

Commission on Citizenship

Sahquah C.R. Sept. 17th 1880

case 49. Mamie Daugherty ✓
and children
v. s.
Cherokee nation } Petition for
Citizenship

continued by Plaintiff until
January Jan 1881

The above case submitted by the Plaintiff
Sept 24th 1880

- submitted by the Solicitor also
Oct. 5th 1880

The Commission is of the Opinion that
the claimant is a native born Cherokee
Indian by blood

The claimant came before
Commission under a proclamation of
the Principal Chief as a doubtful citizen
reported as such on the late census roll

The Commission
has by decide that there was an error
in reporting said claimant as a
doubtful citizen - and that she is
entitled to all the rights and franchises
of Cherokee citizenship - just the same
as the native Cherokee

Roach Young President comm
William Hamage }
G. W. Maye } asst comm

J. B. Maye
Clerk
comm

Commission on Citizenship

Sahquah C.R. September 17th 1880

case 50. A. J. Gray ✓
v. s.
Cherokee nation } Petition for
Citizenship

The above case submitted by
the Plaintiff. 30th Sept. 1880

continued by the Cherokee
Nation until January Jan 1881

The above case continued by the Cherokee
Nation until the September Jan 1881

The above case submitted by both parties
for the action of the Commission. Sept. 6th 1881

The evidence before the Commission shows
the claimant to be an immediate relative & descendant
of the Rogers and Jordan families, long known
to be Cherokee by blood - The Commission is
therefore of the Opinion that the Petitioner's claim
is well founded and that he is of ~~Cherokee~~
blood

Therefore we the Commission on Citizenship
do hereby advise the above named A. J.
Gray to all the right privilege and franchises
of Cherokee citizenship by blood.

Roach Young President comm
William Hamage }
G. W. Maye } asst comm

J. B. Maye
Clerk
comm

Sept 15th 1881

Commission on Citizenship
Sahlgwash C.N. Sept 17th 1880

Case 51

D. W. Long
Bill Long
Alonzo Long
Maggie Long
Benjamin Long
v. i.
Cherokee Nation

Petition for
Citizenship

submitted by Plaintiff Sept 15th 1880

continued by the Cherokee Nation
until the year of Jan 1881

The above case continued by the
Cherokee Nation until the September term 1881

The above case submitted by both parties
for the action of the commission Sept 6th 1881

The testimony before the Commission shows
conclusively that the claimants are Cherokee
by blood being immediate descendants of
the noted families of Roger + Judson Long
known to be Cherokee by blood
The commission on Citizenship therefore
finds in favor of the said claimants
D. W. Long, Bill Long, Alonzo Long,
Maggie Long and Benjamin Long
to all the rights and privileges of
Cherokee citizenship by blood.

Roach Young President
William Hamage }
G. W. Mayes } Clerk Com

J. B. Mayes

Clerk Com

Sept 15th 1881

Commission on Citizenship
Sahlgwash C.N. Sept 17th 1880

Case 52

James Caudenden
Charles Caudenden
v. i.
Cherokee Nation

Petition for
Citizenship

submitted by plaintiff and
defendant Sept 18th 1880

The testimony in the above case shows that
the father and mother were both Native born
Cherokees being the descendants of noted
Cherokees

The Commission therefore hereby
admits to the above named claimants to
all the rights, privileges and franchises
of Cherokee Citizenship by blood
Sept - 25th 1880.

Roach Young Pres
William Hamage }
G. W. Mayes } Clerk

J. B. Mayes
Clerk

Commission on Citizenship

Sahlgwah C.N. Sept 2nd 1880

case 53. George W. Bradshaw }
 v.s. } Petitioner for
 Cherokee Nation } Citizenship

continued by Plaintiff until
 January Term 1881

Set for trial on the 27th inst. 1881
 continued by the Plaintiff on account
 of important testimony Oct 5th 1881

January 10th 1882
 Case considered and dismissed Dec 16th day of Jan
 1882. to be dismissed by a point of unless Plaintiff moves
 to take up the case before that date.

January 10th 1882
 The case was brought on for trial on the 27th inst. 1881
 and was continued by the Plaintiff until the 5th of Oct 1881
 on account of important testimony. The case was set for trial
 on the 27th inst. 1881 and was continued by the Plaintiff on
 account of important testimony until the 5th of Oct 1881. The
 case was considered and dismissed on the 16th day of Jan 1882.
 The case is to be dismissed by a point of unless the Plaintiff
 moves to take up the case before that date.

