

Caption July Special Term Circuit Court A.D. 1917

State of Tennessee
Humphreys County.

Be it remembered that at a special term of the Circuit Court appointed and published in conformity with the requirements of the Statute, was begun and held for the aforesaid county and State, in the town of Waverly on Monday the 30th. day of July 1917 it being the One Hundred and forty first year of American independence;

Present and presiding the Hon. W.L. Cook Judge of the 9th. Judicial Circuit, when when the following proceedings were had and entered of record to wit.

Court was opened by J.L. Smith Sheriff of Humphreys County, and by him was returned into open court a writ of venire facia containing a list of jurors delivered to him by the clerk of this court, which had been previously appointed by T.J. Haney

J.E. Sullivan and C.C. Patterson Justices of the Peace of said county, who acted under orders of the presiding Judge in the selection of said venire composed of the following citizens to wit: G.M. Daniel, George Anderson, W.T. Cannon, W.R. Petty, G.W. Dotson, Bob Horner, Jno. Timmell, R.C. Carnell, J.A. O. Guin, W.N. Link, W.R. Box, Geo. Ridings, C.M. Cooley, and H.H. Carnell, and it appearing that the aforesaid persons had been summoned by the Sheriff to appear and serve as jurors and all them answered, and qualified for service as a trial jury of whom W.T. Cannon J.M. Young, J.A. OGuin, and C.M. Cooley, were for good cause by the court.

The term having been appointed for the disposition of civil business the Grand Jury was not empaneled.

C.L. Rourke

vs.

Claud Lashlee

In Circuit Court at Waverly, Humphreys County Tennessee,

In this cause came the parties by thier attorneys, and also a jury of good and lawful men to wit: H.H. Carnell, Jno. Timmell, Dave Pruett, George Anderson, W.R. Petty, J.A. Bradley, G.W. Dotson, G.M. Daniel, Geo. Ridings, W.R. Box, W.N. Link, and R.C. Carnell who after hearing all the evidence, and argument of counsel on both sides, and charge of the court retired to thier room to deliberate on this case but not having time to consider the case the said jury were respited until tomorrow morning at 9, O'clock.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 o, clock.

W. L. Cook
Judge

Court met pursuant to adjournment present and presiding the Hon. W.L. Cook Judge.

O.L. Rourke, vs. In Circuit Court at Waverly, Humphreys County Tennessee.

Claud Lashlee
Came again the parties by thier attorneys, and came again the jury heretofore empaneled, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined and after consideration the jury aforesaid upon thier oath aforesaid do say, that they are unable to agree upon a verdict in this case.
It is therefore considered by the court that the jury be discharged, and that this cause be retained on the docket by the Clerk of this court for retrial, at some future term of this court.

The following cases were continued until the December term. Western Union Tel. Co. against N.C. & St. L. R.R. Hooper Brothers against J.B. Brown, S.E. Phillips against Kelly Handle Co. F.N. Scholes against Lucian Lashlee. A.W. Work against Frank York.

The following cases were continued until August term, Hooper Brothers against A.T. Manor A.F. Dreaden against N.C. & St. L. R.R. Mrs. E.E. Cooley against J.T. Littleton, K.R. Parnell against C.W. Summers, Herman Moody against Daisy Moody, J.W. Byrn against The Oliver Hill Construction Co. J.T. Fahey against Boyd Havener J.P. Cowen & Co. against J.E. Sullivan, N.B. Brown against Ida Spann, et, al. C.D. Fentress against J.T. Henry. N.B. Brown against J.W. Bryce col. G.W. Buchanan against W.H. Merdith C.M. Cooley against W.F. Turner Admr. et, al, J.B. Shearon Admr. against Mrs. N.J. Curtis et, al, Jho. Lagan, against N.C. & St. L. R.R.

Jenings Amos Mfg. Co. vs. In circuit Court at Waverly Tenn., J.R. Fowlkes

This is continued until December and set for first day.

J.O. Baugus vs. In, Circuit Court at Waverly, Humphreys County, Tennessee. J.L. Phebus

E. Cowen vs. Joe Tummins et, al,

E. Cowen vs. Dan tummins et, al,

The two above styled cases are continued until August term.

J.H. Hall Order to retax costs. N.C. & St. L. R.R.

On this the 31st of July 1917, at a special term of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County Tenn., the motion heretofore made, and entered to retax costs in this case, and after consideration, the court holds that the costs of the Circuit Court Clerk Albert Binkley, for issuing subpoenas for witnesses for the plaintiff that had already been summoned was improperly taxed in the costs bill and said costs is disallowed by the court, amounting in the aggregate of \$1.60 The remainder of the costs bill will be paid by the defendant company under the agreement between the parties.

Court then adjourned until court in course.

W.L. Cook - Judge

Caption Augst Term Circuit Court 1917

Be it rememered that a Circuit Court was opened and held in for the County of Humphreys at the court house in the town of Waverly Tennessee. On the 13th. day of August it being the 2nd. Monday in said month. And the One Thousand Nine Hundred and Seventeenth year of our Lord. And the One Hundred and Forty first year of American Independence.

Present and presiding the Hon. W.L. Cook Judge of the 9th. Judicial Circuit of the State of Tennessee.

Court was opened in due form of law by J.L. Smith Sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, and by him was returned into open court a writ of venire facia showing that the following named persons were appointed by the County Court at its April term 1917 to appear and serve as jurors at this the present term of court to wit: Lenard Petty, E.D. Hooper, Walter Nicks, R.H. Warren, Luck Carter, J.A. Gatlin, J.R. Perkins, J.B. Link, C.C. Hobbs, G.H. Yarbrough, Thomas Townsend, G.P. Jones, Ruff. Petty, J.W. Lomax, E.L. Crowell, Walter Pruett, Jim Meadow, Zollie Myatt, J.D. Tubb, W.T. Patterson, W.J. Porch, W.M. O. Barr, and R.L. Muliniks; Jim Sheehy F. Riddings. And it appearing to the court that the above parties were regularly summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and that said parties so summoned appeared and answered said summons except. Lenard Petty, Ruf Petty, Jim Sheehy, W.J. Porch, W.M. O. Barr, and R.L. Muliniks who were excused by the court for cause and J.B. Smith, J.M. Reece W.H. May, Al Merdith, Henry Rogers, Jno Watts were by the court appointed and duly qualified to fill the vacancies.

Out of said jurors so summoned and appearing were drawn a Grand Jury to wit: J.W. Lomax, G.H. Yarbrough, F. Riddings, E.D. Hooper, Zollie Myatt, G.P. Jones, Jim Meadow, C.C. Hobbs, J.A. Gatlin, Luck Carter E.W. Wix, J.R. Perkins and Thomas Townsend. Out of whom C.C. Hobbs, is by the court appointed Foreman and the said Grand Jury is in all things as the law directs havinh been duly elected tried and sworn, and charged by the court retired to thier room in charge M.C. Buchanan a Deputy Sheriff of Humphreys County sworn according to law to attend them in considering presentments and indictments.

The day the Grand Jury came into open court in a body, and present the following indictments and perpresentments.

An indictment against Fred Wilson Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures following to wit: State of Tennessee Humphreys County. August Term Circuit Court A.D. 1917. The Grand Jury for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to for the body of the County Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon thier oath aforesaid, present that Fred Wilson of said County, heretofore to wit, on the 31st. day of March 1917, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did steal, take and carry away three chickens, of the value of three dollars the property of W.A. Grimes of said County, then and there being found contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon thier oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Fred Wilson of said County, on the day and year aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, and unlawfully and feloniously did receive, buy conceal, and aid in concealing 3 chickens of the value of three Dollars the property of W.A. Grimes of said County, before then feloniously stolen, taken and carried away by some one, to the Grand Jury unknown, he the said Fred Wilson then and there knowing the said chickens to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, and he the said Fred Wilson intending then and there fraudulently to deprive the owner thereof, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. August Term 1917, The State Vs. Fred Wilson Larceny W.A. Grimes Prosecutor Subpoena for the State W.A. Grimes, Lenard McGahey Melvin Gray Millie Grim as Tempty McGahey, Willie Page Kelly Baker, George McDonal, and Lester Fortner witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury Agust Term 1917 C.C. Hobbs Foreman Grand Jury. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General A True Bill C.C. Hobbs Foreman of the Grand Jury.

The said Grand Jury also present and indiciement against Mrs. Maggie Dunn which indictment is in the words and figures following to wit. State of Tennessee Humphreys County. August Term Circuit Court A.D. 1917. The Grand Jirors for the State of Tennessee, elected empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid upon thier oath aforesaid, present that Mrs. Maggie Dunn, heretofore to wit, on the 14th. day of July 1917, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did steal take and carry away one bucket of lard. of the value of One & 10/100 Dollars, the property of J.W. Miller of said County, then and tere being found, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon thier oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Mrs. Maggie Dunn of said County, on the day and year aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did receive, buy conceal, and aid in concealing one bucket of lard of the value of One ~~10~~ 10/100 Dollars, the property of J.W. Miller of said County, before then feloniously stolen, taken and carried away by some one, to the Grand Jury unknown she the said Dunn then and the re knowing the said lard to have been feloniously stolen taken and carried away, and she the said Dunn, then and there fraudulently to deprive the owner thereof, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. August Term 1917. The State against Mrs. Maggie Dunn. Larceny, J.W. Miller Prosecutor Subpoena for the State J.W. Miller Walter Miller Foney Woody, Grady Byrn and Sam

Floyd, witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury August Term 1917 C.C. Hobbs Foreman of the Grand Jury Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General A True Bill C.C. Hobbs Foreman Grand Jury. The said Grand Jury also present an indictment against Jno. Butler Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree which indictment is in the words and figures following to wit. State of Tennessee Humphreys County. August Term of Circuit Court A.D. 1917. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charge d to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon thier oath aforesaid present that Jno. Young of said County, heretofore to wit and on the 26th. day of April 1917 with force of Arms, in the County aforesaid unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly and maliciously did make an assault upon the body of one Jno. Butler with a certain pistol with the unlawful and felonious intent, then and there, him the said Jno. Butler unlawfully, feloniously, willfully, deliberately, premeditatedly, and of his malice aforethought, to kill, and upon him to commit the crime and felony of murder in the first degree, and against the peace and dignity of the State. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General.

August Term 1917 The State vs. Jno. Young, assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree Jno. Butler Prosecutor. Subpoena for the State Jno. Butler Mrs. W.J. Inman, Walter Wright, Leslie Shanon R.E. Hugin, W.J. Inman, witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury August Term 1917 C.C. Hobbs Foreman of the Grand Jury Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General A True Bill C.C. Hobbs Foreman of the Grand Jury.

The said Grand Jury also present an indictment againsy Mrs. Maggie Dunn. Larceny which indictment is in the words and figures following to wit. State of Tennessee Humphreys County. August Term of the Circuit Court A.D. 1917 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys, and State aforesaid, upon thier oath aforesaid, present that Mrs. Maggie Dunn. hereto fore to wit, on the 7th. day of July 1917 in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did steal, take and carry away two pair of pants of the value of Two & 20/100 Dollars the property of H.D. Estes of said County, then and there being found contrary to the ~~statute~~ form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. And the Grand Jurors aforesaid upon thier oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Mrs. Maggoe Dunn. of said County, on the day and year aforesaid in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did receive, buy, conceal, and aid in concealing two pair of pants of the value of two & 20/100 Dollars, the property of H.D. Estes of said County, before then feloniously stolen, taken and carried away by some one to the Grand Jury unknown she the said Mrs. Maggie Dunn then and there knowing the said pants to have been feloniously feloniously stolen, taken, and carried away, and she the said Mrs. Maggie Dunn intending then and there fraudulently to deprive the owner thereof, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the state Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. August Term 1917 The State vs. Mrs. Maggie Dunn. Larceny. H.D. Estes Prosecutor Subpoena for the State H.D. Estes, Grady Byrns Arbella Woody Estelle Ethridge Dave Anderson, and Bill Powell. witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before

The Grand Jury August Term 1917 C.O. Hobbs Foreman of Grand Jury. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. A True Bill. C.O. Hobbs Foreman Grand Jury.

The said Grand Jury present the following presentments.

One against Jno. Young Carrying a pistol, subpoena for the State Jno. Butler, Mrs. W.J. Inman, Walter Wright, Leslie Shannon, R.E. Hogan, and W.J. Inman.

One against Mose Box Col. Carrying a pistol Subpoena for the State Matt. G. Gunn, R.S. Warren.

One against Hiram Turberville A.B. Subpoena for the State Ida Warren, Math Baker, Frank Baker, Mrs. Nanie Warren, Mattie Wright, and Walter Wright.

State of Tennessee vs. Jno. Butler Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree

In this case the grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. Therefore the defendant is by the court discharged.

J.T. Fahey vs. Boyd Haveren et. al.

In this case on motion of the defendants this case is dismissed at the cost of the plaintiff.

It is therefore considered by the court that the defendants recover of the plaintiff the cost of this cause for which execution may issue.

State of Tennessee vs. Cleve Bigham Failure to work road.

In this case came to Attorney General for the State and the defendant in his own proper person and plead guilty as charged, whereupon the court assess the penalty and say on recommendation of the Attorney General a nolleprosequi is entered on the payment of cost, then came into open court R.A. Bigham and C.A. Summers and enter thier names as sureties for this cost.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant and his surties the cost of this cause for which execution may issue.

State of Tennessee vs. Earnest Totty Carrying a pistol

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in his own proper person and placed guilty as charged, whereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Fifty Dollars together with all the cost. then came into open court W.A. Toty, and entered his name as surety for all of said fine and cost.

It is there fore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant and his surty all of said fine and cost for which execution may issue.

State of Tennessee vs. Robert Morgan D.V.

In this came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in

his own proper person and by Attorney, and plead guilty as charged whereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Twenty dollars together with all the cost then came into open court W.F. Turner the defendant's attorney with an order from C.O. Prossnell and Herman Rowlett of Henry County Authorised the Clerk of this court to enter thier names as sureties for the said fine and cost.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant his sureties all of said fine and costs for which execution may issue.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

W.L. Cook Judge

Court met pursuant to adjournment present and presiding the Hon. W.L. Cook Judge

State of Tennessee vs. Chas. Ledbetter Tippling (Forfeiture

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and it appearing to the court that this defendant was indicted at a former term for the offense of Tippling, and the said defendant was arrested and entered into bond with W.R. Denison as his surety which bond is in the words and figures following to wit: State of Tennessee Humphreys County. We chas Ledbetter agree to pay to the State of Tennessee Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars unless the said Chas. Ledbetter appear at the next term of the Circuit Court of Humphreys County, to be held at the Court house in the town of Waverly on the 3d. Monday in April 1917 on Tuesday of said term, to answer the State of Tennessee for the offense of Tippling and do not depart the Court without leave. C.C. Ledbetter Principal. W.R. Denison Surety. Approved J.L. Smith Sheriff. This 16 day of Dec. 1916.

And the defendant Chas Ledbetter being solemnly called to come into open court and answer the State of Tennessee upon a charge of Tippling came not but made default and the said W.R. Denison were also called to come into court and bring with him the body of the said Chas. Ledbetter according to the tenor and effect of his said bond came not but made default neither came the defendant Chas. Ledbetter nor his said surety but made default. It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant Chas. Ledbetter and W.R. Denison for thier said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee the said sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars according to the tenor and effect of thier said bond.

