	Dana Hungerford Exam.	vs	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for Ninety
39	vs		Dollars three and three fourth Cents with interest
	Josiah Rogers		& Cost with the liberty of appeal Nemy Chapford ℔ Deft's Atty
	Britain Williams	vs	We the Jury find for the plaintiff
40	vs		five hundred Dollars with interest
	William Fitzpatrick		& Cost Wheeley Preston ℔
	Heard & Cook	vs	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for
41	vs		Thirty seven Dollars fifty six & a quarter
	James M Noughton		Cents with interest & Cost Jas M Noughton
	Anson Hungerford Admr &c	vs	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff Two
42	vs		hundred & ninety two Dollars five and three
	Josiah Rogers		fourth Cents with interest & Cost
			Henry Sanford ℔ Deft's Atty
	Nicholas Lewis	vs	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for one
44	vs		hundred & thirty three Dollars & seventy seven
	James M Noughton		Cents with interest & Cost of Suit
			Yelverton & King Deft's Atts
	Adiel Sherwood Admr &c	vs	We the jury find for the Defendant
45	vs		with Cost of Suit
	William & Dawson		Wheeley Preston ℔
	Nicholas Lewis	vs	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for
48	vs		Two hundred & Eleven Dollars & twenty
	Edwin L DeGraffenried		nine Cents with interest Cost of Suit
			Y. & King Deft's Atts
	Travis Mears	vs	Settled at plff's Cost
52	vs		
	James Grant & Isaac Penn		
	R. B Duncan & Co.	vs	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for
53	vs		one hundred and twenty four Dollars seventy
	James L. Park		Cents with interest Cost J L Park

	R B Duncan &c.	}	I confess Judgment to the plaintiff for the sum
54	vs	}	of Four hundred (&) Seventy two Dollars fifty
	James L. Park (3)	}	two Cents with interest & Cost J. L. Park
	Alexander Hall	}	I confess Judgment to the plaintiff for Five hundred
55	vs	}	Dollars with interest & Cost
	William C. Wally	}	George W. Palmer Deputy
	Anson Hungerford Junr &c.	}	I confess Judgment to the plaintiff for the
59	vs	}	sum of fifty five Dollars with interest & Cost
	James M. Houghton	}	Jas. M. Houghton
	Anson Hungerford Junr &c.	}	I confess Judgment to the plaintiff for
60	vs	}	One hundred & thirty five Dollars fifty & a
	James M. Houghton	}	quarter Cents with interest & Cost Jas. M. Houghton
	Anson Hungerford Junr &c.	}	We the jury find for the plaintiff forty two
61	vs	}	Dollars Eighteen & three fourth Cents with interest
	E L DeGraffenreidt (3)	}	& Costs Wheeler Preston for.
	Isaac Deane	}	
62	vs	}	Settled at plffs Cost
	John H. Orent	}	
	James Woodruff &c.	}	I confess Judgment to the plaintiff three
63	vs	}	hundred & fifty seven dollars five and a
	James M. Houghton (3)	}	quarter Cents with interest & Cost
			Galveston P. King Depty Atty
	James Woodruff &c. (3 Cases)	}	I confess Judgment to the plaintiff for one
64	vs	}	hundred and twenty five Dollars with interest
	James M. Houghton (3)	}	& Cost of suit Galveston P. King Depty Atto
	James Woodruff &c.	}	I confess Judgment to the plaintiffs for Fifty
65	vs	}	Dollars with interest & Cost of suit
	James M. Houghton (3)	}	Galveston P. King Depty Atto

for the sum
Dollars fifty
Park

Five hundred.

Chur Depy Atty

Plaintiff for the
interest & Cost.
Coughton

plaintiff for
Cars Six & a
M. Houghton

intiff Forty two
Cents with interest
Preston for.

Plaintiff three
& five and a

P King Depy Atty
Plaintiff for one
Cars with the interest
King Depy Atto

Plaintiff for Fifty
f suit
P King Depy Atto

	Daniel Hungerford	}	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for six
66	vs	}	hundred & (one) thirteen Dollars & thirty five
	James M Houghton	}	Cents with interest & Costs of suit Yelverton & King Depy Attys
	Ansel Hungerford	}	We the Jury find for the plaintiff the sum of
68	vs	}	Dollars fifty Cents with interest & Cost
	George P Nickson	}	Chesley Preston for.
	Reuben Thornton	}	We the jury find for the plaintiff Forty three
71	vs	}	Dollars sixty two and a half Cents with interest
	Jno Burgess	}	& Cost Chesley Preston for.
	John Doe & Dem John Chew	}	
72	vs	}	Dismissed
	Richd Roe Henry Sanford Dem.	}	
	John Maycock	}	We the jury find for the plaintiff Twenty
73	vs	}	Dollars with interest & Cost
	Nathan Hobbs	}	Chesley Preston for.
	D & B Sanford	}	I Confess Judgment for the sum of one
74	vs	}	thousand (and) fifty five Dollars fifty one
	Robert E Martin	}	Cents with interest Cost of suit. R E Martin
	John P Scollay assee	}	We the jury find for the plaintiff Eighty
75	vs	}	Dollars with interest & Costs.
	David S Anderson	}	Chesley Preston for
	Nicholas Lewis beam	}	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for
76	vs	}	Fifty five (Dollars with interest & Cost
	James M Houghton	}	of suit Yelverton & King Depy Attys
	James Woodruff dec	}	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff
77	vs	}	for Eighty one (Dollars & seven Cents
	Hardamon & Peg Thress	}	with interest & Costs of suit with the
			liberty of appeal Henry Sanford Jr.
			Depy Atty.

377

	Adm^rs of J Rickets dec^d	vs	I confess judgment to the plaintiff for
80	George Phillips		Fifty Eight Dollars ninety six Cents with interest from the third day of February 1824 Costs Robb & Foster Def^rs atty
	John Colby	vs	I confess judgment to the plaintiff for one
81	James L Parke		hundred and twenty six Dollars Six Cents with interest & Cost of suit James L Parke
	James Woodruff	vs	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for
82	Josiah Rogers		Seventy Eight Five Dollars with interest & Cost of suit with the liberty of Appeal Henry Sanford Jr. Def^rs Atty
	Woodruff & Seymour	vs	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for
83	Josiah Rogers		Five hundred Sixty Dollars thirty seven & three fourth Cents with interest & Costs of suit with the liberty of Appeal Henry Sanford Jr. Def^rs Atty
	Robert Moore	vs	Settles at Def^rs Cost
86	Horatio A Kennedy		
	Hungerford & Woodruff	vs	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for Forty
87	John Meyrick		six Dollars thirty one and a quarter Cents with interest & Cost of suit Jn^o Meyrick
	James R Carleton Sequestrator of Dana Hungerford	vs	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for Forty seven Dollars & fourteen Cents with interest & Costs of suit John Meyrick
88	John Meyrick		
	Robert W Ford	vs	We find for the plaintiff one
89	Thomas J McCleskey & George W Dillard		hundred Dollars with interest & Cost of suit Chesley Boreston f.m.
	George Howard Assn. of the Creek	vs	I confess Judgment to the plaintiff for
90	Robert E Martin		one hundred Fifty Dollars with interest & Cost R E Martin

laintiff for
) Cents with
ebruary 1824
Defts atty
intiff for one
ive Cents with
Cost)

plaintiff for
ual Cost of
Defts atty
Plaintiff for
thirty seven &
Costs of suit
rd Defts alls

laintiff for Forty
quarter Cents
o Mayrick

the plaintiff for
) Cents with

Mayrick)

iff one
interest & Cost
estor f.m.

