

Office Commission 1890

Citizenship, Fairleigh

6th September 1890

Thos. H. Cairns
v. S.
Charles Nation

Petition for
readmission to
citizenship

submitted by both parties September 25th 1890

The testimony before the commission shows the claimant to be a native born Cherokee and that he never did forfeit his right to citizenship as provided by the constitution.

It is proven to the satisfaction of the commission that the claimant is of Cherokee blood being a grandson of Mamm Haden a well known Cherokee by blood.

The commission ^{thereby} decides that the claimant is entitled to all the rights and privileges of Cherokee citizenship by blood.

Roach Young President Com
William H. Hodge
G. H. May (as) com

43 May 1891
Chas. Com

Office Commission 1890

Citizenship, Fairleigh C. R.

September 19th 1891

No 68

Mary Martin
Richard Martin
Daniel Martin
Easter Martin
Thos Martin
v. S.
Charles Nation

Petition for
admission to citizenship

W. H. Taylor
Att. for Claimant.

continued by the plaintiff on account
of illness. Oct 3rd 1891

Continued by claimant till Sept. 1892
Submitted by the claimants Sept. 1st 1892
Submitted by the locator Sept. 15th 1892

And now on this 25th day of September 1892, this case coming on for final hearing and all the evidence in the case on both sides being completely read and duly considered by the Commission, it is adjudged and determined by the Commission on citizenship that the claimant, Thos. Martin, Richard Martin, Daniel Martin, Easter Martin, and Thomas Martin, are Cherokee by blood and that they are entitled to all the rights and privileges of Cherokee citizenship with, in the Cherokee Nation, and that they should be and are hereby admitted to the full and complete enjoyment of the same in all respects as natives born Cherokee.

Thos. Selby Pres. of Commission
Alex. Hodge
J. H. Hodge

W. H. Hodge
Clerk of Commission

Manuscript granted Claimants October 25th 1892
J. H. Hodge
Clerk of Commission

Office Commission on
Citizenship. Tahlequah
C.R. September 25th 1881

No. 96.

D. F. Ayers } Before the commission
Cherokee Nation } on citizenship under
a proclamation of the
General Agent - as doubtful citizen

Submitted by the Plaintiff
September 20th 1881

Continued on account of a brief notice
by the Cherokee Nation Oct 5th 1881

Submitted by the Cherokee Nation January 28th 1882

And now on this the 28th day of January A.D. 1882
coming on for final hearing and all the testimony
produced in the case by both sides having been read
and duly considered. It was adjudged by the Com-
mission on citizenship that the above named claimant,
to wit: D. F. Ayers, is entitled to all the rights
and privileges of Cherokee citizenship by virtue of
his marriage with the said Virginia Ayers, as
alleged in the petition, because there is competent
and sufficient evidence produced to prove that said
Virginia Ayers is a Cherokee by blood and otherwise
entitled to the rights and privileges of a Cherokee citizen
within the Cherokee Nation, and that claimant's claim
for citizenship should therefore be, and the same
is hereby allowed, and that he should because he is hereby al-
lowed as a citizen of the Cherokee Nation. Thos. L. Linn Pres. of Com.

Mr. Wolfe
J. F. Thompson
J. F. Thompson
J. F. Thompson

D. W. C. Deman
Clerk.

Copy issued to claimant in pursuance of Law.
January 28th 1882
D. W. C. Deman
Clerk.

Office Commission on
Citizenship. Tahlequah C.R.
September 21st 1881

No. 97.

Isaiah Cheney } Before the Commission on
v. S. } citizenship under Proclamation of
Cherokee Nation } the General Agent Aug 24th 1881
(as a doubtful citizen)

The above case submitted by
the Plaintiff Sept 21st 1881

Continued by the Cherokee Nation
on account of notice Oct 3rd 1881

And now on this the 28th day of January A.D. 1882
coming on for hearing and all the testi-
mony produced in the case by both sides having
been read and duly considered. The Commission
on citizenship holds that the above named Claimant,
Isaiah Cheney, is entitled by his testimony
with a Cherokee woman who is a sister of the
claimant's father to all the rights and privileges
of an adopted citizen within the Cherokee Nation.
D. W. C. Deman. Thos. L. Linn Pres. of Com.
Clerk. Mr. Wolfe Commissioner.

Certificate of citizenship issued to claimant as
provided by Law. D. W. C. Deman
Clerk

Office Commission on
Citizenship, Tuleagueah.
CN September 22 1881

711 102

Jack Jones
Mary Susan Jones
Allen P Jones
Sarah Jane Jones
Cristina Jones
Albert C Jones
Cherokee Nation

Petition for
Citizenship

to H. Taylor
Att'y for Claimant

The above case submitted and testimony
closed on part of the Plaintiff Oct 2nd 1881

Continued by the Cherokee Nation
Oct 5th 1881

The above case continued by the Cherokee Nation until Sept 1882
Continued by Cherokee Nation till January Jan 1883

Continued till Sept 1883
Case submitted by the Solicitor Sept 7th 1883
Case submitted by the Solicitor Sept 21st 1883

And now on this the 27th day of September A.D. 1883
this case coming on for final hearing and all the evidence
produced in the case being carefully read & duly con-
sidered it was decided by the Commission on Citiz-
enship that the above named Claimants Jack Jones,
Mary Susan Jones, Allen P Jones, Sarah Jane Jones, Cristina
Jones & Albert C. Jones are Cherokees by blood and that
they are justly entitled to all the rights & privileges
of Cherokee Citizenship within the Cherokee Nation and
that they should be, and they are hereby, admitted to
the full and complete enjoyment of the same in all res-
pects as native born Cherokees.