And it is further ordered by the court that Sci. Fa. be issued to the said defendant and his said surety requiring them to appear at the next term of this court and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final. And further that Alias Capias issue for the defendant.

G.W. Buchanan
vs.
W.H. Merdith
Came the parties by thier attorneys, and a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W.T. Patterson, J.B. Smith, J.M. Reece, W.H. May, Jno. Watts, Dalmor Spann, Henry Rogers, E.L. Crowell, R.H. Warren, and J.B. Link, and after hearing all the evidence, the argument of counsel and charge of the court the jurors retired to consider thier verdict wherefore after consideration the jurors aforesaid upon thier oaths do say that they find the matters in controversy in favor of the Defendant wherefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs, suit stand dismissed that plaintiff all the cost accrued at his instance, and one third of the costs incurred by the defendants, and that of the cost incurred at defendant instance he pay the remaining one third. And herefor the adjudged against each let execution issue.

C.D. Fentress
vs.
J.T. Henry

Came the parties by thier attorney,s and a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W.T. Patterson J.B. Link, J.M. Reece, W.H. May, Jno. Watts, Al Merdith, J.A. Young, Henry Rogers, E.L. Crowell R.H. Warren, Walter Pruett, and J.B. Smith, and after hearing all the evidence the argument of counsel and the charge of the court the jurors retired to consider of thier verdict wherefore after consideration the jurors aforesaid upon thier oaths do say that they find the matters in controversy in favor of the plaintiff, that the defendant is indebted to plaintiff by note dated August 2nd. 1914 due eight months after date in the sum of Fifty Dollars and Eighty two cents \$50.82, and interst thereon It is therefore considered by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant Fifty Dollars and Eighty two cents \$50.82 Principal and Three Dollars and twelve cents \$3.12 aggregating Fifty three Dollars and Ninety four cents \$53.94 together with the cost for which let execution issue.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Mose Box Col. { Carrying a pistol

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in his own proper person, and plead guilty as charged whereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Fifty Dolars together with all the costs, and in the event of ois failure to pay or secure said fine and cost he will be confined in the County Jail or work house until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Ike Jackson { Drunkenness

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in his own proper person, and plead guilty as charged whereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Five Dollars together with all the cost and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and cost he will be confined in the County Jail or workhouse until he pay secure or work

work out all of said fine and costs.

The following cases were continued by consent until the next term of court: State of Tennessee against Walker Anderson et. al., State of Tennessee against J. P. Bass et. al., State of Tennessee against Meldeo Crockett et. al., State of Tennessee against Mrs. Maggie Dunn, State of Tennessee against Mrs. Maggie Dunn.

The following cases were marked by the court for Alias: State of Tennessee against Herman Stewart, State of Tennessee against Joe White Col., State of Tennessee against Clifford Frey State of Tennessee against Goe Kel State of Tennessee against Merdith Crayling et. al. State of Tennessee against Goe Trogden State of Tennessee against Goe Trogden State of Tennessee against Johnson, State of Tennessee against Jno. Clark, State of Tennessee against Jno. Simmons.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Cleo King col. { Murder

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in his own proper person and by attorney who being duly charhed and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads not guilty.

Therefore to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Walter Pruett, J.M. Reece, R.H. Warren, W.T. Patterson, J.N. Duncan, J.B. Link, Al Merdith, E.L. Crowell, J.B. Smith, W.H. May, Henry Rogers and Jon. Watts. who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, and placed in charge of thier sworn officer R.S. Warren, and after hearing all the proof, agument of counsel and charhe of the court upon thier oaths do say, that they find the defendant guilty of murder in the second degree as charged in said bill of indictment

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense aforesaid the defendant be confined in the State Penitentiary at Nashville Tenn., at hard labor for an indeterminate peroid of time of not less than ten years nor more than twenty years and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Court met pursuant to adjournment present presiding the Hon. W.L. Cook Judge
Jno. Lagan as Admr.
of Jno. Berryman
vs.
Nashville Chatanooga
& St. Louis R.R. et. al.

Came the plaintiff Jno Lag an Jr. as Admr. of Jno Berryman deceased by his attorneys in open court and moved the court to be permitted to amend the writ issued in this case styled Jno. Lagan Jr. as Admr. of Jno. Berryman deceased vs. Nashville Chatanooga & St. Louis R.R. Et.al. on September 25th 1916

so as to make the Louisville and Nashville Railway Company, a corporation under the laws of the State of Kentucky a Co-defendant in this case with the said Nashville Chattanooga & St. Louis R.R. and the Louisville & Nashville Terminal Co. named in said writ and that a counter part of said writ, naming in addition to said defendants the said Louisville Rail road Co. as a defendant therein to the Sheriff of Davidson County Tennessee to be served on any of the general Agents of the said Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co. residing in said County of Davidson on whom process of law may be served by statute and as representatives of said corporation for the service of said legal process, such that upon of ~~such~~ process on said Louisville and Nashville R.R. Co. the said company as a co-defendant in this suit for all further proceedings that may be had therein, and that plaintiff be permitted, on the said Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co. being made by service of process herein moved for, to file all necessary amendments to the declaration now on file that he may deem necessary to properly present and have tried the cause of action he claims to have against said parties. On consideration of said motion the court is pleased to and doth ~~make~~ direct and order that a counter part of the said original writ with the addition of the corporate name of the Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co. therein inserted be issued to the Sheriff of Davidson County Tenn. to be served upon said Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co. together with a copy of this action of the court both said counter part writs and said certified copy of this action of the court to be served by the said Sheriff on the officials or Agents of said Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co. residing in said County of Davidson on whom process may by statute be served, and return made as the law requires. The Court further orders that upon such service Plaintiff may file such amendment or amendments to his declaration as asked for in said motion.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Murder
Arthur Walker

In this cause came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in his own proper person and by Attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Therefore to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: J.A. Young, Jno. Watts E.L. Crowell R.H. Warren, W.C. Cooley, D.T. Taylor, M.M. Frazee, Kirk Muliniks, E.W. Sykes, Oscar Sharp, and V.A. Nolan, D.H. Pruett; who, being duly elected tried and sworn according to law and placed in charge of thier sworn officers D.B. McCann, and J.L. Smith, and after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon thier oaths do say that they find the defendant guilty of murder in the second degree as charged in said bill of indictment. Thereupon defendant gave notice of a motion for a new trial whereupon it is ordered by the court that defendant remain on his present bond pending the determination of the motion for said new trial.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9.00 o'clock.

W.L. Cook
Judge

Court was pursuant to adjournment present and presiding Hon. W.L. Cook Judge.

State of Tennessee

vs.
W.L. Rochell

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in his own proper person, and Attorney, who being duly arraigned on said bill of indictment plead not guilty. Therefore to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: O. Lyons, J.N. Duncan, Henry Rogers, W.T. Patterson, W.H. May, W.J. Clement E.L. Crowell R.H. Warren, J.B. Smith O.E. Moore, D.T. Taylor, and J.B. Smith. who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law who after hearing all the evidence, upon thier oaths do say they find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

vs.
T.J. Haney

Official drunkenness

In this case came the attorney General for the and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who being duly arraigned on said bill of indictment plead not guilty. Therefore to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Jno. Watts Walter Pruett, W.H. May R.H. Warren, J.N. Duncan W.T. Patterson, J.B. Link, Henry Rogers, E.L. Crowell D.T. Taylor, J.B. Smith and Al Merdeth. who after being elected tried and sworn according to law who after hearing all the evidence agument of counsel and the charge of the court upon thier oaths do say that they find the defendant not guilty. it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

vs.
T.J. Haney

Drunkenness

Came the Attorney General on behalf of the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney who being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit; Jno Watts Valetr Pruett, W.H. May R.H. Warren, J.N. Duncan, W.T. Patterson, J.B. Link, Henry Rogers, E.L. Crowell, D.T. Taylor J.B. Smith and Al Merdeth, who were empaneled tried and sworn according to law who after hearing all the proof argument of counsel charge of the court upon thier oaths do say that they find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore orderd by the court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

vs.
Hiram Turberville

A.B.

Came the Attorney General on behalf of the State and the defendant and by his Attorney, who after being arraigned and charged on the bill of indictment

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term, 16 day of August 1917

so as to make the Louisville and Nashville Railway Company, a corporation under the laws of the State of Kentucky a Co-defendant in this case with the said Nashville Chattanooga & St. Louis R.R. and the Louisville & Nashville Terminal Co. named in said writ and that a counter part of said writ, naming in addition to said defendants the said Louisville Rail road Co. as a defendant therein to the Sheriff of Davidson County Tennessee to be served on any of the general Agents of the said Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co. residing in said County of Davidson on whom process of law may be served by statute and as representatives of said corporation for the service of said legal process, such that upon of ~~such~~ process on said Louisville and Nashville R.R. Co. the said company as a co-defendant in this suit for all further proceedings that may be had therein, and that plaintiff be permitted, on the said Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co. being made by service of process herein moved for, to file all necessary amendments to the declaration now on file that he may deem necessary to properly present and have tried the cause of action he claims to have against said parties. On consideration of said motion the court is pleased to and doth ~~direct~~ direct and order that a counter part of the said original writ with the addition of the corporate name of the Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co. therein inserted be issued to the Sheriff of Davidson County Tenn. to be served upon said Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co. together with a copy of this action of the court both said counter part writs and said certified copy of this action of the court to be served by the said Sheriff on the officials or Agents of said Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co. residing in said County of Davidson on whom process may by statute be served, and return made as the law requires. The Court further orders that upon such service Plaintiff may file such amendment or amendments to his declaration as asked for in said motion.

State of Tennessee,
vs. { Murder
Arthur Walker

In this cause came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in his own proper person and by Attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Therefore to try the issues joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: J.A. Young, Jno. Watts E.L. Crowell R.H. Warren, W.C. Cooley, D.T. Taylor, M.M. Frazee, Kirk Muliniks, E.W. Sykes, Oscar Sharp, and V.A. Nolan, E.H. Pruett; who, being duly elected tried and sworn according to law and placed in charge of their sworn officers D.B. McCann, and J.L. Smith, and after hearing all the proof argument of counsel and the charge of the court, upon their oaths do say that they find the defendant guilty of murder in the second degree as charged in said bill of indictment. Thereupon defendant gave notice of a motion for a new trial whereupon it is ordered by the court that defendant remain on his present bond pending the determination of the motion for said new trial.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9.00 o'clock.

W.L. Cook Judge

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, August Term, 16 day of August 1917

Court met pursuant to adjournment present and presiding Hon. W.L. Cook Judge.

State of Tennessee
vs. { A.B.
W.L. Rochell

In this case came the Attorney General for the State, and the defendant in his own proper person, and Attorney, who being duly arraigned on said bill of indictment plead not guilty. Therefore to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: O. Lyons, J.N. Duncan, Henry Rogers, W.T. Patterson, W.H. May, W.J. Clement E.L. Crowell R.H. Warren, J.B. Smith C.E. Moore, D.T. Taylor, and J.B. Smith, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law who after hearing all the evidence, upon their oaths do say they find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
vs. { Official drunkenness
T.J. Haney

In this case came the attorney General for the and the defendant in person and by Attorney, who being duly arraigned on said bill of indictment plead not guilty. Therefore to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Jno. Watts Walter Pruett, W.H. May R.H. Warren, J.N. Duncan W.T. Patterson, J.B. Link, Henry Rogers, E.L. Crowell D.T. Taylor, J.B. Smith and Al Merdeith, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law who after hearing all the evidence argument of counsel and the charge of the court upon their oaths do say that they find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
vs. { Drunkenness
T.J. Haney

Came the Attorney General on behalf of the State and the defendant in person and by Attorney who being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads not guilty. Thereupon to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Jno Watts Walter Pruett, W.H. May R.H. Warren, J.N. Duncan, W.T. Patterson, J.B. Link, Henry Rogers, E.L. Crowell, D.T. Taylor J.B. Smith and Al Merdeith, who were empaneled tried and sworn according to law who after hearing all the proof argument of counsel charge of the court upon their oaths do say that they find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
vs. { A.B.
Hiram Turberville

Came the Attorney General on behalf of the State and the defendant and by his Attorney, who after being arraigned and charged on the bill of indictment

pleads not guilty, and came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Walter Pruett J.M. Reece, R.H. Warren, J.N. Duncan, J.B. Link, Al Merdeth, J.B. Smith, W.H. May, Henry Rogers, and Jno. Watts. empaneled tried and sworn to try the issues joined.

And after hearing a ll the evidence, the defendant withdrew his plea of guilty, and the State insisted upon exceeding Fifty Dollars the jurors were instructed by the court as to the assessment of the punishment after which they reported that the fine should not exceed Fifty Dollars. Thereupon it was adjudged by the court that the defendant pay a fine of Twenty five dollars and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and cost he will be confined in the County Jail or Work house until he pay secure or workout all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee
vs
Walter Tinnell

Came the Attorney General on behalf of the State and the defendant in person and by his attorney who being arraigned and charged on the bill of indictment pleads not guilty, and came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J.A. Young, Jno. Watts, Walter Pruett, ~~Walter Pruett, J.M. Reece, R.H. Warren, J.N. Duncan, J.B. Link, Al Merdeth, J.B. Smith, W.H. May, Henry Rogers, and Jno. Watts.~~ 0 Lyons J.N. Duncan, W.J. Clement W.H. May, J.B. Link, W.T. Patterson, W.C. Cooley Henry Rogers ~~Al Merdeth~~, Corbitt who were empaneled tried and sworn to try the issues joined. And after hearing all the evidence argument of counsel charge of the court upon thier oaths do say that they find the defendant guilty of assault as charged in said bill of indictment. Whereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of ten dollars and the cost of this cause for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and cost he will be confined in the County Jail or work house until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and cost

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at ~~10:00~~ at 9.00 O'clock.

W.L. Cook Judge

Court met pursuant to adjournment present and presiding the Hon. W.L. Cook Judge.

The following cases were continued until October 15 1917.

E.T. Tatum against R.A. Murray, Jennings Amos Mfg. Co. against J.R. Fowlkes Rooper Grocery Co. against A.T. Manor, F.N. Schöles against Lucia Lashlee.

The following cases were continued until Tuesday October 16 1917.

A.W. Work against Frank York, Mrs. E.E. Cooley against J.T. Littleton J.B. Shearon against Mrs. M.J. Curtis, M.B. Brown against Mrs. Ida Spann.

The following cases were continued until Wednesday October 17 1917

K.R. Parnell against C.W. Summers J.W. Byrn against Oliver Hill Constuction Co.

A.F. Dreaden against Mrs. L.J. Luff C.L. Rourke against Claud Lashlee.

A.F. Dreaden

vs
H.C. & St. L. R.R. and no body was present and the cause was continued until the next day.
Came the parties by thier attorneys, and a jury of good and lawful

man to wit: E.L. Crowell, Walter Pruett, W.H. May, J.B. Link, Jno. Watts, J.B. Smith, J.A. Young, W.T. Patterson, J.D. Jones, Lige Buchanan, Josh Runions and R.H. Warren, who being elected empaneled and sworn to try the issues joined heard part of the evidence, but lacking time to complete the trial; were resipited until to morning at 9.00 O'clock.