J. L. Thompson
 Clerk

Commission on Citizenship

Sahlgwah C.N. Sept 2nd 1880

case 54 Amanda. Rob }
 v.s. } Petitioner for
 Cherokee Nation } citizenship.

The above case withdrawn by
 Plaintiff; and dropped from
 the docket Sept 22nd 1880

Commission on Citizenship
Tahlequah C.N. Sept 21st 1880

Case 55. Vic. Armstrong
v.s.
Cherokee Nation

Petition for
Citizenship under
the provisions of the principal
Act dated 27th July 1880

submitted by Plaintiff under
January Term 1881

submitted by the Cherokee Nation Sept. 10th 1881

Office Commission on
Citizenship Tahlequah
C.N. September 30th 1881

The claimant
comes upon the commission under a presentation
of the principal chief dated 27th July 1880 as
a doubtful citizen reported on such by the late
senior factors.

The testimony before the commission
shows the claimant to be a Cherokee by blood
being a descendant of the Kill and Osage
families well known Cherokees.

The testimony does not show that
the claimant was forefeited his right to
Cherokee citizenship.
The Commission therefore decide that
there was an error committed by the senior
factors in reporting him as a doubtful
citizen - that the claimant Vic Armstrong
is now and hereafter been entitled
to all the rights and privileges of Cherokee
citizenship by blood.

Brook Young President com
William Hornsby } asst com
G H Mayo

J. B. Mayo

Chief Commission

Commission on Citizenship
Tahlequah C.N. Sept 21st 1880

Case 56. Hanna Thompson
v.s.
Cherokee Nation

Petition for
Citizenship

The above case withdrawn by
Plaintiff Sept 25th 1880

Commission on Citizenship
 To hold court C. D. S. 21st 1880

Case 57. Minnie Davis
 Elizabeth Davis
 Allison Davis
 v. s.
 Cherokee Nation

} Petition for
 citizenship

submitted by Plaintiff 22nd Sept 1880

continued by the Cherokee Nation
 until January 1881
 the above case continued by
 the Cherokee Nation until the 2nd of
 Jan 1881
 return returned over to appear on the 12th inst

The above case continued by the attorney
 for the nation on removal of return
 Dec 5 1881

Case submitted by the collector for the Cherokee Nation.
 January 21st 1882

And now this case coming on for final hearing and
 notice having been issued to claimants of the coming on of
 said case for final hearing and all the evidence produced
 in the case on both sides having been carefully read and
 duly considered it was adjudged by the Commission on
 citizenship that the above named claimants, to wit:
 Minnie Davis Elizabeth Davis and Allison Davis, are
 not Cherokees by blood as alleged in their petition and
 that they are not entitled to the rights and privileges of
 Cherokee citizenship within the Cherokee Nation; and that
 their claim for the same should be, and therefore is
 hereby rejected.

W. B. Davis
 Clerk

Thos. Lake One of Com.
 Mr. Wolfe, Commissioner
 L. L. Thompson, Com being absent.

Commission on Citizenship
 To hold court C. D. S. 21st 1880

Case 58 Emma Britton
 Ann Jackson
 v. s.
 Cherokee Nation

} Petition for
 citizenship

continued until January
 1881 by Plaintiff

The above case withdrawn by
 Plaintiff Sept 16th 1881

Commission on Citizenship

Fahlgren v. C. H. Sept 22^d 1880

case 59 *John Orr*
 v. S.
Christian nation } Petition for
 citizenship

Continued until January Term
 1881 by Plaintiff

The above case withdrawn by Plaintiff
 September 16th 1881

Commission on Citizenship

Fahlgren v. C. H. Sept. 22^d 1880

case 60. *L. D. Keys*
 v. S.
Christian nation } Petition from
 citizenship

Continued until January Term
 1881 by Plaintiff

The above case submitted by the Plaintiff
 January 26th 1881
 Submitted by the Christian nation
 Jan 28th 1881

The testimony before the Commission
 show beyond a doubt that the claimant
 L. D. Keys is an immediate descendant
 of the noted Keys and Riley families
 who are Christian and are now living
 in the Christian nation well known
 Christian by blood

The Commission therefore hereby admit
 the said L. D. Keys to all the rights
 franchise, and franchise of Christian
 citizenship by blood

Jan 29th 1881 Resol. Young President con
 William Harnage
 G. W. May (see to com)

