Note No. 1. Dawes No. 5358, Will E.Linton vs Cherokee Nation.

The following is a copy of the entry found on the Dawes Commission record in this case:

- The applicants, (Will E. Linton, Pauline B. Linton & Shannon Ress Linton) are entitled to citizenship unless they are Creek citizens. If Creek citizens they are not entitled to citizen-
- " ship in the Cherokee Nation ...

The following is a copy of the judgment of the United States
Court for the Northern Judic ial District of the Indian Territory in
this case as appears from the Judge's bench docket, this being the
only record of any judgment in this case.

- Nov. 10,1899. The appeal is affirmed with this modification: If Pauline B. Linton elects to be enrolled as a citizen of the Cherokee Nation, she, her husband and children, will be enrolled as citizens of the Cherokee Nation. If she elects to be enrolled as a citizen of the Creek Nation, she and her children will be enrolled as citizens of the Creek Nation, and her husband will not be enrolled as a citizen either of the Creek or Cherokee Nation.
- Note No. 2. Dawes No. 5378, Walter L. Bell vs Cherokee Nation.

  The following is a copy of the entry found on the Dawes Commission record in this case:
  - Admitted as a citizen by judgment of Commission oncitizenship, and applicant becoming a resident of Cherokee Nation prior to act approved December 4th, 1894."

The affidavit of the claimant on file with the papers in this case shows that he removed to the Cherokee Nation on the 27th day of July, 1894.

Note No. 3. Dawes No. 5572, Eljerry Fleetwood vs Cherokee Nation.

This case was appealed to the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, and by the judgment of that court of November 10th, 1899, the judgment of the United States Commission to the Five Civilized Tribes admitting the applicants in this case to citizenship, was affirmed as to such of the applicants as were residents of the Cherokee Nation, and was reversed as to such of the applicants as were non-residents of the Cherokee Nation.

On the judge's bench docket, the names of the persons admitted to citizensh p in the Cherokee Nation by the judgment of said court in this case, are specifically set forth, as are also the names of those rejected by the judgment of the court. These two lists of names correspond exactly with the list of names of applicants set forth in the original application for citizenship in the Cherokee Nation, which was filed with the Commission in September, 1896. The list of applicants as shown by the report of the Special Master to whom this case was referred by the court, and the list of applicants as set forth in the petition for appeal, both correspond to the list set forth in the original application, and, consequently, to the list of those admitted to citizenship, and those denied citizenship, as set forth on the judge's berch docket.

The list of the names of persons admitted to citizenship in the Cherokee Nation, as shown in Dawes Commission docket "B" page 443, however, contains the following names which do not appear in the original application on file with the papers in this case.

W.C.Brown,
Jerry Ward,
Mrs.Rljerry Fleetwood,
Mrs. S.D.C.Edwards,
John Boswell,
Lucy Teel Tincup,

J.C.Johnson Fleetwood, Eddie Fleetwood, Jerry Ward, Henry Ward, Prura Johnson.

In two places, however, in the original application for citizenship, now on file with the papers in this case, the first names of persons by the name of Ward, are omitted, their names being simply set forth as "\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Ward", and it is possible that the name Jerry Ward, where same appears a second time on the docket of the Commission, and the name Henry Ward, where same appears on the docket of the Commission in this case, are the same persons designated in the original application as "\_\_\_\_\_\_ Ward." If this theory be correct, however, it would seem that there must have been at some time, some other paper on file in this case from which the

Commission was enabled to get the first names of these two applicants Their names are not disclosed by the papers now on file in this case.

There are certain variations in the names of applicants, which are specifically set forth below:

Original application.

3.

Dawes Commission docket.

1

Arizona Fleetwood,	Anzonci Fleetwood.
Martha W. Brown,	Martha Brown.
Cassie Brown,	Carrie Brown.
Loolie I.Brown,	Lula J.Brown.
Martha Brown,	Marthia Brown.
Coroh Word	Saran Fleetwood waru,
Luvena Fleetwood,	Lavence Fleetwood.
Tulor Fleetwood	July Fleetwood.
Transport T Boswell	Minerva J. Teel Boswell.
Carry Ann Teel,	Calvin A. Teel
William LaFayette Teel,	Vm.L. Teel.
John Hugh Teel,	John H. Teel.
John Hugh Teel,	Rierry Fleetwood.
Rijerry Fleetwood,	Lafayett Teel.
marayette 1001, 11111111111111111111111111111111	

In the case of John Fleetwood et al against the Cherokee Nation, Dawes No. 5563, the Dawes Commission docket shows that

> John Fleetwood, Roxie Fleetwood,

Minnie Fleetwood, Mary Fleetwood,

were admitted to citizenship in the Cherokee Nation as citizens by blood. These names, with the exception of Mary Fleetwood, are found in the application in case number 5572, Eljerry Fleetwood et al against the Cherokee Nation, and it is possible that they are the same persons. By the judgment of the United States Court entered in case Dawes No. 5572, however,

John Fleetwood, Rexie Fleetwood, Minnie Fleetwood,

are denied citizenship in the Cherokee Nation, while in case Dawes No. 5563, three persons with exactly the same names, are admitted to citizenship in the Cherokee Nation by the Dawes Commission, and no appeal was taken in said last named case, to the United States Court.