The said Grand Jury also present an indictment against Will Jinkins Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures to wit:

One against Bert Wells et. al. Gaming Subpoena for the State Jesse Wright, Jack Brown, and Joe Brown.

One against Will Gartrell A.B. subpoena for the State R.T. Mitchell Jim Grimes Childs Mitchell, Smith, Brown, Wilkins and G. P. Herring the Hon. W.L. Cook Judge.

One against Albert Cappe, Drunkenness subpoena for the State Burdett Runions

Nelson Ingram, L.L. Shipp, A.J. Sanders.

One against Ben Holland Transporting liquor subpoena for the State J.W. Rumsey

One against W.T. Cracken Drunkenness subpoena for the State Peck Traylor, Ira Moore Nelson Ingram and Jno. A. Box.

The said Grand Jury also present an indictment against Will Jinkins Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures to wit:

State of Tennessee Humphreys County. August Term of Circuit Court A.D. 1917. The Grand Jury for the State of Tennessee, duly elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys, and State aforesaid, upon thier oaths aforesaid, present that Will Jinkins of said County heretofore to wit; on the 23rd. day of July 1917, in the County - aforesaid, unlawfully, feloniously and feloniously did steal take and carry away one bushel of Irish potatoes of the value of one dollar the property of S.A. Forsee, of said County, then and there being found contrary to the Statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General.

August Term 1917. The State Vs. Will Jinkins, H.C. Scurlock Prosecutor subpoena for the State H.C. Scurlock Ed. Scurlock Frank Rowden, and Archie Scurlock.

witnesses sworn by on this indictment before the Grand Jury Aug. Term 1917 C.C. Hobbs Foreman of the Grand Jury. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General, A True Bill C.C. Hobbs Foreman of the Grand Jury.

The said Grand Jury also presentment agaiast Will Jinkins Larceny, which indictment is in the words and figures following to wit:

State of Tennessee Humphreys County. August Term of Circuit Court A.D. 1917. The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, elected, empaneled, sworn, and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid, upon thier oaths aforesaid, present that Will Jinkins heretofore to wit, on the 1st. day of April 1916, in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did steal, take and carry away one sledge hammer, of the value of one dollar, the property of H.C. Scurlock of said County, then and there being found, contrary to the form of the State in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General.

And the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon thier oath aforesaid, do further present that the said Will Jinkins of said County, on the day and year aforesaid, in the State and County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did receive, buy, conceal, and aid in concealing one sledge hammer, of the value of one dollar, the property of H.C. Scurlock of said County before then feloniously, taken and carried away by some one

to the Grand Jury unknown he the said Will Jenkins then and there knowing the said hammer to have been stolen feloniously taken and carried away, and he the said Will Jenkins intending then and there fraudulently to deprive the owner thereof, contrary to the form of the Statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. August Term 1917 The State vs. Will Jenkins, Larceny H.C. Sourlock Prosecutor, subpoena for the State H.C. Sourlock Mrs. H.C. Sourlock witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury August Term 1917 C.C. Hobbs Foreman of the Grand Jury. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. A True Bill C.C. Hobbs Foreman of the Grand Jury.

We the members of the Grand Jury for the August term of Circuit Court for Humphreys County beg leave to submit the following report to your Honor. We have diligently inquired and true presentment made of all offenses brought to our knowledge. We have examined the county Jail and find it in excellent condition and the prisoners well kept and cared for.

We have examined the Poor House and find the inmates well feed and cared for. We have examined all bonds required to be examined by us and find them good and solvent except Gauidian of W.B. Tribel for Eleven Hundred Dollars and one of G.E. Miller for Seven Thousand Dollars. These bonds are incomplete and not now properly secured, and now having completed our labors we respectfully ask to be discharged for the term. C.C. Hobbs, G.H. Yarbrough, J.W. Lomax, F. Ridings, E.D. Hooper, Zollie Myatt, G.P. Jones, Jim Meadow, J.A. Gatlin, Luck Carter, E.W. Nix, J.R. Perkins, and Thomas Townsend.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9.00 O, Clock.

-----W.L. Cook-----Judge

Court met pursuant to adjournment, present and presiding the Hon. W.L. Cook.

Judge &c.

State of Tennessee
vs
Cleo King Col. } Murder

In this cause comes again the attorney General for the state, and the defendant in person and by attorney when the motion heretofore filed in this cause, to wit: Comes the defendant Cleo King in his own proper person and by attorney and moves the court to grant him a new trial and assigns the following grounds in support of this motion. 1st. That the verdict of the jury in finding the defendant guilty of murder in the second degree is not supported by the weight of the evidence 2nd. The facts in the case show that there was neither previous or present malice and hence there is no element of murder in the second degree in the case.

came on to be heard by the Court, and after consideration of the same it is sustained and said verdict set aside and a new trial granted the defendant. Whereupon again came the defendant in person and by attorney and entered a plea of guilty to voluntary manslaughter as charged in said bill of indictment. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offenses

aforesaid the defendant be confined in the State Penitentiary at Nashville Tenn. at hard labor for an indeterminate period of time of not less than two years nor more than ten years, and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue.

A.F. Draeden as Administrator of Francis Irene Draeden

vs
Nashville Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway.

Came again the parties by their attorneys, and came the jury aforesaid, who upon their oaths do say they find in favor of the plaintiff in the sum of Thirty Three Hundred (\$3300.00) dollars as his damages.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff A.F. Draeden as Administrator of Francis Irene Draeden deceased recover of the defendant, the Nashville Chattanooga and St. Louis Railway, the aforesaid sum of Thirty Three Hundred (\$3300.00) dollars, and the cost of this cause, for which let execution issue.

Court then adjourned until Monday August 20th, 1917 at 9.00 O, Clock.

-----W.L. Cook-----Judge

Court met pursuant to adjournment, present and presiding the Hon. W.L. Cook Judge.

Farmers and Merchants Bank
vs.
Theo F. Lucas
In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, J.E. Tubb, and produce in open court a certain promissory note in the words and figures following to wit:

\$200.00 Waverly Tenn. Feb. 20 1917. Ninety days after date, we or either of us promised to pay to the order of FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANK OF WAVERLY, TENNESSEE. Two Hundred Dollars, for value received at FARMERS AND MERCHANTS BANK OF Waverly Tennessee. Both makers and endorsers to this note severally and jointly waive demand, notice of nonpayment and protest. In the event suit is brought upon this note, we, both makers and endorsers, agree to pay 10 per cent attorneys, to be included in the judgment rendered, for collection of the same, and we and each of us, both makers and endorsers, hereby authorize J.A. Slayden or J.D. Lutten, or either of them, at any time after the above note becomes due, to go before any Court of Record or before any Justice of the Peace having jurisdiction thereof in the State of Tennessee, and confess judgment thereof against us in favor of FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANK, Waverly Tennessee, or its assigns, for the said amount with interest and cost, and the 10 per cent attorneys fee, in accordance with this provision of Section 4705, 4706, and 4707, Code of Tennessee, Shannon's Edition 1896.

No. 3591 Due 5/1917. Theo F. Lucas, by A.W. Lucas. In which note was also contained a power of attorney written on the face thereof authorizing J.A. Slayden to appear before any court of this state and confess judgment in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant on said note at any time after its maturity, if unpaid, with interest and costs and 10% attorneys fee, etc.

and there upon it was duly proven in open court, that the defendant executed said note and power of attorney, and said J.A. Slayden as such attorney in fact confessed that the defendant owed the plaintiff Two Hundred and no/100 dollars, on said note, as principal, and Three dollars, as interest thereon, aggregating Two Hundred Three no/100 dollars, and 10% attorney's fee of Twenty and no/100 dollars making in all the sum of Two Hundred Twenty Three and no/100 dollars, and agreed that this court might render judgment in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant for said sum. It is therefore said note containing said power of attorney be filed and made part of the record in this cause; and that the plaintiff the Farmers and Merchants Bank, recover of the defendant, Theo F. Lucas said sum of Two Hundred Twenty five & 76/100 dollars, and all the costs of this cause, for which execution will issue.

Farmers, and Merchants Bank
vs.
Tennessee Utilities Co.

In circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, J.E. Tubb, and produced in open court a certain promissory note in the words and figures following to wit: \$250.00 Waverly Tenn. 2/20 1917.

Ninety days after date, we, or either of us promise to pay to the order of FARMERS MERCHANTS BANK. of Waverly Tennessee. Two Hundred & Fifty Dollars, for value received at Farmers and Merchants Bank of Waverly Tennessee. Both makers and endorsers to this note severally and jointly waive demand, notice of non payment and protest. In the event suit is brought upon this note, we, both makers and endorsers agree to pay 10% attorney's fee, to be included in the judgment rendered, for collection of the same, and we, and each of us, both makers and endorsers, hereby authorize J.A. Slayden or J.D. Luten, or either of them, at any time after the above note becomes due, to go before any Court of record or before any Justice of the Peace having jurisdiction thereof in the State of Tennessee, and confess judgment thereof against us in favor of Farmers Merchants Bank, Waverly Tennessee, or its assigns for the said amount, interest and cost, and the 10 per cent attorney's fee in accordance with the provisions of Section 4705, 4706, and 4707 Code of Tennessee, Shannons Edition, 1896 No. 3894 Due 5/20/1917 counter signed by E.T. Watkins Tennessee Utilities Co. by A.W. Lucas Pres. in which note was also contained a power of attorney written on the face thereof authorizing J.A. Slayden to appear before any court of this state and confess judgment in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant on said note at any time after its maturity, if unpaid, with interest and costs and 10% attorney's fee etc, and there it was duly proven in open court, that the defendant executed said note, and power of attorney; and said J.A. Slayden as such attorney in fact confessed that the defendant owed the plaintiff Two Hundred Fifty & No/100 dollars, on said note, as principal, and Three & 47/100 dollars, as interest thereon, aggregating Two Hundred Fifty Three and 47/100 dollars, and 10% attorney's fee of Twenty five and no no/100 dollars making in all the sum of Two Hundred Seventy Eight & 47/100 dollars, and agreed that this court might render a judgment in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant for said sum.

It is therefore said note containing said power of attorney be filed and made a part of the record in this cause, and that the plaintiff the Farmers & Merchants Bank, recover of the defendant, Tennessee Utilities Co. said sum of Two Hundred Eighty

Three & 20/100 dollars.

Farmers and Merchants Bank.
vs.
Steamer Hibernia

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, J.E. Tubb, and produced in open court a certain promissory note in the words and figures following to wit: \$250.00 Waverly Tenn. January 15 1917. Ninety days after date, we, or either of us promise to pay to the order of the FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANK of Waverly, Tennessee. Two Hundred Fifty Dollars, for value, received at Farmers and Merchants Bank of Waverly Tennessee. Both makers and endorsers to this note severally and jointly waive demand, notice of non payment and protest. In the event suit is brought upon this note, we, both makers and endorsers, agree to pay 10 percent attorney's fee, to be included in the judgment rendered, for collection of same, and we and each of us, both makers and endorsers, hereby authorize Jno. M. Stribbling or H.W. Hooper or either of them, at any time after the above note becomes due, to go before any court of record or before any Justice of the Peace having jurisdiction thereof in the State Tennessee, and confess judgment thereof against us in favor of the Farmers and Merchants Bank, Waverly Tennessee, or its assigns, for the said amount with interest and costs, and the 10 per cent attorney's fee, in accordance with the provision of Section 4705, 4706, and 4707, Code of Tennessee, Shannons Edition 1896. Steamer Hibernia by A.W. Lucas. No. 3755 Due 4/15/1917, in which note was also contained power of attorney written on the face thereof authorizing H.W. Hooper to appear before any court of this state and confess judgment in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant on said note at any time after its maturity, if unpaid, with interest and costs and a 10% attorney's fee, etc., and thereupon it was duly proven in open court that the defendant executed said note and power of attorney, and said H.W. Hooper as such attorney in fact confessed the defendant owed the plaintiff Two Hundred Fifty & no/100 dollars, on said note, as principal, and Five & no/100 dollars as interest thereon aggregating Two Hundred Fifty five dollars, and 10% attorney's fee of Twenty five & no/100 dollars making in all the sum of Two Hundred Eighty & no/100 dollars and agreed that this court might render a judgment in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant for said sum.

It is therefore said note containing said power of attorney be filed and made part of the record in this cause, and that the plaintiff the Farmers and Merchants Bank recover of the defendant Steamer Hibernia said sum of Two Hundred Eighty and no/100 dollars, and all the costs of this cause, for which execution will issue.

Farmers & Merchants Bank.
vs.
Waverly Mfgs. Co.

In the Circuit Court at Waverly, Tennessee.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, J.E. Tubb, and produced in open court a certain promissory note in the words and figures following to wit: \$2390.87. Waverly Tenn. April 20 1916. Twelve months after date, we or either of us promise to pay to the order of FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANK OF WAVERLY TENNESSEE. Twenty three Hundred Ninety & 87/100 Dollars for value received at FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANK of Waverly, Tennessee. Both makers and endorsers to this note severally and jointly waive notice of nonpayment and protest. In the event suit is brought upon this note, we, both makers and endorsers, agree to pay 10 percent attorney's fee, to be included in the judgment rendered for collection of

same, and we, and each of us, both makers and endorsers, hereby authorize Jno. M. Stribling, or H.W. Hooper or either of them, at any time after the above note becomes due, to go before any Court of Recorder before any Justice of the Peace having jurisdiction thereof in the State of Tennessee, and confess judgement thereof against us in favor of Farmers and Merchants Bank, Waverly Tennessee, or its assigns, for the said amount with interest and costs and the 10 per cent attorney's fee, in accordance with the provisions of Section 4705, 4706, and 4707, Code of Tennessee. Shannon, Edition 1896. No. 3496 Due April 20 1917. Waverly Mfg. Co. by A.W. Lucas.

In which note was also contained a power of attorney written on the face thereof Authorizing H.W. Hooper to appear before any court of this state and confess judgment in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant on said note at any time after its maturity, if unpaid, with interest and costs and a 10% attorney's fee, etc., and thereupon it was duly proven in open court that the defendant executed said note and power of attorney, and said H.W. Hooper as such attorney in fact confessed that the defendant owed the plaintiff Two Thousand Three Hundred Ninety & 87/100 dollars, on said note as principal, and Forty and 69/100 dollars, as interest thereon, aggregating Two Thousand Four Hundred Thirty One & 56/100, and 10% attorney's fee of Two Hundred Thirty Nine & no/100 dollars making in all the sum of Two Thousand Six Hundred Seventy & 56/100 dollars and agreed that this court might render a judgment in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant for said sum.

It is therefore said note containing said power of attorney be filed and made part of the record in this cause, and that the plaintiff the Farmers and Merchants Bank recover of the defendant Waverly Manufacturing Co. said sum of Two Thousand Six Hundred Seventy and 56/100 dollars, and all the costs of this cause, for which execution will issue.

