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bond. And it is further ordered by the court that Sci. Fa. be issued to the said defendant and his sureties requiring them to appear at the next term of this court and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final. And further that ALIAS CAPIAS issue for the defendant.

State of Tennessee
vs.
E.E. Smith (Forgery)

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the court that this defendant was indicted at the present term of this court for the offense of Forgery, and the said defendant was arrested and entered into bond with Andrew Smith H.A. Smith W.C. Baggett, which bond is in the words and figures as follows, to wit:

BOND

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County. We, E.E. Smith and agree to pay to the State of Tennessee Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars unless the said E.E. Smith, appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, to be held at the court house in the town of Waverly, on the 2nd. Monday in August 1928, on Tuesday of said term, to answer the State of Tennessee, for the offense of Forgery, and do not departe the court without leave.

Elmie E. Smith, Principal
Andrew Smith, Surety
H.A. Smith Surety
W.A. Baggett.

Approved ~~Elmie E. Smith~~

Approved W.P. Redwell J.P.

O.K. H.P. Andrews County Court Clerk,

And the defendant E.E. Smith being solemnly called to come into open court and answer the State of Tennessee upon a charge of Forgery, came not but made default and the said Andrew Smith, H.A. Smith, and W.A. Baggett were also called to come into court and bring with them the body of the said E.E. Smith, according to the tenor and effect of their said bond came not but made default, neither came the defendant E.E. Smith nor his said Sureties but made default.

It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant E.E. Smith and Andrew Smith H.A. Smith and W.A. Baggett, for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee, the said sum of Five hundred dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bond.

And it is further ordered by the court that Sci. Fa. be issued to the said defendant and his sureties requiring them to appear at the next of this court and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final. And further that ALIAS CAPIAS issue for the defendant.

State of Tennessee
vs.
J. W. Taylor (B.D.)

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and it appearing to the Court that this defendant was indicted at the present term of this court for the offense of possessing whisky, and the said defendant was arrested and entered into bond with W.B. Kelly W.F. Sanders, and Willie Franklin, as his sureties which bond is in the words and figures as follows to wit:

Bond

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, We - - - - - agree to pay the State of Tennessee Five Hundred \$500.00 Dollars unless the said J.W. Taylor appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of said county, and from term to term until the case is finally disposed of, for the offense possessing whisky & giving the same to minors, and does not depart the court without leave. Witness our hand, this 7 day of July 1928 J.W. Taylor, W.D. Kelly W.F. Sanders Willie Franklin. Approved Lewis Phy D.S.G.W.D Ethridge, J.P.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 18th. day of August 1928.

And the defendant J.W. Taylor, being solemnly called to come into open court and answer the State of Tennessee upon a charge of possessing wisky came not not made default and the said W.D. Kelly, W.F. Sanders, and Willie Franklin were also called to come into open court and bring with them the body of the said J.W. Taylor according to the tenor and effect of their said bond came not but made default. neither came the defendant J.W. Taylor nor his said sureties but made default.

It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant J.W. Taylor and W.D. Kelly, W.F. Sanders for their said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee the said sum of Five Hundred dollars according to the tenor and effect of their said bond.

And it is further ordered by the court that Sci. Fa. Issue to the said defendant and his said sureties requiring them to appear at the next term of this court and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final. And further that ALIAS CAPTAS issue for the defendant.

J.E. Tubb,)
vs.) Condemnation
J.P. Burkett et al, (

J.B. Bell, Justice of the Peace for Humphreys County, Tennessee filed here in Court the following papers to wit:

Warrant.

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County. To any lawful officer, within said County. You are hereby commanded to summon J.P. Burkett, J.L. Hickman, and E.O. Denslow to personally appear before me, or some other acting Justice of the peace for said county to answer the complaint of J.E. Tubb in a plea of debt due by note under \$1000.00.

Given under my hand and seal, this 25th. day of Jan. 1928. J.B. Bell Justice of the Peace. A counter part of this warrant has this day issued to Henry County for E.O. Denslow in this cause This Jan. 30 1928 J.B. Bell J.P.