Wm. C. Lusk
Chk. Comm.
Pro tem.

Thos. Seher, Priest
T. F. Thompson } Commis-
Abel Wolfe } sioners

Transcript furnished Sept. 27th 1883

Office Commission on
Citizenship, Tuleagueah CN
September 22 1881

to H. Taylor
Att'y for Claimant

to H. Taylor
Att'y for Claimant

720 105

Emiline J Smith
James A Smith
Dedrie J Smith
John E Smith
Landon C Smith
Cassathea Smith
William Garfield Smith
v. s.

Petition for
Citizenship

Cherokee Nation

The above case submitted by the Plaintiff
Oct 1st 1881

Continued by the Cherokee Nation
Oct 5th 1881

The above case continued by the Cherokee Nation until Sept 1882
Submitted by the Plaintiff to the Solicitor Sept 22 1882

Continued to this case Sept 1883 by Commission
Continued till Sept 1883
Case submitted by the Solicitor Sept 7th 1883
Case submitted by the Solicitor Sept 21st 1883

And now on this the 27th day of September
of 1883 this case coming on for final hearing and all
the testimony in the case on both sides being carefully
read and duly considered it was decided
by the Commission on Citizenship that the above
named Claimants Emiline J. Smith, James A. Smith,
Dedrie J. Smith, John E. Smith, Landon C. Smith, Cas-
sathia Smith & Wm. Garfield Smith are not Cherokees
by blood & are not entitled to Citizenship in the
Cherokee Nation

Wm. C. Lusk
Chk. Comm.
Pro tem.

Thos. Seher, Priest
Abel Wolfe } Commis-
T. F. Thompson } sioners

Office Commission on
Citizenship Tables of
September 22nd 1881

C. D. Lusk, Atty. Gen.

No 104

Rocky Austin
Cedney Austin
Gulian Austin
v. s.

Cherokee Nation

Petition for
Citizenship

The above case submitted by
the Plaintiff September 22nd 1881

Continued Oct. 3rd 1881 by the Cherokee Nation
until the next meeting of the Commission
Continued by the Commission till Feb. 3rd 1882
submitted by the Cherokee Nation October 29th 1882

And now on this the 27th day of September A.D. 1882,
this case coming on for final hearing and all the issues
in the case being read and duly considered by the
Commissioners, they do adjudge and determine by the
Commissioners on Citizenship that the above named
claimants, Rocky Austin, Cedney Austin and Gulian
Austin are Cherokee Indians and that they are entitled
to all the rights and privileges of Cherokee Indians
within the Cherokee Nation and that they should be,
and are hereby admitted to the full and complete en-
joyment of the same right as to Cherokee Indians in all
aspects as native born Cherokees.

Spas. John, Pres. of Commission
Wm. J. Atke, Sec. of Commission
J. H. Thompson

D. M. Duncan
Clock of Court

Transcript furnished Claimant Oct. 27th 1882.
D. M. Duncan
Clock of Commission

Office Commission on
Citizenship Tables of
September 22nd 1881

No 105

Isaac H. Mayfield
Mary M. Mayfield
Lela Magnolia Mayfield
Joseph M. Mayfield
Isaac H. Mayfield Jr
Rebecca Mayfield
v. s.

Cherokee Nation

Petition for
Citizenship

The above case submitted by the Plaintiff
Sept 25th 1881

Continued by the Cherokee Nation
Oct. 5th 1881

January 13th 1882

And now on this the 13th day of January A.D. 1882,
this case coming on for final and all the issues
in the case being read and duly considered, it
is adjudged that the claimants, to wit Isaac H.
Mayfield, Mary M. Mayfield, Lela Magnolia
Mayfield, Joseph M. Mayfield, Isaac H. Mayfield
Jr, Rebecca Mayfield, are entitled to and are
hereby admitted to the full and perfect enjoy-
ment of all the rights and privileges of Cherokee
Citizenship within the Cherokee Nation in all
aspects as native born Cherokees.

Spas. John, President
Wm. J. Atke, Sec. of Commission
J. H. Thompson

D. M. Duncan
Clock

Copy of decision issued to claimants as provided
by law
D. M. Duncan
Clock

Office Commissioner
Citizenship, Tallahassee, Fla.
September 25th 1881

Alison F. McCalister
v. S.
Cherokee Nation } Relation for
Citizenship

Submitted by Plaintiff Oct 1st 1881

Continued by the Cherokee Nation until
next term to Oct 3rd 1881

Continued by Commission Bill of the Law Feb. 3rd 1882
Further that by the Plaintiff Oct. 25th 1882

And now on this the 16th day of October A.D.
1882 this case coming on for final hearing and all the
evidence produced in the case having been carefully
read and duly considered, and Claimant having
admitted that he is a full-blooded Cherokee and
that he is entitled to all the rights and
privileges of Cherokee Citizens within the
Cherokee Nation and that he should be, and is
entitled to the full and complete enjoyment
of the same on all respects as native born
Cherokee.

Sp. Sec. Sec. of Commission
H. C. Wolfe }
D. H. C. Duncanson }
Commissioners

D. H. C. Duncanson
Clerk of Commission

Transmitted to the Commission Oct. 25th 1882

D. H. C. Duncanson

Clerk of Commission

Office Commissioner
Citizenship, Tallahassee, Fla.
October 25th 1881

Charles Morris
v. S.
Cherokee Nation } Before the Commission
under a proclamation of the
Principal Chief. 24 August 1881

Continued by the Cherokee Nation
Oct 3rd 1881

February 1st 1882

And on this the 1st day of February A.D. 1882 this
case coming on for final hearing, and all the evi-
dence produced in the case having been carefully
read and duly considered, and Claimant having
admitted for his rights to Cherokee citizenship upon his
marriage with a woman by the name of Alice
Johnson, and Claimant having failed to establish
the fact that said Alice Johnson was a Cherokee by
blood or otherwise entitled to the rights and privileges
of Cherokee citizenship within the Cherokee Nation.
Therefore it was adjudged by the Commission on
Citizenship that the claim of said Charles Morris
for Cherokee citizenship was not sustained by the
proof, and that the same should be, and
is, heily rejected.