J. R. May
 Com. Commission

Commission on Citizenship

Fall 1880 C.N. Sept 25 1880

63. James M. Baker } Plaintiff for
Lucy A. Dale } citizenship
v. S. }
Charles Nation

The above case continued
by Plaintiff until January
Jan 1881

The above case submitted by both parties Sept 24th 1881

The testimony before the Commission
of reliable witnesses of reliable nature
show the claimant to be of Cherokee
blood being descendant of the
Rutledge family well known Cherokees
by blood

The Commission therefore
has by word the said claimant
James M. Baker and Lucy A. Dale
to all the rights privileges and
franchise of Cherokee citizenship
by blood

Roch Young President
William H. May Jr } Asst Commr
G. W. May

J. B. May Clerk Commission

Commission on Citizenship

Sahlaywah C.N. Sept 25 1880

case 64. P. G. Parke } Plaintiff for
7/24 } citizenship
Charles Nation

The above case continued
until January Jan 1881 by
Plaintiff
submitted the Plaintiff Jan 27 1881
submitted by the Charles Nation
Feb 2 1881

The testimony in the above case
shows that the claimant, P. G. Parke is
an Indian by blood
The Commission

therefore hereby admits the said
P. G. Parke to all the rights privileges
and franchises of Cherokee citizenship
Roch Young President
William H. May Jr } Asst Commr
G. W. May

Feb 2 1881

J. B. May

Clerk Commission

Commissiion on Citizenship

Tahlequah C. N. September 25th 1880

Case 53 Ruth McClure }
 Alice Lu McClure } Petition for
 15.5 Cherokee Nation } citizenship

The above case submitted by
 the Plaintiff 10th Sept 1880

continued by the Cherokee Nation
 until January 1881

submitted by the Cherokee Nation also
 Jan 11th 1881

The testimony in the above case
 given to the satisfaction of the Commission
 that the above claimants are Cherokees
 by blood and entitled to all the rights
 and privileges of Cherokee citizenship

The Commission therefore
 hereby issue the their decree, admitting
 the above named claimants to all
 rights, privileges and franchise of
 Cherokee citizenship by blood

Jan 12th 1881

Roach Young Pres commission
 William Hume, asst com
 G. W. Hayes "

G. B. Hayes
 Clerk

Commissiion on Citizenship

Tahlequah C. N. Oct 5th 1880

Case 56 Henry C. Rogers }
 and wife } Petition for
 15.5 Cherokee Nation } citizenship

Continued by Plaintiff until
 January 1881

continued by the Plaintiff
 Oct 5th 1881

Case submitted by Commission January 27th 1881

Case submitted by the Plaintiff to Commission
 January 30th 1881

In a now on this the 33rd day of January A. D. 1882, this
 case coming on for final hearing, and all the testimony
 produced in the case on both sides being carefully read
 and duly considered, it was adjudged by the Com-
 mission on Citizenship, that the above named claimants,
 to wit: Henry C. Rogers, and Cherokee by blood, and
 that they are entitled to all the rights and privileges of
 Cherokee citizenship within the Cherokee Nation, and
 that they should be, and they are hereby admitted to the
 full and perfect enjoyment of the same as
 native born Cherokees.

D. W. C. Duncan Alex. Wolfe
 Clerk S. H. Thompson
 3 Commis-
 sioners

Thos. Leher, President, dissenting

Certificates of admission issued to claimants in
 in pursuance of law January 28th 1882

D. W. C. Duncan
 Clerk

Commission on Citizenship
 February 6, 1907

Case 67 *John A. Spence*
vs
Cherokee Nation } Petition for
 citizenship

The above case continued
 Plaintiff until January 1881

The above case withdrawn by
 Plaintiff 18 September 1881

Commission on Citizenship
 February 6, 1907

Case 68 *J. G. Sates*
vs
Cherokee Nation } Petition for
 citizenship

The above case continued
 until January 1881 by Plaintiff

The above case withdrawn by Plaintiff
 Sept 16th 1881

Commission on Intemperance
 Subsequent to Oct 3rd 1880

Case 67 Rachel & her
 and family } Petition for
 Cherokee Nation } intemperance
 to be set aside
 The above case continued
 until January 1881

continued by Plaintiff Oct 3rd 1880
 Continued by Commission till 11th Nov 1882

Subj. set aside by the Court on 11th Nov 1882.
 Submitted by the Plaintiff on 11th Nov 1882.