Officers Return

Came to hand same day issued, and executed by reading the within ~~xxxxxxx~~ warrant to J.L. Hickman J.P. Burkett and citing them to appear before J.B. Bell for trial the 28th day of

Jan. 1928 at 2 o'clock P.M. J.C. Thomas,

Counter part Warrant.

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County. To any lawful officer within said County. You are hereby commanded to summon E.O. Denslow to personally appear before me, or some other acting Justice of the Peace for said county, to answer the complaint of J.E. Tubb, in a plea of debt due by note under \$1000.00 given under my hand and seal, this 30th. day of Jan. 1928. J.B. Bell Justice of the Peace. This is a counter part of an original warrant which has issued to Humphreys County for J.P. Burkett & J.L. Hickman This Jan. 30 1928 J.B. Bell J.P.

Officers Return on the Counter part warrant.

Came to hand same day issued, and executed by reading the within warrant to E.O. Denslow and citing him to appear before J.B. Bell for trial the 4th. day of Feb. 1928, at 2 o'clock P.M. J.A. Caldwell D.S.

Judgment

J.E. Tubb, vs. J.P. Burkett Principal, J.L. Hickman and E.O. Denslow Sec. In this cause I render judgment for the plaintiff and against the defendants for \$448.00/100 dollars and all costs of suit, for which execution may issue. This 4 day of Feb. 1928 J.B. Bell Justice of the Peace.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term 18th. day of August 1928.

Execution

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, To any lawful Officer to execute and return: You are hereby commanded, that of the goods and chattels, lands and tenements of J.P. Burkett Principal & J.L. Hickman & O.E. Denslow, you cause to be made the sum of Four hundred forty five & 42/100 Dollars With creditor \$139.50 3/4 15/-/100 of \$110.00 24 / 100, and cost of suit, to satisfy a judgment which J.E. Tubb obtained before me J.B. Bell, on the 4th day of Feb. 1928, against J.P. Burkett et al., and such moneys, when collected pay to said J.E. Tubb. Given under my hand and seal, this 4th day of May 1928 J.B. Bell Justice of the Peace.

Levy

The within execution came to hand then issued, and search made and no personal property belonging to the defendants to be found in my county, upon which to levy the same, I therefore levy the same on the following real estate belonging to the defendant J.L. Hickman to wit: being a tract of land lying and being situated in the old 3rd., New and civil district of Humphreys County, Tenn, and is bounded on the north by the lands of C.W. Hobbs, and on the south and east and west by the lands of George Swart, the being levied upon to satisfy the within execution. Said lands are generally known as the lands of W.R. Massey, deceased, and being the place whereon he lived when he died. This the 6th. day of June 1928. D.E. McCann Deputy Sheriff, Humphreys County.

Harry S. Berry Commissioner

vs. ~~xxxxx~~ Allen) In the Circuit Court, of Humphreys County, August term 1928.
Mrs. Allen) Jones)

In this cause came Mary Jones Perkins and filed her petition and motion therein on the 11th. day of August 1928, and also filed an amended petition in the case on the 17th. day of August 1928, which petition the court ordered filed and directed that the said Mary Jones Perkins be made a party defendant in said cause. The court took under advisement the motion of the defendant Mary Jones Perkins, to set the judgment of condemnation heretofore made in the case as sought by the petitioner in the original petition filed in the case to wit Harry S. Berry Commissioner of the Department of Highways and public works of the State of Tennessee, vs. Mrs. Allen Jones, and during the time the court had the matter under advisement the parties to the litigation reached the following agreement to wit: It was agreed upon the part of Harry S. Berry Commissioner as above stated that the Department of Highways and public works would not seek to condemn any of the lands of the defendants extending East of station No. 761, the same being at the west end of the sidewalk across the defendants property near the residence now on their said land and it was agreed on the part of the defendants that they would not object to the condemnation asked for west of said station No. 761 to station No. 762 it being the McAdoo line. The strip of land that it was agreed might be condemned on the defendants property lies immediately south of Main street and adjoining said Main street of the town of Waverly and is east of the McAdoo residence property and is west of the residence lot now occupied by the defendant Mrs. Allen (Allen) Jones and is in the west end of Waverly, Tenn. And it was agreed by the parties that original judgment of condemnation heretofore entered in the case should be altered by the court so as to conform to this agreement, it being agreed by the parties that no lands of the defendants lying East of station No. 761 would be condemned.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the former judgment of condemnation in this case be so amended as to conform and to agree with the foregoing agreement of the parties with reference to the lands sought to be condemned.