D. H. C. Duncanson
Clerk

Sp. Sec. Sec. of Com.
H. C. Wolfe }
J. T. Thompson } Commis-
sioners

Copy issued in accordance with the requirements
of law.
D. H. C. Duncanson
Clerk

Office Commission
Citizenship, Falmouth, C. N.
September 24th 1881

No 108

Robt. Dawson
Wm. Dawson
Joseph Dawson
John Dawson
Joseph Dawson
Joseph Dawson
Jane Dawson
Molly Dawson
Wilhelm Dawson
James Dawson
Paul Dawson
Cherokee Nation

Petition for citizenship

Continued by the Plaintiff

Oct 3rd 1881

The above case continued into Cherokee Nation vs. the Comm.
ordered by the parties that the above case shall not be
taken up for a decision before the 1st day of October
October 1st 1882

It is agreed by the parties that this shall be finally
reported at the next term of the Commission and if
sufficient reasons are shown, if not, the case shall
be discontinued to the 1st of October term 1883.

On this agreement the Commission continue in the case
till the 1st of January term to act from any 1883.

And now on this 1st day of January A. D. 1883 this case coming
on for trial having had all the evidence produced in the case being
carefully read and duly considered by the Commission, it was
adjudged and determined by the Commission on Citizenship that
the claimants, Robert Dawson, J. M. Dawson, Elbert Dawson, Joseph
Dawson, John Dawson, Josephine Dawson, Joseph Dawson, James Dawson,
Molly Dawson, Wilhelm Dawson, James Dawson and Paul Dawson, are
Cherokees by blood, and that they are entitled to all the rights
and privileges of Cherokee citizenship within the Cherokee Nation,
and that they should be, and they are, hereby admitted to the full
and complete enjoyment of the same in all respects as native
born Cherokees.

D. W. C. Dawson
Clerk of Commission

Thos. L. Lee Pres. of Com.
H. W. Wolfe 3 Commis-
J. F. Thompson 3 do

Manuscript received to the Commission January 11th 1883
D. W. C. Dawson
Clerk

Office Commission
Citizenship, Falmouth, C. N.
September 24th 1881

No 109

Goose Baldridge
vs.
Cherokee Nation

Petition for citizenship

continued by Plaintiff

Oct 3rd 1881

Continued by the Commission till 1st of Jan. term Feb. 3rd 1882

Submitted by the Plaintiff September 26th 1882
Submitted by the Plaintiff October 26th 1882

And now on this 1st day of October A. D. 1882 this
case coming on for trial having had all the evidence
in the case produced in both cases being carefully
read and duly considered by the Commission, and
said Commission being a record of a case was
held as a slave within the State by one person or
persons who was a white person's person, not
a citizen, and one of the Cherokee Nation at the
time of the commencement of the late war of the
Rebellion, and said person was not born of that
class of freedmen who were allowed citizenship
within the Cherokee Nation, and Commission that
they should determine to the Cherokee Nation
within six months from the 1st day of July
1st 1886, it was adjudged and determined by
the Commission on Citizenship that he is not
entitled to the rights and privileges of Cherokee
Citizenship within the Cherokee Nation and that his
claim therefore should be and the same is hereby
rejected.

Thos. L. Lee Pres. of Commission
H. W. Wolfe 3 Commis-
J. F. Thompson 3 do

D. W. C. Dawson
Clerk of Com.

Manuscript received to the Commission September 26th 1882

D. W. C. Dawson
Clerk of Commission

Office Commission on
Chigunashik Tashigashik
C.N. September 24th 1881

No 110

Pose Gibson
Amarilla Gibson
v.s.
Chusku nation

Petition for Citizenship

Submitted by Plaintiff Oct 1st 1881Continued by the Chusku nation
Oct 5, 1881Continued by Commission till Sept. Term, Feb 3rd 1882
Submitted by the Plaintiff September 20th 1882

And now on this the 25th day of September A.D. 1882 this case coming on for final hearing we see the evidence produced in the case on both sides being carefully read and duly considered by the Commission it was adjudged by the Commission on Chigunashik that the claimants Pose Gibson and Amarilla Gibson are not objects by bill of attainder that said claimants being persons who were free and unincumbered at the time of the commencement of the war of rebellion, and who being absent from the limits of said nation at the outbreak of the war of 1861, failed to return without within six months of said the 1st day of July 1866, are not entitled to the rights and privileges of Chusku citizenship within the limits of said nation, and that their claim therefor should be, and the same is hereby rejected.