State of Tennessee
vs. Murder
Arthur Walker

Came the Attorney General on behalf of the state and the defendant in his own proper person and by attorney when the defendant moved the court for a new trial on the following grounds:

There is no evidence in the case to make out the offense of murder in the second degree and the evidence greatly preponderates against the verdict, and there is no material evidence to support a verdict at all for any offense.

The fact that the jury returned a verdict for Murder in the second degree under the evidence in the case show passion and prejudice on their part.

The Court in declining to charge Request no 1. of the defendant.

For newly discovered evidence (See affidavit of Goe, Marrs, Defendant Walker and of his attorney, H.C. and Roy Carter.)

The facts in this case do not and cannot make out a case of murder in the second degree.

Which motion after argument by counsel was over ruled by the Court. Defendant then moved the Court in arrest of judgment which was likewise over ruled by the court.

To all of which defendant excepts and prays an appeal to the next term of Supreme Court at Nashville Tenn which granted and on application of defendant 30 days is allowed from the adjournment of this court to make file and complete his bill of exceptions and defendant will enter into his recognizance in the sum of \$200.00 for his appearance

at Supreme Court at next term with sufficient sureties. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offense of murder in the second degree as found by the jury, the defendant Arthur Walker be confined in the State Penitentiary at Nashville Tenn. at hard labor for an indeterminate period of time of not less than ten nor more than twenty years, and that he pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

This day came J.L. Smith into open court and presented his bill for boarding juries in the cases of State against Arthur Walker and Cleo King to the amount of Eighteen Dollars which account was read in open court approved, allowed and ordered paid out of the treasury of the State of Tennessee. And that the Clerk of this court certify the same to the Comptroller of the Treasury for payment as the law directs.

This day came J.L. Smith Sheriff and Jailor of Humphreys County Tenn. and present and read in open court his account against the State for keeping prisoners turnkeys account as to prisoners charged with felonies to the amount of \$89.50 which is allowed by the court and ordered paid out of the state Treasury. And that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee
vs. Motion to retax cost
Jno. Mackins col.

In this case came the attorney General on behalf of the State and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the Clerk of this court, against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent, and unable to pay the cost of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the cost accruing upon the part of the State, be allowed and paid out of the Treasury of the State, and that the Clerk of this court to make out and certify the same to the Comptroller, for payment as the law provides,

State of Tennessee
vs. Motion to retax cost
Sam Turner

In this case came the Attorney General upon the part of the State and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, from the return of the Sheriff upon execution, issued to him by the Clerk of this court, against the estate of the defendant, for the cost of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent, unable to pay the costs of this suit or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that the cost accruing upon the part of the State, be allowed and paid out of the Treasury of the County of Humphreys, and that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Court Clerk for payment as the law provides.

State of Tennessee
vs. Motion to retax cost
Bob Broaden

In this case came the Attorney General upon the part of the State and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, from the return of the Sheriff upon execution issued to him by the Clerk of this Court, against the estate of the

Walter Pruett, who being duly elected tried and sworn well and truly to assess the punishment, upon their oaths do say that they assess it at a term of Sixty days in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for the offenses aforesaid the defendant be ~~f~~/ confined in the County Jail or work house for a period of sixty days, that he be rendered infamous disqualified ~~f~~/ to vote, give evidence in any of the courts of this state, and that he pay the cost of this cause, for which let execution issue, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said cost he will be confined in the County Jail or work house until he pay secure or work out all of said cost.

State of Tennessee
vs.

Failure to work road

J.E. Daniel W.H. Hooper C.W. Daniel

In this case came the attorney General for the State, and defendants C.W. Daniel and W.H. Hooper in person, when upon application of the Attorney General a

noleprosequi is entered upon payment of cost as to the aforesaid defendants C.W. Daniel and W.H. Hooper. Whereupon came into open court the said defendants C.W. Daniel, and W.H. Hooper, and paid the Clerk of this court the costs as to them in this case. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the aforesaid defendant C.W. Daniel and W.H. Hooper go hence without day.

And this case is continued as to J.E. Daniel until the next term of this court.

State of Tennessee
vs.

Failure to work road.

Go. Lomax et. al.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendants in person, when upon application of the Attorney General, a noleprosequi is entered upon the payment of the costs.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendants, all the costs in this case for which let execution issue, and the event of thier failure to pay or secure all of said cost they will be confined in the County Jail or work house until they pay secure or work out all of said costs.

The following were continued, until next term of this court. State vs Cliff Scholes, Walter Anderson, Hargus Townsend, Andy Blackwell, Andrew Smith et.al. Jno. Young.

Fred Wilson. T.M. Larkins, Vester Spann.

State of Tennessee vs. Allen Ingram, suspended fine. This case is ordered dropped and stricken from the docket.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Clem Reece et. al.

Attachment

In this cause it appearin^g to the Court upon motion of the Attorney General, that each of the above parties were legally summoned to appear before this Court to give evidence before the Grand Jury, and failed to do so.

It is ordered by the Court that attachment issue, and be served on said parties requiring them to appear at the next term of the court to give evidence before the Grand Jury and answer for contempt of Court.

State of Tennessee

Proceeding to keep the Peace.

vs.
Dr. J.L. Byrn

The Defendant having been arrested on a charge of threatened violence to the person of W.L. Rochell in open Court and prior to that, and said arrest was made in a Bench warrant issued by the Hon. W.L. Cook, Circuit Judge who having heard the evidence during the August term of Court, and the Court being of opinion that the defendant is guilty as charged in the affidavit on which said Bench Warrant was based and that he be required to keep the Peace, and to give security there for with respect to all citizens in the State for a period of one year, and more especially as to the said W.L. Rochell, the Court so orders adjudges and decrees, and the sheriff will hold the defendant in custody until execute a bond for \$2000.00 with approved security, conditioned as above and according to law. The defendant then appeared in open Court with his securities to wit: John Link and Melvin Buchanan when they executed and acknowledge said Bond in the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (2000.00) when the defendant was discharged. It is further ordered by the Court that the defendant pay the costs of this proceeding, for which let execution issue.

Court then adjourned until September 1st. 1917.

W.L. Cook Judge.

W.L.
Court met pursuant to adjournment Present and presiding the Hon. Cook Judge etc.

A. F. Dreadne Admr. (Plaintiff

vs.

Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway. (Defendant

Motion for new trial

Filed Sept. 1st. 1917

Albert Binkley, Clerk.

The defendant moved the Court for a new trial on the alleged grounds following to wit:

1st.

Because the verdict is not supported by the evidence.

2nd.

Because the preponderance of the evidence is against the verdict.

3d.

Because there is no evidence to support the verdict.

4th.

Because the Court erred in overruling defendant's motion, made at the close of all the evidence, to peremptorily instructed the jury to return a verdict in favor of the defendant.

5th.

Because the verdict is excessive.

6th.

Because the verdict is so excessive as to show passion prejudice or caprice upon the part of the jury.

7th.

Because the Court erred in charging the jury as follows: "Under this statute it is obligatory on the railroad to have some one on the ~~locomotive~~ locomotive always on the lookout ahead so that the object may be seen when it appears on the track or near enough to be struck, so that the precautionary measure required may be put into operation upon the first appearance of the object. The requirements would be complied with by ringing the bell or blowing the whistle, or putting down the brakes after some one on the engine, who had not been on the lookout, upon looking discovered the object which could have been seen before. And the statute further provides Section 1576, no railroad company that observes, or causes to be observed, the precautions required by Section 1574, which was first read to you, can be held responsible for any damage done to person or property by a moving train on its road. In other words if the railroad observes all the precautions it would not be liable, but the burden rests upon the railroad of showing, by proof, to the satisfaction of the jury that its employees on the locomotive did observe the precautions prescribed by the statute"

9th.

Because the Court erred in charging the jury as follows: If the jurors believe and find from the preponderance of the evidence, that the plaintiff, a child, Iren Dreaden, appeared upon the track of the railroad company in front of, and in striking distance of its moving train, and that she was struck and killed by the moving train, the plaintiff could recover, and you should so find and report unless the defendant railroad company observed or caused to be observed the precaution required by the statute, or unless the child appeared so suddenly on the track and so near the front of the train as to make the observance impossible. If, on the other hand, the defendant has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that the engineer or some one on the locomotive was on the lookout ahead when the child appeared on the track in front of the train, and if upon her first appearance on the track or within striking distance of the moving train, the bell was rung, the whistle blown, and the brakes were put down, and every possible means employed to stop the train and prevent the accident, and in spite of which the child was struck, it would be an excusable accident, and under the statute the defendant would not be liable"

10th.

Because the Court erred in charging the jury as follows

"Or if it appears from the preponderance of the evidence that the child appeared so suddenly on the track, or within striking distance of the moving train as to make it impossible to observe the precaution and avert the accident, the defendant would not be liable. But if the impossibility of observing the statute arose from any fault or negligence of the railroad in not having some one on the lookout when the child appeared on the track, or because of any fault or defect in the machinery, or fault or failure in the use of the machinery, the defendant would not be excused."

11th.

Because the Court erred in charging the jury as follows.

"If the jurors find the issues in favor of the plaintiff, A.F. Dreaden, you should go ahead and assess the damages. The damages should be such sum only, not exceeding the sum laid in the writ and declaration, as you find from the proof would be pecuniary compensation for the loss sustained in consequence of the defendant's negligence if any. In assessing the damages you should consider the age and the physical condition of the plaintiff, intestate at the time of and prior to her death so as to arrive at the pecuniary value of her life; also whether or not she suffered any physical or mental

pain before her death and as a result of the injury by contact with the engine, and assess as damages such sum as you find from the proof would compensate the pecuniary value of the life of plaintiff, intestate, and for the physical and mental pain, if any, endured between the time of the collision and her death. And if you find that plaintiff or those who had the child in custody were guilty of any contributory negligence, you should reduce or abate the recovery which might be otherwise allowed according to the degree of such contributory negligence, and after making such deductions report the sum you find as damages for the plaintiff"

12

Because the Court erred in striking out the following part of defendant's request No. 7: Or whether the death was instantaneous or not, if you should find from the nature and character of the injury inflicted, that there was no consciousness of physical or mental suffering on the part of the deceased, you should not allow damages under this heading." and in giving in charge only the first or other part of said request when the entire request as made by defendant should have been given in charge as follows: If the jury find, upon the testimony adduced and in accordance with the charge of the Court herein given, that the defendant is liable, then, in arriving at the amount of your verdict, one of the elements of damage which the Court has heretofore instructed you to consider is the mental and physical suffering of the deceased; now the Court instructs you that if the death was instantaneous and no mental or physical suffering was occasioned to the deceased then you should find no damages under this heading; or, whether the death was instantaneous or not, if you should find from the nature and character of the injury inflicted, there was no consciousness of physical or mental suffering on the part of the deceased, you should not allow damages under this heading"

13th.

Because the Court erred in charging declining and refusing charge defendant's request No. 1, reading as follows: "When you retired to consider and determine as to your verdict in this case, you first should consider and determine as to whether or not the defendant was complying, and did comply, at the time of this accident resulting in the death of Francis Irene Dreaden, with the statutory provisions for the prevention of accidents, heretofore stated and explained to you. If you find from the evidence that the defendant, through an employee or employees, in charge of engine, was complying and did comply with the requirements of the statute, then it will not be necessary for you to consider this case, but you should at once return a verdict in favor of the defendant."

14th.

Because the Court erred in declining and refusing to charge defendant's request No. 5, which reads as follows: No duty devolves upon the employees of a railroad company on engines of moving trains to sound the alarm whistle, put down the brakes and employ every means to stop the train and prevent the accident until the person injured appeared upon the track or within striking distance of the moving train."

15th.

Because the Court erred in declining and refusing to charge defendant's request No. 6, which reads as follows: The Court has heretofore instructed you that another element of damages given by the statute is, the damages resulting to the parties for use and benefit the right of action survives from death consequent upon

the injuries received. In the case at bar the party for whose use and benefit the right of action survives is the father of the deceased, and the Court instructs you that should you find, from the testimony adduced and in accordance with the charge heretofore given, that the defendant is liable, then in determining the damages resulting to the father because of the death of his minor child the measure of recovery for said death is the present value of the probable earnings of said minor child during the remainder of her minority, - that is, until such time as she would have reached the age of twenty one years, - less the probable cost of her maintenance and education by her father during that time."

16th.

Because the Court erred in refusing and declining to charge the defendant's request No. 8, which was as follows: "Again, another element of damages given by the statute is, the loss of time to the deceased. The Court instructs you that under the facts in this case the jury should not allow any damage under this heading"

17

Because the Court erred in refusing and declining to charge the defendant's request No. 10 which was as follows: "If you believe from the evidence that this child was in the custody of its mother at the home of its father or on his premises - and you should further find, from the evidence that said child was allowed to stray off from under her control to the railroad crossing, and that such crossing was at a point so far distant from her, the mother, that in the exercise of ordinary care and prudence she could not have prevented the child from going upon such crossing and getting into a place of danger, and that it did go upon said crossing, and was run over and killed then it is your duty to look to such negligence on the part of the custodian of such child in assessing plaintiff's damages and diminish the same according as you may find such negligence to have been slight or gross."

18th.

Because the Court erred in refusing and declining to charge the defendant's request No. 12, which was as follows: "If you believe from the evidence that this child was in the custody of its mother at the home of its father or on the premises, and should you further find, from the evidence, that said child was allowed to stray off from under her control to the railroad crossing, and that such crossing was at a point so far distant from her, the mother, that in the exercise of ordinary care and prudence she could not have prevented the ~~defendant~~ child from going upon such crossing and getting into a place of danger, and that it did go upon said crossing, and was run over and killed, then this would be such negligence on the part of the custodian of said child, that, although it would not defeat a recovery should you find that the defendant failed to comply with the statutory requirements for the prevention of accidents, heretofore called to your attention, it would be your duty, because of such negligence on the part of the custodian of the child, and you are so instructed to mitigate or lessen the amount of damages you would otherwise give."

19th.

Because the Court erred in refusing and declining to charge the defendant's request No. 13, which was as follows: "If you believe and find from the evidence that the custodian of the child permitted it to stray off from her control, so that with the exercise of ordinary care and prudence she could not have prevented it from going into a place of danger, which she might have reasonably apprehended it would do, then this

There would be negligence upon the part of the custodian of the child, and should you find from the evidence that the defendant is liable in this case; then, nevertheless it would be your duty to mitigate or lessen the damages you would otherwise give because of such negligence of the custodian of said child".

20th.

Because the Court erred in deciding and refusing to charge the defendant's request No. 15, which was as follows: "It is the duty of the tramman, on the lookout ahead to survey with close scrutiny the entire stretch of track and right of way ahead of him, and when a person appears on the track or in a dangerous position on the right of way near the track, to obey all the statutory precaution, which the Court enumerated to you, and in other words do all in his power to stop the train before it strikes a person. The watchfulness required is all that a competent man of experience can exert in keeping his eyes on the whole situation, and not gazing at a particular spot where a person might or might not appear. And if you find from the facts that the engineer was on the lookout ahead and that he was surveying the track and right of way ahead of him as closely as the law could reasonably require of a competent, experienced man, and that upon seeing the baby he met all the legal requirements, before enumerated, and did all the law required of him to stop the train, and that the brakes were in good order and worked correctly on that occasion, then you should find for the defendant."