Set aside on 11th Nov 1882. On 11th Nov 1882
 1882 the case was argued on behalf of the Plaintiff and all
 the evidence for and against the case was read and
 the Court was satisfied that the case was not
 a case of intemperance and was set aside by the
 Commission. It is understood and determined by the
 Commission that the Plaintiff should not be allowed
 to bring a new case against the Cherokee Nation
 but that they are not entitled to the same rights
 and privileges as the Cherokee Nation and that their
 claims should be made in the
 same manner as the

J. L. G. of Commission
 J. L. G. of Commission

J. L. G. of Commission
 J. L. G. of Commission

Commission on Intemperance
 Subsequent to Oct 3rd 1880

Case 70 Isaac Hoyle } Petition for
 Cherokee Nation } intemperance

continued by the Plaintiff
 Oct 3rd 1881

Case continued by Commission till 11th Nov 1882
 Submitted by the Plaintiff on 11th Nov 1882.

Discontinued for want of prosecution 11th Nov 1882

Communion on *bettemchok*
 commenced at *Saklyguch*
Chuskee Nation in
 accordance with law
 for the transmission of
 baptism. - on the
 5th day January 1881

Roach, Young, judge
 presiding -

Office
Commissioner of Citizenship
Tahlequah, C. N. Jan 12th 1881

Case 71. William P. Archer
James S. Archer
John R. Archer
Mary E. Archer
v.s.
Cherokee Nation

Petition for
Citizenship

The above case continued by the Plaintiff
until the September Term 1881

The case withdrawn by Plaintiff
Sept 16th 1881

Commissioner of Citizenship
Tahlequah, C. N. Jan 13th 1881

Case 72.

George W. Thornton
Emily Ann Thornton
Orville & Thornton
Archibald Augustus Thornton
v.s.
Cherokee Nation

Petition for
Citizenship

W. M. Brown
Att. for Plaintiff

The above case submitted by the
Plaintiff Sep. 17th 1881

continued by the Cherokee Nation
Oct 3rd 1881

Case continued by Commissioner till Sept Term, Feb 3rd 1882
submitted by the Cherokee Nation 4th September 22nd 1882
submitted by the Cherokee Nation 11th September 22nd 1882

And now on this the 13th day of September 4th 1882, this case remains on docket and having read all the evidence presented in the case on both sides being carefully read and duly considered by the Commissioner, it is considered and determined by the Commissioner as follows, to wit, that the said Archibald Augustus Thornton, Emily Ann Thornton, Orville Thornton and Archer Augustus Thornton are of the Cherokee blood, and that they are entitled to all the rights and privileges of Cherokee Citizens to wit, the Cherokee Nation and that they are also to be, and are hereby admitted to the full and complete citizenship of the same with the full and complete rights and privileges as citizens have Cherokee.

That John P. Brown Commissioner
D. M. Cannon, Secy. of the Cherokee Nation
Cherokee

Commissioner of Citizenship
Tahlequah, C. N. 13th 1881

Commission on Citizenship

Abiquah C. N. January 17th 1881

Case 73 *Thos Hubbard*
Adie Hubbard
v.s.
Cherokee Nation } Petition for citizenship

The above case submitted by the Plaintiff
Jan 28th 1881
The above continued by the Cherokee
Nation till the September term 1881.

Continued by the Nation for the Nation
on account of testimony Oct 3rd 1881

January 12th 1882
The above case continued by the Commission
until the next term in October 1882

On motion of Plaintiff the case continued until
the case taken up of trial case set for trial on Jan 1st 1882

January 1st 1882
And now on this the 1st day of Jan 1882
this case comes on for trial having set for trial
Plaintiffs having filed notice in said court of
said case and summons of said Commission and also
the testimony of said witness as set forth in process
having been duly read and testimony taken it is
advised by the Commission on citation that the
above named Plaintiffs Thomas Hubbard and Adie
Hubbard are not Cherokee by blood and that they
are not entitled to the rights and privileges of Cherokee
citizenship in view of the above stated facts and
the testimony and that their said claims for Cherokee
citizenship should be and the same is hereby rejected.
D. W. Cameron
Clerk. *Thos. Fisher, President.*
Geo. Hollis
J. S. Thompson Commission

Commission on Citizenship

Abiquah C. N. January 18th 1881

Case 74 *Jackson Randolph*
v.s.
Cherokee Nation } Petition for citizenship

The above case continued until
September term 1881 by plaintiff

The above case submitted by Plaintiff Oct 25th 1881
submitted by the Nation Oct 3rd 1881

The claimant Jackson Randolph ask to
be admitted to Cherokee citizenship on
account of his Cherokee blood being
a descendant of John Randolph of Round Oak
The Cherokee nation has never acknowledged
John Randolph as a Cherokee if he was an
Indian he certainly belonged to another
tribe - The testimony fails to prove
the claimant to be a Cherokee by blood