J. S. John Secy of Commission
J. S. John Commissioner
J. S. Thompson, Commission, Recording
D. P. Duncan
Clerk of Court

Lawyer furnished documents Sept. 20th 1882
D. P. Duncan
Clerk of Commission

Office Commission on
Chigunashik Tashigashik
C.N. September 24th 1881

No 111

Callie Jones
v.s.
Chusku nation

Before the commission
under the proclamation
of the former chief
as a doubtful citizen
dated August 24th 1881

The case continued by Plaintiff
The case continued on account of a marriage license
which is important in the case and that he came
here before the commission until it was adjourned
Sept. 24th 1881

Case continued by the Commission till Sept. Term
Feb. 3rd 1882

Dismissed for want of present a bill. 18th 1882

Office Commission on
Citizenship, Takliquot
C.N. September 26th 1881

No 112

Mary Stora
Elija Stora
Sam. Stora
Rory Stora
Lydia Stora
Angelina Stora
Mary Stora
v.s.
Choctaw Nation

Petition for
Citizenship

J. M. Brown
Att. for Claimant

continued by The Plaintiff

Oct 5th 1881

Continued by Commission till 11th Dec. Feb. 8th 1882
Submitted to the Commission 11th Dec. 20th 1882
Submitted by the Plaintiff Sept. 25th 1882

And now on the 25th day of September A.D. 1882
the said commission do find hearing and all
the evidence in this case being read and duly con-
sidered by the Commission and after a full and
deliberate study of the same and after consulting
the Commission being called for and having
failed to return a decision within six
months from the 11th of Feb. 1882 as provided
by the Act of 1866 are not satisfied as to the rights and
privileges of Choctaw Citizenship within the Choctaw
Nation and that their decision should be
and the same is hereby rejected, said Claimants
being the above named Mary Stora, Elija Stora, Sam.
Stora, Rory Stora, Lydia Stora, Angelina Stora
and Mary Stora.

That the said Commission
Has taken Commission

J. M. Brown on Commission, Plaintiff.

J. M. Brown

Clark of Court B

Office Commission on
Citizenship, Takliquot
C.N. September 26th 1881

No 113

Steve Lorry
Peggy Lorry
v.s. Lorry
Chany Lorry
v.s.
Choctaw Nation

Petition for
Citizenship

continued by Plaintiff Oct 5th 1881

Submitted 2nd 1882

Case continued by Commission till 11th Dec.

Dismissed for want of prosecution 11th Dec. 1882

Office Commission on

Citizenship Fehlegnah
C.N. September 25th 1881

John Swan
v.s.
Cherokee Nation

Before the commission under
a proclamation of the Pomeroy
Chief dated August 24th 1881
as a rightful citizen

Submitted by Plaintiff Oct 1st 1881

continued by the Cherokee Nation
Oct 5 1881

January 15th 1882
This case coming on for hearing at the following
proceedings in this case having been heard and only
one of the parties appearing before the Commission
on the 15th day of January 1882 the Commission
has been unable to find that the plaintiff
is entitled to the full and perfect enjoyment of the
rights and privileges of Cherokee citizenship
within the Cherokee Nation as a Cherokee.

Thos. L. Pomeroy

W. H. Pomeroy
Clerk

Thos. L. Pomeroy
W. H. Pomeroy
Thos. L. Pomeroy

Expressed no opinion as to the claimant.
January 15th 1882
W. H. Pomeroy
Clerk

Office Commission on

Citizenship Fehlegnah
C.N. September 30th 1881

No. 125

Sarah Gibson
J. A. Gibson
Gasper Gibson
W. M. Gibson
Lela Gibson
v.s.
Cherokee Nation

Petition for Citizenship

continued by Plaintiff Oct 3rd 1881

January 30th 1882

The above case continued till the next term on
motion of the claimant.

It is ordered by the court that the next the following
morning on next hearing the being January 31st 1882.

And now on the 30th day of January 1882.
This case coming on for hearing and it appearing
that no evidence has been presented to support the
claimant's claim as to her petition and her
having failed to appear and present her case
and that the court is unable to dismiss from
the case.

The Case of Citizenship

William Little, husband of Theresa Little, Cherokee Nation, vs. the Cherokee Nation, for citizenship, heard on the 30th day of January 1852.

January 30th 1852.

And now on this the 30th day of January A.D. 1852, this case coming on for final hearing, since all the evidence produced in said case being scrupulously and duly considered, and there being no evidence produced in the case showing that the claimant William Little being a white man, however connected with the requirements of Chapter 12 Article 15 of the Constitution Laws of the Cherokee Nation, in reference to intermarriage between citizens of the Cherokee Nation and citizens of the United States, it was adjudged by the Commission on Citizenship that the said claimants to wit: Theresa Little Frank Little Mary Little Joseph Little William Little and Rachel Little are Cherokees by blood, and that they are entitled to all the rights and privileges of Cherokee citizenship within the Cherokee Nation, and that they should be and they are hereby admitted to the full and perfect enjoyment of the same in all respects as completely as native born Cherokees; and it is further adjudged that the claim of William Little Sen. husband of Theresa Little, should be and the same is hereby rejected.

D. H. C. Danner Clerk
Thos. L. L. Danner Sec. of Com.
Alex. Wolfe Sec. of Com.
J. F. Thompson Sec. of Com.

*Office of Commission on Citizenship
Cherokee Nation, Indian Territory, Jan. 31st 1852*

No. 117

W. L. Hood, husband

of Mrs. Hood

vs. the Cherokee Nation

for citizenship

heard on the 30th day of January 1852

and the evidence produced

being duly considered

and there being no evidence

showing that the claimant

William Little being a white man

however connected with the

requirements of Chapter 12

Article 15 of the Constitution

Laws of the Cherokee Nation

in reference to intermarriage

between citizens of the Cherokee

Nation and citizens of the United

States, it was adjudged by the

Commission on Citizenship that

the said claimants to wit: Theresa

Little Frank Little Mary Little

Joseph Little William Little and

Rachel Little are Cherokees by

blood, and that they are entitled

to all the rights and privileges

of Cherokee citizenship within the

Cherokee Nation, and that they

should be and they are hereby

admitted to the full and perfect

enjoyment of the same in all

respects as completely as native

born Cherokees; and it is further

adjudged that the claim of

William Little Sen. husband of

Theresa Little, should be and the

same is hereby rejected.