A. F. Dreaden, Admr. (Plaintiff.
vs. ()
Nashville, Chattanooga & ()
St. Louis Railway (Defendant.

This day, came the parties, by their attorneys, and the defendant moved the Court to set aside the judgment which was heretofore rendered in this case, in favor of A.F. Dreaden, Admr., against the defendant- Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway- for thirty three hundred dollars and costs to the end that it might move the Court for a new trial, and on consideration of said motion, it is ordered that said judgment be set aside, vacated and for nothing held.

Thereupon the motion for a new trial, heretofore filed in writing in this case, was heard by the Court, and the Court having considered said motion, and the matters offered in support thereof, it is considered and ordered that said motion be and the same is overruled (To which action of the Court the defendant then and there excepts.)

It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff, A.F. Dreaden, Admr., recover of the defendant, Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway, said sum of thirty three hundred dollars, and all the costs of this cause, for which execution will issue. Thereupon the defendant tendered its bill of exceptions to the judgment of the Court overruling its motion for a new trial which motion for a new trial is incorporated therein and the Court having signed the same, it is ordered that said bill of exceptions be filed and be made a part of the record in this cause, which is, accordingly done. From the action of the Court overruling its said motion for a new trial, and from the judgment of the Court rendered against it in this cause, the defendant prays an appeal, in the nature of a writ of error, to the next term of the Court of Civil Appeals at Nashville, and having given an appeal bond as required by law, with W.B. Lamb, and H.C. Carter as sureties thereon, said appeal is granted. It is further ordered that all exhibits filed be sent up with the record.

Court then adjourned until October 15th, 1917.

----- Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment, Present and presiding the Hon. W.L. Cook, Judge.

E.T. Tatom, against R.A. Murray. F.M. Scholes against Lucian Lashlee, C.L. Rourke
Claud Lashlee. The following are continued until the December term 1917.

C.L. Rourke, {
vs. { Circuit Court of Humphreys Spl. Oct. Term 1917
Claud Lashlee {

On this the 15th day of Oct. 1917, it being the first day of the term came the parties by their attorneys when the defendant's attorney moved the Court that the plaintiff give and file with the Clerk of this Court a good and sufficient cost Bond for the prosecution of this suit conditioned according to law, with good and solvent security for the penalty thereof, and it appearing to the Court that this cause is being prosecuted without such cost Bond. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff be and he is required to make and file such bond or take the oath prescribed for poor persons on or before the calling of this case at the next regular term of this Court to wit: the Second Monday in December 1917 or this case will stand dismissed.

Jenings Amos Mfg. Co. {
vs. { In Circuit Court of Humphreys County Tennessee.
J.R. Fowlkes { Oct. Special Term 1917.

Came the parties by their attorneys, and a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W.T. Patterson, W.H. May, Al Merdith, J.B. Link, E.L. Crowell, R.H. Warren, Walter Pruett, Tom Bryant, H.H. Carnell, C.W. Curtis and R.D. Turner who being elected empaneled and sworn to try the issue joined heard all the evidence and part of the argument, but lacking time to complete the trial, were respite until tomorrow morning at 9.00 o'clock,

----- Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present and presiding the Hon. W.L. Cook, Judge.

Jenings Amos Mfg. Co. {
vs. { Circuit Court Spl. Oct. term 1917.
J.R. Fowlkes {

Came the parties by their attorneys on this 16th day of Oct. 1917, Also a jury of good and lawful men, who being duly sworn to well and truly try the issues joined in this cause, upon their oaths do say they find in favor of the plaintiff the sum of Forty three dollars and sixty four cents (\$43.64) on his account sued on.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff to wit: the Jennings Amos Mfg. Co. recover of the defendant J.R. Fowlkes the

Oct. Spl.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, ~~October 1917~~ Term, 16 day of Oct. 1917

aforesaid sum of \$43.64 forty three dollars and sixty four cents together with the costs of this case before the Justice of the Peace, and in this Court for all of which let execution issue.

A.W. Work

vs.

Frank York and C.L. Sanders

(Circuit Court, Humphreys County, Spl. Term 1917

Came the parties by thier attorneys and also a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W.T. Patterson, Al Merdieth, Walter Pruett, J.J. Sanders E.L. Crowell, W.H. May, J.B. Link, H.H. Carnell T.M. Simpson, R.H. Warren, C.W. Curtis and Tom Bryant. who being sworn to well and truly try the issues joined in this case upon thier oath said they do find in favor of the plaintiff the amount of the note sued on, to wit the sum of \$85.00 Eighty five dollars, and the further sum of \$10.21 interest thereon, making in the aggregate, the sum of \$95.21.

It is therefore considred by the Court

that the plaintiff recover of the defendants Frank York and C.L. Sanders the aforesaid sum of \$95.21 ninety five dollars and twenty one cents together with the costs before the Justice of the Peace and the costs in this Court for all of which let execution issue.

Mrs. E.R. Cooley

vs.

J.T. Littleton

J.W. Byrn

vs.

Oliver Hill Construction Co.

The two above styled case are continued by consent until

December term of this Court.

N.B. Brown

vs.

Ida Spann.

This case is continued until December term. Pending settlement.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9.00 o, clock.

W. L. Cook

-----Judge

Court met pursuant to adjournment, present and presiding the Hon. W.L. Cook Judge.

K.R. Parnell {

vs.

C.W. Summers {

(In Circuit Court of Humphreys County Tenn.,

Came the parties and a Jury of good and lawful men to wit: W.T.

Patterson, Al Merdieth, Walter Pruett, J.J. Sanders, E.L. Crowell, W.H. May J.B. Link

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, Oct. Spl. Term, 17 day of Oct. 1917

H.H. Carnell, C.W. Curtis Tom Bryant, R.D. Turner, and T.M. Simpson, who say upon thier oaths that they find the issues in favor of the defendant Charlie Summers and it is therefore ordered that the plaintiff, a actions for damages be dismissed and the defendant recover of the plaintiff K.R. Parnell the cost of this cause for which execution will issue. They further say they find the issues on the defendants cross action in favor of the defendant and find that he is entitle to recover from the plaintiff, K.R. Parnell the sum of ten dollors (\$10.00) together with the cost of said cross action for all of which execution will issue.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9.00 o'clock.

W. L. Cook -----Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment, present and presiding the Hon. W.L. Cook Judge

Amos Ewing

vs.

Ola Ewing

{ In Circuit Court of Humphreys County Tennessee.

Be it remembered that on this the 18th. day of October 1917, this case was heard on motion of the plaintiff for an order proconfesso against the defend and Ola Ewing, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court, that the defendant has regularly served with process more than five whole days before the first day of the present term, directing her to appear and answer the plaintiff's petition, and up to this the fourth day of the present term, she having not answered but being in default thereof, it is therefore ordered, that the plaintiff's petition be taken for confessed, as to the defendant and set for hearing exparte as to her. All of which the court so orders and decrees.

The case was then further heard upon the plaintiff's petition, the order proconfesso and oral testimony introduced in open court, when it appeared that the plaintiff and the defendant were married in Humphreys County Tennessee on Dec. 25 1901, and lived together in said county as husband and wife for about ten years and on or about the first of April 1911 the defendant, willfully and maliciously deserted the plaintiff without a reasonable cause, and has lived a part from him since; that it was through no fault of the plaintiff that she left him.

It is therefore ordered and decreed by the court that the bonds of matrimony now subsisting between the plaintiff and defendant be perpetually dissolved, rendered void and for nothing held, and that the Plaintiff Amos Ewing be restored to all the rights and privileges of a single man.

It is further ordered that the defendant pay the costs of this cause for which execution will issue but it is further ordered that the plaintiff himself pay all the cost except the litigation tax for which execution will issue.

A.F. Dreaden

vs.

Mrs. L.J. Luff.

(In Circuit Court of Humphreys County Tenn.

It appearing to the Court, that this cause has been settled by the parties and the cost to be paid by the plaintiff.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, Oct. Spl. Term, 18 day of Oct. 1917

Therefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the defendant recover of the plaintiff all the said cost for which execution may issue.

J.B. Shearon,

Mrs. M.J. Curtis

This cause was settled out of court, and all costs paid except cost accrued on appeal to this court, and as to this cost by agreement is to be paid by the plaintiff, and same is adjudged against him, as agreed in settlement of the cause.

Court then adjourned until court in course,

W.L. Cook Judge

Caption December Term Circuit Court A.D. 1917.

State of Tennessee
Humphreys County

On this December 10th. 1917, it being the Second Monday in December 1917, and the day fixed by law for the regular term of the Circuit Court of said Humphreys County the Hon. W.L. Cook Judge & etc. was engaged in Court at another place and could not attend, therefore under authority of Chapter 592 Acts. of 1907 Court is by the Clerk adjourned until January the 8th. 1918.

Robert Binkley Clerk.

Court met pursuant to adjournment.

Be it remembered that a Circuit Court was opened and held in and for the County of Humphreys at the court house in the town of Waverly Tennessee. On the 8th. day of January 1918, it being the First Monday in said month. And the one thousand Nine Hundred and eighteenth year of our Lord. And the One Hundred and Second year of American Independence.

Present and presiding the Hon. W.L. Cook Judge of the 9th. Judicial Circuit of the State of Tennessee.

Court was opened in due form of law by J.L. Smith Sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, and by him was returned into open court a writ of Venue facia showing that the following named persons were appointed by the County Court at its October term 1917 to appear and serve as Jurors at this the present term of court to wit: Will Thompson, Jack Curtis, R.A. Bryant Josh Knight, Warner Sharp, Will McKeel, Matt Morris, J.A. O.Guin, Joe Adams, H.R. Rushton, Tom Murrell, J.T. Forensforth, Will Ridings, H.C. Watkins, J.H. Beasley, Lee White, Will McCollum, Will May, Monroe Wills, Jim Hedge, R.P. Ladd, C.E. Allen, Jess Murphree, Will Bowen, and Henry Rogers, and it appearing to the court that the above named parties regularly summoned ~~XXXXXX~~ by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and that said parties so summoned appeared and answered said summons except Warner Sharp, Will McKeel, Mat Morris, J.H. Beasley Will McCollum, Will May, C.E. Allen, who were excused by the court for various causes and John Crowell, Bob Baker, Willis Bass, Boyd Roberts Dave Johnson J.S. Raney, Jon, Fields, and W.K. Pirtle were appointed by the court and duly qualified to fill the vacancies.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December Term, 8th day of Jan. 1918

Out of said jurors so summoned and appearing were drawn a Grand Jury to wit: Will Bowen, Josh Knight, Tom Murrell, Lee White, Henry Rogers, Monroe Will, Jess Murphree, Will Thompson, J.T. Forensforth, G.D. Brazzell, J.A. O.Guin, J.L. Hedge and Joe Adams. Out of whom Lee White is by the Court appointed Foreman and the said said Grand Jury is in all things as the law directs having been duly elected tried sworn and charged by the court retired to thier room in charge of R.S. Warren a Deputy Sheriff of Humphreys County sworn according to law to attend them in considering presentments and indictments,

This day the Grand Jury came into open court in a body and present the following indictments and presentment and indictment against Henry Lomax Col. Larceny which in indictment is in the words and figure following to wit: State of Tennessee Humphreys County, January Term of Circuit Court, A.D. 1918 The Grand Jurors for the State of Tennessee, duly, elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire for the body of the County of Humphreys and State aforesaid upon thier oath aforesaid, present that Henry Lomax Col. heretofore to wit, on the 10th. day of August 1917 in the County aforesaid, unlawfully and feloniously did steal, take and carry away 6 Doz. coats cotton, 1 pr. pants 3 shirts 3 union suits 1 cap, 1 Doz. collars 1 doz soap 1/2 doz shaving brushes, 1 doz ladies hose 1/2 doz half hose 1 doz garters 3 doz san silk 1 box crochet cotton to the value of Twenty & 37/100 dollars the proerty of Nashville Chattanooga & St. Louis R.R. of said County, then and there being found contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General. January Term 1918 The State vs. Henry Lomax col Larceny, Frank Martin Prosecutor, subpoena for the state Frank Martin D.B. McCann J.L. Smith. Witnesses sworn by me on this indictment before the Grand Jury January Term 1918 Lee White Foreman of the Grand Jury. Jno. B. Bowman Attorney General A true bill Lee White Foreman of the Grand Jury.

One against D.W. Stewart Caring a pistol, Subpoena for the State D.B. McCann.

One against Goe McQuiddy, and Jno. Cabb Col, Transporting Liquor Subpoena

for the State D.B. McCann, J.L. Smith, Sidney Gilbert Col.

One against J.S. Chesser et.al. Subpoena for the state D.B. McCann J.L. Smith. State of Tennessee

vs. (age of consent

Vester Spann

In this came the Attorney General for the state, and states to the court, that he desires to prosecute this case no further. It is therefore ordered by the Court that this case be dismissed, and the defendant go hence without day, and thar the state of Tennessee pay all the costs that accrued at it instance.

State of Tennessee against herman Stewart. State of Tennessee against Will Jinks State of Tennessee Will Jinks. The three above styled case ~~XXX~~ Allis Capiases are ordered issued for defendants.

State of Tennessee Joe White Col. State of Tennessee Clifford Frey. State of Tennessee against Goe. Keel, State of Tennessee against Merdieth Craving State of Tennessee Goe Trogden. State of Tennessee against State of Tennessee against Ed. Johnson col. State of Tennessee against Goe. Trogden, State of Tennessee against John Clark, State of Tennessee against Nick Arnold, state of Tennessee against Jno. Simmons. The ten above styled case are orderd placed on the rired docket.

State of Tennessee against Mrs. Maggie Dunn in two case, against Fred Wilson, in the Three above style cases are continued by the defendants.

State of Tennessee

vs.

Albert Caps

Drunkenness

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in his own proper person, and plead guilty as charged, whereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of five dollars together with all the cost, then came into open court the defendant and paid the Clerk of this court all of said fine and costs. It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

vs.

Bert Wells

Gaming

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in his own proper person and plead guilty as charged, whereupon ~~XXXXXX~~ recommendation of the Attorney General a nolleprosequi is entered in this case upon the defendant, the costs, then came into open court the defendant and paid to the clerk of this all the costs in this case. It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day.

State of Tennessee against Jess Winters Gaming, this case is placed on the retired docket.

State of Tennessee

vs.

Ben Holland

possessing liquor

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in his own proper person, and plead guilty as charged, whereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay a fine of Fifty Dollars together with all the cost then came into open court the defendant paid to the Clerk of this court all of said fine and cost. It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day.

State of Tennessee

vs.

John Young

Carrying a pistol

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in his own proper person and plead guilty as charged, where upon the court assess the penalty, and say he shall pay a fine of Fifty Dollars together with all the cost, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and cost he will be confined in the county Jail or work-house until he pay secure or work out all of said fine and costs.

State of Tennessee

vs.

John Young

Assault with intent to commit murder in the first degree.

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in his own proper person, when upon recommendation of the Attorney General

a nolleprosequi is entered upon the payment of the costs, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said cost he will be confined in the County Jail or work house until he pay secure or workout all of said costs.

State of Tennessee

vs.