he plaintiff for
nes with
Martin

	John B Lacy	)	We the Jury find for the plaintiff the sum
92	vs	}	of thirty one Dollars Eighty seven & half Cents
	John Pickard	)	with interest & Cost of suit Chesley Preston f.m.
	Loudon Willis	)	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for
93	vs	}	Thirty five Dollars Twenty four Cents
	Edwin L DeGraffenreidt	)	with interest & Cost E L DeGraffenreidt
	Lucretia Martin & Tho J Foster	)	We find for the plaintiff seven
	Admx of N Martin dec	}	hundred & sixty two Dollars &
97	vs	}	twenty Nine Cents with interest &
	Daniel Sanford &	}	Costs of suit Chesley Preston f.m.
	Benjamin Sanford	)	
	Lucretia Martin & Tho J Foster	)	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff
	Admx & Admr &c	}	for fifty seven Dollars sixty nine &
98	vs	}	a half Cents with interest & Costs of suit
	James L Tark	)	James L Tark
	Thomas Gibbs	)	We find for the plaintiff four hundred
99	vs	}	& thirty four Dollars & ninety two Cents
	D & J Sanford &	}	with interest & Cost Chesley Preston f.m.
	John A Corry	)	
	John J Beatie	)	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for
101	vs	}	the sum of Seventeen hundred fifty
	Nicholas Howard	)	seven Dollars with interest & Cost of suit
			& Three Dollars Costs of protest Nicholas Howard
	Lucretia Martin Admx	)	
	& Tho J Foster Admr &c	}	Settled at Defts Cost
102	vs	}	
	Thomas Pigon	)	
	Archd H Scott Respt	)	I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for the
32	vs	}	sum of twenty Eight Dollars and Ten cents
	Thomas Dawson Appt	)	with Cost of suit with a Stay of Execution
			Sixty days Williams & Dawson Attys for Deft

377

Georgia } To the Honorable Augustus B Longstreet Judge of the
Greene County } Superior Courts of the Ocmulgee District in Chancery

The petition of Adelina Harrison a minor under the age
of twenty one years child of Jane Matthews otherwise called Jane Harrison late
deceased by her next friend & guardian Jeremiah Ann George D Mathews
Sheweth that by two deeds of Trust Executed on the twenty
first day of December Eighteen hundred & fourteen the first
executed by between Benjamin Harrison Jane Matthews & Jacobus
Watts and the other executed between Sally Matthews and the
said Jacobus Watts Copies whereof are hereunto shewn Certain
able Estate was conveyed to said Jacobus Watts in Trust for
said Jane & her Children which after the death of said Jane
the said Jacobus held as trustee for your petitioner being the
only child of said Jane That the said Jacobus Watts lately
lately died intestate and no Administration hath been taken
on his Estate and being supposed to be insolvent is believed
that none will be. That the trust Estate is now in the hands of
your petitioners friends ready to be delivered up when there shall
be some one legally appointed to receive the same.

Your petitioner prays that a trustee may be appointed by your
Honor in order of Chancery Power conferred on your Honor
by the Constitution & Laws of this State in the place said Jacobus
Watts and your petitioner will ever pray. Cobb & Foster
Att[y]s for pet[r].

In the Superior Court of said County in Chancery

On the petition of Adelina Harrison It is Considered and
Ordered by the said Court in Chancery That George D Mathews be
and he is hereby appointed Trustee of the several Estates & property
both real & personal in the said two deeds of Trust mentioned

And the said George Consenting in open Court to accept said
Trust It is further Ordered that he forthwith proceed to collect
& take into his possession all the said Trust Estate and proceed
to manage and Controul the same with like powers duties & respon
sibilities given Conferred and imposed upon the said Jacobus Watts
the deceased Trustee by the aforesaid deeds of settlement & Trust

Aug. B. Longstreet
2 vs
Leonard Barnett Hend. B. Harper } Settled Cost paid

Smith & Warren
1 vs } Dismd
James Barnet
Lewis Phillips
17 vs } Affirmed Settled
George Phillips

William Hayes
58 vs } Settled at Defts Cost
Nelson B. Burton

Anson Hungerford Surviving &c
vs } Settled & Cost paid
Samuel Wilson

John Doe &c dem. John Dickson Ex &c Respt
4 vs } Dismissed at Defts cost
Richd Roe James Culbertson Henry English
William Lyon dem. Sherwood Williamson
appellants

Anthony Daley Respt
2 vs } Settled & Cost paid
John Stephens appt

The State
33 " } Def. Discd
John J. Mc Mounger
Lucy Mounger

381

Georgia } Pursuant to writ of partition to us directed
Greene County } by the Clerk of the Superior Court of said County
requiring us to proceed to the several Tracts of land named in
said writ then and there lay off and assign one equal part
thereof to Levi White and to the representatives of William
White deceased the other equal half thereof having due regard
to quantity & quality and we the undersigned that is to say
Thomas Johnson Zachariah Jones Sen.r Isaac Roberts Dangerfield
Bowden Matthew Ellis John Hasten & Jedediah Richards
together with Nicholas Howard Esquire Sheriff of said County
having duly taken & taken the oath required by law in such
cases before we entered upon the duties required of us by said
writ and the parties having been duly notified thereof as the
law requires and due respect being paid by us to the quantity
and quality of said lands after entering upon & viewing
the several Tracts or parcels of land agreeable to the powers
in us vested by said writ of partition we have proceeded
to lay off and assign to the said Levi White one equal part
of the several Tracts or parcels of lands described in said
writ containing four hundred and thirty eight Acres which is
as follows beginning at a pine N 75 E 79 chains 50 links to a
post Oak N 15 W 34 chains 50 links to a post Oak thence
S 15 W 22 chains 50 links to a Stake thence due West 36 chains
to a Stake thence N 45 W 29 chains to a Stake thence S 15 E
56 Chains 50 links to the beginning containing two hundred &
nineteen Acres

We have also proceeded to lay off one assign to the repre
sentatives of the said William White deceased the other equal
half thereof which is as follows beginning at a Stake (it being
the last Stake named in the boundaries of that part assigned
to the said Levi White) running N 45 W 21 chains to a Stake
thence S 30 W 2 chains 6 links to a Stake thence S 15 E 45
Chains to a Stake on a Branch thence down said branch
as it meanders to an elm thence S 30 W 24 chains 35 links
to a dogwood thence S 40 E 22 chains to a Stake thence
S 53 E 27 chains to a post Oak thence S 86 E 42 Chains
50 links to a hickory thence due North 13 chains to a pine

we was directed
said County
and named in
wo equal part
of William
wing due regard
e is to say
to Daingerfield
Richards
of said County
by law in such
ed of us by said
e thereof as the
es to the quantity
on Surveying
able to the powers
have proceeded
one equal part
ted in said
Acres which is
nd 50 Links to a
Post Oak thence
due West 36 chains
to thence S 13 E
two hundred N

equal to the repre
the other equal
Stake (it being)
part allegued
Chains to a Stake
hence S 15 E 45
and said branch
Chains 35 Links
State thence
6 E 42 Chains
Chains to a pine

Thence N 73 E 10 chains to a Sassafras thence N 75 E 7 chains 50
Links to a pine thence N 13 W 36 chains 50 Links to the beginning
Containing two hundred and nineteen acres which will be better
understood by having reference to a plat hereunto annexed.
Certified under our Hands this 28th December 1824

Thomas Johnson (Seal)
John Maurice (Seal)
Isaac Roberts (Seal)
Matthew Ellis (Seal)
Jedidiah Richards (Seal)
Zachariah Jones (Seal)
Nicholas Norwood (Seal)



Georgia } The above platt represents the land owned jointly by Levi
Lyncon County } White and the representatives of William White dec? That
part lying East of the dotted line is assigned to Levi White
the part lying West of said line is assigned to the representa-
tives of the said William White dec?
28th December 1824. Thomas Johnston Surveyor

The above return having been made in
Terms of the law it is therefore on Motion ordered that the
same be made the Judgment of the Court
Examined
Augustus R Wright Clerk J.

Court Adjourned till tomorrow morning 8 Oclock

373

Georgia Screven County Sunbury day 17th September 1825

The Honorable Superior Court met pursuant to Adjournment present the Honorable Augustus B Longstreet

On Motion of Counsel for James Woodruff stating (as) shewing to the Court that he placed in the hands of Thomas J M Closkey a Justice of the Peace of Capt Lawsons district in said County four notes of hand Amounting to one hundred and nine dollars 25 Cents principal for Collection (and) that the same has been Collected by him from James L Park the maker of (said notes & refuses to pay over the same on demand

Ordered that the said Thomas J M Closkey do shew cause to day at 12 Oclock or as soon thereafter as Counsel can be heard why he should be attached for Contempt according to the Statute in such cases made & provided (Rule withdrawn)

Elijah Marlin
vs
James Strand & the Justices of Capt Lewis's District (C) The Court having taken into Consideration the Grounds taken in this Certiorari It is therefore Ordered that the Certiorari be sustained (and) the proceedings below be set aside

Certiorari

Frances Cummins
vs
Alexander Hall late Sheriff (&) Verdict for defendant by Special Jury

Debt for an escape

On Motion of Counsel for the plaintiff It is ordered that the defendant do shew cause on the first day of the next Term or as soon thereafter as Counsel can be heard why a new trial should not be granted in the above stated cause on the following Grounds

1st That (said) verdict is Contrary to evidence
2nd That the (said) Verdict is Contrary to ~~evidence~~ law
3rd That the (said) verdict is Contrary to the charge of the Court
4th That the (said) Verdict is Contrary to evidence & the principles of Justice & Equity.