The Commission therefore
decide not to admit the said claimant
Jackson Randolph to Cherokee citizenship

Roach Jones President
William Thomas
G. W. Mays Just. Comm. of

D. B. Mays Clerk
Commissioner

Commission on Citizenship
 Subsequent C. N. Jan 19th 1881

75- Sally Lumpkin
 + family
 v. s.
 Cherokee Nation

Petition for
 Citizenship
 of the
 Cherokee Nation
 by
 the
 Government

continued by the Commission
 Dec 5th 1881

Case continued by Commission till 11th Nov. Feb 3rd 1882
 Case continued on motion of claimant till 1st Apr.
 1883, this being the 30th of October 1882.

And now on this the 9th day of January A. D. 1883 this case
 coming on for final hearing and all the evidence introduced
 in the case being carefully read and duly considered by
 the Commission it was adjudged and determined by
 the Commission on Citizenship that the claimants,

Sally Lumpkin, Jefferson Lumpkin, Charles Lumpkin,
 Patsy Lumpkin, Elizabeth Lumpkin, and Frank
 Lumpkin are not Cherokees by blood, nor by adoption,
 and that they are not entitled to the rights and privileges
 of Cherokee Citizenship within the Cherokee Nation or
 that their claims therefor should be, and the same is
 hereby Rejected.

Thomas Leher, President
 D. M. Deane, Clerk
 Alex. Wolfe, Secy.
 J. J. Shober, Secy.

Case could be removed to the Commission but it is not done
 to await the case for many months.

C. N. Deane
 Clerk of Court

Commission on Citizenship
 Subsequent C. N. Jan 25th 1881

Case 76

Margaret Spradling
 + family
 v. s.
 Cherokee Nation

Petition for
 Citizenship

The above case submitted by the
 Plaintiff Jan 25th 1881
 The above case submitted by
 the Cherokee also Jan 27th 1881

The above claimant, Margaret Spradling
 has shown to the satisfaction of the Commission
 that she is a native born Cherokee but
 married a white man and lived in the
 State of Ark. and now asks to be readmitted
 to citizenship in the Cherokee Nation under
 provision of the constitution

The Commission therefore
 hereby readmit the said Margaret Spradling
 and her children, Frank, Ella, Dove and
 Olla Spradling, to all the rights and privileges
 of Cherokee citizenship by blood

Jan 31st 1881
 Rosch Young, President and
 William H. Amage, Secy.
 G. W. Magee, Secy.
 J. B. Magee, Clerk Commission

Commission on Citizenship
Tahlequah C.N. Jan 26th 1881

Case 77. Mary A. Cass
v.s.
Cherokee Nation

Petition for
Citizenship

The above case continued by the
Plaintiff until September term 1881

continued by the commission
Oct 5th 1881

Case continued by the Commission till September
February 3rd 1882

Discontinued for want of prosecution Feb. 15th 1882

Commission on Citizenship
Tahlequah C.N. Jan 26th 1881

Case 78. Alice Owen
v.s. Cherokee

Rolla Otway Owen
John Owen

William Owen
Charles Owen
Owen Owen
Alice Owen

v.s.
Cherokee Nation

Petition for
Citizenship

The above case submitted by
both parties -- Jan 27th 1881

The above parties claim to be Cherokee by blood.
The testimony shows that Alice Owen is a
native born Cherokee, a descendant of the noted
Christman family - and was educated and
partly raised in Virginia - Married there, and is
the mother of the children mentioned in petition
to wit Rolla Otway Owen, John Owen,
William Owen, Charles Owen, Owen Owen,
and Alice Owen

The Commission therefore
feel justified in making a decision
in favor of the above named claimants
admitting them to all the rights and privileges
of Cherokee citizenship by blood

Jan 31st 1881

Roach Young President com
William Hamage
G. H. Mays Clerk com

G. H. Mays
Clerk
Commission

Commission on Citizenship

Fahlgren C. N. Jan 21st 1881

Case 79.

C. A. Keys
 Martha J. Keys
 Charles J. Keys
 David R. Keys
 John A. Keys
 Betty J. Keys
 Cherokee Nation

Petition for
 citizenship

The above case submitted by the Plaintiff
 January 26th 1881
 Submitted by the Cherokee Nation also
 Jan 31st 1881

The testimony before the Commission
 proves to its satisfaction that the
 above claimant C. A. Keys is a
 descendant of the Key and Riley
 family of the Cherokee nation
 all of Cherokee by blood

The Commission hereby decides
 that the claimant C. A. Keys
 and children are Cherokee by blood
 and are therefore entitled to all
 the rights and privileges of Cherokee
 citizenship by blood

and his wife Martha J. Keys is entitled
 to all the rights of an adopted citizen of
 the Cherokee nation being a white woman

Jan 31st 1881

Roach Young President

William H. Hargreaves

G. W. Mays

Asst. Com.