It is further ordered by the court on motion of the defendants that the report of the jury of view heretofore summoned in this case to assess the damages under the former order of condemnation be set aside, and the sheriff of Humphreys County, is hereby directed to summon a new jury of view for the purpose of assessing the damages in this order of condemnation as the law directs.

J.E. Tubbs
vs.) Condemnation

J.P. Burkett et al.

And on motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered by the court that the lands so levied upon, be sold by the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee to satisfy the aforesaid judgment of J.B. Bell J.P. of the said ~~State of Tennessee~~ and also the costs of this proceedings.

R.H. McKeel
vs.) Condemnation

G.W. Buchanan

J.B. Bell, Justice of the Peace for Humphreys County Tennessee, filed herein court the following papers to wit:

Note

\$146.00 Waverly, Tenn. July 23, 1928. On or by Dec. 2nd after date, we or either of us promise to pay to the order of R.H. McKeel, One hundred forty six and 87/100-----Dollars for value received. BY THE CITIZENS BANK OF WAVERLY, Waverly, Tenn. Both makers and endorsers of this note severally and jointly waive demand of non-payment and protest. In the event suit is brought upon this note, we both makers and endorsers, agree to pay 10 per cent attorneys fee fee ~~and~~ included the judgment rendered for collection of same, and we, and each of us both makers and endorsers hereby authorize, Mason Sanders or A.P. McMurray or either of them at any time after the above note becomes due, to go before any court of record or any Justice of the Peace having jurisdiction thereof in the State of Tennessee, and confess judgment thereof against us in favor of ~~xxx~~ R.H. McKeel or assigns, for the said amount with interest and costs, and the 10 percent attorney's fee, in accordance with the provisions of section 4706, 4706 and 4707, Code of Tennessee Shannon's Edition, 1898 G.W. Buchanan.

Judgment

Waverly Humphreys County, Tennessee, Waverly, Tenn. March 24th 1928 By virtue of Authority contained in this note, I Mason Sanders, the within named attorney in fact for the maker, G.W. Buchanan, do hereby confess judgment against the said G.W. Buchanan, and in favor of said R.H. McKeel, the present holder and owner of the note for \$146.10 and also an Attorney's fee amounting to \$14.90 making a total of \$161.00 and all cost of this case, for all of which execution may issue. Mason Sanders, Attorney in fact.

Execution

State of Tennessee, Humphreys County, To any lawful officer to execute and return. You are hereby commanded that of the goods and chattels, lands and tenements of G.W. Buchanan, you cause to be made the sum of one hundred and sixty two and 90/100-----Dollars and costs of suit, to satisfy a judgment which R.H. McKeel obtained be me (J.B. Bell) Justice of the Peace on the 5th day of March 1928, against G.W. Buchanan, and such money's when collected, pay to the said R.H. McKeel, Given under my hand and seal, this 22nd day of June 1928, J.B. Bell Justice of the Peace.

Levy

This Fi. Fa. came to hand same day issued and search having been made by me and no personal property having been found on which to levy I therefore levied on the following described tract of land the same being the property of the defendant G.W. Buchanan, The same being a parcel or tract of land lying and being in the old. 4th New 5th district of Humphreys County, Tennessee, on the east side of Tennessee River on Beason Branch bounded as follows to wit: Beginning on a sweet gum tree running thence east 90 poles to a stake. Thence south 90 poles to a stake. Thence west 90 poles to a stake in W.A. Daniel line thence north with said line to the beginning containing 50 acres by estimation. The same being the land deeded to the said G.W. Buchanan, by I.M. Inman and wife Susie Inman recorded Registers office Humphreys County deed Book, 29 page 181. This June 22 1928, J.B. Smith Sheriff.