It is further Ordered that further proceedings in the said cause be suspended until the final decision of the Court in the above rule & it is

Special Jury

John Bethune — Alex.r King
Thomas Dawson — Jesse Perkins
Richard V Asbury — Robert Booth
Nicholas Lewis — Reuben Brown
Tolar Simonton — William Reed
William Cone — George Heard

4 — Thomas Wiley Guardian &c vs Robert Rea (3) — We the jury find for the Complainant six hundred and twenty five dollars Cost of Suit — John Bethune Fm.

5 — Alexander Cunningham Respt vs Thomas Wingfield appt. (3) — We find for the plaintiff one hundred & Eighty four dollars & forty five cents with interest & Cost of Suit: and the further sum of twelve & half per centum on the principal sum due for a frivolous appeal — John Bethune Fm.

Special Jury

John Bethune — Jesse Perkins
Richd. Warbury — Robert Booth
Nicholas Lewis — Reuben Brown
Tolar Simonton — William Reed
William Cone — Joseph Riley
Alexr King — George Heard

9 — John I Reatio Respt vs Reuben Thornton appt (3) — We find for the plaintiff three hundred Dollars with interest & Cost — John Bethune Fm.

The Grand Jury returned the following Bill

The State vs John Southerland (3) — Keeping open a tipling house on the Sabbath day — True Bill — John Bethune foreman

385

Petit Jury No 1

Knowland B Lewis — Wheeler Preston
John Mallory — James Blanks
Allison Veazey — Jonathan Baker
William King — William Harris
Isaac Jackson — Bennett N Ely
Daniel Connell — John Elmore

163 John Doe Ex dem Daniel Wagnon vs Richd Roe Branson D Wooten Jun. — We find for the plaintiff the premises in dispute the plaintiff to pay the Cost — Wheeler Preston for

Daniel Wagnon vs Branson D Wooten — 164 assumpsit — Dismissed

Anson Hungerford &c. vs Josiah Rogers — 70 I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff two hundred & Eighty four Dollars eleven & three fourth cents with interest & Cost with the liberty of appeal — Henry Sanford Jur. Defts atto

Nicholas Lewis vs Peter A Early — 100 We find for the plaintiff one hundred & thirty nine Dollars and fifty cents nine to quarter cents with Cost of suit Wheeler Preston for.

James Woodruff vs Joseph Rogers — 64 I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for seven hundred & ninety nine Dollars with interest & Cost of suit with the liberty of appeal — Henry Sanford Jur. Defts Atto

Abram Beals Ex. of Tho Houghton dec. vs Elizabeth Houghton & George P Nicholson & Elizabeth Houghton Exrs. — 1 Levy Dismissed by motion of Claimant

George Watkins
vs
Edwin L DeBraffenredt } Case on Special Agreement

It is agreed between the parties in the above case to submit the matters in Controversy to the arbitrament and award of Wm L Dawson and Ely S King who shall examine Witnesses and whose award when made up and returned shall be final and Conclusive between the parties the said award to be returned at the next Court Term of this Court

Special Jury.

John Bethune
Richard W Asbury
Nicholas Lewis
Felix Simonton
William Bone
Alex[r] King
Jesse Perkins
Robert Nash
William Redd
Joseph Riley
George Heard
Britain Williams

John Foster app[t]
vs
John Mallory Resp[t] } Mistrial Jury discharged

On Motion and by Consent it is agreed to dispense with the calling of the appearance docket and that all pleas and answers be filed on or before the first day of the next term

James Richardson Sheriff &c[a] } Sheriff a party
vs } Claim withdrawn
Ellis Clements def[t]
Kinney & Scott Claim[t]

The State
vs
Henry Sanford Junr } Assault Battery & plea. Guilty

Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that the (said) Henry Sanford Junior do pay a fine to the State of Twenty five Dollars & Cost.

The following persons were drawn to serve as Grand Jurors at March Term 1826 of Greene Superior Court

1	Thomas Moseley	Thomas Liquo
2	Lansing Berman	Abraham Green
3	Thomas W Slaughter	James G Randle
4	William Armor	William Robinson
5	William Watson	Ambrose Hutchison
6	Thomas D Stewart	David S Terrell
7	James Pollard	John Powers
8	George Walton	Daniel Grant
9	Elisha Hunter	Charles A Reddick
10	Hugh Moore	John Colebey
11	John Mercer	William H Barnes
12	John Coleman	John A Harris
13	Walker Lewis	Robert Rea
14	John M Broughton	Everett Woodham
15	Henry Davenport	Thomas Grant
16	Lemuel Greene	Daniel Sanford

The following persons were drawn to serve as Petit Jurors at March Term 1826 of Greene Superior Court

1	Joel Forrester	William Calhoun	
2	John Hall	John M G Green	
3	Seaborn Harris	John Montfort	
4	George Hunt	30 John Council	
5	Jonathan Hogue	William Long Jr	
6	Peter R Robertson	John E Conyers	
7	Hugh Hogg	Henchia O Mabry	
8	Henry Lewis	Henry Joyner	
9	Woodard Joynes	8 Anthony Peeler	
10	Breddain C Knowles	James M Mabry	
11	Aquilla F Gresham	Edward Harris	
12	Gilbert Johnson	James Bordys	
13	Rachel Green	James Findley	
14	John Hunt	20 Asael Green	
15	Hardy Phillips	John Jackson	
16	Thomas Jarrell	John Hogg	
17	Caleb Veazey	Ezekiel Stanley	
18	Jesse Johnson	William Harris	
19	Isaac Hogg	3 John Bledsoe	
20	Joshua Kitchell	Casper Norrell	
21	Charles Peek	Joshua Mabry	
22	Frederick Hudson	John Freeman	
23	Joseph Jones	David Gentry	
24	Henry McCoy	30 John B Kitchell	
25	William Green	William H Price	
26	David Green	William King	

391

Special Jury

John Bethune — George Hoard
Alex.r King — Britain Williams
Felix Simonton — Douglass Watson
Jesse Perkins — Arch.d Gray
Reuben Brown — Henry Rogers
Joseph Riley — William Suggle

James Woodruff &c. for use of William McFiggs Resp.t
vs
Edwin L. De Graffenreidt
} We find for the plaintiff one hundred & thirteen Dollars & sixty Eight & three fourth cents with interest & Cost of suit & the further sum of twelve & half per cent on the principal sum due for a frevolous appeal
J. Bethune F.m.

William McFiggs Resp.t
vs
Edwin L. De Graffenreidt appt.
} We find for the plaintiff Eighty four Dollars and three Cents with interest & Cost of suit and the further sum of twelve half per cent on the principal sum due for a frevolous appeal J. Bethune F.m.

Watkins Baynon Ind.t Resp.t
vs
Edwin L. De Graffenreidt appt.
} We the Jury find for the plaintiff one hundred and Seventy Dollars with interest & Cost and the further sum of twelve half per centum on the principal sum due for a frevolous appeal J. Bethune F.m.

Daniel Porter
vs
William McClay
} Rule Nisi against the Sheriff to pay over money &c. The Sheriff having made a Shewing in the case whereby it appears that all the money in his hands ever raised by sale of defts property has been paid over to other Executions by order of Court and that the fi fa above named has been once before the court on a claim of money & adjudicated on & that the party has already pursued another remedy for the Amount thereof once defeated by legal adjudication & the Court being of opinion that no further proceedings can be had against the Sheriff by rule under said fi fa Ordered that said rule be set be discharged

Syracuse Supreme Court September Term 1825

The Grand Jury for the County of Syracuse present the Commissioners (one) overseer of Capt. Clay District for neglect of keeping the road leading from Lexington by Bethesda meeting house to Augusta. Witness Bennett H Clay

The Grand Jury feel a pleasure in announcing to his Honor Judge Longstreet that nothing of importance has come within their knowledge previous during the present Term on which to found presentments except the above

We tender our most Cordial Approbation to his honor Judge Longstreet in taking leave of them for his constant and steady attention to the business of the County.