J. B. Mays

Transcript furnished Sept. 27th 1883

Wm. E. Banks
 Clerk Com.
 Pro tem.

Commission on Citizenship

Fahlgren C. N. Jan 27th 1881

Case 80.

Francis A. Ward
 vs.
 Cherokee Nation

Petition for
 citizenship

The above case submitted by
 the Plaintiff January 27th 1881
 continued to the September term 1881 by
 the Cherokee Nation
 submitted by the Cherokee Nation
 for the action of the Commission
 Sept 15th 1881

The testimony before the Commission
 proves to their satisfaction that the
 claimant is a descendant of the noted
 White family who have long been known
 to be of Cherokee blood

The Commission on Citizenship
 therefore decide that the claimant is a
 Cherokee and hereby admit the said
 Francis A. Ward to all the rights and
 privileges of Cherokee citizenship by blood
 Roach Young President
 William H. Hargreaves
 G. W. Mays } Asst. Com.

J. B. Mays

Asst. Com.

Sept 15th 1881

Commission on Citizenship
Fulbequah C N Jan 27th 1881

Case 81

W L Can
Mary V Can
v s
Charlotte Nation } Petition for
Citizenship

The above case submitted by both
parties after hearing the testimony
Jan 31st 1881

The above named Mary V Can
is a native born Charlotte daughter
of G B Hamage an acknowledged
Christian by blood this fact is established
by ample proof

The Commission
therefore admit the said Mary V
Can to all the rights ^{and} privileges of
Charlotte Citizenship by blood

The claimant W L Can
is a white man citizen of the United
States and his admission into the
Charlotte Nation as a citizen
must be under the marriage law
regulating intermarriage between
citizens of the Charlotte Nation and
citizens of the United States
His citizenship must therefore be acquired
in part by his own action
amply complying with the requirements
of said law

Jan 31st 1881

Roach Young President
William Hamage } asst com
G W Mays

A B Mays
Clk Comm'ee

Commission on Citizenship
Fulbequah C N Jan 27th 1881

Case 82

G B Hamage
Emily W Hamage
v s
Charlotte Nation } Petition for
Citizenship

The above case submitted by
both parties after taking the
testimony Jan 31st 1881

The testimony shows to the satisfaction
of the Commission that the above named
claimant G B Hamage and Emily W Hamage
are native born Charlotte but have resided
in the state of Texas for a number of
years becoming a citizen of that state
but now desire to return to their native
country

The Commission therefore admit
them to all the rights ^{and} privileges and
franchise of Charlotte citizenship by blood
Jan 31st 1881

Roach Young President
William Hamage } asst com
G W Mays

A B Mays
Clk Comm'ee

Transcript finished this Sept 29/84

C. C. H. C. C.
Commissioner
Citizenship

Commission on Citizenship

Subsequent C. N. Jan 27th 1881

Case 85

Mollie Pleasant v s. Cherokee Nation } Petition for citizenship

The above case submitted by Plaintiff Jan 27th 1881. The above case continued by the Cherokee Nation to September term 1881.

Submitted by the Cherokee Nation 25 Sept 1881

The claimant came before the Commission under a proclamation of the Principal Chief dated 27 July 1880 as a sought citizen

The Commission in the opinion find the claimant is of Cherokee blood being a daughter Ki-ya-nu Pleasant a full blood Cherokee

The Commission hereby admit said Mollie Pleasant to all the rights and privileges of Cherokee citizenship by blood. Roach Young President, Commission. J. B. Mays Secy

J B Mays Secy

Commission on Citizenship

Subsequent C. N. Jan 27th 1881

Case 84

Sarah Mayfield v William H. Hamage W. H. Still S. J. C. Murphy R. S. Nych John H. Nych Betty Ora Nych Sellar Susan Nych Cherokee Nation

Petition for citizenship

The above case submitted by both Parties Feb 27th 1881

The testimony in the above case shows that the above named claimants Sarah Mayfield, William - in common with Still Susan born Murphy R. S. Nych, John H. Nych, Betty Ora Nych and Sellar Susan Nych are Cherokee by blood