And on motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered by the court, that the lands so levied on, be sold by the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, to satisfy the aforesaid judgment of J.B. Bell J.P. of the said R.H. McKeel, and also the costs of this proceedings.

State of Tennessee
vs.) Forfeiture
Jno. Abbott

In this cause it appearing to the court, that the defendant made a cash bond in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars for his appearance before this court and that Sci Fa has issued against the defendant and also an alias capias for his arrest and the that he has failed to appear and cannot be found.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that final judgment against the defendant be rendered for the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, and the State will recover for the use and benefit of Humphreys county, of the defendant the said sum of Five Hundred Dollars and the cost of this cause, and the sheriff J.B. Smith is ordered to pay said sum of five Hundred Dollars which he holds as such cash bond, to the clerk of this court.

State of Tennessee
vs.) Forfeiture on bond
Tad Morris et al,

Came the defendants in their own proper person, and by Attorney and the the Attorney General on behalf of the State when this case came on to be heard and was heard by the court upon the scire facias, return of the sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendants, and the motion of defendants to set aside the forfeiture entered against them when the court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set said forfeiture entered at the April ~~term~~ 1928 term of the court aside, but adjudges the cost of the forfeiture against defendants.

It is therefore considered by the court that the forfeiture taken and entered against the defendants be and the same is set aside at defendants' costs, and the state of Tennessee recover of the defendants, all the cost accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeiture, for all of which execution will issue.

State of Tennessee
vs.)
Tad Morris et al,) Forfeiture on Bond.

Came the defendants in their own proper person and by attorney and the Attorney General on behalf of the State when this case came on to be and was heard by the Court upon the scire facias, return of the sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendants, and the motion of defendants to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set said forfeiture entered at the April 1928 term of the court aside, but adjudges the cost of the forfeiture against defendants.

It is therefore considered by the court that the forfeiture taken and entered against defendants be and the same is set aside at defendants' cost, and that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendants all the cost accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeiture, for all of which execution will issue.

State of Tennessee
vs.)
Tad Morris et al,) FORFEITURE ON BOND.

Came the defendants in their own proper person and by attorney and the Attorney General for the State, when this case came on to be and was heard by the Court upon the scire facias, return of the sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendants, and the motion of defendants to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set said forfeiture entered at the April 1928 term of the court aside, but adjudges the cost of the forfeiture against defendants.

It is therefore considered by the court that the forfeiture taken and entered against defendants be and the same is set aside at defendants' cost, and that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendants, all the cost accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeiture, for all of which execution will issue.

State of Tennessee
vs.)
Tad Morris et al,) Forfeiture on Bond.

Came the defendants in ~~person~~ their own proper person and by attorney and the Attorney General on behalf of the State when this case came on to be and was heard by the court upon the scire facias, return of the sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendants, and the motion of the defendants to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set said forfeiture entered at the April 1928 term of the court aside, but adjudges the costs of the forfeiture against defendants.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the forfeiture taken and entered against defendants be and the same is set aside at defendants' cost, and that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendants, all the cost accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeiture, for all of which execution will issue.

State of Tennessee
vs.)
Tad Morris et al,) FORFEITURE ON BOND.

Came the defendants in their own proper person and by attorney and the Attorney General on behalf of the State when this case came to be and was heard by the Court upon the scire facias, return of the sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendants, and the motion of defendants to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the Court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set said forfeiture entered at ~~April 1928~~ the April 1928 term of the court aside, but adjudges the cost of the forfeiture against defendants.

It is therefore considered by the court that the forfeiture taken and entered against defendants be and the same is set aside at defendants' cost, and that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendants, all the cost accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeiture, for all of which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee
vs.)
Tad ~~et al~~ ^{Morris} et al,) FORFEITURE ON BOND.

Came the defendants in their own proper person and by attorney, and the Attorney General on behalf of the State when this case came on to be and was heard by the Court upon the scire facias, return of the sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendants, and the motion of defendants to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set said forfeiture entered at the April 1928 term of the court aside, but adjudges the cost of the forfeiture against defendants.