John Bellum	Reuben Brown	Douglas Watson
William Bone	Thomas Dawson	James K Daniel
Stokes Simonton	Richard W Ashley	Arch^d Gray
William Reid	Nicholas Lewis	Mark Jackson
Alexander King	Joseph Riley	Henry Rogers
Jesse Perkins	George Heard	Reuben White
Robert Booth	William Williams	William Huggle

John M G Green
vs
Chloe Le Collier &
Rachel Goodman &c.
} Bill for discovery relief & Injunction
} Demurrer filed by Le & Collier

The demurrer in this case having been argued, &c
It is Ordered that the same be sustained and the injunction dissolved & dismissed as to said Chloe & Le Collier

3 Brannon & Wooten
vs
Samuel Magnan
} Bill for discovery

11 Brannon & Wooten
vs
Nathaniel Howell
} Trover & Conversion Dismiss'd

Andrew Knox Mortgagee
vs
Thomas W Grimes Mortgagor

Grimes Superior Court

Whereas at March Term in the year Eighteen hundred (and) twenty four a rule Nisi was obtained at the instance of Andrew Knox against Thomas W Grimes for the foreclosure of a mortgage executed by the said Thomas to the said Andrew bearing date on the 18th day of March in the year Eighteen hundred & Seventeen upon the following real Estate viz Certain Tracts or parcels of land situate lying and being on the Apalachie river in the Counties of Greene & Morgan in (said) State including the Manufacturing mills saw mills Gin house Blue house bridge dwelling house Store house and all other outhouses & improvements attached to (said) Tracts of land conveyed by Joseph Phillips to Grimes Nicholson & Co by deed bearing date the first day of July One thousand Eight hundred & nine & which by actual mesne Conveyances have become the property of Thomas W Grimes the one Tract of which lying and being in the County of Greene on the Apalachie River having thereon the mills called Grimes & Nicholsons Mills containing by estimation One hundred & twenty four acres more or less one other Tract of land lying (and being) in the County of Morgan nearly opposite the before described Tract & known & designated by fraction number three hundred & ninety four (394) in the fourth district of old Baldwin County containing Fifty Eight & a half acres more or less the boundaries One other Tract of land lying in said County of Morgan (adjoining the last described Tract being part of lot number three hundred and ninety five (395) containing twenty three acres more or less the boundaries of which will more fully appear by reference to the deed from Joseph Phillips to Grimes & Nicholson Jr & the deed from Sterling Grimes & James M Nicholson to Grimes & Knox bearing date the 18th of October 1815 Also one other Tract of land lying (and being) in said County (adjoining the last described Tracts containing thirty three acres more or less being known by number three hundred (and) ninety three (393) originally in the fourth district of Baldwin the boundaries and extent of which will more fully appear by reference to a deed of Conveyance

from James Taylor to Grimes & Knox bearing date the first day of April one Thousand Eight hundred & Sixteen Also two Town lots and one Alley situate lying (and) being in the Town of Greensboro in the County of Guilford being lots number Sixteen & Sixty three (and) Alley number Sixteen & which are now in the possession & occupancy of the said Thomas N Grimes.

Also Sixteen Other Town lots in the Town of Greensboro aforesaid known & distinguished in the plan of said Town by number one hundred (and Eighty two, One hundred & Eighty three. One hundred & Eighty four One hundred & Eighty five, One hundred & Eighty six. One hundred & Eighty seven One hundred & Eighty Eight, One hundred and Eighty nine One hundred & ninety, One hundred & ninety one. one hundred & ninety two, One hundred & ninety three, One hundred & ninety four One hundred (and) ninety five Two hundred & Thirteen two hundred & fourteen & Likewise a Certain Tract or parcel of land situate lying and being in the said County of Guilford on the waters of Rich land Creek (adjoining) Coleman & Boughton & others containing three hundred & fifty Acres more or less in the occupancy of the said Thomas N Grimes which said Mortgage was executed to secure the payment of Four promissory notes for seven thousand dollars each the first of which was payable on the first day of April one Thousand Eight hundred & nineteen Eighteen the second on the first day of April one thousand Eight hundred & nineteen the third on the first day of April Eighteen hundred & twenty and the last on the first day of April one thousand Eight hundred & twenty one as specified in said Mortgage & also in said Rule No 80 mentioned reference being thereunto had will more fully & at large appear

And whereas on (and) by the (said) Rule No 80 it was ordered that the said Thomas N Grimes do pay into the Clerks office of this Court the sum of money aforesaid together with the interest & Cost within twelve months from the date thereof the Equity of redemption of in and to the said Mortgaged premises would be henceforth (and forever barred & foreclosed) and it was further ordered that a Copy of said rule be served on the said Thomas or his Special Agent or Attorney at least six months or published in one of the publick Gazettes of this State once a month for twelve months before the time at which the (said) sum of money was to have been paid (and it appearing) to the Court that no part of the

393

money aforesaid has been paid into the Clerks office and that the said Rule Nisi was duly and regularly published once a month for twelve months in one of the public Gazettes of this State And it further appearing that the sum of Ten Thousand Dollars principal also the sum of Four thousand two hundred and fifty two Dollars & twenty cents interest up to the seventeenth of September Eighteen hundred & twenty four and the said Thomas H Grimes hereby failed and made default in paying the said sums of money last mentioned & principal interest & costs now due on said mortgage

On Motion of Counsel for the Mortgagee it is ordered and considered by the said Court that the Equity of redemption of and to the mortgaged premises in said Mortgage described situate lying and being in Tyrrell County be and the same is hereby barred & foreclosed And it is further ordered and Considered that the said Mortgagee do recover of the said Mortgagor the said sum of Ten Thousand Dollars principal also the sum of Four Thousand Two hundred & fifty two Dollars & twenty cents also interest on the principal sum till paid also [illegible] Dollars [illegible] cents for the Cost & Charges of the said Mortgagee expended by him in and about the foreclosure of said Mortgage And it is further Ordered and Considered that the said Mortgagee do have execution Against the said Mortgagor according to the provisions of the Statute in such Cases made & provided

Rockwell & Morgan for Plaintiff

Examined

[illegible]

and that the said
month for twelve
nd el further appear
also the sum of
y said entered up
twenty four and
default in paying
interest & costs now

ordered and
redemption of en
scribed & situate
hereby barred &
hat the said Mortga
& ten thousand
two hundred & fifty
e sum bill paid
the Cost & Charges
& the foreclosure
dered that the
Mortgagee accor
made & provided
Andrew Knox

Reddie Acock &c
vs
Moody Thompson &c

Judgment for Pltff for principal & interest $ 158 50

The defendant in this Case came into office within the time prescribed by law and Craved a stay of Execution sixty days which was granted in the terms of the Law in such Cases made & provided whereupon William Roberson enters himself Security on said stay and hereby acknowledges himself his heirs &c held and firmly bound to the Plaintiff for the payment of the above Judgment interest &c at the expiration of said stay In Witness whereof the said William Roberson hath hereunto set his hand & Seal this 19th day of September 1825

William Roberson (Seal)

Edward Dumpt &c
vs
Henry Sanford &c

Judgt Confessed with the liberty of Appeal

The defendant in this case agreeable to the liberty of appeal reserved in the Confession of Judgment came into office within the time prescribed by law paid up the Cost and craved an Appeal which was granted in terms of the law in such Cases made and provided whereupon David Jerrell enters himself Security on said Appeal and hereby acknowledges himself his heirs &c held and firmly bound with the said Henry Sanford to the plaintiff in this above case for the payment of the eventual Condemnation money on the final trial of said Appeal In Witness whereof the said Henry Sanford and David Jerrell have hereunto set their hands & Seals this 20 day of September 1825