Thos. L. L. Danner

Secy of Commission

Wm. L. Hood

Plaintiff

Security

of the Cherokee Nation

for citizenship

heard on the 30th day of January 1852

and the evidence produced

being duly considered

and there being no evidence

showing that the claimant

William Little being a white man

however connected with the

requirements of Chapter 12

Article 15 of the Constitution

Laws of the Cherokee Nation

in reference to intermarriage

between citizens of the Cherokee

Nation and citizens of the United

States, it was adjudged by the

Commission on Citizenship that

the said claimants to wit: Theresa

Little Frank Little Mary Little

Joseph Little William Little and

Rachel Little are Cherokees by

blood, and that they are entitled

to all the rights and privileges

of Cherokee citizenship within the

Cherokee Nation, and that they

should be and they are hereby

admitted to the full and perfect

enjoyment of the same in all

respects as completely as native

born Cherokees; and it is further

adjudged that the claim of

William Little Sen. husband of

Theresa Little, should be and the

same is hereby rejected.

Thos. L. L. Danner Sec. of Commission

Wm. L. Hood Plaintiff

vs. the Cherokee Nation

for citizenship

heard on the 30th day of January 1852

and the evidence produced

being duly considered

and there being no evidence

showing that the claimant

William Little being a white man

however connected with the

requirements of Chapter 12

Article 15 of the Constitution

Laws of the Cherokee Nation

in reference to intermarriage

between citizens of the Cherokee

Nation and citizens of the United

States, it was adjudged by the

Commission on Citizenship that

the said claimants to wit: Theresa

Little Frank Little Mary Little

Joseph Little William Little and

Rachel Little are Cherokees by

blood, and that they are entitled

to all the rights and privileges

of Cherokee citizenship within the

Cherokee Nation, and that they

should be and they are hereby

admitted to the full and perfect

enjoyment of the same in all

respects as completely as native

born Cherokees; and it is further

adjudged that the claim of

William Little Sen. husband of

Theresa Little, should be and the

same is hereby rejected.

*The Commission,
Page 122 to 202
inc. 17 from Jan. 1852
to Sept. 1852 and.*

Office of Commission on Citizenship

January 9th 1882

Sarah E. McDonald

Wm. E. McDonald
 Mary E. McDonald
 Mary E. McDonald
 Mary E. McDonald

Christina
 b. 1840
 b. 1840
 b. 1840
 b. 1840

Cherokee Nation

And now on this the 11th day of January A.D. 1882
 this case coming on for hearing and having heard
 and considered all the testimony presented it was
 advised by the Commission on Citizenship that the
 above named claimants to wit, Sarah E. McDonald,
 Wm. E. McDonald, Mary E. McDonald, and Mary E. McDonald
 are entitled to and that they are hereby admitted
 to the full and perfect enjoyment of all the rights
 and privileges of Cherokee citizenship within the
 Cherokee Nation as Cherokees.

D. H. C. Duncan
 Clerk.

Thos. Leher Sec. and
 Wm. F. Holfer Commissioners
 J. F. Thompson

Certificates delivered to claimants.
 January 13th 1882 D. H. C. Duncan
 Clerk of Commission

Office of Commission on Citizenship

January 9th 1882

No 117

George F. McDonald

Sarah E. McDonald

Wm. E. McDonald

Mary E. McDonald

Mary E. McDonald

Mary E. McDonald

Cherokee Nation

b. 1840
 b. 1840
 b. 1840
 b. 1840

and now on the 11th day of January A.D. 1882
 this case coming on for hearing and having heard
 and considered all the testimony presented in the case
 it was advised by the Commission on Citizenship that
 the above named claimants to wit, George F. McDonald,
 Sarah E. McDonald, Wm. E. McDonald, Mary E. McDonald,
 Mary E. McDonald, and Mary E. McDonald
 are entitled to and that they are hereby admitted
 to the full and perfect enjoyment of all the rights and
 privileges of Cherokee citizenship within the Cherokee
 Nation as Cherokees.

D. H. C. Duncan
 Clerk.

Thos. Leher Sec. and
 Wm. F. Holfer Commissioners
 J. F. Thompson

Certificates delivered to claimants.
 January 13th 1882 D. H. C. Duncan
 Clerk of Commission

Office of Commission of CitizenshipJanuary 7th 1882

No. 120 James M. Smith, (husband)

Mary E. Smith, (wife)

J. E. Smith

J. E. Smith

J. E. Smith

Cherokee Nation

Cherokee

Cherokee

Cherokee

Cherokee Nation

In now on this the 11th day of January A.D. 1882
the above case coming on for hearing and after hearing
and considering all the evidence in the case, it was
considered and adjudged by the Commission on Citi-
zenship that said Claimants.

September 28th 1882Continued by Claimant till the 1st of January 1883.Motion for Continuance filed by Claimant Jan. 6th 1883.Continued by the Claimant till the 1st of January 1883.