D.W. Stewart

Carrying a pistol,

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in his own proper person, and plead guilty as charged whereupon the court assess the penalty and say he shall pay fine of Fifty Dollars together with all the cost, and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine and cost he will be confined in the county jail or work house until he pay secure or workout all of said fine and cost.

Annie Rogers

vs.

Henry Rogers

In the Circuit Court at Waverly Tenn.,

This cause was this day heard on motion of the petitioner's attorney for an order proconfesso against the defendant Henry Rogers, and it appearing that said defendant is duly before the court by publication regularly had ans made according to law, and he having failed to appear and answer the defendant's petition as therein directed, but in default thereof, it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant's petition be and is taken for confessed and the same set for hearing ex parte as to said defendant. The case was then further heard upon the proconfesso order heretofore granted, and the petition of the defendant, and the oral testimony of witnesses introduced in open court, when it appearing to the court that the defendant Henry Rogers, abandoned the petitioner and her two small children by a former marriage, turned her out of doors, and refused and neglected to provide for her and her said two children and that said defendant wilfully and maliciously deserted said petitioner without a reasonable cause more than two whole years next before the date of the filing of the petition, petition to wit Dec. 1st, 1917. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that the bonds of matrimony now subsisting between the petitioner and the defendant be and the same are perpetually dissolved, rendered void and for nothing held and that the petitioner be restored to all the rights and privileges of a single woman. It is further ordered adjudged and decreed that the defendant pay the costs of this cause for which execution will issue.

State of Tennessee

vs.

Hill Forsee Col.

Tippling

In this cause came the Attorney General for the State and it appears to the court that this defendant was indicted at a former term of this court for offense of tippling, and the said defendant was arrested and entered into bond with G.L. Williams as his surety which bond is in the words and figures following to wit State of Tennessee Humphreys County. We Hill Forsee agree to pay the State of Tennessee Two Hundred Fifty & no /100 Dollars unless the said Hill Forsee appear at the next term of the Circuit of Humphreys County, to be held at the Courthouse in the town of Waverly on the 2nd. Monday in August 1917 on Tuesday of said term to answer the state of Tennessee for the offense of tippling and do not depart the

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December Term, 8 day of Jan. 1918

Principal
Court without leave. Hill Forsee, G.L. Williams Tom, Brown sureties
Approved J.L. Smith Sheriff, This 3d. day of May 1917.
And the defendant Hill Forsee being solemnly called to come into open court and answer the State of Tennessee upon a charge of tippling came not but made default and the said G.L. Williams was also called to come into court and bring with them the body of the said Hill Forsee according to the tenor and effect of thiersaid bond came not but made default neither came the defendant Hill Forsee nor his said sureties but made default. It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant Hill Forsee and G.L. Williams for thier said default do forfeit and pay unto the State of Tennessee the said sum of Two Hundred and fifty dollars according to the tenor and effect of thier said bond.

And it is further ordered by the court that Sci.Fa. issued to the said defendant and his said surety requiring them to appear at the next term of this court and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final. And further that Alias Capias issue for the defendant.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9, o'clock.

-----W.L. Cook-----Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment, present and presiding Hon. W.L. Cook Judge.

State of Tennessee)
vs.)
Henry Lomax Col.) Larceny

In this case came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in person, and by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment pleads guilty to Petit Larceny. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit: Will Ridings, R.A. Bryant, Jack Curtis, H.C. Watkins, John Crowell, Bob Baker, Willis Bass, Jack Roberts Dave Johnson, J.S. Raney, Jno. Fields, and W.K. Pirtle, who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law upon thier oaths do say they find the the defendant of Petit Larceny. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that for offense aforesaid the defendant be confined in the State Penitentiary at Nashville Tenn. at hard labor for an indeterminate peroid of time of not less than one year nor more than five years and that he pay the cost of this cause for which let execution issue. It is ordered by the Court th at the defendant be and he is hereby rendered infamous and disqualified to give evidence in any of the courts of this State

This day the Grand Jury came into open Court in a body and present the following presentments. One against Dewey Lucas carrying a pistol Subpoena for the State Jack Mitchell, Claud Bell, Moroe Walls.

One against Jack Nash carrying a pistol Subpoena for the State Tom Black, Grace Owens, Jno, Wyly Grimmitt, Tom Grimmitt.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Tom Pearson a) Larceny

In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore orderd by the Court that the defendant be discharged.

Minutes Circuit Court, Humphreys County, December Term, 8th day of Jan. 1918

State of Tennessee
vs.) A.B.

Rob Wright
Tom Black
In this case the Grand Jury returned an indictment marked not a true bill. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be dismissed.

We, the members of the Grand Jury for the December Term of the Circuit Court of Humphreys Tenn., beg leave to submit the following report to your Honor. We have faithfully performed all duties required of us and having nothing to call to your Honor's attention, and having completed our labors we respectfully ask to be discharged for the term. Lee White, Tom Murrel, Will Bowen, Jos. Knight, Henry Rogers Jessie Murphrey, Monroe Wills, Will Thompson, J.T. Forensworth, G.D. Brazzel J.A. O, Guinn, J.L. Hedge, Jos. Adams.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9.00, o'clock,

-----W.L. Cook-----Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment, present and presiding Hon. W.L. Cook Judge.

State of Tennessee
vs.) Attachment.
Ches Phebus)

In this case it appearing to the Court upon motion of the Attorney General that the above named party was legally summoned to appear beforet this Court to give evidence before the Grand Jury, and failed to do so. It is ordered by the Court that attachment issue and be served on said party requi reing him to appear at the next term of this court to give evidence before the Grand Jury, and answer for contempt of court.

State of Tennessee
vs.) Attachment
Sidney Gilbert)

In this case it appearing to the Court upon motion of the Attorney General that the above named party was legally sommoned to appear before this Court to give evidence before the Grand Jury, and failed to do so. It is therefore orderd by the Court that attachment issue and be served on said party requiring him to appear at the next term of this court to give evidence before the court, and answer for content of court.

State of Tennessee
vs.

Hill Forsee et.al.
In this case the Attorney General for state and, defendants in thier own person, and by attorney when for sufficient reason appearing the forfeit ure heretofore taken against the defendants and thier surety on thier appearance bond be and the same is hereby set aside, and security on the aforesaid appearance bond is by the court released from payment of same.

State of Tennessee)
 vs.)
 Hill Forsee et. al.) Tippling,

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendants Hill Forsee, and Cliff Forsee, in thier own proper persons and by Attorneys whenupon recommendation of the Attorney General a nolleprosequi is entered in this case upon the payment of the cost. Then came into open court G.L. Williams and paid to the clerk of this court all the costs in this case. It is therefore orderd by the Court, that the defendants Hill, and Cliff Forsee go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)
 vs.) Content of court.
 Clem Reece et. al.)

In this case the Attorney General for the State and the defendants Clem Reece, Brown Wilkins, and Lilburn Shaw in thier own proper persons, and for sufficient reason appearing to the court this case is dismissed upon the defendants paying the cost of the attachment proceedings, then came into open court the aforesaid defendants, adn paid to the clerk of this court all the cost in this case. It is therefore orderd by the court that the defendants go hence without day.

State of Tennessee)
 vs.) Breach of Trust.
 T.M. Larkins)

In this case the Attorney General for the State, and states to the Court that he desires to prosecute this case no further. It is therefore order by the court that a nolleprosequi be entered. The defendant be discharged

W.G. Ridings
 vs.
 Jennie E. Ridings

This cause came on to be heard on the 10th. day of January 1918 before Judge W.L. Cook upon the petition of the complainant the proconfesso heretofore entered against the defendant on the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open court. And it satisfactorially appeared to the court that the facts charged in the petition are true: that the defendant at the time she contracted to marry petitioner was and still is naturally impotent and incaable od procreation. Therefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the petitioner and defendant be absulutely and forever dissolved, and the the ~~marriage~~ complainant be vested with all the rights and prileges of a single man, and further that the petitioner, pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

M. Harris)
 vs.)
 W.W. Stewart) This case is compromised and settled out of court, and the defendant is to pay the cost, for which let execution issue.

John Hollaran)
 vs.)
 A.C. Daniel) Condemnation

This cause is compromised and settled out of court, and the defend ant is taxed with the cost. It is therefore orderd adjudged and decreed by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant all the costs in this cause for which let execution issue.

Dr. J.J. Shanon)
 vs.) In Circuit Court for Humphreys County Tennessee.
 Frank Dunnagan)

J.E. Sullivan, a Justice of the Peace for Humphreys County Tennessee filed here in court the following papers.

WARRANT.

State of Tennessee Humphreys County. To any lawful officer within said County. You are hereby commanded to summons Frank Dunnagan to personally appear before me, or someother acting Justice of the Peace for said County, to answer the complaint of J.J. Shannon, in a plea of debt due by accounty under \$500.00. Given under my hand and seal, this 30 day of Sep, 1916. J.E. Sullivan Justice of the Peace.

OFFICER'S RETURN.

Came to hand same day issued, and executed by reading the within warrant to Frank Dunnagan, and citing him to appear before J.E. Sullivan Esq. for trial the 21st. dau of October 1916, at 12 o'clock A.M. D.B. McCann C.H.C. Filed Jan. 3d. 1918. Albert Binkley Clerk.

JUDGMENT.

Dr. J.J. Shannon Vs. Frank Dunagan. In this cause I render judgment for the Plaintiff, and against the Defendant, for Ten ----- & 75/100 dollars and all costs of suit, for which execution may issue. This 31st. day of October 1916.

J.E. Sullivan Justice of the Peace.

EXECUTION

State of Tennessee Humphreys County. To any lawfull officer to execute and return You are hereby commanded, that of the goods and chattles, lands and tenements of Frank Dunnagan you cause ti be made the sum of Ten Dollars and Seventy five cents and costs of suit, to sayisfy a judgment which Dr. J.J. Shannon obtained before me J.E. Sullivan Justice of the Peace on the 21st. day of October 1916 against the said Frand Dunnagan, and such moneys when collected, pay to the said Dr. J.J. Shannon. Given under my hand and seal, this 24th day of December 1917.

J.E. Sullivan Justice of the Peace.

Levy.

The attached execution came to hand when issued, and search made and no personal property of the defendant to be found in my county, I therefore levy this Fl.Fa. upon all the rights, titles, and interest and claim and demand, that the defendant has in a tract of land lying and being in the old 8th. Civil District now the new 4th. District, of Humphryes Tennessee, and bound as follows. Begining on an elm with elm and beech pointers standing on the North Bank of Kissies branch, runing thence up said branch with its meanders 90 poles to a stake, in the William Luton N.B.L. thence North with said line 14 poles to a stake, thence South 64 degrees East 24 poles to a chestnut, thence North 53 poles to a black gum with black gum pointers, thence West 84 poles to the begining, containing 25 acres more or less, it being the same tract od land that was conveyed to S.B. Simpson and wife Francis I. Simpson, by Banks Link and wife. This December 27th. 1917. D.B. McCann. C.H.C.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Hill Forsee et. al.)
Tippling,

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendants Hill Forsee, and Cliff Forsee, in thier own proper persons and by Attorneys whenupon recommendation of the Attorney General a noleprosequi is entered in this case upon the payment of the cost. Then came into open court G.L. Williams and paid to the clerk of this court all the costs in this case. It is therefore orderd by the Court, that the defendants Hill, and Cliff Forsee go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Clem Reece et. al.)
Contemt of court.

In this case the Attorney General for the State and the defendants Clem Reece, Brown Wilkins, and Lilburn Shaw in thier own properpersons, and for sufficient reason appearing to the court this case is dismissed upon the defendants paying the cost of the attachment proceedings, then came into open court the aforesaid defendants, adn paid to the clerk of this court all the cost in this case. It is therefore orderd by the court that the defendants go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
vs.
T.M. Larkins)
Breach of Trust.

In this case the Attorney General for the State, and states th the court that he desires to prosecute this case no further. It is therefore order by the court that a noleprosequi be entered. court that the defendant be discharged

W.G. Ridings
vs.
Jennie B. Ridings

This cause came on to be heard on the 10th. day of January 1918 before Judge W.L. Cook upon the petition of the complainant the proconfesso heretofore entered against the defendant on the oral testimony of witnesses examined in open court. And it satisfactoriial appeared to the court that the facts charged in the petition are true: that the defendant at the time she contracted to marry petitioner was and still is naturally impotent and incaable od procreation. Therefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the petitioner and defendant be absolutely and forever dissolved, and the the ~~DEFENDANT~~ complainant be vested with all the rights and priedges of a single man, and further that the petitioner, pay the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

M. Harris
vs.
W.W. Stewart

This case is compromised and settled out of court, and the defendant is to pay the cost, for which let execution issue.

John Hollaran
vs.
A.C. Daniel)
Condemnation

This cause is compromised and settled out of court, and the defend ant is taxed with the cost. It is therefore orderd adjudged and decreed by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant all the costs in this cause for which let execution issue.

Dr. J.J. Shanon
vs.
Frank Dunnagan)
In Circuit Court for Humphreys County Tennessee.

J.E. Sullivan, a Justice of the Peace for Humphreys County Tennessee filed here in court the following papers.

WARRANT.

State of Tennessee Humphreys County. To an lawful officer within said County. You are hereby commanded to summons Frank Dunnagan to personally appear before me, or someother acting Justice of the Peace for said County, to answer the complaint of J.J. Shanon, in a plea of debt due by accounty under \$500.00. Given under my hand and seal, this 30 day of Sep, 1916. J.E. Sullivan Justice of the Peace.

OFFICER'S RETURN.

Came to hand same day issued, and executed by reading the within warrant to Frank Dunnagan, and citing him to appear before J.E. Sullivan Esq. for trial the 21st. dau of October 1916, at 12 o'clock A.M. D.B. McCann C.H.C. Filed Jan. 3d. 1918. Albert Binkley Clerk.

JUDGMENT.

Dr. J.J. Shanon Vs. Frank Dunagan. In this cause I render judgment for the Plaintiff, and against the Defendant, for Ten --- & 75/100 dollars and all costs of suit, for which execution may issue. This 21st. day of October 1916. J.E. Sullivan Justice of the Peace.

EXECUTION

State of Tennessee Humphreys County. To any lawfull officer to execute and return You are hereby commanded, that of the goods and chattles, lands and tenements of Frank Dunnagan you cause ti be made the sum of Ten Dollars and Seventy five cents and costs of suit, to sayisfy a judgment which Dr. J.J. Shanon obtained before me J.E. Sullivan Justice of the Peace on the 21st. day of October 1916 against the said Frand Dunnagan, and such moneys when collected, pay to the said Dr. J.J. Shanon. Given under my hand and seal, this 24th day of December 1917.

J.E. Sullivan Justice of the Peace.

Levy.

The attached execution came to hand when issued, and search made and no personal property of the defendant to be found in my county, I therefore levy this Fi.Fa. upon all the rights, titles, and interest and claim and demand, that the defendant has in a tract of land lying and being in the old 8th. Civil District now the new 4th. District, of Humphryes Tennessee, and bound as follows. Begining on an elm with elm and beech pointers standing on the North Bank of Kissies branch, runing thence up said branch with its meanders 90 poles to a stake, in the William Luton N.B.L. thence North with said line 14 poles to a stake, thence South 64 degrees East 24 poles to a chestnut, thence North 53 poles to a black gum with black gum pointers, thence West 84 poles to the begining, containing 25 acres more or less it being the same tract od land that was conveyed to S.B. Simpson and wife Francis I. Simpson, by Banks Link and wife. This December 27th. 1917. D.B. McCann. C.H.C.