It is therefore considered by the court that the forfeiture taken and entered against defendants be and the same is set aside at defendants' cost, and that the State of Tennessee, recover of the defendants, all the cost accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeiture for all of which execution will issue.

State of Tennessee
vs.)
Tad Morris et al,) FORFEITURE ON BOND.

Came the defendants in their own proper person and by attorney and the Attorney General for the State, when this case on to be and was heard by the Court upon the scire facias, return of the sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendants, and the motion of defendants to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the Court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set said forfeiture entered at the April 1928 term of the court aside, but adjudges the cost of the forfeiture against defendants.

It is therefore considered by the court that the forfeiture taken and entered ~~against~~ against defendants be and the same is set aside at defendants' cost, and that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendants, all the cost accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeiture, for all of which execution will issue.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Tad Morris et al,)
FORFEITURE ON BOND

Came the defendants in their own proper person, and by attorney, and the Attorney General on behalf of the State, when this case came on to be and was heard by the court upon the scire facias, return of the sheriff thereon, the answer of the defendants, and the motion of the defendants to set aside the forfeiture entered against them, when the Court after hearing and fully considering the same is pleased to and does set said forfeiture entered at the April 1928 term of the court aside, but adjudges the cost of the forfeiture against defendants.

It is therefore considered by the court that the forfeiture taken and entered against defendants be and same is set aside at defendants' cost, and that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendants, all the cost accruing by reason of the taking and setting aside said forfeiture, for all of which execution will issue.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Halley Holland)
M. Liquor

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person and by attorney, and it appearing to the court that the defendant was convicted at a former term of this for the offense of Manufacturing liquor and fine ~~two~~ Two hundred fifty dollars together with his part of the cost, and to be confined in the County Jail for period of 90 days, but said jail sentence and fine was pardoned by Governor Henry H. Horton and the said Halley Holland was only taxed with his part of the cost. It is therefore ordered and decreed by the court that the defendant pay his part of the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

then came into open court R.F. Holland and J.W. Strider and entered their names as sureties for the costs of this cause as to Halley Holland.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant and his sureties all the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

J.L. Bryn and Son
vs.
E.L. Chance)

The death of the plaintiff J.L. Bryn, having been duly proved, by consent of the parties, and it appearing to the Court that the name, J.L. Bryn and Son, was merely the trade name or the name under which J.L. Bryn carried on his business, and that the father of J.L. Bryn now deceased had nothing to do with the said business in the way of ownership, and on motion of the plaintiff the said case is revived in the name of J.W. Bryn.

Court then adjourned until court in course.

Judge.

CAPTION DECEMBER TERM CIRCUIT COURT A D. 1928.

STATE OF TENNESSEE,
HUMPHREYS COUNTY

Be it remembered that a Circuit Court was opened and held in and for the County of Humphreys, at the court house in the town of Waverly, Tennessee on the 10th day of December, it being the 2nd Monday in said month, and the One Thousand Nine Hundred and Twenty seventh year of Our Lord, and the One Hundred and Fifty second year of American Independence.

Present and presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Horton Judge of the 9th. Judicial Circuit of the State of Tennessee.

Court was opened in due form of law by Walter McNeil Sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee and by him was returned into open court, a writ of venire facias showing that the following named persons were appointed by the County Court at its October term 1928 to appear and to serve as jurors at this the present term of this court to wit: Oscar Triplett, J.W. Patterson Jim Smith, Len Bell, Charlie Forest, Grover Bass, Alfred Fortner, Jesse Anderson, G.W. Jarrell, W.R. Yates, A.B. Wright Bill Burgess, W.W. Patterson, Jess Rice, Dave Stewart Dave Wright Lem Hushon, Leslie Fortner John Gun, Jeff Page, W.H. Crockett, Wood Owens Everitt, Pewitt and Jeff Fields, and it appearing to the court, that the above named parties were regularly summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tennessee, and that said jurors so summoned ~~and appearing~~ appeared and answered said summons ~~except~~. Jesse Anderson, Wood Owens Lester Fortner, and W.B. Crockett, and I.H. Crockett Carroll Curtis, W.H. Ballard, and R.L. Hensley were appointed by the court and duly qualified to fill said vacancies, out of said jurors so summoned and appearing were drawn a Grand Jury to wit: Jim, Smith, W.R. Yates Dave Wright Jeff Fields, C.E. Pewitt, G.G. Jarroll, A.B. Wright, Len Bell, J.N. Rice John Gunn, C.D. Forest, W.H. Burgess, R.H. McKeel is by the court appointed Foreman for the next two years, and the said Grand Jury is in all things as the law directs, having been ~~sworn~~ duly elected tried, sworn and charged by the court according to law retired to their room in charge of their sworn officer to wit W.B. Williams a deputy Sheriff of Humphreys County sworn according to law to attend them in considering presentments and indictment