The Commission therefore hereby admit the said claimants to all the rights, privileges and franchises of Cherokee citizenship by blood

Roach Young Pres. Deed J. B. Mays Secy

J B Mays Secy

Commission on Citizenship

Subjugal C 11 Jan 24th 1881

Case 55 Subina Beason }
 15 s. Cherokee Nation } Petition for Citizenship

The above case submitted by both parties after hearing the testimony Jan 31st 1881

The testimony of William Harnage shows that the Petitioner is his niece and a Cherokee by blood

The Commission therefore finds issue this then deems advisable admitting the said Subina Beason to all the rights, privileges and franchises of another citizen of Cherokee by blood

Roach Young President
 Jan 31st 1881 William Harnage
 G. W. Mayes (asst. com)
 J. B. Mayes
 Clerk Commission

Commission on Citizenship

Subjugal C 11 Jan 28th 1881

Case 56 Nancy Harnage }
 15 s. Cherokee Nation } Petition for citizenship

The above case submitted by both parties after hearing the testimony Jan 31st 1881.

The testimony of reliable witnesses show to the satisfaction of the Commission that the claimant Nancy Harnage is a native born Cherokee by blood but has lived in the state of Texas for a number of years and now wishes to return to the Cherokee and live among her people

The Commission therefore admits the above named claimant to all the rights, privileges and franchises of Cherokee citizen by blood

Jan 31st 1881
 Roach Young President
 William Harnage }
 G. W. Mayes (asst. com)
 J. B. Mayes
 Clerk Commission

Comparison on Citizenship

Feb 2nd 1881

Case 47. Victoria vs. Chaudhri

Petition for Citizenship

The above case submitted by both parties Jan 2nd 1881

The testimony of judge J. J. Blair and from the judge that the above named applicant is a Cherokee by blood.

Therefore it is ordered by the Commission on Citizenship that the said Victoria following be admitted to all the rights, privileges and immunities of a Cherokee by blood.

Wm. Young, President Commission
Wm. K. Rogers, Member
J. H. Rogers, "

H. A. Reese, Clerk Petition

Comparison on Citizenship

Feb 2nd 1881

Feb 5th 1881

Case 58 - John D. Brown

vs. Cherokee Nation

Petition for Citizenship

Submitted by the Plaintiff Feb 4th 1881. Continued by the Cherokee Nation to September term 1881.

Continued by the attorney for the Nation on account of intemp.

Oct 3rd 1881

Case continued by Commission till 3rd Dec. 3rd 1881. Case submitted by the Plaintiff September 5th 1881.

and now on the 5th of September 1881 this case came on for final hearing and all the evidence, testimony being duly received it is ordered by the Commission on Citizenship that the above named John D. Brown is not a Cherokee by blood, as Commission heretofore says that he is not entitled to the rights of Cherokee citizenship within the Cherokee Nation and that his said name should be and the same is hereby rejected.

Wm. Young, President Commission
Wm. K. Rogers, Member
J. H. Rogers, "

Clerk of Commission
D. M. Brown
Clerk of Commission

Commission on Citizenship

Sublyquid Re. L. 4th 1881

Case 89 Charlotte Vickery
Lida Paget
Emer Paget
Mary Paget
P.S.
Cherokee Nation

Relatives for
Citizenship

Continued by the commission on account
of the testimony not being closed Oct 5th 1881
Case continued by Commission till 11th Dec. 3rd 1882
Submitted by Claimants Sept 18th 1882
Submitted by the Solicitor Sept 18th 1882

And now on this the 18th day of October 1882, the
case remaining for final hearing, and the hearing
not being closed on the case by either side, it is
ordered by the court that said case be and shall be
in full and complete without further adjournment.
J. F. Thompson, U.S. District Court
Cherokee Nation, Georgia

And now on this the 18th day of Oct. 1882 on motion of the
Claimant the absence is reinstated on the docket and final
trial.

Case submitted by claimant Feb. 14th 1883
Case submitted by solicitor Feb. 17th 1883

And now on this the 20th day of
September A.D. 1883 this case coming on
for final hearing and all the evidence
produced in the case on both sides being carefully
read and duly considered by the Commission
on Citizenship, it was adjudged by said
Commission that the above named Claimants
Charlotte Vickery, Lida Paget, Emer Paget and
Mary Paget, are Cherokees by blood and
that they are fully entitled to all the rights
& privileges of Cherokee Citizenship in the Chero-
kee Nation, and that they should be, and are
herby, admitted to the full and complete en-
joyment of the same in all respects as
natives born Cherokees.