H. Sanford (Seal)
D. Jerrell (Seal)

Hungerfords Woodruff
vs
John Myrick (3) Original Confessn

The defendant in this case came into office within the time prescribed by law and craved a Stay of Execution sixty days which was granted in terms of the law in such cases made & provided whereupon Henry Taylor enters himself Security on said Stay and hereby acknowledges himself his heirs Executors and Assigns held and firmly bound to the plaintiff in the above case for the payment of the principal interest & Cost in the above case at the Expiration of said Stay. In Witness whereof the said Henry Taylor hath hereunto set his hand and seal this 21st day of September 1825

Henry Taylor (Seal)

James NB Carleton for use
of Duna Hungerford
vs
John Myrick (3) Judgment Confessed

The defendant in this case came into office within the time prescribed by law and craved a stay of Execution sixty days which was granted in terms of the law in such cases made & provided whereupon Henry Taylor enters himself Security on said Stay and hereby acknowledges himself his heirs Executors and Assigns held and firmly bound to the plaintiff in the above case for the payment of the principal interest & Cost at the Expiration of said Stay. In Witness whereof the said Henry Taylor hath hereunto set his hand and seal this 21st day of September 1825

Henry Taylor (Seal)

John P Scott assee
vs
David S Anderson } Verdict for plaintiff

The defendant in this Case came into office within the time prescribed by law and craved a stay of Execution sixty days which was granted on terms of the law in such Case made (and) provided Whereupon George Heard enters himself security on (said) stay and thereby Acknowledges himself his heirs Executors &c (held) (and) firmly bound to the plaintiff in the above Case for the payment of the principal interest & Cost in the above Case at the expiration of (said) stay In Witness whereof the (said) George Heard hath hereunto set his hand and affixed his seal this 20 th day of September 1825

George Heard (seal)

The Admrs of Jonathan Rickets dec'd
vs
George P Phillips } Judgment Confessed

The defendants in this case came into office within the time prescribed by law paid up the Cost (and) craved a stay of Execution sixty days which was granted on terms of the law in such case made and provided Whereupon John J Beatie enters himself security on said stay (and) hereby Acknowledges himself his heirs Executors (Administrators) (and) assigns (held) (and) firmly bound to the plaintiff in the above case for the payment of principal interest & Cost at the expiration of said stay In Witness whereof the (said) John J Beatie hath hereunto set his hand (and) affixed his seal this 22nd day of September 1825

John J Beatie (seal)

317

Adiel Sherwood Admr. &c
vs
William B Dawson } Verdict for Defendant

The plaintiff in this Case being dissatisfied with the verdict of the Jury Came into Office within the time prescribed by law and Prayed an Appeal which was granted in terms of the law in such Case made & provided Whereupon John West Junior enters himself Security on said Appeal and Recognizes himself his heirs Executors Administrators & Assigns held & firmly bound with the plaintiff for the payment of the eventual Condemnation money to the defendant on the final trial of said Appeal In Witness whereof the said Adiel Sherwood & John West Junr have hereunto set their hands & Seals this 21st September 1825

Rec. Jas. Lee Sept. 1826 Adiel Sherwood (Seal)
Test John West Jr (Seal)

Woodruff & Seymour
vs } Judgment Confessed
Woodson Howard

The defendant in this Case Came into Office within the time prescribed by law and Craved a Stay of Execution sixty days which was granted in Terms of the law in such Case made & provided Whereupon John Myrick enters himself Security on said Stay and hereby acknowledges himself his heirs &c held and firmly bound to the plaintiff for the payment of the above Judgment interest & Cost at the Expiration of said Stay In Witness whereof the said John Myrick hath hereunto set his hand and Seal this 21 September 1825.

John Myrick (Seal)

Anson Youngsford Survivor &c
vs
Woodson Heard } Judgment Confessed

The defendant in this Case Came into office within the time prescribed by Law and Craved a Stay of Execution sixty days which was granted in Terms of the Law in such Cases made & provided Whereupon John Myrick enters himself security on said Stay and thereby Acknowledges himself his heirs & assigns held and firmly bound to the plaintiff in this Case for the payment of the principal interest & Cost on the Expiration of said Stay. In Witness whereof the said John Myrick hath hereunto set his hand and affixed his seal this 21st September 1825

John Myrick (Seal)

Anson Youngsford
vs
Jesse Bowles } The defendant in this case came into office within the time prescribed by Law and Craved a Stay of Execution sixty days which was granted in terms of the Law in such Cases made and provided Whereupon Lillie B Branch enters security on said Stay and hereby Acknowledges himself his heirs Executors &c held & firmly bound to the plaintiff for the payment of the principal interest & Cost at the expiration of said Stay. In Witness Whereof the said Lillie B Branch hath hereto set his hand & Seal this 21 September 1825

Lillie B. Branch (Seal)

Nicholas Lewis (2)
vs
Little B Broach (3)

The defendant in this Case Came into Office within the time prescribed by law (and) Craved a Stay of Execution Sixty days which was granted Whereupon Jesse Bobbs enters himself Security on (said) Stay (and) thereby Acknowledges himself his heirs Executors &c (and) firmly bound to the plaintiff in this Case for the payment of the principal interest (and) Cost at the expiration of said Stay In Witness Whereof the said Jesse Bobbs hath hereunto set his (hand) (and) affixed his Seal this 21 September 1825.

Little Bobbs (Seal)

Anson Youngsford Indr.
vs (3) Judgment Confessed
Thomas Logan (3)

The defendant in this Case Came into Office within the time prescribed by law (and) Craved a Stay of Execution Sixty days which was granted (in terms of the law in such Case made (and) provided) whereupon Edwin H Macon enters himself Security on (said) Stay (and) thereby Acknowledges himself his heirs Executors (Administrators (and) assigns held and firmly bound to the plaintiff for the payment of the principal interest &c at the expiration of (said) Stay In Witness whereof the said Edwin H Macon hath hereunto set (his hand) & Seal this 22nd day of September 1825

E H Macon (Seal)

to Office within the
of Execution sixty
oles enters himself-
ges himself his
to the plaintiff in
erest (and) Cost at
Whereof the said
and) affixed his seal

e Books (Seal)

came into Office
) a Stay of Execution
the law in such
known H. Macon
reby Acknowledges
) assigns held and
t of the principal
In Witness whereof-
to set (his) hand

H Macon (Seal)

Charles L Stewart
vs
Thomas Legon } The defendant in this case came into office
within the time prescribed by law and Craved a Stay of
Execution sixty days which was granted in terms of the law
in such Cases made (and) provided whereupon Edwin
H Macon enters himself Security on (said) Stay (and) hereby
Acknowledges himself his heirs (and) Assigns held and
firmly bound to the plaintiff for the payment of the principal interest
& Cost at the expiration of (said) Stay In Witness whereof the
said Edwin H Macon hath hereunto set his hand (and) seal
this 22nd day of September 1825

E H Macon (Seal)

Watkins Paynon Ind.t Resp.t
vs
Edwin L. DeGraffenreidt Appt
George W Dillard Security on appeal

principal	170
Interest	1.56¼
Damages	21 25
Cost	11 75

The defendant in this case came into office
within the time prescribed by law (and) Craved a Stay of Execution
sixty days which was granted in Terms of the law in such case
made (&c) provided Whereupon John J Beatie enters himself
Security on (said) Stay (and) hereby Acknowledges himself his heirs Executors
Administrators (and) Assigns (held) and firmly to the plaintiff for the payment
of principal interest damages Cost at the expiration of (said) Stay
In Witness whereof the (said) John J Beatie hath hereunto set his
(hand) (and) Seal this 22nd September 1825

John J Beatie (Seal)

401

James Woodruff &c. for use of William H. Figgs Respt
vs
E L DeGraffenreidt Appt
George W Dillard Secd Appt

Principal	113 68¾
Interest	15 53¾
Damages	14.21
Cost	11 75

The defendant in this Case Came into office within the time prescribed by law and Craved a Stay of Execution sixty days which was granted in Terms of the law in such Cases made and provided whereupon John J Beatie enters himself Security on said Stay and Hereby acknowledges himself his heirs Executors Administrators and assigns held and firmly bound to the plaintiff in this Case for the payment of the principal interest damages Cost at the Expiration of said Stay In Witness Whereof the said John J Beatie hath hereunto set his hand and affixed his seal this 22nd Septem. 1825

John J. Beatie (Seal)

William H Figgs Indr Respt
vs
Edwin L DeGraffenreidt Appt.
George W Dillard Secd on appeal