It appearing to the court that the term of P.J. Fuqua, ~~Texas Foreman~~ Sec. as permanent Foreman of the Grand Jury has expired, and that it is necessary to appoint another Foreman the Court was pleased to and did appoint Mr. R.H. McKeel permanent foreman of the Grand Jury for the regular term of two years, whereupon the said R.H. McKeel appeared in open court and accepted said appointment and was duly qualified and sworn as our permanent Foreman of the Grand Jury.

This day the Grand Jury came into open court in a body and presents the following indictment and presentments.

One against Jimmie Dun M. liquor etc. Subpoena for the State W.B. Williams & B. Lowery, J.C. Brandon, Tom Simpson.

One against E.G. Collier Drunkenness, Subpoena for the state Joe Traylor, Walter McNeil Walter Harris

One against J.E. Noles B.D. Subpoena for the state J.L. Smith D.B. McCann, T.D. Story.

One against John Worley B.D. subpoena for the state Jno. Tate, D.B. McCann, Walter McNeil

One against Landers Watson Millard Brandon W.T. Pope, and L.A. Tune B.D. Subpoena for the state John Tate Sam Scott, G.B. Smith, J.L. Smith, D.B. McCann.

One against Walter Miller and Mary James Lewdness subpoena for the State A.B. Bryant, Walter Harris, Joe Traylor, Geo Headrick.

One against Willie Morgan Mis. d. subpoena for the state J.L. Smith, Oliver Dolan, Mrs. Oliver Dolan J.F. Merideth.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December term 10th. day of September, 1928

One against A.A. Taylor, B.D. subpoena for the state J.L. Smith, Jno. Tate, T.D. Story.
 One against Ed. Thornton, B.D. Subpoena for the state G.W. Maxey, W.H. Hicker, B.V. Brewer,
 M.H. Walker, T.D. Story.
 One against Ed. Thornton, Mis,d Subpoena for the State J.L. Smith, G.W. Maxey, W.H. Walker
 W.H. Hickerson, B.V. Brewer, T.D. Story.

One against Cecil Plant B.D. Subpoena for the State J.L. Smith G.B. Smith.

State of Tennessee
 vs.
 A.A. Taylor

{ Mis,d

In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true bill

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
 vs.
 Ted Pettitt

{ Assault to commit rape.

In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true bill

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, the defendant go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
 vs.
 L.B. Bradley et al,

{ M. Liquor

In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true bill

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be dismissed, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
 vs.
 L.B. Bradley et al,

{ B.D.

In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true bill,

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
 vs.
 Barney Barr

{ B.D.

In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true bill,

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be dismissed and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
 vs.
 Frank Merideth

{ B.D.

In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true bill

ordered adjudged and decreed
 It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant be discharged and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
 vs.
 Jimmie Dunn

{ B.D.

In this case the Grand Jury return an indictment marked not a true bill

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that the defendant be dismissed, and go hence without day

Minutes Circuit court, Humphreys County, December term 10th. day of December 1928

E.T. and L.W. Crowell
 vs.
 E.W. Curtis et al,

{ Appealed J.P.

In this cause the parties before the Clerk of this court, and this case is compromised and settled out of court at the costs of the plaintiffs, and then came into open court E.T. Crowell, and paid the clerk of this court the cost of this cause.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9.00 o'clock.