Case continued till January 1883, by consent of parties

Office of Commission on CitizenshipJanuary 10th 1882

No. 121

Emily Mearles (wife)

Thomas M. Mearles (husband)

John M. Buck

John E. Young

Cherokee Nation

And now on this 11th day of January A.D. 1882
the above case coming on for hearing and after
hearing and considering the evidence presented, it
was considered and adjudged by the Commission on
Citizenship that said Claimants Emily Mearles Thomas
M. Mearles John M. Buck and John E. Young are entitled
to and they are here by admitted to the enjoyment of
all the rights and privileges of Cherokee within the
Cherokee Nation including the right to hold and possess

J. M. C. Deane
Clerk.Thos. Mearles
John M. Buck
John E. Young
Commissioners

Certificates delivered to Claimants
January 12th 1882 J. M. C. Deane
Clerk of Commission

Office of Commissioner on Citizenship
Cherokee Nation, Tahlequah, Ind. January 11th 1882

No 122 *Winnifred C. Haynes*

Cherokee Nation

Petition filed January 11th 1882
Case continued by Commissioner till Sept. Term Feb. 8th 1882

Dismissed for want of presentation by October 1st 1882

Office of Commissioner on Citizenship
Cherokee Nation, Tahlequah, Ind. Jan. 11th 1882

No 123 *Arch. Gibson*

Cherokee Nation

Petition for Citizenship filed January 11th 1882

January 11th 1882

Case submitted by the petitioner on last Feb. 8th 1882

January 17th 1882

And now on this the 17th day of January A.D. 1882 this case coming on for trial having seen all the testimony produced in the case having been read and duly considered, it was announced by the Commissioner on Citizenship that the above named claimant, Arch. Gibson, is a Cherokee by blood and that she is entitled to all the rights and privileges of Cherokee citizenship within the Cherokee Nation and that she should be and is hereby admitted to the full and perfect enjoyment of the same as a native born Cherokee.

D. H. Quinlan
Clock

That I be made Com.
She will be
J. V. Thompson

Copy of decision issued to claimant as provided by law.
D. H. Quinlan
Clock.

Office of Commissioner on Citizenship
Cherokee Nation, Tahlequah Dist. January 19th 1882

No. 111 Joseph Little

Joseph L. Little } Lem. Little
J. J. Jackson } Atty for Cherokee
Julian Little }
Robert Little }
Frank Little }
William L. Little }

Cherokee Nation

Petition filed January 19th 1882

Case continued till the Commission held Sept. Term 1882

Dismissed for want of prosecution September 24 1882

Office of Commissioner on Citizenship
Cherokee Nation, Tahlequah Dist. January 21st 1882

No. 125 Mary J. Fowler

Thomas J. Fowler
Joseph W. Fowler
Frances B. Fowler
Wm. S. Fowler
William S. Fowler
Charles W. Fowler

Cherokee Nation

Petition filed January 21st 1882

Case continued till September term 1882

Dismissed for want of prosecution September 24 1882

Office of Commissioner on Citizenship
 Cherokee Nation, Tahlequah January 24th 1882
 No. 126. ¹²
 Cherokee Nation

Petition filed January 24th 1882
 Case continued till 31st term by Commissioner, Feb. 3rd 1882

And now this case coming on for final hearing
 and all the evidence in the case being fully
 read and understood by the Commissioner
 the Commissioner finds the following facts:
 1. That Chinnah Gilbert is a white man.
 2. That his husband, Martha Davis, who is said to be
 a Cherokee never lived in the Cherokee nation, and
 died in the state.

Case continued by the Commissioner till the
 January term and that to be taken up for final hearing
 till the 16th of February 1882.

And now this the 31st of January 1882, this case being
 taken up for final action and the Commissioner finding
 as an effect that the claimant has no case since
 the Commissioner of the nation, there is down to the
 Commissioner that the same should be discontinued
 and dropped from the list.

D. W. C. Duncan,
 Clerk of Commission.

Office of Commissioner on Citizenship
 Cherokee Nation, Tahlequah Feb. 27th 1882

No. 127 H. H. Hampton
 Elizabeth Hampton
 Eliza Hampton
 Archib. Hampton
 Alice A. Hampton

Petition filed January 27th 1882

January 30th 1882.

As it known that on the 27th day of January
 1882, that the above named claimant, H. H. Hampton,
 filed his Petition in the above entitled case, and
 asked the court ~~and~~ that the default heretofore
 entered against him in this case be opened, and
 that he be granted a hearing upon the merits of
 his case, and be it further known that now on this
 the 30th day of January 1882, this case coming on for
 hearing upon claimant's motion to open said
 default, it was adjudged by the court that
 whereas there is no provision found in the law
 creating the Commission on Citizenship, authorizing
 said Commissioner to entertain such a motion,
 and whereas the jurisdiction and powers of said
 Commission is as specifically defined in said
 law, therefore it was adjudged that the Commission
 on Citizenship has no jurisdiction over the
 question raised by said motion, and that to hear
 said motion and to open said default, and grant
 to claimant a new hearing upon his case would
 be transcending the limits of judicial power
 vested in the Commission by law.

D. W. C. Duncan
 Clerk

Thos. Leche Pres. of Court.
 Alex. Wolfe, opposing 3
 J. F. Thompson, Deciding 3
 members.

Copy issued according to law to claimant
 H. H. Hampton February 20th 1882
 D. W. C. Duncan
 Clerk.

Office of Commission on Citizenship
 Cherokee Nation, Tahlequah, February 31, 1882

No. 128 A. B. Nichols

Cherokee Nation

Petition filed February 31st 1882.

Continued by Commission till Sept. 20th 1882

Dismissed for want of prosecution September 22, 1882
 And on the 5th day of Sept. 1883, an action of claimant this
 case is reinstated upon the Docket for trial.

Case submitted by claimant

Case submitted by the Commission Sept. 11th 1883

Case submitted by the Collector Sept. 11th 1882.

And now on this the 11th day of October A.D. 1883
 this case coming on for final hearing and all the
 evidence produced in the case on both sides being
 careful read and duly considered, it was adjudged
 by the Commission on Citizenship that the above named
 Claimant, A. B. Nichols, is a Cherokee by blood
 and that he is thereby justly entitled to all the rights
 and privileges of Cherokee Citizenship within the
 Cherokee Nation, and that he should be, and he is
 hereby, admitted to the same and complete enjoy-
 ment of the same in all respects, native born Cherokee

Thos. J. H. Pres of Com.