Principal	86 03
Interest	9.88
Damages	11 25
Cost	11 75

The defendant in this Case came into office within the time prescribed by law and Craved a Stay of Execution sixty days which was granted in terms of the law in such Cases made and provided whereupon John J Beatie enters himself Security on said Stay and hereby acknowledges himself his heirs Executors & assigns held and firmly bound to the plaintiff in this Case for the payment of the principal interest Cost and damages at the Expiration of said Stay In Witness whereof the said John J Beatie hath hereunto set his hand and Seal this 22nd September 1825

John J. Beatie (Seal)

113 68¾
153 53¾
14.21
11 75

Case Came
Craved a Stay
ems of the law
h of Beale
knowledges
held and
Payment of
hon of said
lie hath
th Septem. 1825
e (Seal)

84 03
9.88
11 25
11 75
se came into
d a stay of-
f the law in
y of Bracken
knowledges himself
bound to the
principal
of (said) Stay.
hath hereunto
25
satie (Seal)

Anson Bumgardner Survivor &c
vs
Edwin L Degraffenreidt } The defendant in this Case came
into office Court within the time prescribed by law and Craved a Stay
of Execution sixty days which was granted in terms of the law
in such Case made and provided Whereupon George W Dillard
enters himself Security on said Stay and hereby acknowledges him
self held and firmly bound to the plaintiff for the payment
of the principal interest and Cost at the Expiration of said Stay
In Witness whereof the said George W Dillard hath
hereunto set his hand. Seal. this 22d September 1825

Geo W. Dillard (Seal)

London Willis
vs
Edwin L Degraffenreidt }

The defendant in this Case came into
office within the time prescribed by law and Craved a Stay
of Execution sixty days which was granted in terms of the law
in such Case made and provided whereupon George W
Dillard and John G Beasley hath hereunto set their hands and
entered themself Security on said Stay and hereby acknowledges
himself his heirs Executors and assigns held and firmly
bound to the plaintiff for the payment of the principal
interest and Cost at the expiration of said Stay
In Witness whereof the said George W Dillard and
John G Beasley hath hereunto set their hand and Seal
this 22d September 1825

Geo W. Dillard (Seal)
(Seal)

403

Furnifold M Green
vs
Matthew Coleman
John Coleman claimant } Verdict subjecting property Claimed

The claimant in this case being dissatisfied with the verdict of the Jury came into Office within the time prescribed by law & prayed an Appeal which was granted whereupon Robert Jones enters himself Security on said Appeal and hereby acknowledges himself his heirs Executors and assigns held and firmly bound to the plaintiff in the above case for the payment of the eventual Condemnation money on the final trial of said Appeal In Witness whereof the said John Coleman and Robert Jones have hereunto set their hands & Seals this 22d September 1825

John Coleman (Seal)
Robert A. Jones. (Seal)

Georgia Crisp County Superiors Monday 13th March 1826

The Honorable Superior Court for said County met pursuant to
(Ad)journment present the Honorable Owen H Kenan Judge

The Sheriff having returned the Venire, the following persons appeared
and were after returning a making Choice of a Foreman qualified
(and) Organized as a Grand Jury

1 Thomas Stocks foreman
2 Thomas Moseley
3 Lansing Norman
4 George Walton
5 William Armor
6 John Coleman
7 Samuel Greene
8 Abraham Greer
9 John Porter
10 David Dunell
11 William H Bones
12 Thomas Slaughter

John Colby
Everet Woodham
Thomas Grant
Daniel Sanford
John H Broughton
John Mercer
John Myricks (Coleman) excused
Charles D Stewart
John N Harris
Robert Rice
Elisha Hunter
Thomas Ligon

The following petit Juror answered & appeared in Court & were sworn as
Grand Jury No 1

Joseph Jones
Joel Forester
John Hall
Geo Hunt
Hugh Hogg
Henry McCoy

John Hunt
William C Knowles
Thomas Jarrett
Hardy Phillips
John Bledsoe
Anthony Poole

John Myricks who was Summoned as Talesman on the Grand Jury
is excused by the Court from further service during this present Term

Monday 13th March 1826

20 James D Knowles for the use of &c
vs
Branson D Weston & John Lawrence

We find for the plaintiff two hundred & twenty five dollars with interest & Cost
Henry McCoy

22 James D Knowles for the use of Francis Damelly
vs
Branson D Weston & John Lawrence

We find for the plaintiff two hundred & twenty five dollars with interest & Cost
Henry McCoy

24 James Dickson &c
vs
Henry Taylor

(Dismissed)

40 Lucretia Martin admx Thomas Foster admr of Robert Martin dec
vs
George W Dillard & Hugh Love

We find for the plaintiff one hundred and five Dollars with interest & Cost of suit
Henry McCoy Foreman

Thomas N Slaughter, William Watson, Hugh Moore, Thomas Leger & Daniel Grant having been drawn (and Summoned) to serve upon the Grand Jury during the present term and having failed to attend
It is ordered by the Court that they be fined the sum of Thirty dollars each unless each of them do file in the Clerks office of this Court a sufficient excuse within thirty days from this date March 13th 1826

Jonathan Hogue, Henry Lewis, Jesse Johnson, Joshua Hatchell, Edward Harris, James Burdge & Azriel Greer having been Summoned to attend as petit Jurors at this present Term of this Court and being in default are fined the sum of Fifteen Dollars each with leave to file their excuses on oath within thirty days from the present time

27 The Bank of Darien
vs
David B Terrell Ex of Tho Terrell dec

We find for the plaintiff two thousand Dollars with interest & Cost
Henry McCoy Foreman

407

Monday 13th March 1826

Pebb Jury No 2

John Monday
William Berry
Young J. Gresham
Caleb Creasey
John Wright
John B Walcheth
James Findley
Robert Green
William H Price
Gilbert Johnson
Stephen Price
Ebenezer Smith

It is agreed by the parties hereto that on the Ejectment Commenced against George Moore as Administrator of Thomas Bennett Patterson any of the parties hereto are Counsel that the pleas already filed shall be received without a verification & shall have the same force & effect that they would have had if verified According to Agreement

Atts for George Moore
Robt D Foster for dem pltff
Alex C Dawson for dem pltff
Thomas H Cox for Mr J. Cox.

37 John Doe & Dem
John Goldey
vs
Richard Roe, Tenant
Smith Tennant in possession

We the Jury find for the defendant with Cost of Suit
John B Walcheth Fm

40 John Doe &c dem
John P Scott
vs
Richard Roe
John J Beale

We the jury find for the plaintiff the premises in dispute with Cost of Suit
John B Walcheth Fm

12 John Barrell
vs
Nicholas H Thornton

We find for Plaintiff one hundred fifty Dollars and forty Eight cents with costs of suit
John B Walcheth Fm

16 Edward H Anderson
vs
William H Bowen

We the Jury find for Defendant
John B Walcheth Fm

Wednesday 15th March 1826

Jury No 1

J Hamptn
T Howle
J Mapp
D L Cate
& Dalfrees

Brandon & Trotter vs Edward Bartholomew — We the jury find for the plaintiff one hundred Dollars & Cost and Suit. Henry M. Coy Foreman

Charles G Stewart vs Henry Sanford Jr. — I confess Judgment to the plaintiff for the sum of seven hundred & one & four Dollars & forty four cents with interest & Cost. Henry Sanford gen

William Sims & Williams vs William Sims Sen — Settled at Defts Cost

Joseph Bacon & Wife vs Nathaniel Worrell Guardian of Daniel Wagner — Bill for discovery &c. It is agreed between the parties to the above Case that the same be referred to the arbitrament and award of Mess Hedges, Daniel Robertson, Gilly Moore, Reuben White, Henry Scott with full powers to make an award, and that the same when so made be returned to the present Term of said Court and become the Judgment of said Court

Jesse Mercer vs John G Roberts — Dismd & Commission

William Dearing vs Yubal C Watts & John G Roberts — Debt. It appearing to the Court that John G Roberts the defendant in the above Case resides within the County of Taliaferro on motion of Counsel it is ordered that the declarations & papers pertaining to said Case be transmitted by the Clerk of this Court to the Clerk of the Superior Court for the County of Taliaferro that said Case may be tried in the County where the defendant resides

Wednesday 13th January 1826

Jury Sworn

	Anthony W Madden	}	We the Jury find for the plaintiff
17	vs	}	6ps each damage
	Henry Sanford Jr.	}	John B Waldwell Jr.
	John Monaghan	}	
	Joseph Sanford	}	
	Amos Winegford	}	We find for the plaintiff sixty four Dollars
19	vs	}	Eighty seven & three fourth Cts damages & Costs
	Archibald Allmon	}	& Costs of Suit John B Waldwell Jr.
	Anthony W Madden	}	We the jury find for the plaintiff one
18	vs	}	hundred Dollars damages
	Henry Sanford Jr.	}	John B Waldwell Jr.
	James Chambers	}	We find for the plaintiff Eighty
	John Brown Esq.	}	five Dollars Sixty one & a quarter Cts
20	vs	}	with interest & Costs of Suit
	Samuel Parnell	}	John B Waldwell Jr.