Judge

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December term 11th. day of December 1928

Court met pursuant to adjournment, present and presiding the Hon. J.D.G. Morton, Judge etc. The following cases Alias Capias was ordered issued for the several defendant. State vs Jim Webb, Drunkenness, State vs. Jim Webb, Larceny, State Cleman Black, Drunkenness, State vs Jim Webb, Larceny, State vs. Jack Forest B.D. State vs. Meland James B.D. State Nelson Murphree, Larceny, State vs. Booker T. Smith Age consent, State vs Bob Tatum, Larceny State vs. Britton Townsend, Larceny, State vs, Britton Townsend Larceny. State vs Lloyd Box, Drunkenness State vs, John Clark Drunkenness. State vs. Meland James, Mis,d, State vs W.N. Jones Mis,d State vs George Mosley, Drunkenness State vs. Layon Mathews, Drunkenness, State vs. Lewis Phy, Mis,d State vs. Lewis Phy, B.D. State vs. Lewis Phy, Drunkenness, State Etel Smith Drunkenness, State vs Tobe Wright.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Will Hooper) Mis,d

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charged. Whereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall shall pay a fine of twenty five dollars together with all the costs and be confined in the county jail for a period of thirty days, and in the vent of his failure to pay or secure said fine and costs he will be further confined in the county jail until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Elmer Hooper) Age consent

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and by agreement this case is continued until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Clifford Bell) Breach of the peace.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, and plead guilty as charged, Whereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the costs, and in the event of failure to pay or secure all of said fine and cost he will be further confined in the county jail or workhouse until he pay secure all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Jim Webb et al,) Sci. Fa.

This case is continued until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Elgie Clayde) Drunkenness

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant and plead guilty as charged, Therupon the court assessee the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the costs for which let execution issue. then came into open court S. H. Mayberry Tom Maybery and D.N. Wright, and entered thier names as sureties for all of said fine and costs.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant and his sureties all of said fine and cost for which let execution issue.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December term Circuit court 11 day of December 1928

State of Tennessee
vs.
Vernon Smith) Drunkenness,

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person and plead guilty as charged whereupon the Court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the cost, then came into open court the defendant and paid to the clerk of this court all of said fine and costs.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court tha the defendant be dismissed, and go hence without day

The following cases were continued on agreement to plead guilty at the next term of this Court. State vs Williw Breeden, ~~State vs Williw Breeden, State vs Sugg Herbison Drunkenness~~ Drunkenness Joe Hicks Drunkenness, State vs. Jim Hicks Drunkenness State vs Sugg Herbison Drunkenness State vs. Sugg Herbison Drunkenness State vs George Mallard, Mis,d State vs George Mallard Drunkenness, State vs Clvde Mayberry, Drunkenness.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Jimmie Dunn) M. Liquor.

This case is continued by the State on account of the illness of W.B. William.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Ira Turner,) Mis,d

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person, who being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads guilty as charged. Therupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County, to wit: Dave Stewart, Lem Rushton, Oscar Triplett, J.W. Patterson, Grover Bass I.H. Crockett, Carroll Curtis W.H. Allard, W.E. Joshlin W.D. Patterson, J.N. Page, Alfred Fortner, after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the Court, upon thier oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged, and fix and assess his punishment at thirty days in jail.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense as found by the jury the defendant be confined in the county jail for a period of thirty days, and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said costs he will be further confined in the county jail or work house until he pay secure or workout all of said cost. The defendant is prohibited from driving an automobile for a period of twelve months and in the event he should do so, he will be taken in charge and be further confined for a period of four months.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Will Voluntine col.) Driving an automobile while drunk.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in person who being duly charged and arraigned, on said bill of indictment pleads guilty as charged. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Dave Stewart Lem Rushton, Oscar Triplett, J.W. Patterson Grover Bass, I.H. Crockett, Carroll Curtis, W.H. Allard, W.D. Patterson, J.N. Page, and Alfred Fortner. W.E. Joshlin. after hearing all the proof, argument of counsel, and the charge of the court, upon thier oath do say that they find the defendant guilty as charged, and fix and assess his punishment at thirty days in jail.