MOTION.

And on motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered by the court that the land so levied on be sold by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, Tenn. to satisfy the aforesaid judgment of J.E. Sulli van J.P. and the costs of this proceeding. The plaintiff admits that the land is worth less than one thousand dollars, and that the defendant has or is entitle to a homestead in the same. It is therefore adjudged that selling said lands, such sale will be made subject to said homestead interest.

F.N. Scholes
vs.
A.C. Daniel

In Circuit Court for Humphreys County, Tenn.,
A.S.J. Curtis, a Justice of the Peace for Humphreys County, Tennessee
filed here in court the following papers to wit:

WARRANT

State of Tennessee Humphreys County. To an lawful officer within said County: You are hereby commanded to summon A.C. Daniel to personally appear beforeme, or some other acting Justice of the Peace, for said County, to answer the complaint of F.N. Scholes in a plea of debts due by account, under \$500.00 Given under my hand and seal, this 19 day of December 1914 J.E. Sullivan Justice of the Peace.

OFFICER'S RETURN.

Came to hand when issued, and executed by reading the within warrant to A.C. Daniel and citing him to appear before C.A. Summers Esq. for trial the 23d. day of December 1914 at 2 o'clock J.H. Hall C.H. C. Filed Sep. 3d. 1917 Albert Binkley Clerk.

JUDGMENT

F.N. Scholes against A.C. Daniel. In this cause I render judgment for the Plaintiff and against the defendant for \$17.70 Dollars and all costs of suit, for which execution may issue. C.A. Summers Justice of the Peace.

EXECUTION

State of Tennessee Humphreys County, To any lawfull officer to execute and return. You are hereby commanded, that of the goods and chattles, lands and tenements of A.C. Daniel, you cause to be made the sum of Seventeen Dollars and seventy cents, and costs of suit, to satisfy a judgemnt which F.N. Scholes obtained before C.A. Summers Justice of the Peace, on the 23d. day of December 1914 against the said A.C. Daniel, and such moneys when collected, pay to the said F.N. Scholes. Given under my hand and seal, this 21st. day of August 1917 A.S.J. Curtis Justice of the Peace.

LEVY.

The attached execution came to hand when issued, search made by me and no personal property of the defendant to be found in my County. I therefore levy this F.N. upon all the rights, title, claim and demand that the defendant A.C. Daniel has in a tract of land situated on the waters of White Oak Creek in the First Civil District of Humphreys County Tenn., adjoining the lands of Petty on the North North or the South by Collier and on the East by Petty on the West by Harris this levy is made subject to a former levy in favor of John Holloran, and subject to homestead right of the defendant, this August 27 1917. D.B. McCann. C."C.

MOTION.

And on motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered by the court that the land so levied on be sold by the sheriff of Humphreys County, Tenn. to satisfy the aforesaid judgment of C.A. Summers Justice of the Peace, and the costs of this proceeding. The plaintiff admits that the land is worth less than one thousand dollars, and the

that the defendant has or is entitle to a homestead in the same. It is therefore adjudged that in selling said lands, that such sale will be made subject to said homestead interest.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Andy Blackwell et. al.

Failure to work road

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendants in thier own proper persons to wit: Andy Blackwell, Dick Ladd, Allen McClure, Brown O. Guinn, and Elton Younger, when upon recommendation of the Attorney General, a nolleprosequi is entered in this case upon the payment of the costs and in the event of thier failure to pay or secure said costs they will be confined in the county jail or work house until they pay secure or work out all of said costs.

State of Tennessee,
vs.
Andrew Smith et. al.

Failure to work road.

In this case came the Attorney General for the state, and the defendants Andrew Smith, Tom Smith, Clarence Smith, and Floyd Nix, in thier own proper persons whereupon recommendation of the Attorney General a nolleprosequi is entered in this case upon the payment of the costs, and in the event of thier failure to pay or secure said costs they be confined in the county jail or work house until they pay secure or workout all of said costs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
McAdoo Crockett et.al.

Failure to work road.

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendants McAdoo Crockett, Herschel Daniel, G.C. Guthrie, and Earnest Phillips in thier own proper persons, whereupon recommendation of the Attorney General a nolleprosequi is entered in this case upon the payment of the costs, and in the event of thier failure to pay or secure said costs they will be confined in the county jail or work-house until they pay secure or work out all of said costs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Walter Anderson et.al.

Failure to work road.

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendants Walter Anderson, D.A. Burch, C.L. Burch, Walter Burch, Jim Clemans Jim McClure, Oscar Miller, Babe Choate, in thier own proper persons when upon recommendation of the Attorney General a nolleprosequi is entered in this case upon the payment of the costs, and in the event of their failure to pay or secure said costs they will be confined in the county jail or work house until they pay secure or workout all of said costs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Hargus Townsend et.al.

Failure to work road.

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendants, Hargus Townsend, Chas. Townsend, Frank Trull, R.W. Wyatt, R.H. Bell, S.L. Daniel, and Hurbert Harden, in thier own proper persons, when upon recommendation

a nolleprosequi is entered in this case upon the payment of the cost, and in the event of thier failure to pay or secure said costs they will be confined in the county jail or work house until they pay secure or work out all of said costs.

State of Tennessee)
vs. (Failure to work road.
J.P. Bass et. al. (

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendants, ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~ J.P. Bass, B.M. Buchanan, J.D. Curfiss, Albert Chance, W.J. Cates, J.C. Cates, J.J. Fuller, L.M. Roberts, Will Story, Ed Shamon, and W.C. Scott. in thier own proper persons, when upon recommendation of the Attorney General a nolleprosequi is entered this case upon the payment of the costs and in the event of thier failure to pay or secure said costs they will be confined in the county jail or work house until they pay secure or workout all of the said costs.

SHERIFF'S BOARD BILL.

This day came J.L. Smith sheriff and Jailer of Humphreys County Tennessee, and present and read in open court his accounty against the state keepin prisoners and turn keys account as to prisoners charged with felonies to the amount of \$70.00 which is allowed by the court and ordered paid out of the State Treasure. And that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
vs. (Murder
Cleo King Col. (Motion to retax costs

In this cause came the Attorney General upon the part of the state and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court from the return of the Sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court, against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable to pay the costs of this suit or an part thereof. So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the costs accruing upon the part of the state be allowed and paid out of the Treasure of the state, and that the Clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the Comptroller, for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
vs. (A.B.
Hiram Turberville (Motion to reax costs.

In this case came the Attorney General for the state, and it appearin to the satisfaction of the court, from the return of Sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court, against the estate of the defendant for the cost of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent, and unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore orderd adjudged and decreed by the court, that the cost accruing upon the part of the state, be allowed and paid out of the County Treasure of Humphreys County, and that the clerk of this court, make out and certify the same to the County Judge for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
vs. (tipping motion to retax cost
Cliff Farsee Col. (

In this case came the Attorney General for the state, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court, from the return of the Sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court, against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent, and unable to pay the cost of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accruing upon the part of the state, be allowed and paid out of the Treasure of Humphreys County, and that the clerk of this court make out and certify the same to the County Judge of said County for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
vs. (Carrying a pistol.
Mose Box Col. (Motion to retax cost

In this case came the Attorney General for the state, and it appear appearing to the satisfaction of the court, from the return of the Sheriff upon an execution issued to him by the clerk of this court, against the defendant for the costs of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent, and unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered a judge and decreed by the court, that the costs accruing upon the part, be allowed and paid out of the Treasure of Humphreys County, and that the clerk of this court makeout and certify the same, to the County Judge of said County for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
vs. (~~XXXXXXXX~~ Larceny, motion to retax
Henry Brazzel (

In this case came the attorney General for the state, and appearing to the satisfaction of the court, from the return of the sheriff upon an execution, issued to him by the clerk of this court against the estate of the defendant for the costs of this suit, and that the defendant is wholly insolvent and unable the costs of this case, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that thecost accruing upon the part of the state, be allowed, and paid out of the Treasure of Humphreys County, and that the Clerk of this court make out, and certify the same, to the County Judge of said County, as the law directs.

State of Tennessee)
vs. (Drunkenness
Ike Jackson (Motion to retax costs

In this case came the Attorney General upon the part of the state, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court, from the return of the sheriff upon an execution, issued to him by the clerk of this court, against the estate of the defendant, for the costs of this suit, that the defendant is wholly insolvent, and unable to pay the costs of this suit, or any part thereof. So it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that the costs accruing upon the part of the state, be allowed, and paid out of the County Treasure of Humphreys County, and the clerk of this court, amke out and certify the same to the County Judge of said County for payment as the law directs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
W.R. Deblison

Forfeiture on Chas Ledbetter app earance bond.

In this case, it appearing to the court that proper process was issued and served on the defendant to appear in this court, and show cause why final judgment should not be rendered against him, on the forfeiture heretofore taken against him for the sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$250.00) as surety for the appearance of of Chas Ledbetter to answer the charge of tippling, and the costs of this forfeiture proceeding. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that the State of Tennessee recover of the defendant W.R. Deblison the sum of Two Hundred and fifty Dollars (\$250.00), and all the costs of this forfeiture proceeding for which let execution issue.

A.W. Work
vs.
Frank York

In Circuit Court, of Humphreys County, Tennessee.

Came the defendant by his attorney, and moved the court to relax the claims of the following witnesses against the plaintiff, on the grounds that they were not introduced before the court as witnesses, or testified on behalf of plaintiff, which was continued ~~was~~ by the court until next term of the court. It is therefore ordered by the court that defendant hold said fund subject to the order of the court at next term, and the sheriff is hereby directed not collect said amount from defendant until ordered by the court. Said Claims are as follows to wit:-

Bob Greer 1d. \$4.00 W.E. Pegrim 1d. \$1.00 Jno. Williams 1d. \$1.00 Tom Fortner 1d. \$1.00 Sam Smith 2d. \$2.00 Jno. Hatcher 1d. \$1.00 Zach Morgan 3d. \$3.00 Tom Morgan 3d. \$3.00 Ethel Baggett, 1d. 2d. N. \$2.04 D.M. Cooper 3d. \$3.00 Bob. Fortner 1d. \$1.00 Jno. McNeil 1d. \$1.00 Walter McNeil 1d. \$1.00. Making in all \$29.88.

This Jan. 10th. 1918.

State of Tennessee,
vs.
George Lomax et. al.

Failure to work road.

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendants, in their own proper person, when upon recommendation of the Attorney General, a nolleprosequi is entered in this case upon the defendants payment of the costs and in the event of their failure to pay or secure the costs they will be confined in the county jail or work house until they pay secure or work out of the said cost.

State of Tennessee against J.E. Daniel, State of Tennessee against Clifton Scholes failure to work road State of Tennessee against J.S. Chessier, Transporting liquor State of Tennessee against Goe. McQuiddy et. al. Transporting Liquor. The following are continued until the next term of court.

State of Tennessee
vs.
W.T. McCracken

Drunkenness

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in his own proper person, and plead guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assess the penalty, and say he pay a fine of Five dollars together with all the cost and in the event of his failure to pay or secure said fine cost he will be confined in the county Jail or work house until he pay secure or work out all of said fine costs.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Will Gartrell

A.B.

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the defendant in his own proper person by attorney, who being duly charged and arraigned on said bill of indictment plead not guilty. Therefor to try the issue joined came a jury of good and lawful men of Humphreys County to wit. Wil Ridings, R.A. Bryant, Jack Curtis, H.C. Watkins, John Crowell, Bob Baker, Willis Bass, Jack Roberts, Dave Johnson, J.S. Raney, Jno. Fields, and W.K. Pirtle. who being duly elected tried and sworn according to law, who after hearing all the evidence in the case argument of counsel, and charge of the court, who upon thier oath do say they find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant be discharged, and go hence without day.

State of Tennessee
vs.
Jack Luffman et, la.

In this case came the Attorney General for the state and the Defendants in thier own proper person, when upon recommendation of the Attorney General a nolleprosequi is entered in this case upon the payment of the costs as to Walter Jones, Jack Luffman, and Bill Stringer, ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ then came into open court court Walter Jones and paid the clerk of this court \$7.48 on his part of the costs in this case, then came into open court Boyd Adams and entered name as security for Jack Luffman's part of the costs in this case, then came into open court A.R. Linville and entered his name security for the costs in this case as to Bill Stringer It is therefore ordered by the court, that the state of Tennessee recover of the defendants and their said surities all the costs in this case as to Walter Jones, Jack Luffman and Bill Stringer. And as to the defendant Henry Stewart the Attorney states to court that he desires to prosecute this case no futher as to him. It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant Henry Stew art be discharged.

The following cases are continued until the next term court. Western Union Tel. Co. N.C. & St. L. R.R. Hooper Bros. against J.B. Brown, J.C. Baugus against J.L. Phebus E.T. Tatom against R.A. Murray. F.N. Schiles against Lucian Lasjlee Jno. Lagan agin against N.C. & St. L. R.R. S.E. Phillips against Kelly Handle Co. Mrs. E.E. Cooley against J.T. Littleton, Hermam Moddy against Daisy Moody, J.W. Byrn against Oliver Hill Construction Co. C.L. Rourke against Claud Lashlee C.M. Cooley against W.F. Turner, Allen Rianey against C.E. Qualls, J.W. Porch against W.F. M. Baker A.J. Sanders against N.C. & St. L. R.R. A.J. Sanders against N.C. & St. L. R.R. Jas T. Anderson against Indiana Tie, Co. A.M. Chappell against A.C. Mims. C.S. Allison against J.H. Ferry et.al. E. Cowen against Joe Tummins et. -al, E.Cowen against Dan Tummins et.al. N.B. Brown against J.W. Bryce.

N.B. Brown
vs.
Ida Spann et.al.

This case was continued pending settlement.

P.J. Dunagan)
vs.) In the Circuit Court. Order proconfesso and final decree
Elizabeth Dunagan.)

In this cause, on motion of comp., and it appearing to the Court that the defendant Elizabeth Dunagan, has been served regularly with subpoena to answer complainant's bill, and that the defendant has failed to make defense to said bill within the time prescribed by law; it is therefore ordered that complainant's bill be taken for confessed and set for hearing ex parte, and thereupon this cause coming to be further and finally heard upon the bill, the judgment proconfesso, heretofore taken against the defendant, and the whole proof taken orally before the court, on this the 11th day of January 1918, before W.L. Cook, Circuit Judge set, and it satisfactorily appearing to the Court from all the proof introduced in the cause and the charge in the bill were true. That the defendant Elizabeth Dunagan, had wilfully deserted complainant without a reason cause, that there is no probable possibility of them ever living together as man and wife, from the state of facts set up by the complainant, and that defendant attempted the life of complainant in this that she cut the tires of complainant's automobile almost to a puncture, which she had threatened to do and cause the wreckage or came so very near being so badly wrecked that the life of complainant was in serious danger on several occasions on the day she said she would wreck the machine, that at other time she had stated that she had poisoned the food which complainant had to eat and that defendant had stated that she would take the life of complainant at other times, that complainant gave defendant no reasonable grounds to make said attempts on his life or for said desertions, taking all things into consideration, the Court was pleased to order and so adjudge, orders and decrees by the Court that the bonds of matrimony subsisting between the complainant P.J. Dunagan and defendant Elizabeth Dunagan, be and is hereby absolutely and forever dissolved and that complainant have all the rights and privileges of a single man or unmarried man and that he pay all the cost of said suit incident to his cause, for which let execution issue.