Alex. Holt } Commis-
 S. F. Johnson } sioners

D. H. C. Duncan
 Clerk of Com.

Office of Commission on Citizenship
 Cherokee Nation, Tahlequah, February 31, 1882.

No. 129 Sarah E. Huffman

Mary E. Huffman

James H. Huffman

Tellman H. Huffman

Elroy Huffman

Cherokee Nation

Petition filed February 31st 1882.

February 31st 1882

Case continued by the Commission till Sept. Term, 1882

Dismissed for want of prosecution September 20th
 1882.

And now on this day, the 31st of Sept. 1883, an action
 of claimant this case is reinstated upon the
 Docket and set for trial.

Case submitted by claimant Sept. 22, 1883

Case submitted by Collector Sept. 22, 1883

And now on this the 2nd day of October
 A.D. 1883 this case coming on for final hearing
 and all the evidence produced in the case on
 both sides being carefully read & duly consid-
 ered it was decided by the Commission on
 Citizenship that the claimants Sarah E. Huff-
 man, Mary E. Huffman, James H. Huffman, Tell-
 man H. Huffman & Elroy Huffman are not
 Cherokees by blood & are therefore not entitled
 to Cherokee Citizenship within the Cherokee
 Nation.

Wm. E. Barker
 Clerk Com.
 Pro. Ter.

Thos. J. H. Pres Com.
 Alex. Holt } Commis-
 S. F. Johnson } sioners

Office of Commissioner on Citizenship
March 1st, 1882

No. 130 Margaretta Cuffer
Charles M. Cuffer
Frank Cuffer
Andrew Cuffer
George Washington Cuffer

Petition filed January 27th 1882

February 3rd 1882

Case continued by Commissioner till April Term

Dismissed for want of present on October 27th 1882

Afternoon Session A. D. 1882

For the present of the Commissioner
H. H. Holt } Commissioner
J. H. Holt }
C. H. Holt }
H. H. Holt }
H. H. Holt }
H. H. Holt }
H. H. Holt }

In 1833 William & Lewis - J. C. Burdett } 1840 for
 - J. J. Burdett }
 The Cherokee Nation - Geo. C. Butler } Burdett
 Petition of John A. Burdett 1852

Submitted to the State Legislature, N. H. 1852

Specimen of the leaflets to the collection of the 1840s.

Continued to the following year, 1882.

[illegible]

Sp. Schizoneura of Bonn.

Rev. G. Dymally
Chick of Iowa

Office of Commissioner of Customs & Excise
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, September 6th 1882.

42. Mr. Harriet Childers
 Kate Childers
 James Childers
 Anna Childers
 John Childers
 Albert Childers

The Cherokee Nation Petition filed September 7. 1834.

Dismissed for want of prosecution, September 1st. 1888.
 30th 1888 motion by claimant to vacate order.
 31st 1888 a pro con motion moved by the con to
 enter the "withholding" order, all claimant's counsel, with
 his attorney, my uncle, presents himself ready to proceed
 with the suit.

and now on the 6th day of Feb. 1883, the above case in favour of the following order and annotation of documents, is ordered to be translated, from the Dutch and put upon the executive file.

Switzerland, Lausanne Feb. 12, 1883

[illegible]

[Faint handwritten notes at the bottom of the page]

Office of Commission on Citizenship
Sahlequah, Cherokee Nation, Sep. 9th 1882

Wm Stephens & } C. H. Taylor atty.
for Plaintiff
Cherokee Nation }
Petition filed Sep. 9th 1882

Commissioner grants claimant possession to ask
now the state case and to take the testimony from
the files like only entitled to the blood.

Case is now on the 11th of September.

Case is now on the 11th of September 11th 1883.

Case continued till September 1883
Case submitted by the claimant September 6th 1883
Case submitted by the Solicitor September 6th 1883.

Can be referred to page 203 in Hooker.

Office of Commission on Citizenship
Sahlequah, Cherokee Nation, Sep. 9th 1882

Jesse Raper +
Polly Raper }
Martin Raper }
Martha Raper }
Jackson Raper }
Thomas Raper }

Petition filed Sept. 9th 1882.

This Case was submitted by the Solicitor
September 11th 1882.

And now, on this the 11th of September
A. D. 1882, this Case having been submitted
by the Solicitor, on part of the Cherokee
Nation, and coming on for final hearing,
and all the evidence produced in said
Case having been carefully examined
& considered by the Commission, and
the evidence showing that the Claimant,
Jesse Raper, being a white man, has
never complied with the requirements
of Chapter 12, Art. 15 of the Compiled
Laws of the Cherokee Nation in reference
to intermarriage with United States Citizens
and Citizens of the Cherokee Nation,
it was adjudged by the Commission
on Citizenship that the said Claimant,
Polly Raper, Martin Raper, Martha Raper,
Jackson Raper and Thomas Raper are
Cherokees by blood and that they are
entitled to all the rights & privileges
of Cherokee Citizenship within the Cherokee
Nation, and that they should be, and that
they are hereby admitted to the full and
perfect enjoyment of the same in all
respects as completely as Native born
Cherokees, and it is further adjudged
that the Claim of Jesse Raper, the husband
of the said Polly Raper should
be & the same is hereby rejected.

Thos. Leher, President Commission
Wm. G. Walker, Clerk Pro Tem
J. J. Thompson, Clerk
Commission

Office of Commission on Citizenship
Tahlequah, Cherokee Nation, Sep. 7th 1882

157. Wm. B. Jones for } E. C. Boardman, & S. Jackson
vs. } & S. A. Butler Attys.
Cherokee Nation } for claimant.

Petition filed Sept. 7th 1882 -
Continued by consent of parties till the following
term 1882 - Oct. 12 1882.