It appearing to the Court that Redford Gallen has been recognized to appear at the present Term of this Court to answer to an indictment for an assault & Battery and the prosecutor appearing to prosecute it is therefore Ordered On Motion that Redford Gallen and his security Gilbert Gallen be discharged from their recognizance

	George Gregg Junr	}	It Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for
36	vs	}	Eighty nine Dollars and fourteen Cts with
	John Southerland	}	interest & Cost John Southerland
	George Gregg Junr	}	It Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for two hundred
37	vs	}	and twenty four dollars and fourteen Cts with
	John Southerland	}	interest & Cost John Southerland

e Plaintiff

ehalth F.fa.

dy four Dollars
ath costs

ehalth F.fa.

plaintiff one

ell F.fa.

offs Eighty
a quarter Cents
of

ehalth F.fa.

has been
of the answer
now appearing
of said Radford
from their

plaintiff for
costs made with
husband

uffs for two hundred
seven Gals with
thousand

Upon the petition of Elizabeth Brown Widow & Relict of Reuben Brown late of Greene County praying to have her dower or one third part of the Real Estate of which of her said Husband died seized and possessed laid off and set assigned her.

It is therefore Ordered and Considered by the Court that the prayer of the petitioner be granted and that Nathaniel Worell Reuben White & Brunel Mabry be and they are hereby required to enter upon the Real Estate of said Reuben Brown deceased and lay off assign & set apart to said Elizabeth Brown Widow as aforesaid her dower or one third part of that Tract of Land on which the said Reuben Brown lived at the time of his death lying in the County of Greene on Richland Creek adjoining Elias Woodhall and others and that they return their proceedings thereon to the next Superior Court of said County.

It appearing to the Court upon the petition of Wiley Stewart & Unity his wife that Jesse Weathers late of Greene County was the Lawful husband of the said Unity; that during their said Coverture and at the time of the death of the said Weathers, the said Weathers was possessed of in his own right a Tract of Land lying and being in the said County of Greene upon the waters of Richland Creek containing four hundred acres more or less whereof the said Unity is entitled to her third or dower; And it appearing that the said Wiley & the said Unity have intermarried and it also appearing further that due and proper notice has been given to the Administrator of the Estate of the said Jesse Weathers. It is therefore Ordered that the Clerk of the Superior Court of said County of Greene do issue a writ of partition in terms of the Act of the Legislature in such case made and provided directed to Nathaniel Worell Abner Baker & Reuben White directing them or a majority of them to enter upon the said Tract of Land and to allot lay off and assign to the said Unity her dower or share of said lands & premises

Monday 10 March 1828

Andrew Kerr
v. } Ejt for foreclosure of Mortgage
Thomas M Grimes

It appearing to the Court by the late Sheriffs return that he has in his hands a certain sum of money raised by the sale of defendants property on the above named Ejt

On Motion of the plaintiffs Attorney it is ordered that the said late Sheriff shew cause tomorrow Morning at the opening of the Court or as soon thereafter as Counsel can be heard why he should not pay the said money to the plaintiffs Attorney —

Alex: G Tyler
25 " Wake
Daniel Kerr

Alexander G Tyler
26 " Wake
Daniel Kerr
Jury N°1
John Doe Ex Dem
Daniel Connelly
23 " We the Jury find for the plaintiff the land in dispute with Cost of Suit
Richard Roe
Elias Jay Test. Henry McCoy foreman

John Doe Ex Dem
Daniel Connelly
23 " We the Jury find for the plaintiff the premises in dispute with Cost of suit
R. L. Roe
Manning Parker Henry McCoy J. P.

Wednesday 15th March 1826

Jury N°2

27	George Heard Admr &c vs Nathan Goock	}	We find for the plaintiff Five hundred & ninety four Dollars with interest & Cost John B Holcomb Jun.
28	Amos Mungerford vs James White	}	Settled & Cost paid
31	George Heard Admr &c vs George P Nickelson	}	We find for the plaintiff seventy three Dollars & ninety three Cents with interest & Cost John B Holcomb Jun.
34	Anson Mungerford vs Seaborn J Daffold	}	I confess Judgment to the plaintiff for the sum of Two hundred & ninety Dollars thirty one & one quarter Cents with interest & Cost S J Daffold
43	George Heard Admr &c vs Thomas J M. Cheshey	}	We find for the plaintiff one thousand & fifty four Dollars & ninety one Cents with interest & Cost John B Holcomb Jun.

O. H. Kenan
J. S. C. C.

Court adjourned till tomorrow morning half after 8 Oclock

413

State of Georgia Greene County Greensboro Tuesday 14th March 1826

The Honorable Superior Court for the County of Green met pursuant to Adjournment Present The Honorable Gwen M Nisbet Judge

Petit Jury No 1

Joseph Jones
Joel Forrester
John Wall
George Hunt
Hugh Heys
Henry McCoy

John Mapp
Reuben Bishop

John Hunt
William C Knowles
Thomas Jarrell
Hardy Phelps
John Bledsoe
Anthony Cooler

38 In Debt

Thomas M Cobb vs George Heard admr of Thos Green Jr. dec.

We find for the plaintiff three hundred and sixty four Dollars with interest & Cost of suit to be levied of the goods & Chattels rights & credits & effects of the intestate Thomas Green Jr. when they shall come to the hands of said Administrator

Henry McCoy foremn

3 In Debt

Lucretia Martin & Thomas E Foster Admrs & Admx &c vs George Heard Admr &c & Vincent Sanford Senr Vincent Sanford absolutely

We find for the plaintiff One hundred three dollars with interest & Cost & Costs of suit to be levied of the goods & Chattels rights Credits & effects of the intestate when they shall come to the hands of the administrator George Heard and of the property of said

Henry McCoy foremn

5 In Debt

James M Daniel vs Robert C Martin & George Heard admr of the Estate of Thos Green Jr. dec.

We find for the plaintiff Four thousand seven hundred and ninety seven dollars with interest & Costs of suit to be levied of the property of said Robert C Martin & of the goods & chattels lands & tenements rights credits & effects of said Intestate Thomas Green Jr. whenever they shall come to the hands of the Administrator George Heard

Henry McCoy foremn

March 1826

...me and pursuant
...on (Judge).