Jas. T. Anderson)
vs.) Appealed J.P.
Indiana Tie Co.)

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and this cause, out and settled court the Indiana Tie Co is to pay all the costs except the cost of the witness fees which costs is to be paid by Jas. T. Anderson.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the Indiana Tie Co. pay all the cost except the witness fees, that Jas. T. Anderson pay the witness fees for which let execution issue.

A.M. Chappell)
vs.) Appealed J.P.
A.C. Mimms)

In this case came the parties, and by agreement this case is settled out of court, at the cost of the defendant.
It is therefore ordered by the court, that the ~~defendant~~ defendant pay all the costs of this cause for which let execution issue.

Court then adjourned until court in course.

W. L. Cook Judge.

Caption April Term Circuit Court A.D. 1918.

Be it remembered that a Circuit Court was opened and held in and for the County of Humphreys, at the court house in the town of Waverly Tennessee, on the 15th day of April, it being the 3rd. Monday in said month. And the One Thousand Nine Hundred and eighteenth of our Lord, and the One Hundredth and forty second year of American Independence.

Present and presiding the Hon. W.L. Cook, Judge of the 9th. Judicial Circuit of the State of Tennessee.

Court was opened in due form of law by J.L. Smith Sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee and by him was returned into open Court a writ of venire facias showing that the following named persons were appointed by the County Court at its April Term 1918 to appear and to serve as jurors at this the present term court to wit: John T. Tate, W.C. Madden, H.J. Curtis, Ben Bell, G.D. Ridings, S.E. Moore, David Warren, Tom Ayers, J.C. Morrisett, T.G. Traylor, Tom Merdith, Dick Standfield, Bob Tummins, W.F. Sanders, Joe Beasley, J.R. Wood, Sam Curtis, E. T. Crockett, Will McCanless, T.O. Simpson, Will McCollum, Jim Sharp, Henry Winters, Bob Rushton, and G.M. Daniel. And it appearing to the Court that the above parties were regularly summoned by the Sheriff of Humphreys County, and that said parties so summoned and answered said summons except G.D. Ridings, Ben Bell, T.G. Traylor, T.O. Simpson, S.E. Moore, Will McCanless, Jno. T. Tate, Dick Standfield, and Joe Beasley, who were excused by the court for cause, and T.M. Simpson, R.M. Mathews, G.H. Yarbrough, Elmer Morrisett, J.F. Gibbons, S.A. Seabrook, Will Pickard, Marvin Lovell, W.S. Mays, & Claud Luton, were appointed by the court and duly qualified to fill the vacancies, Out of said Jurors so summoned and appearing were drawn a Grand Jury re wit: J.P. Wood, H.J. Curtis, Henry Williams, J.C. Morrisett, David Warren, W.F. Sanders, Will McCollum, Bob Tummins, I.T. Crockett, W.C. Madden, J.P. Sharp, R.M. Mathews and T.M. Simpson, Out of whom I.T. Crockett, is by the Court appointed Foreman and the said Grand Jury is in all things as the law directs, having been duly elected tried and sworn, and charged by the Court retired to their room in charge of R.S. Warren a Deputy Sheriff, of Humphreys County sworn according to law to attend them in considering presentments and indictments.

State of Tennessee)
vs.) Forfeiture on Chas Ledbetter, a bond for tipping
W.R. Denison)

Came the Attorney General for the State and the defendant in proper person and by attorney and the defendant moved the Court to set aside the forfeiture judgment rendered against the defendant at the last term of this Court as surety on the appearance bond of Chas Ledbetter, an indictment against him charging him with tipping pending the motion the Attorney agreed for said judgment to be set aside and satisfied in full on the defendant paying the sum of fifty dollars to which the defendant agreed in open court and paid the Clerk fifty dollars. It is therefore considered by the Court that the said judgment aforesaid be set aside and the payment of the fifty dollars be in full payment and satisfaction of said forfeiture taken against the defendant.

State of Tennessee)
vs.) Tipping
Chas. Ledbetter)

In this case came the Attorney General on behalf of the State and defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and by agreement with the attorney

a moleproequi is entered in this case upon the defendant paying the cost, then came into open Court W.R. Denison and entered his name as surety for the cost of this case. It is there ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court the the State of Tennessee to recover of the defendant and his surety W.R. Denison all the cost in this case for which let execution issue.

C.L. Hourke (Humphreys County Circuit Court.
vs.

Claud Lashlee (This case came on for hearing on this the 15th. day of April 1918 when it appeared to the Court that the plaintiff, had not complied with a former order of this Court, that he file a good and sufficient cost bond on or before the first day of the December 1917 term of this court or this case would stand dismissed. It is there fore ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff's suit be and the same is dismissed and that he pay the cost for which execution will issue.

The following cases were continued by consent until the next of court.

Western Union Tel. Co. against the N.C. & St. L. R.R. JmO. Lagan against the N.C. & St. L. R.R. S.R. Phillips against Kelly Handle co. Mrs. E.E. Cooley against J.F. Littleton J.W. Byrn against The Oliver Hill Construction Co. Allen Rainey against C.E. Qualls, Carter Dry goods Co. N.O. Ridings. J.O. Bangus against J.L. Phobus,

C.M. Cooley (Appealed from J.P. Court.
vs.
W.F. Turner Admr. (

Came the parties when by agreement this case is settle out of court and the plaintiff is to pay the cost. It is there ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant W.F. Turner recover of the defendant C.M. Cooley all the cost in this case except the state and County tax which does not accrue.

J.W. Poreh (In Circuit Court Humphreys County Tennessee.
vs.
W.F. M. Baker (

This case is continued by the defendant, and set for Monday of next term.

A.J. Sanders (Appealed
vs.
N.C. & St. L. R.R. (

In this case by agreement this case is compromised and settled out of Court at the cost of the defendant. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant A.J. Sanders recover of the defendant all the costs in this case except the State and County tax which does not accrue.

A.J. Sanders (Appealed
vs.

N.C. & St. L. R.R. (

This case is compromised and settled out of court at the cost of the defendant. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant all the cost in this case except the State and County tax which does not accrue.

Mrs. Ella P Young
vs.

J.L. Smith Sheriff (This case is continued by the plaintiff, until next term.

H. Thornton (Appealed
vs.
John Curtis (This case is settled out of term time, at the defendant's cost.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the ~~SHERRIFF~~ plaintiff recover of the defendant all the cost in this case except the State and County tax which does not accrue.

E. Cowen (In Circuit Court for Humphreys County Tennessee.
vs.
Joe Tummins et.al. (A.B. Hooper a Justice of the Peace for Humphreys County Tennessee

filed here in court the following papers.

WARRANTY

State of Tennessee Humphreys County. To any lawful officer within said County: You are hereby commanded to summons Joe Tummins Principal and W.B. Murphree as indorser to personally appear before me, or some other acting Justice of the Peace for said County, to answer the ~~appra~~ complaint of E. Cowen in a plea of debts due by ~~SHERRIFF~~ note under \$100.00 Given under my hand and seal this 3rd. day of Jan, 1916 W.D. Pats Patterson Justice of the Peace. Issued 3. day of Jan. 1918 W.D. Patterson J.P.

OFFICER'S return. Came to hand when issued, and exec ~~ted~~ by reading the the within warrant to Joe Tummins and W.B. Murphree and citing him to appear before A.B. Hooper Esq. for tial the 15th. day of Jan. 1918, at 12 o'clock A. M. N.B. Bradley.

JUDGMENT

E. Cowen against Joe Tummins and W.B. Murphree endorser, In this cause I render Judgment for the plaintiff and against the defendant for \$77.23 Seventy Seven 23/100 Dollars and all costs of suit, for which execution may issue. A.B. Hooper Justice of the Peace.

EXECUTION

State of Tennessee Humphreys County. To any lawful officer to execute and return You are hereby commanded, that of the goods and chattles, lands and tenements of Joe. Tummins and ~~W.B.~~ W.B. Murphree endorser you cause to be made the sum of Seventy Seven and 23/100 Dollars and cents, and costs of suit, to satisfy a judgment which E. Cowen obtained before me A.B. Hooper Justice of the Peace on the 15th. day of January 1916 against the said Joe Tummins and W.B. Murphree as endorser, and such moneys when collected, pay to the said E. Cowen Given under my hand seal, this 12th. day of March 1917 A. B. Hooper Justice of the Peace. Filed March 14th. 1917 Albert Binkley Clerk

LEVY.

Came to hand when issued March 12th. 1917, and search made and no personal property to be found in my county belonging to the Debt. Joe Tummins upon which to levy this execution. I therefore levy the same upon the following described tract of land subject to his homestead ~~INTEREST~~ interest therein, it being worth less than One Thousand Dollars. 1st. Tract Beginning on a sweet gum near the spring South of the R.B. Tressell runs North 2 degrees East 84 poles to a stake with R.O. Pointers continuing on North 202 poles in all 286 poles to a stake on the side of a hill thence, South 88 degrees East 88 poles to a white oak stump, in the Watkins W.B. line thence South 2 degrees West 186 poles to a double sweet gum on the North side of Harriam Creek thence down the creek with its meanders 145 poles to the beginning. 2nd. Tract Beginning on a black oak stump in Terry McGuire's W.B. Line with hickory and red oak pointers runs South 2 degrees West 216 poles to a stake with pointers Burgess N.E. corner Thence South 88 degrees East 168 poles to 2 large post oaks with

with do wood pointers, on the West side of the road leading from McEwen, to Gilliam, thence in a northly course with the road to the creek, thence in a westerly course to the Creek to Terry McQuire corner Thence South 32 poles to the beginning. This March 14th. 1917

J.W. Knight S.D. S.

Motion

And on motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered by the Court that the land so levied upon be sold by the Sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee to satisfy the aforesaid judgment of A.B. Hooper J.P., and the cost of this proceedings. The plaintiff admits that the land is worth less than One Thousand Dollars, that the defendant has or is entitle to a homestead in the same. It is therefore adjudged that selling said lands, such sale will be made subject to said homestead interest.

Ida Spann. (vs. (In Circuit Court of Humphreys County Tennessee. N.B. Brown (

The matters in controversy were adjusted and settled out of Court each agreeing to pay half the cost. It is therefore considered by the Court that each recover from the other half the cost exclusive State and County tax according to this agreement and upon failure of either to pay said cost as herein adjudged, that execution issue against him and his sureties on his cost bond.

E. Cowen vs. (In Circuit Court, for Humphreys County Tennessee. Dan Tummins et.al. (

A.B. Hooper a Justice of the Peace for Humphreys County Tennessee, filed herein court the following papers to wit:

Warrant

State of Tennessee Humphreys County. To any lawful officer within said County: You are hereby commanded to summon Dan Tummins Prin. and W.B. Murphree as endorser to personally appear before me, or some other acting Justice of the Peace for said County to answer the complaint of E. Cowen in a plea of debt due by Note under \$100.00 Given under my hand and seal, this 3rd. day of Jan. 1916. W.D. Patterson Justice of the peace. Officer, a return Came to hand WHEN issued and executed by reading the within warrant to Dan Tummins and W.B. Murphree as and citing them to appear before A.B. Hooper Esq. for trial the 15th. day of Jan. 1916 at 12 o'clock N.B. Bradley.

JUDGMENT

E. Cowen against Dan Tummins and W.B. Murphree as endorser. In this cause I render judgment for the Plaintiff and against the Def. for \$61.78 Sixty one and 70/100 Dollars and all cost of suit, for which execution may issue, This 15 day of Jan. 1916

A.B. Hooper
Justice of the Peace.

EXECUTION

State of Tennessee Humphreys County. To any lawful officer to execute and return. You are hereby commanded that of the goods and chatties, lands and tenements of Dan Tummins and W.B. Murphree as endorser you cause to be made the sum of Sixty one 70/100 Dollars and cents, and costs of suit, to satisfy a judgement which E. Cowen obtained before A.B. Hooper, Justice of the Peace on the 15th. day of Jan. 1916 against the said Dan Tummins and W.B. Murphree as indorser, and such moneys when collected, pay to the said E. Cowen Given under my hand and seal, this 12th. day of March 1916

A.B. Hooper
Justice of the Peace.

LEVY

Came to hand when issued March, 12th 1917 and served made and no personal property to be found in my county belonging to the defendant Dan Tummins upon which to levy this execution. I therefore levy the same upon the following described tract of land subject to his homestead therein it being worth less than one thousand Dollars: Described as follows. Beginning on a sweet gum near the spring HEMMEL south of the R.B. Tressell runs N. 20 degrees E. 84 poles to a stake with R.O. pointers continuing North 202 poles in all 286 poles to a stake on the side of a hill, thence S. 88 degrees E. 68 poles to W.O. stump in the Watkins W.B. line thence S. 2 degrees W. 186 poles to a double sweet gum on the N. side of Hurricane Creek, thence down the Creek creek with its meanders 145 poles to the beginning containing about 120 acres. 2nd. Tract Beginning at a B.O. stump in T. McQuires E.B. L. with hickory and R.O. pointers runs South 2 degrees W. 218 poles to a stake with pointers Burgin W.B. corner, thence S. 88 degrees E. 168 poles to 2 large post oaks with dog wood pointers pointers on the west side of the road leading from McEwen to Gilliam, thence in a North course with road to the creek thence course with creek to T. McQuire corner the S. 32 poles to beginning See Deed Book No. 33 page 67. J.W. Knight S.D.S.

Motion

And on motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered by the Court that the land so levied upon be sold by the sheriff of Humphreys County Tennessee, to satisfy the aforesaid judgment of A.B. Hooper J.P. and the costs of this proceeding. The plaintiff admits that the land is worth less than One Thousand Dollars, and that the defendant has or is entitle to a homestead in the same. It is therefore adjudged that in selling said lands, such sale will be made subject to said homestead. interest.

Court then adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9.00 o'clock.

W.D. Cook

Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present and presiding the Hon. W.L. Cook. Judge

State of Tennessee vs. (In Circuit Court Waverly Humphreys County Tennessee. J.S. Chesler et.al. (Goe. McQuiddy et.al. (

In these cases, on motion of the Attorney General, it is ordered by the court that the intoxicating liquors assigned and held by the Sheriff be by him destroyed.

R.T. Tatum vs. (In Circuit Court at Waverly Tenn., R.A. Murray (

In this case the death of the defendant R.A. Murray was suggested and admitted in open court, and W.D. Hagler having qualified as the administrator of the estate of the said R.A. Murray deceased, by agreement the case is revived against the administrator of the said R.A. Murray, it being agreed that said W.D. Hagler administrator est. will enter his appearance without the issuance of process. The court so orders, adjudge and decrees, and the case is continued to the next term of court.

State of Tennessee vs. (Tommie Cartright and R.O. Marable