Continued on motion of claimant till the
September term 1883.

Case withdrawn September 27th 1883

Wm. B. Jones }
vs. }
Cherokee Nation }
Pro tem

Office of Commission on Citizenship
Tahlequah, Cherokee Nation, Sep. 12th 1882

David Bales }
Matilda Bales }
Manda Bales }
James Bales }
Julia Bales }
Cherocy Bales }
Gwen Bales }
Fronah Bales }
vs. }
Cherokee Nation }

Le. H. Taylor
Attorney for
Claimant

no. 138.

Petition filed September 12th 1882
October 4th 1882.

Continued till the following term 1883.
Case submitted by the claimant the following 10th 1883.
Case submitted by the petitioner the following 11th 1883.

And now on this the 11th day of January A.D. 1883, this
case coming on for final hearing and all the issues pre-
sented in the case being carefully read and duly considered
by the Commission on Citizenship it was adjudged and
determined by the Commission on Citizenship that the above
named claimants, David Bales Matilda Bales Manda
Bales James Bales Julia Bales, Cherocy Bales, Gwen Bales
and Fronah Bales, are not Cherokee by blood, nor by adop-
tion, and that they are not entitled to the rights and
privileges of Cherokee citizenship, within the Cherokee
Nation and that their claim therefore should, and the
same is, hereby rejected.

D. H. C. Duncan }
Clerk of Commission }

Thos. L. Sheer President of Comm.
Alex. Wolfe }
J. L. Thompson }
Securities

Office of Commissioner of the Cherokee Nation
 1883
 Elizabeth Gillis, wife of
 Thomas Gillis, husband
 of the Cherokee Nation
 vs
 The Cherokee Nation
 Petitioner
 John S. Thompson, Attorney
 for the Cherokee Nation
 vs
 Elizabeth Gillis, wife of
 Thomas Gillis, husband
 of the Cherokee Nation
 vs
 The Cherokee Nation
 Petitioner

Subscribed at

Continued by consent of parties to the former term
 1883. This October 4th 1883

Continued by consent of parties to the former term

Case submitted by Claimant Sept. 26th 1883.

" Submitted by Solicitor Sept. 26th 1883.

And now on this the 1st day of October 1883
 1883 this case coming on for final hearing and
 all the evidence in the case on both sides being
 carefully read and duly considered it was deci-
 ded by the Commission on Citizenship that the Clai-
 mants Elizabeth Gillis, Anton Gillis, Anneliza Shormake,
 Allie Shormake and Matilda Gillis are not Cherokee
 by blood and are therefore not entitled to Cherokee ci-
 tizenship within the Cherokee Nation

Thos. Leher, Pres. Com.
 Alex. Wolfe } Commis-
 T. H. Thompson } sioners

Wm. C. Banks }
 Clerk Com. }
 Pro tem }

Office of Commissioner of the Cherokee Nation
 1883
 Elizabeth Gillis, wife of
 Thomas Gillis, husband
 of the Cherokee Nation
 vs
 The Cherokee Nation
 Petitioner

Continued by consent of parties to the former term
 1883. This October 11th 1883

Continued by consent of parties to the former term
 1883. This October 11th 1883

Continued by consent of parties to the former term
 1883. This October 11th 1883

Pres. of the Cherokee Nation 1883

Office of Commissioner of the
Patent Office, October 27th 1852

✓ John Henderson 3, 1800
The Charleston Patent Office
St. Paul, Minn.

Patent filed October 27th 1852

Submitted by the Commissioner Sept 27th 1852
Submitted by the Commissioner September 27th 1852

And now the case in the 10th day of October
1852, arising on the final hearing and all the
evidence furnished in the case at last a decision
has been rendered and sustained by the Com-
missioner. It was a case of a patent for a
new and improved method of making paper
and the Commissioner has decided in favor of
the patentee. The Commissioner has also
decided that the patentee is entitled to all the
rights and privileges of a patentee within the
United States and that he should be and is being
treated as such. The Commissioner has also
decided that the patentee is entitled to all the
rights and privileges of a patentee within the
United States and that he should be and is being
treated as such.

Wm. H. H. of Commissioner
of the Patent Office
St. Paul, Minn.
D. H. H. of Commissioner
of the Patent Office
St. Paul, Minn.

Copied furnished Commissioner Oct 27th 1852
D. H. H. of Commissioner
of the Patent Office

Office of Commissioner of the
Patent Office, October 27th 1852

✓ Lusk River 3, 1800
The Charleston Patent Office
St. Paul, Minn.
Submitted by the Commissioner October 27th 1852

Submitted by the Commissioner October 27th 1852

And now the case in the 10th day of October 1852
this case arising on the final hearing and all the
evidence furnished in the case at last a decision
has been rendered and sustained by the Com-
missioner. It was a case of a patent for a
new and improved method of making paper
and the Commissioner has decided in favor of
the patentee. The Commissioner has also
decided that the patentee is entitled to all the
rights and privileges of a patentee within the
United States and that he should be and is being
treated as such. The Commissioner has also
decided that the patentee is entitled to all the
rights and privileges of a patentee within the
United States and that he should be and is being
treated as such.

Wm. H. H. of Commissioner
of the Patent Office
St. Paul, Minn.
D. H. H. of Commissioner
of the Patent Office
St. Paul, Minn.

Copied furnished Commissioner Oct 27th 1852
D. H. H. of Commissioner
of the Patent Office