...ndred (and Sixty four
...be levied of the goods
...ecedents Thomas
...nds of said Administrator
...t Coy foreman
...ve hundred & two dollars
...dust to be levied of-
...ttels & effects of the
...e to the hands of the
...f the property of said
foreman

...thousand five hundred
...terest & Costs of suit
...said Robert C Marlow
...Lands & Tenements
...State Thomas Green
...the hands of the

...Coy foreman

1 — Dl. Dandridge for — vs — John West Jr. — We find for the plaintiffs four thousand Dollars with interest & Costs of suit to be levied of the goods & Chattels rights Credits & effects of the Intestate Thomas George Heard Administrator of Thomas Green Jr. dec.d & Administrator George Heard — Henry McCoy foreman

2 — West & Sanford — vs — George Heard Adm.r of Thomas Green Jr. dec.d — We find for the plaintiffs one hundred & Thirty Eight Dollars & Sixty One Cents with Costs of Suit to be levied of the goods & Chattels Lands & Tenements rights Credits & effects of the intestate Thomas Green Jr. when they shall come to the hands of the Administrator George Heard — Henry McCoy foreman

3 — C. C. Collins — vs — George Heard Administrator of Thomas Green Jr. dec.d — We find for the plaintiff Thirty three Dollars & Twenty five Cents with Costs of Suit to be levied of the goods & Chattels Rights & Credits & effects of the intestate which shall come to the hands of the Administrator George Heard — Henry McCoy foreman

4 — Nicholas Lewis — vs — George Heard Administrator of Thomas Green Jr. dec.d — We find for the plaintiff Ninety Dollars Eighteen and a half Cents with Costs of Suit to be levied of the goods & Chattels rights Credits & effects of the Intestate when they shall come to the hands of the Administrator George Heard — Henry McCoy foreman

5 — John West Jr. — vs — George Heard Administrator of Thomas Green Jr. dec.d — We find for the plaintiff Sixty nine Dollars and Sixty five Cents with Costs of Suit to be levied of the goods & Chattels rights Credits & effects of the Intestate Thomas Green Jr. when they shall come to the hands of the Administrator George Heard — Henry McCoy foreman

53 — Sally Rolls Adm.x &c of Hector Rolls dec.d — vs — Thomas J. McCleskey — We find for the plaintiff one hundred and Seventy Eight Dollars & Forty nine Cents with interest & Costs of suit — Henry McCoy foreman

415

396 Tuesday 14th March 1826

William Sims & William) We find for the Plaintiffs three Hundred and Seven
39 " ") Dollars Thirty three Cents with Costs of Suit to be
George Heard) adm^rs (free) out of the goods & chattels rights Credits and
and the Estate of) effects of the intestate Thomas Green in whosesoever they shall
Thomas Green Junr dec^d) come into the hands of the Administrator George Heard

Nancy McCoy former

Mitchell & Clarke)
49 " ") Demurrer
George Heard Admr of Thos Green dec^d)

William Collier)
60 " ") Nonsuit
Graham Scaffold)

Ad Clark & Hall)
2 " ") Affirmant on claim
James D Ward) Levy discharged
Wiley M Ward claim^t)

The Grand Jury returned the following Bills

The State)
") Perjury. True bill
Francis McGowan) Thomas Stocks foreman

The State)
") Assault with intent to Murder
Joshua Kithcart) True bill so far as regards assault
Battery. Thomas Stocks foreman

826

...dent to be
... Creditor and
...ment they shall
George Howard

Jury № 2

John Montfort — James Findley — Johnson Curry
William Berry — Robert Green
Young J. Gresham — William McCrea
Mabel Wingo — Gilbert Johnson
John Wright — Stephen Cries
John B Mitchell — Ebenezer Smith

46 Andrew Sawyers adm[r] &c / vs / Henry Sanford — We the jury find for the plaintiff one hundred & twelve dollars twenty five cents with interest from the 13th day of July 1825 — John B Mitchell F.M.

30 George Howard adm[r] &c / vs / John McNicholson — We the Jury find for the plaintiff three hundred & ninety four Dollars with interest & Cost — John B Mitchell F.M.

44 Nathaniel Ashley / vs / Josiah Rogers — I confess Judgment to the plaintiff for the sum of three hundred & fifty four dollars with interest and Cost with the liberty of Appeal — Henry Sanford for Def[t] Atty

45 George Howard Adm[r] of Brown / vs / Josiah Rogers — I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for the sum of one thousand & twenty Dollars with interest & Cost with the liberty of Appeal — Henry Sanford for Def[t] Atty

21 Charles A Rodd per Ind[t] / vs / David L Anderson — We find for the plaintiff thirty five Dollars with interest & Cost of suit — John B Mitchell F.M.

24 John Slaughter Beverly Slaughter & al / vs / Ezekiel Brown — Nonsuit

29 Willis Hyde / vs / James Nesom — Nonsuit

417

Tuesday 14 March 1826

48 John West
vs
Robert O'Martin

I Confess Judgment to the plaintiff for seven thousand Dollars with the interest & Costs of suit
Robert O'Martin

James Findlay a petit Juror on panel N°2 was excused from further service during this term he being much indisposed
John A Corry sworn in his stead
George W Dillard

Thomas J. McClesky
Same
vs
Same

On motion of Counsel it is ordered that the late Sheriff do shew Cause tomorrow morning or as soon thereafter as Counsel can be heard why he does not pay over to Athens Leonard & George W Dillard or their Attorney the Amount of Money raised upon the Fi. fas
George W Dillard

Thomas J. McClesky
vs
Same

On Motion of Counsel it is ordered that the late Sheriff do shew Cause tomorrow morning or as soon thereafter as Counsel Can be heard why he does not pay over to Athens Leonard and George W Matthews assignees of George W Dillard the Amount of money raised upon the fifas.

49 Charles O. Collier
vs
Thomas J. McClesky
George W Dillard

We find for the plaintiff three hundred and seventy three Dollars with interest from the thirtieth day of April Eighteen hundred & twenty four & Costs of suit
John B Holcheld Jr. M.

51 Joseph Whitehead
vs
Nelson B Burton

We the Jury find for the plaintiff Ninety Eight Dollars twelve & a half Cents with interest & Cost
John B Holcheld Jr. M.

52 Anson Mungerford
Surviving Copartner
vs
Peter A Early

We find for the plaintiff Fifty four Dollars nine and a quarter Cents with Costs of suit
John B Holcheld Jr. M

Tuesday 14th March 1820

Franklin C Heard Executor
of Abraham Heard dec'd
vs
Thomas Pelbo

Circuit Superior Court
Rule Absolute for foreclosure

Whereas at March Term Eighteen hundred Twenty
Four a Rule Nisi was obtained at the instance of Franklin C Heard
Executor of Abraham Heard deceased Against Thomas Pelbo for the fore-
closure of a Mortgage executed by the Said Thomas to the Said Franklin
C Heard bearing date the fourteenth day of January Eighteen hundred
and twenty four upon the following real Estate lying and being in the
County of Greene Known and distinguished on the Greene County Ar-
dency Survey as part of Number forty one (41) Building and bounded as
follows beginning at a persimmon and Stake thence South 19 West 31 Chains
62 Links to the Corner of (41) and (43) thence South 70 Degrees East 31
Chains 62 Links to a Chesnut Stake thence North 19 East 6 Chains and
Eighty Links to the Greensboro road thence along said road North (28)
West to a White Oak Corner at the End of the Lane thence North 36
West 12 Chains and 10 Links to the beginning Corner Containing fifty Eight
Acres and Seventenths of an Acre more or less the bottom to become the pro-
perty of the Sum of two hundred and Eighty five Dollars and Thirty two Cents
besides interest And the Said Thomas having failed and made default
in paying Said Sum of Money unto the Clerks Office with the interest
together with Legal Cost And it appearing to the Court that a Copy
of Said Rule Nisi has been served upon the Mortgagor at least six months
previous to the time the money was by said rule Nisi directed to be paid
On motion of Counsel for the Mortgagee It is Ordered and Considered
by the Court That the Equity of redemption of in and to the Mortgaged
premises in Said Mortgage described be and the Same is hereby foreva
barred & foreclosed And it is further Ordered by the Court that the
Mortgagee do recover of the Mortgagor the Sum of two hundred
and Eighty five Dollars and Thirty two Cents principal and Eighty six Cents
interest due upon Said Mortgage And also the Sum of
for his Costs in and about this
foreclosure had and expended for which several Sums of
money the Mortgagee shall have execution

And it is further Considered by the Court that the said
Mortgaged premises be Sold in such manner as is prescribed

419

Tuesday 11th March 1806

on Cases of Execution and the money arising from such sale shall be paid to the Mortgagee or his attorney unless there be a surplus which shall be paid to the Mortgagee or his attorney conformably with the Act of the general Assembly of this State in such Case made & provided

Petit Jury N°. 2.

James Woodruff dec'pltff
vs
James M Houghton deft
Joshua Houghton Claimant

We the Jury find the land not subject to the defendants debt
John B Mitchell Fore.

Special Jury Sworn

Thomas Hicks
Thomas Moseley
Lansing Beeman
George Watkins
John Coleman
Lemuel Grant
William W Lewis Respt
Joshua Cook appt.

Abraham Gray
John Powers
David Terrell
William M Bowers
John Bailey
Ezekiel Woodham

We the Special Jury find for the plaintiff the sum of Ninety Dollars with Cost of suit
Thomas Hicks Fore.