Alex. Wolfe } Commis-
J. F. Thompson } sioners

Wm. C. Banker }
Clerk Com. }
Pro Tem. } Transcript furnished
September 26th 1883.

Commission on Citizenship

Sublyquid Re. L. 4th 1881.

Case 90 Ben Cobb
Newell Cobb
P.S.
Cherokee Nation

Relatives for
Citizenship

Continued by the commission on
account of the testimony not being
submitted by the court of Oct 3rd 1881

January 11th 1882

Claimant Ben Cobb now appears before the Commission
and claiming to act for his brother, who have to withdraw
their application for Cherokee citizenship, where upon leave
request was granted, and said application was withdrawn.

J. F. Thompson
Clerk.

Wm. C. Banker }
Alex. Wolfe }
J. F. Thompson } Commissioners

Commission Cityship Council
 at Saksport 6. N. September 1st 1881
 in accordance with law
 Roach Young, President
 G W Mays, } asst.
 William H. H. H. }

J B Mays Clerk

Office Commission on
 Corbett et al. Sept 5th 1881
 Washington C. D.

No 91

Alvander G Sloan ✓
 Louisa J Sloan ✓
 Thos A Sloan ✓
 Elmore J Sloan ✓
 Joseph S Sloan ✓
 Hattie J Sloan ✓
 Rott J Sloan ✓
 Ollie C Sloan ✓

Petition for
 Citizenship

v s
 Cherokee nation

The Plaintiff introduced the testimony of
 5 W. W. Corbett et al - and submitted it
 to the court for decision
 submitted also by the Cherokee nation Sept 7th 1881.

The testimony before the commission shows
 that Alv. G. Sloan is a native born
 Cherokee but moved to the state of Texas
 when a small boy and has remained there
 ever since.

The commission therefore hereby readmits
 the said Alv. G. Sloan to all the rights and
 privileges of Cherokee citizenship by blood.

The Commission also admit
 to all the rights and franchises of Cherokee citizenship
 the following named persons as the family of the
 said Alv. G. Sloan To wit - Louisa J Sloan,
 Thos A Sloan, Elmore J Sloan, Joseph S Sloan,
 Hattie J Sloan, Rott J Sloan and Ollie C Sloan

Roach Young President com
 William Hamner
 G. W. Maye Clerk com

J. B. Mayes
 Clerk com

Sept 15th 1881

Office Commission
on Citizenship
Fahlgual C.N.
Sept. 10th 1881

case No 92 A. H. Tinkley
v s.
Cherokee Nation

Before the
commission
asking that the
same will be
corrected
in regard to
his citizenship

The Plaintiff submitted the above case
on a decision of the supreme court
which he claims awarded him
to citizenship

Continued by the attorney of the nation
on account of mistake Oct 5th 1881
January 15th 1882.

The above case dismissed from further hearing on the
ground that said case has been already adjudicated
and decided in favor of claimant as appears from
the following showing:

Thos. Jones, President

Geo. H. Wolf }
Commissioners

Case of Cherokee Nation v J. H. Thompson

A. H. Tinkley } Taken up and from the evidence
claiming Cherokee blood used as if they are
citizens by blood. I pronounced of the validity of the
claim and made the following decision to wit: That
the claimant of A. H. Tinkley is a Cherokee by blood which
entitles him to all the rights and privileges as such
dated April 7th 1881

Truly, verily that the above decision is a true copy
of the original on file in this office.

Such under my hand and seal of office of Jan 27th 1882

Wm. B. Jones
Clerk of Supreme Court
C. N.

Office Commission on
Citizenship Fahlgual C.N.
September 17th 1881

Case 93. A. C. Kennison
Mary Kennison
Ida Kennison
Ada Kennison
Omer E. Kennison
v s.
Cherokee Nation

Before the commission
on citizenship under a
proclamation of the
Principal Chief date
August 24th 1881

The above case submitted by Plaintiff

September 17th 1881

Submitted also by the Cherokee Nation
September 17th 1881

The claimant comes before the Commission
under the proclamation of the principal Chief
as doubtful citizen

Then is before the commission an act
of the National Council admitting the said A. C. Kennison
and family to citizenship - The Commission recognize the
act as valid, and that their rights to Cherokee
citizenship is undoubted

The claimant are therefore
entitled to all the rights and privilege of Cherokee
citizenship by blood

Roseb Young, President arrived from
William Homoy } as T. Jones
by 11 days

A. B. Mayes
Clerk com

